Unexpected Prolonged Survival in a Case of Cerebellar GBM: An Interesting Case with Literature Review
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Abstract  Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) of cerebellar hemisphere is a rare entity and constitutes less than 1% of all the GBMs. The rarity of occurrence leads to significant challenge in differentiating morphologically from other subtypes of glioma in the posterior fossa. Previous studies have suggested that cerebellar GBM occurs in the younger age group as compared with the supratentorial counterpart. Here, we report a case of cerebellar GBM in a young adult and discuss the pathogenesis including radiological and pathological aspects involved in the treatment of cerebellar GBM.

Introduction  Cerebellar glioblastoma is a rare tumor accounting for less than 1% of all cases of GBM.1 Due to its rarity in this unusual location, the pathogenesis and prognosis are not well understood. Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most common primary brain tumor in adults comprising ~50% of all primary intracranial tumors. They generally occur in the fifth and sixth decades of life.2–5

We report here a case of 36-year-old man who was diagnosed to have a recurrent left cerebellar GBM after 5 years of gross total excision and was followed by adjuvant radiotherapy. He was again subjected to surgery and gross total resection was achieved following which he remains symptom free.

Case Report  A 36-year-old adult man operated somewhere 5 years ago for posterior fossa GBM and received radiotherapy as well, presented to the casualty with history of gradual-onset mild-to-moderate intermittent throbbing headache associated with multiple episodes of projectile vomiting for 1 month, and instability and difficulty in walking for 15 days with Glasgow coma score of 15 (►Fig. 1). A neurologic examination showed cerebellar signs, including cerebellar ataxia, dysmetria, and dysdiadochokinesia. The fundoscopy revealed bilateral papilledema. A computed tomogram and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain revealed the recurrence of posterior fossa solid cystic tumor with a diameter of 50 mm × 35 mm × 30 mm (►Fig. 2). Gross total excision of the tumor and duraplasty with G patch was done using the left retromastoid suboccipital approach. The tumor was reddish brown, firm, nonsuckable, moderately vascular, and was adherent to the tentorium cerebelli with cystic component having straw colored fluid. He developed wound infection and there was frank pus discharge, culture of which revealed Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) sensitive to colistin, and managed conservatively. Gradually,
the amount of pus discharge reduced but cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak was persistent. Also, serial scan revealed hydrocephalus. He was again operated and right parietal Medium pressure ventriculoperitoneal shunt (MPVP) shunt was done, wound was explored, and infected G patch was removed, and secondary suturing was done. Gradually, the patient recovered over a long period of time and there was delayed healing of the wound, might be due to radiation changes and scarring.

Histopathology was suggestive of marked pleomorphism with numerous tumor giant cells with extensive areas of coagulation necrosis with endothelial proliferation. Marked pleomorphism with a high mitotic index was noticed. Strong immunopositivity for Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and p53 and negative for Isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) mutant-specific antibody. Alpha thalassemia mental retardation X linked syndrome (ATRX) was retained in the tumor cells with impression of glioblastoma grade 4 IDH and ATRX wild-type.

Discussion

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most common primary intracranial tumor in adults. Although it presents at all ages, a peak incidence is seen around the sixth decade and a second peak in the first decade.\(^6,7\) The male to female ratio is 2:1.\(^6\) The cerebellum acts as the primary site for GBM and accounts for only 0.24% to 1.00% of all the intracranial occurrences.\(^8\) Symptoms associated with cerebellar glioblastoma are very nonspecific such as headache, gait disturbance, vertigo, nausea, vomiting, and ataxia. And a few others such as, dizziness, neck pain, and mental confusion.

Fig. 1 Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan shows homogenous contrast-enhancing space-occupying lesion in the left cerebellar hemisphere with effacement of fourth ventricle causing obstructive hydrocephalus.
can be present.\textsuperscript{8,9} Our patient did have hydrocephalus as also seen in four out of nine patients in the study by Kuroiwa et al.\textsuperscript{8} The pathogenesis of cerebellar glioblastoma is still partially understood even after years of research confirming its rarity. Two subsets of cerebellar GBM have been reported by Grahovac et al.\textsuperscript{10} They can occur de novo as in the primary type or from previous low-grade astrocytoma as in the secondary type.\textsuperscript{11} Primary glioblastomas affect mostly elderly patients and generally are characterized by the loss of heterozygosity 10 q (LOH 10q) (70%), epidermal growth factor receptor amplification (36%), and TP53 mutation at a frequency of lower than 30%,\textsuperscript{11} whereas secondary glioblastomas are seen in younger patients and often contain TP53 mutation (65%).

The diagnosis of cerebellar GBM is always uncertain preoperatively. Computed tomography (CT) and MRI are helpful in asserting toward the diagnosis. Cerebellar metastases, abscess, and cerebellar infarct is similar with GBM on imaging; therefore, differential diagnosis from these diseases is difficult.\textsuperscript{5,8,12} Metastatic tumor is the most common differential diagnosis in adults. Solid tumors with contrast enhancement or those with central hypodensity suggesting necrosis can be mistaken for cerebellar GBM. Occhiogrosso et al found little peritumoral edema in patients with cerebellar GBM, and Zito et al stated that CT was helpful in differentiating GBM from metastasis of the cerebellum via peritumoral edema or mass effect.\textsuperscript{6,13} For

**Fig. 2** MRI scan with FLAIR sequence suggests recurrent homogenous contrast-enhancing SOL having a solid and cystic component with effacement of the fourth ventricle causing obstructive hydrocephalus and infiltrating the tentorium cerebelli.
good tissue resolution and omitting major bone artifacts in differentiating the lesions, MRI is a very precise diagnostic tool. Differences in vascularity and metabolite levels in the periphery of a tumor have been helpful in differentiation of metastases and GBMs. Metastasis may demonstrate cystic components or show hypointensity on T2-weighted images, suggesting intratumoral hemorrhage, calcification, or mucinous components as well as that is rare with GBM.

On CT, cerebellar GBM shows normal-appearing T2-weighted images were as those with abscess are well-defined, thin-walled enhancing rim on contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images. Data of cerebellar GBM worldwide are very scarce and so is true for India.\(^9,15\) –\(^21\) Previously, one case report of cerebellar GBM with cerebellopontine angle extension reported by Jindal et al and a case series of five patients from different centers reported by Gopalakrishnan et al in 2011 from India.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>CT/RT</th>
<th>Median overall survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Babu et al(^{15}) (1975–2009)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>Resection(203)</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Biopsy (37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demir et al(^{9}) (2005)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>GTR 1 STR 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber et al(^{16}) (2006)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>GTR (9) STR (29)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9.9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Biopsy (5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jindal et al(^{17}) (2006)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cerebellum + CP angle</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hur et al(^{18}) (2008)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No recurrence up to 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gopalakrishnan et al(^{19}) (2011)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong et al(^{20}) (2018)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36 ± 26</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>GTR 4 STR 4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.5 months (7 patient) Still alive after 74 months (1 patient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kluska(^{21}) (2020)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case (2021)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>72 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conclusion

Cerebellar GBM is rare and should be considered in the differential diagnosis of a cerebellar mass lesion. MRI and contrast enhancement are two most important tools for its characterization from its differentials. Although the median survival described in the literature is ~7 months, the overall survival in our case is 6 years after recurrence.
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