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Abstract

Introduction Use of immunotherapy drugs has increased leaps and bounds in the last decade with promising results in some of the cancers. The use is limited in low- and middle-income countries due to cost constraints. Weight-based dosing is one measure adopted by Canada and Israel to reduce cost burden and improve access to immunotherapeutic drugs. We conducted a survey among medical oncologists from India to understand challenges faced in accepting the weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab.

Objective We conducted a survey among medical oncologists from India to understand challenges faced in accepting the weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab.

Materials and Methods Questionnaire covering various aspects related to use of immunotherapy drugs was made and it was circulated across various social media platforms. Medical oncologists practicing across India were invited to participate in this survey. The issues like access to drugs and awareness about weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab were covered in the survey. Also, the impact of international guidelines on accepting the weight-based dosing was studied.

Results Ninety-nine medical oncologists across India participated in the survey. Only 60% medical oncologists are aware about weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab practiced in other countries. Further, 70% of medical oncologists could not prescribe immunotherapy due to cost factor in majority (90%) of their patients. More than 90% agreed that they will use weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab if the Drug Controller General of India, National Comprehensive Cancer Network, or European Society of Medical Oncologists guidelines endorses weight-based dosing.

Conclusion Weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab would be accepted if policy makers and Indian medical oncology societies come together and formulate guidelines. Such guidelines will improve accessibility to immunotherapy drugs and lead to huge cost savings.
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**Introduction**

Immunotherapy is a major development in the field of cancer care and therapeutics in the last decade. First immunotherapy drug ipilimumab got approval in 2011 for advanced melanoma after showing improved survival in phase 3 trial (NCT00324155). Since then, these drugs are used in almost all cancers. It has improved outcome in few of the advanced cancers like lung, kidney, and liver. At any given time, hundreds of trials of immunotherapy drugs are ongoing across the world. These drugs are slowly being introduced into both adjuvant and neoadjuvant settings. This has led to accelerated approvals for these drugs in various advanced and early stage cancers. But this progress is limited to high-income countries. In an era of global cancer equality, patients from low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are not able to access these drugs due to cost constraints. Health insurance coverage (both government and private) is limited in LMIC. In India, in 2014, the mean out-of-pocket expenditure for cancer treatment was Indian rupee (INR) 57,232. The expenditure is catastrophic for 79% of households (>10% of household consumption expenditure) and for 43% of them it is distress financing, meaning forced sale of house or land, borrowing money, or getting contributions from friends or families. The study from Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai, revealed that only 1.6% of patients received immunotherapy. Efforts are needed to reduce the cost of cancer therapy in LMIC. Various ways to reduce the cost for immunotherapy drugs need to be explored.

Use of weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab is one such way. Pembrolizumab was used by the manufacturing company in initial trials as 2 mg per kg every 3-weekly dose. At that time, both 100 mg and 50 mg vials were available. But after few years, the company withdrew the 50 mg vial from the market. They also changed the dosing to fixed dose of 200 mg given 3-weekly. Now, this has led to the use of extra dose of drug especially for those patients who have average weight of 50–60 kg. It is wastage of money as same patient will need less doses in weight-based dosing as compared to a fixed-dose schedule. The pharmacokinetic studies done on pembrolizumab have shown that the dose of drugs is carefully decided after phase 1 studies. Both pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of drug along with toxicity profile are taken into consideration while deciding final approved dose of the drug. The final maximum dose chosen for phase 3 clinical trials and subsequent drug approval is generally the one with better efficacy and with lesser toxicity. This is an old concept and needs revision in present time of targeted therapy and immunotherapy.

According to a Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health report, the Canadian authorities have approved the 2 mg/kg every 3-weekly dose of pembrolizumab across all tumors based on the basis of initial pembrolizumab clinical trials and modeling based studies. With the establishment of the equal result of 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks and 200 mg every 3 weeks, there is potential of large cost-savings on adopting the 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks as compared to the 200 mg flat dose. The regulatory authorities have considered such weight-based strategies in Israel and Denmark. Many countries might follow the same in future. Indian regulatory guidelines from the Drug Controller General of India (DCGI) lack such recommendations. To initiate similar efforts in India, we did this survey as to understand the difficulties faced by Indian medical oncologists (IMOs) in adopting weight-based immunotherapy.

**Materials and Methods**

We used Google Forms to conduct this survey and framed the questions according to the medical oncologist’s perspective. The survey was circulated through social media apps from August 1, 2021, to August 30, 2021. Only medical oncologists from across the country were included as survey participants. Survey participation was voluntary. Social media apps like WhatsApp and platforms such as Indian Society of Medical and Pediatric Oncology (ISMPO), the only official organization of medical oncologist in India, were used to circulate the survey questionnaires. All the responses to survey were collected in an Excel spreadsheet and analyzed. The questionnaire mainly focused on the primary objective of assessment of awareness of weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab among IMOs and understanding lacunae in adopting the same. Secondary objective was the assessment of knowledge of global efforts to reduce cost of immunotherapy drugs.

**Statistical Analysis:** It was done using descriptive statistics.

**Results**

A total of 103 responses were received from August 8, 2021, to August 15, 2021. Among these, 99 responses were from medical oncologists and only these were taken into consideration for the analysis.

The survey results are depicted in Table 1. The respondents, 44, 24, and 31 of the 99 IMOs had 1 to 5 years, 6 to 10 years, and >10 years of practice, respectively. Majority of them, that is, 74% are practicing in metropolitan cities, followed by 14% in districts, and 11% in towns.

Seventy percent of IMOs reported that 90% of their patients do not receive immuno-oncology drugs due to the cost factor. Sixty-four percent (n = 64) of IMOs responded that the lack of recommendation by scientific organizations and/or recommendation by regulatory authorities prohibited them from using weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab. Twenty-three (24%) IMOs do not use mg/kg dosing in view of lack of studies comparing 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks versus 200 mg every 3 weeks. Only three IMOs are already using weight-based dosage of pembrolizumab as they have recommended the drug for patients with certain conditions.

Eighty-five percent (n = 85) of IMOs agreed that there was no rationale for using only 200 mg every 3 weeks in subsequent trials of pembrolizumab. Sixty percent (n = 60) were not aware of the fact that countries like Canada, Israel, and Denmark have adopted the weight-based dose of pembrolizumab. Ninety percent IMOs would change their practice to weight-based dosing if DCGI recommends mg/kg dosing. Similarly, 93% and
94% of IMOs would change their practice if National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) and European Society of Medical Oncologists (ESMO) add mg/kg recommendation of pembrolizumab in their guidelines (►Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Most of the LMIC countries have no individual guidelines for cancer management.

Oncologists from LMICs depend heavily on NCCN or ESMO guidelines for treatment decisions in absence of any country-specific guidelines. As shown in our survey, almost all of the oncologists were reluctant to change the practice unless NCCN or ESMO guidelines recommend to do so. NCCN guidelines generally do not take into consideration financial stress caused by treatment, which is a vital deciding factor for treatment in LMIC patients. Recently, NCCN added the mg/kg dosing of pembrolizumab and nivolumab for malignant

---

Table 1 Questionnaire and results—pembrolizumab weight-based dosing: conviction and lacunae in adopting a cost-saving approach (n= 99)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answers (options)</th>
<th>Results: n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1     | How many eligible patients of immunotherapy do not receive IO drug due to cost factor? | 1. >90% 69 (69)  
2. 50–90% 22 (22)  
3. <50% 8 (8) |  |
| 2     | Until 2015, pembrolizumab was studied at 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks in metastatic melanoma and mNSCLC. There is no rationale for 200 mg flat dose schedule for consequent trials. Do you agree? | 1. Yes 85 (85)  
2. No 5 (5)  
3. Do not know 9 (9) |  |
| 3     | What prohibits you from using pembrolizumab as mg/kg?                     | 1. Lack of recommendation by regulatory authorities 15 (16)*  
2. Lack of recommendation from scientific organizations 6 (6)  
3. Both options 1 and 2 43 (46)  
4. Lack of studies comparing 2 mg/kg versus flat 200 mg dose 23 (25)  
5. Others 7 (7) |  |
| 4     | Are you aware of the fact that Canada, Israel, and Denmark have approved pembrolizumab as 2 mg/Kg every 3 weeks? | 1. Yes 39 (39)  
2. No 60 (60) |  |
| 5     | If DCGI agrees to change its recommendation, would you change your practice to mg/Kg? | 1. Yes 89 (89)  
2. No 3 (3)  
3. Not sure 7 (7) |  |
| 6     | If NCCN adds its recommendation on mg/kg, would you change your practice? | 1. Yes 93 (93)  
2. No 1 (1)  
3. Not sure 5 (5) |  |
| 7     | If ESMO adds its recommendation on mg/kg, would you change your practice? | 1. Yes 94 (94)  
2. No 1 (1)  
3. Not sure 4 (4) |  |
| 8     | Are you a medical oncologist?                                              | 1. Yes 99 (100)  
2. No 0 (0) |  |
| 9     | How many of years of practice in medical oncology?                         | 1. 1–5 years 44 (44)  
2. 6–10 years 24 (24)  
3. >10 years 31 (31) |  |
| 10    | Where do you practice?                                                    | 1. Metro city 74 (74)  
2. District 14 (14)  
3. Towns 11 (11) |  |

Abbreviations: DCGI, Drugs Controller General of India; ESMO, European Society of Medical Oncologists; IO, immuno-oncology; mNSCLC, metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer; NCCN, National Comprehensive Cancer Network.

*For question no 3, responders were: n = 94.
melanoma patients; however, restricting such recommendations for other cancer indications is not well understood. This is a very important issue for change of practice at grassroots level. We also found that if Indian regulatory authorities, that is, DCGI, endorse such a recommendation, 90% of IMOs would change the practice. Hence, a coordinated effort is required between scientific organizations and drug approval authorities like Indian Council of Medical Research.
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**Fig. 1** Survey among Indian medical oncologist on factors prohibiting use of mg/kg dosing of pembrolizumab (n = 94).
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**Fig. 2** Survey among Indian medical oncologists (n = 99) on changing the practice to using mg/kg dosing of pembrolizumab. ESMO, European Society of Medical Oncologists; NCCN, National Comprehensive Cancer Network; DCGI, Drugs Controller General of India.
(ICMR) and the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO). The World Health Organization acknowledged the issue of lack of cancer treatment guidelines and lack of cost negotiations with the companies in LMICs.13

When pembrolizumab was launched, both the 100 mg and 50 mg vials were available. This was useful for administering weight-based dosing. In 2017, the parent company withdrew 50 mg vial for reasons less understood. In fact, prescribing information for pembrolizumab provided by the company still has mention of 50 mg vial.14 The average weight of an Indian lung cancer patient is lower as compared to western patients.15,16 For a mean weight of 55 kg, almost double dose of pembrolizumab is used if we use flat dose. Both pembrolizumab and nivolumab have shown comparable outcomes of weight based with a flat dose.17–19 As per report published in 2017, pembrolizumab flat dosing leads to wastage of nearly 1 billion US dollar (USD) per year as 100 mg vial costs around INR 235,000 without access (USD 3,118).9 The economic impact of weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab and nivolumab has been studied extensively and cost benefits are enormous including in the USA and European countries.20–22 Negotiations must be done with the company for availability of 50 mg vial by the concerned regulatory authorities.

In our survey, IMOs that represented India’s situation in medical oncology belonged to a diverse group of professionals from various social strata. They have faced the obstacle of limited access to the use of immunotherapy drugs. The results of such surveys as this can be useful for scientific organizations and also policy makers.

Limitations
Our survey is limited by the number of responders. India has almost 250–300 new medical oncologists coming into clinical practice every year and this survey was exclusive to them.

Conclusions
Weight-based dosing of pembrolizumab would be accepted if policy makers, regulative authorities, and IMOs come together and formulate the required guidelines. Such guidelines will improve accessibility of immunotherapy drugs and lead to huge cost savings. A coordinated effort is needed among scientific organizations like ISMPO, ICMR, and CDSCO (DCGI) to formulate India-centric guidelines on the use of pembrolizumab with weight-based (mg/kg) dose.
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