Cervical Flexor–Extensor Muscle Disparity in Monomelic Amyotrophy (Hirayama Disease): Evidence from a Comprehensive Morphometric Evaluation of Subaxial Paraspinal Musculature
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Abstract

Background Monomelic amyotrophy (Hirayama disease) has been established to have accompanied biomechanical abnormalities such as flexion hypermobility and sagittal imbalance. Paraspinal muscles, the major contributor to cervical biomechanics, have, however, not been comprehensively evaluated in the disease. The objective of this study was to compare the morphology of the subaxial cervical paraspinal musculature in patients with and without Hirayama disease.

Materials and Methods A retrospective case-control study of 64 patients with Hirayama disease and 64 age- and sex-matched controls was performed. Cross-sectional areas (CSAs) of the superficial and deep flexors and extensors from C3 to C7 were measured on T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging sequences. Student’s t-test was used to compare differences between the paraspinal muscle CSAs in the study and control groups.

Results Compared with controls, patients with Hirayama disease were found to have larger flexors and smaller extensors at all levels. The overall subaxial muscle area values for superficial flexors and deep flexors were significantly larger (p < 0.0001) in patients, while the corresponding superficial extensor and deep extensor area values were significantly smaller than in controls (p = 0.01 and < 0.0001, respectively). The patient group demonstrated stronger subaxial deep flexor–deep extensor, superficial flexor–superficial extensor, and total flexor–total extensor ratios (p < 0.0001).

Conclusion Patients with Hirayama disease have morphometric alterations at all levels of their subaxial cervical paraspinal musculature. These patients have abnormally large flexors and small extensors compared with controls. This flexor–extensor muscle disparity could be utilized as a potentially modifiable factor in the management of the disease.
Key Message

Though the clinical manifestations of Hirayama disease are limited to the lower cervical segments, this study demonstrates morphometric alterations at all levels of the subaxial cervical paraspinal musculature. The flexor–extensor muscle disparity in these patients could be utilized as a potentially modifiable factor in the conservative management protocol of the disease.

Introduction

Monomelic amyotrophy, commonly known as Hirayama disease (HD) or juvenile muscular atrophy of the distal upper extremities, is an unusual disorder characterized by self-limiting weakness and atrophy of the hands and forearm muscles in young male subjects.1–3 Flexion-induced myelopathy is one of the widely accepted pathogenetic mechanisms,1,4,5 though the exact pathogenesis of the disease remains controversial. The clinical manifestations of HD are limited to the lower cervical segments. However, recent studies have demonstrated biomechanical connotations of the disorder that relate to the entire cervical spine. Given this background and the fact that paraspinal musculature is the major biomechanical stabilizer of the cervical spine,6 we postulated that patients with HD would have morphological alterations in their paraspinal muscles (PSMs). This has been previously demonstrated, though only at select lower cervical levels.7 The objective of the present study was to explore if morphometric changes in HD extend to other levels of the subaxial cervical spine as well. We felt that a comprehensive evaluation of the entire subaxial cervical musculature was especially required for a condition where long-term-collar wear is a primary management protocol despite its potentially deleterious effects on PSMs and hence, on cervical biomechanics.

Subjects and Methods

Patient Population

This was a single-center case–control study spanning an 8-year-period from January 2012 to January 2020. In view of the retrospective and anonymized nature of the data, the study qualified for an ethics committee waiver as per the local Institutional Review Board policy. The study group consisted of 64 consecutive patients diagnosed to have HD at our institution based on the criteria proposed by Hirayama et al.8,9: weakness and wasting in the C7-T1 myotomes in one or both upper limbs, insidious onset of symptoms in the early third decade, rapid progression of symptoms from 1 to 3 years, irregular coarse tremors in the fingers of the affected hand(s), brief aggravation of symptoms on exposure to the cold, electromyographic evidence of chronic denervation in the affected muscles, and an absence of objective sensory loss. None of these patients were previously managed with collar wear for any cervical pathology, nor did they have history of prior trauma or cervical surgery.

Control Group

Using an individual, “one-to-one” matching technique,10 64 age- and sex-matched non-HD subjects were selected as controls for comparison of their PSM morphometry with that of the study group. The controls had undergone cervical spine imaging as part of a routine neurological evaluation, and none of them had history of neck-pain, radicular pain, cervical trauma, or previous neck surgery.

Radiographic Evaluation

The same imaging protocol was used for both, the study and control groups. Deidentified magnetic resonance (MR) images were obtained from the hospital radiographic system (Synapse, Fujifilm Medical Systems USA, Inc., Lexington, Massachusetts, United States). All images were acquired on a 1.5 Tesla magnet (GE Signa, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States) using a standard neurovascular (NV) coil. Measurement parameters were slices, 26; slice thickness, 3.7 mm; field of view, 180 × 188 mm; repetition time (TR), 4,360 milliseconds; time to echo (TE), 98.2 milliseconds; matrix size, 1.60/256; number of excitations, 1.5; flip angle, 90 degrees. The cross-sectional areas (CSAs) of the PSMs were measured by a standardized technique using axial T2-weighted images.11,12 The selected cuts were parallel to the disc spaces at the upper endplates from C3 to C7 vertebral levels. A region of interest (ROI) was created for each muscle bilaterally using the Synapse software. The lateral border of the facets was used as the lateral limit for the ROI for the superficial extensors (SEs). The CSAs of the following muscles were measured: sternocleidomastoid (superficial flexor, SF), longus colli and longus capitis (deep flexors, DFs), multifidus and semispinalis cervicis (deep extensors, DEs), and the semispinalis capitis, splenius capitis, and upper trapezius (SE) (→ Fig. 1). Two independent operators (PA...
and NR) performed all the measurements, and the mean values of their measurements were used for analysis. Both the operators were blinded to the group allocation into cases and controls. To control for bias in the muscle areas due to varying body mass index, muscle CSA/vertebral body area (VBA) ratios were used rather than absolute muscle CSA values. The mean CSA/VBA ratio at individual levels and for the entire subaxial spine were calculated for each muscle group.

**Statistical Methodology**

Using the clinically meaningful difference in CSA values from a previous study on cervical PSMs, a sample size of 64 in each group was determined to be adequate to obtain a power of 0.9 for the study. Data was entered in an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Inc.) and analyzed using SPSS version 20. Means and standard deviations were computed for all the CSA/VBA ratios. Student’s t-test was used to compare differences between the ratios in the study and control groups. Interobserver variability was measured using the intraclass correlation coefficient and standardized ratings of agreement.

**Results**

**Demographics and clinicoradiological presentation of the study group:** All the patients were male subjects with a mean age of 20.49 ± 2.87 years. Their mean duration of symptoms was 24.36 ± 8.32 months (range: 14–48 months). Forty-eight (75%) patients presented with bilateral, asymmetric weakness, or wasting of the hand and/or forearm muscles, while the remaining 16 (25%) presented with unilateral symptoms and signs. All patients demonstrated lower cervical cord atrophy on neutral MRI and forward migration of the cord with a prominent enhancing epidural venous plexus on flexion sequences (Fig. 2).

**CSA/VBA Ratios at Individual Levels**

The mean CSA/VBA ratios at individual levels from C3 to C7 for the respective muscle groups are listed in Table 1. Patients with HD uniformly demonstrated larger mean SF and DF CSA/VBA ratios than the controls at all levels, with the differences being significant at most levels. The extensor muscle CSA/VBA ratios, on the other hand, were smaller in HD patients than in the controls. The difference in the CSA/VBA values for the DEs in the two groups was significant at all levels.

**Overall subaxial spine CSA/VBA ratios:** Patients with HD demonstrated significantly larger mean SF and DF CSA/VBA ratios for the entire subaxial spine (Fig. 3), while their mean SE and DF ratios were significantly smaller than those of the control subjects. The overall SF/SE, DF/DE, and total flexor/total extensor ratios were correspondingly larger in the HD patients than in the controls (Fig. 4).

**Interobserver Variability**

The agreement between the two observers for the measurement of the PSM areas ranged from substantial to almost perfect (weighted kappa coefficients: 0.78 for DF, 0.82 for SF, 0.76 for DE, and 0.85 for SE).

**Discussion**

**Biomechanical Abnormalities in HD**

The repeated flexion-induced microtrauma in the lower cervical cord in HD patients has been commonly attributed

![Fig. 2](image-url) Sagittal magnetic resonance imaging: (A) T2-weighted sequence demonstrating lower cervical cord atrophy; (B) Gadolinium-enhanced flexion sequence demonstrating the characteristic enhancing venous plexus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Muscle group</th>
<th>Mean CSA/VBA ratio</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases (n=64)</td>
<td>Controls (n=64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.30</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.46</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CSA, cross-sectional area; DE, deep extensors; DF, deep flexors; SE, superficial extensors; SF, superficial flexors; VBA, vertebral body area.
to factors like an inherently tight dura, growth disparity between the spinal column and the cord, flexion-induced venous stagnation, and anterior osteophytes. The role of spinal biomechanics in the pathogenesis of HD has been under-explored. Some studies have described an exaggerated range of vertebral displacement of the dural sac and aggravate cord injury and atrophy. HD patients have also been noted to have loss of cervical lordosis and impaired sagittal balance parameters that improve after anterior cervical fixation procedures. Instability itself has also been postulated to contribute to the disease process on the basis of accompanying findings like abnormal cervical curvatures and the presence of osteophytes in these patients. The demonstration of altered cervical PSM morphology in our study reflects yet another biomechanical perspective to the disorder.

Paraspinal Muscle Changes in HD
MRI-based measurement of CSAs of muscles is a robust proxy measure of muscle strength. It has been found to be a reliable indicator of muscle atrophy and weakness, with excellent intra- and interobserver agreement. With respect to HD, Li et al. had analyzed CSAs of cervical PSMs at two levels and had concluded that HD patients have smaller muscle areas compared with controls, and that there is an imbalance between the SF and SE CSAs. These findings were taken to reflect an underlying biomechanical instability. The findings of the study were, however, not generalizable to the entire subaxial spine as the measurements were restricted to limited levels in the lower cervical spine where PSM changes could have occurred as a direct consequence of anterior horn cell dysfunction.

The comprehensive PSM area measurements in our study demonstrate that the paraspinal morphometric alterations in HD extend to all levels of the subaxial cervical spine. Li et al.’s conclusion of all cervical PSMs being uniformly smaller in HD patients is not borne out by our data. We found that while the subaxial extensor muscles in HD patients are indeed smaller, their flexor PSMs are uniformly larger than those of controls. This was found to be true for both the superficial and deep muscle groups. Interestingly, the flexor–extensor muscle disparity was noted to occur at all subaxial levels in a condition that primarily affects the C7-T1 myotomes, indicating that the biomechanical derangements in HD are pan-cervical.

Muscle Group Co-contraction and Disparity
While the superficial cervical muscles are understood to assist predominantly in voluntary neck movements, the deep muscles control segmental motion and maintain cervical alignment. However, all motions of the cervical spine occur as a result of a delicate interplay of the flexors and extensors of the superficial and deep muscle groups. This co-contraction of different muscle groups stiffens the spine and contributes to its static and dynamic stability. Impaired co-contraction of the flexors and extensor muscles under different movement conditions has been linked to the occurrence of various neck disorders, including HD.

The possibility of a flexor–extensor disparity in HD was previously considered on the basis of a large SF/SE CSA ratio that was taken to imply a more pronounced hypotrophy in the extensors than the flexors. Though other studies in HD have not directly alluded to this disparity, some did report loss of cervical lordosis—a finding that intrinsically suggests the existence of weak extensors. Our study confirms the existence of a flexor–extensor PSM disparity across all subaxial levels in HD. The larger flexor–extensor ratios in our study are not due to varying degrees of a generalized hypotrophy as reported previously, but due to larger flexors and smaller extensors than those of the normal population.
Implications of This Study
This study has potential implications in modifying the conservative treatment protocol for HD, currently restricted to long-term immobilization with a cervical collar. Patient compliance with this modality is poor in view of the prescribed requirement of continuous collar-wear for a minimum of 2 years. This approach also carries the risk of causing disuse PSM atrophy in spines that are biomechanically unsound to begin with.

Our data has established a generalized subaxial cervical flexor–extensor disparity in HD patients. With the current analysis, it is difficult to comment on whether the larger flexors and smaller extensors in HD patients have a cause or effect relationship with the disease and its proposed pathogenic mechanisms. Either way, it would be worth exploring whether the pronounced flexor–extensor disparity in these patients is modifiable by approaches such as extensor muscle strengthening, muscle co-contraction retraining, and postural re-education. Such targeted physiotherapy protocols could unfold a more physiological method of managing HD and potentially help in arresting the clinical course of the disease.

Limitations
This study is limited by the inherent disadvantages of a retrospective study, and prospective trials will help in further elucidating the interactions between PSMs and the clinical course of HD. Though MRI-based muscle CSA measurement is an appropriate marker of muscle strength, direct electromyographic evaluation of the PSMs could possibly strengthen the inferences of our study. Intraobserver agreement data for CSA measurement was not available in our study. To further strengthen the findings of the current study, it may be useful to analyze changes in muscle areas and a possible reduction of the flexor–extensor PSM disparity following conservative or surgical management of HD.

Conclusion
Our study indicates that patients with HD have morphometric alterations at all levels of their subaxial cervical paraspinal musculature. These patients have abnormally large flexors and small extensors compared with controls. This flexor–extensor muscle disparity could be utilized as a potentially modifiable factor in the management of the disease.
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