Bilateral CMV Retinitis in a Patient with Relapsed Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma on Oral Metronomic Chemotherapy: Case Report and Review of Literature
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Abstract

Cytomegalovirus (CMV) retinitis is one of the common complications in profoundly immunosuppressed patients such as those with acquired immune deficiency syndrome. It has been rarely reported in patients with lymphoma on aggressive chemotherapy. We encountered a patient with bilateral CMV retinitis who developed this vision-threatening complication while on low-dose palliative metronomic chemotherapy with oral drugs (cyclophosphamide, procarbazine, etoposide, and prednisolone). Though the infection resolved with treatment, there was residual vision loss. This case is presented to sensitize clinicians to the possibility of unusual infections in patients on long-term oral chemotherapies.
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Introduction

Oral metronomic chemotherapy (OMC) is often used in patients with relapsed lymphomas. The toxicities of OMC are generally lower than those of intravenous chemotherapy, making it a preferable option in patients with advanced disease or poor general condition. However, prolonged use of OMCT can lead to immunosuppression and result in unusual infections.1 Here we describe a rare case of bilateral cytomegalovirus (CMV) retinitis in a patient with relapsed Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma on OMCT.

Case Report

In 2014, a 52-year-old gentleman with no comorbidities with grade 1, stage IV follicular lymphoma achieved complete response after six cycles of rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisolone (R-CHOP) chemotherapy. He relapsed in 2017, and lymph node biopsy was suggestive of diffuse large B cell lymphoma. He was treated with rituximab, cyclophosphamide, epirubicin, vincristine, prednisolone (R-CEOP) as he was unwilling for high dose chemotherapy or stem cell transplantation. After five cycles
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of R-CEOP, he developed a persistent headache. The MRI brain was within normal limits, but the cerebrospinal fluid analysis showed lymphomatous involvement. He was unwilling for any intensive treatment and was started on OMCT with the PEP-C regimen comprising of prednisolone 20 mg once daily for 14 days, etoposide 50 mg once daily for 28 days, procarbazine 50 mg once daily for 28 days, cyclophosphamide 50 mg once daily for 28 days, and triple intrathecal therapy comprising of inj. methotrexate 12 mg, inj. cytarabine 30 mg, and inj. hydrocortisone 15 mg. His symptoms improved significantly after two to three cycles of OMCT. CSF cytology became negative for malignant cells after four cycles of OMCT, and the contrast-enhanced CT scan of the chest, abdomen, pelvis showed no evidence of systemic disease.

In 2019, after 13 courses of PEP-C regimen, he presented with acute onset, painless diminution of vision in both the eyes—right eye (RE) more than the left eye (LE). Visual acuity was hand movements in RE and 6/18 in LE. Fundus examination in both eyes revealed scattered whitish lesions on the retina suggestive of retinitis, along with superficial retinal hemorrhages and perivascular exudates involving the major retinal vessels. The lesions involved the macular center in the RE while the same was spared in the LE (Fig. 1). There was no associated vitritis. The differential diagnoses considered were intraocular lymphoma and CMV retinitis. The vitreal aspirate was positive for CMV DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) PCR (polymerase chain reaction) and negative for malignant cells on cytology. The peripheral blood CMV DNA PCR was positive; however, there were no symptoms of disseminated CMV infection. He had no chest or gastrointestinal symptoms; chest X-ray and LFTs were normal. Thus, there was no evidence of systemic CMV infection. HIV serology was negative. He was managed with intravitreal ganciclovir (2 mg/0.1 mL, weekly once, six doses for each eye) and intravenous ganciclovir (10 mg/kg in two divided doses daily for 1 month). This was followed by oral valganciclovir 900 mg twice daily for 21 days and once daily for 2 months. Gradually, the retinal lesions resolved and manifested healing. CMV DNA PCR of the blood or vitreal aspirate was not repeated, and the disappearance of the retinal vascular sheathing was taken as a marker of clinical improvement. The visual acuity in the RE improved to counting fingers while the LE vision improved to 6/9. OMCT was discontinued as the relapsed lymphoma had shown complete clinical and radiologic response. The patient is currently on follow-up and is alive and well 2 years after the event.

Fig. 1 Funduscopic images of both eyes at presentation. Fundus images showing (a) right eye: white retinitis lesions with retinal hemorrhages and perivascular sheathing with involvement of the optic disk and the macula; (b) left eye: white retinitis lesions with retinal hemorrhages and perivascular sheathing with involvement of infero-temporal retinal quadrant and sparing of the center of the macula; (c) image of the right eye after 2 months showing improvement in retinal hemorrhages and perivascular sheathing.
**Discussion**

Our patient had relapsed lymphoma and was on chronic low dose chemotherapy with potential for immune suppression. There were two primary differential diagnoses. One was lymphomatous involvement of the eye, considering the earlier history of meningeal involvement. The second was infectious retinitis, e.g., CMV, toxoplasmosis, candida, pneumocystis, varicella-zoster, and herpes simplex infections. Intraocular lymphoma usually presents as chronic posterior uveitis involving the vitreous (increased haze and cellularity). Additionally, retinitis (perivascular yellowish-white retinal infiltrates and intraretinal hemorrhages) and retinal vasculitis (sheathing of blood vessels) may ensue. CMV retinitis can closely mimic the retinal presentation of intraocular lymphoma. CMV retinitis is seen in 5 to 20% of patients undergoing solid organ transplantation and in 30% of those undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. It presents as focal, perivascular retinal whitening with or without intraretinal hemorrhages and progresses in centrifugal or “brushfire” pattern. It can progress to full-thickness retinal necrosis and retinal detachment. In this patient, the diagnosis was established by detecting CMV DNA PCR of the vitreal aspirate.

CMV serology cannot be used as the sole diagnostic investigation as it can be positive in 80 to 90% of the general population in India. The gold standard of diagnosis is the isolation of the virus in culture—however, CMV culture is challenging. It takes a long time and is falsely negative in 50% of cases. On the contrary, DNA amplification techniques (PCR) from blood or vitreal aspirate provide a timely diagnosis with high sensitivity and specificity. CMV retinitis is vision-threatening, and treatment has to be instituted as soon as the diagnosis is established. Intravitreal treatment is...
vital in vision-threatening disease (central lesions <1,500 µm from the fovea or closer to the optic nerve head). However, systemic anti-CMV treatment cannot be avoided. One-third of the patients treated with intravitreal therapy alone develop extraocular CMV disease and contralateral retinitis.13 Hence, a combination of intravitreal plus systemic therapy is preferred as was used in our patient. When the disease is not immediately vision-threatening, systemic therapy can be used (IV ganciclovir, IV foscarnet, IV cidofovir, and oral valganciclovir) without intravitreal treatment. Patients are to be monitored with indirect ophthalmoscopy weekly/once in 2 weeks while on induction therapy and monthly till the completion of anti-CMV treatment.14

Several cases of CMV retinitis in patients with lymphoma have been described (►Table 1). Most cases developed in patients on aggressive chemotherapy regimens, which are more likely to produce myelosuppression and immune suppression. Unfortunately, limited data are available regarding risk of CMV relapse while salvaging further relapses. One of the options is to continue chemotherapy with close monitoring of CMV DNA, as is done in the context of an allogeneic transplant. The other option is to avoid immunosuppressive regimens which are heavy on steroids. Our report is the first one describing CMV retinitis during OMTCT. The strength of our study is that it highlights that CMV infection is a rare but serious complication of a seemingly less intensive treatment like oral metronomic chemotherapy. The weakness is that we do not have information on the immune status of the patient, like the CD4 counts or immune globulin levels.

Conclusion

Despite low doses, long-term use of cyclophosphamide and prednisolone possibly induces a chronic immunodeficiency state making the patients susceptible to rare infections. This case is presented to alert clinicians about unusual but severe infections in patients on OMCT.
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