Current Profile of Physical Impairments in Children with Cerebral Palsy in Inclusive Education Settings: A Cross-Sectional Study
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Abstract

Introduction Cerebral palsy (CP) excerpts a heterogeneous corral of neurological disorders occurring due to injury to the developing brain leading to motor dysfunctions. The CP children enjoy success and progress in normal school education and curriculum program. The principle of inclusive education has been acknowledged over recent decades in all countries supporting the schooling of children with disabilities into mainstream settings for constructing an inclusive society.

Objective The objective of this study was to determine the current status of physical impairments in children with CP in inclusive education settings in district Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

Materials and Methods A survey using the physical examination of all CP children (n = 20) between the age group of 6 and 12 years in inclusive education settings with an organized interview of special educators in school settings was conducted. Descriptive analysis was conducted by using SPSS IBM 22.

Results A total of 20 CP children (11 boys and 9 girls, with the mean age of 9.8 ± 1.69) were enrolled in the study. Mean and standard deviation of Visual Analog Scale (6.5 ± 0.82), Pediatric Balance Scale (21.4 ± 17.1), Gross Motor Function Classification System, Expanded and Revised (2.8 ± 1.46), Manual Ability Classification System (2.5 ± 1.1), Gross Motor Function Measurement-88 (36.40 ± 22.94), and Goal Attainment Scale (35.9 ± 0.40) with the total WeeFIM score (75.7 ± 3.4) were analyzed as the score of all outcome measures.

Conclusion Right to Education act promotes the compulsory education of such children, and limiting disability by knowing the current profile of impairments could help in improving physical and functional status in children.
Introduction

Cerebral palsy (CP) is a familiar neurodevelopmental malady in kids with the incidence of 2 to 2.5 per 1,000 live births globally. Societal affairs in particular gender-specific disparity, family nurturance, and socio-economical dignity affect treatment revealing a relationship between the socio-demographic profiles of families belonging to CP patients. An Indian study conducted at Rajasthan endowed that CP is the prime cause of disability in developing countries like India. These children with CP, in such ambience, confront economical, topographical, as well as communal hurdles in retrieving medical or rehabilitation interventions. CP is a non-progressive motor disorder associated with poor perception, cognition, and related musculoskeletal problems like sitting, standing, and walking. Impairments in such children can be of spastic, athetoid, and ataxic types which could be due to injury in different parts of brain. The child can be hemiplegic, diplegic, or quadriplegic based on the number of limbs involved.

The fundamental of comprehensive inclusive education has been progressively recognized in the last 10 years, and virtually all countries promote the mainstreaming of children with disabilities because it is the most effective way of creating an inclusive society and ensuring that all children receive education. In educational settings, physical demands and needs of children can be fulfilled by providing regular therapy programs in least restrictive barrier-free environment. The therapists work within the groups or separately with a child including the teacher and parents who may be advised and explained treatment techniques. The children with CP show their potential in a more commendable school environment and achieve academic success in a traditional school setting and enjoy success in normal school education and curriculum. The appropriate schooling option for a child with CP is determined by the existence and severity of physical disabilities, as well as the available facilities in the area for schooling. Providing the rehabilitation services in inclusive education settings would require information on the physical status of CP children along with demographic profile and such information would contribute to delineate the magnitude of the problem. The population-based data of CP are very limited, and no such clinical registry system for study. The initial draft of the questionnaire was prepared after a literature review, and in-depth interviews from special educators and parents were validated for contents by experts. The ICF core sets are based on the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF). The ICF core sets based on the ICF-Children and Youth model, with eight categories monitoring physical impairments in school-aged CP children between 6 and 12 years of age, were considered as outcome measures as shown in Fig. 1 for study.

Inclusion Criteria
Inclusion criteria were as follows.
- CP students of all types and both sex (6–12 years) diagnosed with minimum 40% on disability certificates by pediatrician/neurologist,
- CP children with mild mental retardation (intelligence quotient level 50–70%),
- classified with all grades of Gross Motor Function Classification System—Expanded and Revised (GMFCS-E&R) for CP children,
- CP students of primary and middle classes of all sections in government schools of district Mandi, HP, and
- subjects and their parents/guardians giving consent to participate in study.

Exclusion Criteria
Exclusion criteria were as follows.
- CP children of secondary and senior secondary classes,
• children with developmental delay, down syndrome, autism and epilepsy, or undergone surgery or having Botox injections within 6 months before therapy, and
• CP with hearing and visual impairments and moderate and severe/profound mental retardation.

Results

Descriptive statistics analysis of CP characteristics was performed using SPSS 22 (IBM Corp. United States). In the present study, 11 males (55%) and nine females (45%) with CP in the inclusive school settings of district Mandi, HP, were included. The study included CP children with an average age of 9.8 ± 1.69 years. Most of the cases (80%) were from the lower economic class with the annual income of 1,343.20 US $/year, indicating that the poor socio-economic status of parents is greatly associated with CP. Most of the CP cases (55%) were term delivery by doctors with different complications. The risk of CP among term infants may be relatively low, but most children with CP are the product of term deliveries. Of all, 25% CP cases were wheelchair bound, 20% were using rollators, 10% were using CP chair and crutches, and 35% cases were not using any mobility aids. The distribution of CP according to various age groups revealed single child (5%) in the age group of 6 to 7 years, two children (10%) in 8 years age group, three children (15%) in 9 years age group, six children (30%) in 10 years age group, three children (15%) in 11 years age group, and four children (20%) in 12 years age group. Spastic diplegic CP was most common (40%), followed by spastic quadriplegic (25%), hemiplegic (15%), and triplegic and paraplegic with the percentage of 5% each as shown in

► Fig. 2. No cases of ataxic, athetoid, and mixed kinds were noticed as the sample was small in inclusive settings of a particular age group.

Among all 20 children, fine motor abilities were assessed with MACS showing 15% children with grade I, 45% with grade II, 15% with grade III, 20% with grade IV, and 5% with grade V of MACS level as in ► Fig. 3.

In ► Fig. 4, 35% of children were noticed with grade II, 30% with grade IV, 20% with grade I, no child with grade III, and 15% with grade IV of GMFCS-E&R.

► Table 1 reveals the score of various associated domains of CP as the means/standard deviation of VAS (6.5 ± 0.82), PBS (21.4 ± 17.1), GMFCS-E&R (2.8 ± 1.46), MACS (2.5 ± 1.1), GMFM-88 (56.40 ± 22.94), GAS (35.9 ± 0.40), and total Wee-FIM score (75.7 ± 3.4).

► Table 2 reveals the percentages of the sub-parameters such as body structures (s), body functions (b), activities and participation (d) included in the core set used in this study. The ICF encompasses qualifiers to enumerate the level of functioning or ferocity of problems in various ICF categories designated as: 0 = no problem; 1 = mild problem; 2 = moderate problem; 3 = severe problem; 4 = complete problem, 8 = not specified, and 9 = not applicable.

► Fig. 5 shows the overall scale mean and Cronbach’s α score of all subscales of the quality of life of CP children. For internal consistency and reliability, Cronbach’s α score of >0.7 is regarded as good. A value of >0.7 for Cronbach’s α is considered good for internal consistency and reliability. In all domains of cerebral palsy: quality of life (CPQoL), Cronbach’s α revealed a good correlation between the values >0.7 indicating good quality in subscales of CP.
Fig. 2 Categorical percentage of CP children. CP, cerebral palsy.

Fig. 3 CP children with MACS grades. CP, cerebral palsy; MACS, Manual Ability Classification System.

Fig. 4 CP children with GMFCS-E&R grades. CP, cerebral palsy; GMFCS-E&R, Gross Motor Function Classification System—Expanded & Revised.
Discussion

This descriptive study in inclusive education settings of CP children between 6 and 12 years in Mandi district of HP provides the data of the current profile of physical impairments of CP children. Most of the cases, i.e., 80% cases of CP were from lower economic class with an annual income of 1 lac/annum indicating that poor socioeconomic status of parents is greatly associated with CP, as a study done by Forthun et al (2018) supports that a lower incidence of CP is associated with a higher level of parental educational achievement. Most of CP cases (55%) were term delivery conducted by doctors associated with different complications. A study having done by Moster et al (2010) revealed that the risk of CP among term infants may be relatively low but most children with CP are the product of term deliveries. In this study, male to female percentage was 55% male and 45% female CP children which is in accordance with previous studies revealing that CP is the common problem in boys than girls. The spastic type of CP was the most common in all topographical categories which is in accordance with other studies. However, no cases of monoplegic, ataxic, and athetoid or mixed CP were seen in medical certificates of children. This disparity can be observed due to a limited sample found in school settings in district Mandi. This shows the geographical condition of HP, poor profile of parents in terms of economic status, and rural population unaware of medical and educational facilities available in district Mandi, HP, despite being a large district

Table 1 Mean and SD of VAS, PBS, GMFM-88, GAS, GMFCS-E&R, MACS, and WeeFIM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Domains of physical impairments (CP)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.18496</td>
<td>0.82717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>3.83365</td>
<td>17.14459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GMFCS-E&amp;R</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.32667</td>
<td>1.46089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MACS</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.25624</td>
<td>1.14593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>GMFM-88</td>
<td>56.404</td>
<td>5.13153</td>
<td>22.94888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>35.99</td>
<td>0.09058</td>
<td>0.4051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>WeeFIM sphincter control</td>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>1.53978</td>
<td>6.8861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>WeeFIM transfers</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>6.93181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>WeeFIM locomotion</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.96818</td>
<td>4.32982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>WeeFIM communication</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>0.62355</td>
<td>2.7886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>WeeFIM self-care</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>2.06665</td>
<td>9.24235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>WeeFIM social cognition</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>0.98375</td>
<td>4.39946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>WeeFIM total score</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>7.03604</td>
<td>31.46611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CP, cerebral palsy; GAS, Goal Attainment Scale; GMFCS-E&R, Gross Motor Function Classification System—Expanded & Revised; GMFM, Gross Motor Function Measurement-88; MACS, Manual Ability Classification System; PBS, Pediatric Balance Scale; SD, standard deviation; VAS, Visual Analog Scale.

Table 2 Details of ICF codes and sub-parameters with the percentage of the sample population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures and function</th>
<th>ICF category sub-parameters</th>
<th>ICF codes</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structure brain</td>
<td>s 110</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body functions</td>
<td>Sensation of pain</td>
<td>b 280</td>
<td>14(70%)</td>
<td>3(15%)</td>
<td>3(15%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muscle tone function</td>
<td>b 735</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15(75%)</td>
<td>3(15%)</td>
<td>2(10%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control of voluntary</td>
<td>b760</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7(35%)</td>
<td>11(55%)</td>
<td>2(10%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>movement functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities</td>
<td>Maintaining a body position</td>
<td>d 415</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5(25%)</td>
<td>7(35%)</td>
<td>8(40%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>d 450</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5(25%)</td>
<td>8(40%)</td>
<td>7(35%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toileting</td>
<td>d 530</td>
<td>3(15%)</td>
<td>2(10%)</td>
<td>11(55%)</td>
<td>4(20%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eating</td>
<td>d 550</td>
<td>4(20%)</td>
<td>11(55%)</td>
<td>4(20%)</td>
<td>1(5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fine hand use</td>
<td>d 440</td>
<td>2(10%)</td>
<td>10(50%)</td>
<td>7(35%)</td>
<td>1(5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>School education and</td>
<td>d 820</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11(55%)</td>
<td>8(40%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ICF, International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health.
of the state.\textsuperscript{24} The quality of life of CP children is very much associated with gross and fine motor skills. The present study revealed all domains of CPQoL by Cronbach’s α score depicting a good correlation between subscales of CP. A similar study conducted by Das et al (2017) showed that motor function does not affect the quality of life of CP children but it varies with age and education supporting the present study results in CPQoL.\textsuperscript{25}

A significant dilemma that must be dealt with is regarding the development of a school environment which promotes participation in inclusive education settings while providing individualized support to students with participation restriction.\textsuperscript{26} The present study supports the observation of Wood and Rosenbaum in regard to GMFCS which depicts that meaningful distinction in gross motor development helps the therapists in therapeutic planning at the school level. The application of this system in the present cross-sectional study would serve in forming CP clinical registry and effective intervention plan in inclusive education settings.

This clinical profile of CP children in school settings was evaluated as the government of India is pushing every disabled child to be enrolled in school and get education under Right to Education act.\textsuperscript{29} Because the CP children have the ability to learn, the state education system must provide them with opportunities and the necessary support. The pioneering legislation known as IDEA 1975 (Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) in the United States is a model step in this issue.\textsuperscript{30}

**Conclusion**

The study highlights the physical profile of CP children in school settings. These physical impairments in this particular age really hamper the academics, and this could be better age for intervention. Therapeutic services in school settings could be a boom to such children in limiting their impairments and disabilities and gaining functional independence.
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