







Challenges of Skeletal Reconstruction in Growing Children—Hobson's Choice

Anand Raja¹ Chandra Kumar Krishnan¹ Madhusudhan Reddy¹

¹ Department of Surgical Oncology, Cancer Institute (WIA), Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Ind J Med Paediatr Oncol 2022;43:448-449.

Address for correspondence Anand Raja, MS, MCh, Department of Surgical Oncology, Cancer Institute (WIA), No: 38, Sardar Patel Road, Adyar, Chennai 600036, Tamil Nadu, India (e-mail: dr_anand@yahoo.com).

Reconstruction of skeletal defects after resection for bone tumors in children is challenging due to (a) small size bones, (b) limited bone stock, (c) challenges with microvascular anastomosis, and (d) risk of limb length discrepancy. Reconstructions should be durable to ensure long-term stability and allow for axial growth. Reconstruction with metal prosthesis, biological reconstruction, and allo/auto-prosthesis composites come with challenges unique to them. This is further compounded in our country with constraints of resources and advanced stage of presentation.

Tumors around the joint need prosthetic reconstruction. Pediatric patients need some form of expansion that is built into the prosthesis which can be periodically expanded to account for longitudinal growth. Third-generation metal prostheses where the expansion is noninvasive (Juvenile Tumour System, Stanmore Implants Worldwide, Stanmore, United Kingdom) have revolutionized the management of these patients. This has overcome the problems of (a) multiple surgeries and (b) infection, in second-generation implants that were minimally invasive and needed repeated surgeries to expand the prosthesis which was based on an elongating screw or telescoping mechanism. Third-generation expandable prostheses are expensive, about Rs 20 lakh, which is not affordable for most patients in our country.

Our "go-to" modality for reconstruction has been the vascularized fibular graft. It is a versatile flap and can be used for reconstruction at a variety of sites. We have used this graft for (a) intercalary resections, either alone or with recycled autograft (Capanna technique-combination of auto/allograft and vascularized fibula),² or for (b) reconstruction of combined epiphyseal-diaphyseal defects (humerus and radius). Monitoring of these flaps without

skin paddle is a challenge. We use a triple-phase Tc99 MDP bone scan at 48 hours after surgery to assess viability and use Jones index to score the flap.³ The fibula is usually harvested based on peroneal vessels. This, however, does not supply the proximal epiphysis. For longitudinal growth, the fibula should be harvested with the vessels supplying epiphysis (epiphyseal transfer technique) that branches from the anterior tibial artery (first/second recurrent epiphyseal arteries or inferior genicular artery). Rarely due to small caliber they may not be demonstrable, in which case anterior tibial artery may be harvested in addition to peroneal vessels. Transposition of the ipsilateral fibula for tibial defects (Huntington's procedure or tibialization of fibula)⁴ is an attractive option.

Postoperative radiation after biological reconstruction is a barrier to healing. All components of bone from epiphysis to osteoblasts, osteoclasts, periosteum, periosteum, vascularity, and quality of mineralized bone are detrimentally impacted by radiation, leading to increased problems with wound healing and bone union.⁵ This represents a Hobson's choice between (a) delivery of radiation leading to issues with bone union or (b) avoiding radiation in biological reconstruction, leading to undertreatment that may compromise survival. We have attempted to circumvent this problem by using preoperative radiation in patients with Ewing's sarcoma in patients (a) who mandatorily need radiation (pathological fracture, large prechemotherapy tumor volume, etc.) and (b) who have biological reconstruction being performed.

Multiple choices exist of reconstruction for skeletal defects in children and should be individualized based on patient characteristics, need for additional therapy, patient affordability, and availability of expertise.

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0042-1748799. ISSN 0971-5851.

© 2022. Indian Society of Medical and Paediatric Oncology. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/bv-nc-nd/4.0/)

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

Conflict of Interest None declared.

References

- 1 Ghert M, Colterjohn N, Manfrini M. The use of free vascularized fibular grafts in skeletal reconstruction for bone tumors in children. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2007;15(10):577-587
- 2 Capanna R, Campanacci DA, Belot N, et al. A new reconstructive technique for intercalary defects of long bones: the association of massive allograft with vascularized fibular autograft. Long-term
- results and comparison with alternative techniques. Orthop Clin North Am 2007;38(01):51-60, vi.
- 3 Berding G, Bothe K, Gratz KF, Schmelzeisen R, Neukam FW, Hundeshagen H. Bone scintigraphy in the evaluation of bone grafts used for mandibular reconstruction. Eur J Nucl Med 1994; 21(02):113-117
- 4 Huntington TW. VI. Case of bone transference: use of a segment of fibula to supply a defect in the tibia. Ann Surg 1905;41(02):249–251
- 5 Donaubauer AJ, Deloch L, Becker I, Fietkau R, Frey B, Gaipl US. The influence of radiation on bone and bone cells-differential effects on osteoclasts and osteoblasts. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21(17):E6377