Frequency and Antibiotic Susceptibility of Pathogens from Cases of Urinary Tract Infection: A Prospective Observational Study
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Abstract

Objectives Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most common diagnoses in patients visiting urology clinics. Rampant use of empiric and inadequate doses of antibiotics leads to an increase in resistance and poses a huge financial burden. We evaluated UTI in relation to antibiotics used, frequency, susceptibility, and resistance pattern of different pathogens at a tertiary care center and made some important observations.

Methods Prospectively 729 patients diagnosed with UTI attending a urology outpatient department from July 2018 to January 2020 were managed accordingly. Antibiotics were started on the basis of urine culture and sensitivity (c/s) or empirically and changed according to subsequent urine c/s. Repeat urine c/s was performed after 5 to 7 days of starting therapy and 10 days after completion of therapy.

Results Out of 729 subjects, 417 (57.2%) were males and 312 (42.8%) were females. The most common symptom at diagnosis was dysuria 512 (70.2%), whereas 221 (30.3%) patients presented with fever. Escherichia coli was the most common organism isolated, 453 (62.1%). Among 729 patients, 239 took antibiotics without c/s report, whereas in 490 patients antibiotics were prescribed after the report. A total of 431 (59.1%) patients required one antibiotic session for clearance of pathogen, whereas 135 (18.5%) required two sessions, and three sessions were required in 66 (9%) cases. Among 239 patients whose culture came out to be positive, 145 (60.6%) were found to be resistant to the previously
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Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is among the common infections in the community and health care settings. Their importance is related not only to how common they are but also to the significant morbidity they pose and the health care costs required in managing them. The goal is to eradicate the infection by selecting the appropriate antibiotics that would target specific bacterial susceptibility. There is increasing apprehension of widespread antimicrobial resistance owing to the prevalence of UTI and amount of antibiotics used in managing and preventing it.\textsuperscript{1,2}

During these past years, there has been an upheaval of drug resistance among uropathogens, which has been shown to vary geographically.\textsuperscript{1,2} Several studies from various parts of the world have reported an increase in drug resistance trends among uropathogens.\textsuperscript{3,4} Such a trend can be evaluated using antibiograms from microbiology laboratory of hospitals in various localities. These antibiograms quantify drug resistance during a particular year and provide information regarding local antibiotic resistance among bacteria for a specific locale.\textsuperscript{5,6}

The increasing frequency and spectrum of antimicrobial-resistant UTIs in the hospitals and communities have been attributed to combinations of microbial characteristics, bacterial selection pressure caused by antimicrobial use, and societal and technologic changes that enhance the transmission of drug resistance.\textsuperscript{7}

Antibiotic resistance has become a global problem, causing increased morbidity, mortality, and expenditure.\textsuperscript{3,8} For various classes of antibiotics, a relation between consumption and resistance has been documented in several studies.\textsuperscript{9,10} It is imperative, therefore, that clinicians should be aware of changes in bacterial susceptibility and use current information while choosing antimicrobial agents.

The purpose of this study was to find out the frequency of the urinary pathogens isolated from the urine samples of patients with UTI and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern at a tertiary care hospital in Western India.

Methods

This prospective observational study complemented by retrospective data review that was conducted at a tertiary care center in India from July 2018 to January 2020. The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committee (Memo no. AIIMS/JEC/2018/051). The study procedure was in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. During this period all patients presenting to our outpatient department with symptoms suggestive of UTI were evaluated. Relevant history, examination, antibiotics given, urine culture sensitivity (c/s) reports, pathogens isolated, and antibiotic susceptibility of different pathogens to different antibiotics were compiled in a well-prepared chart. We included those patients who presented with symptoms suggestive of UTI like dysuria, urinary urgency, frequency, suprapubic discomfort with or without flank pain, fever, hematuria, etc. and they were evaluated and later diagnosed and treated for UTI based on c/s report.

Antibiotic that was either prescribed from outside or at our center was taken into account. At our center, those who had fever with chills, flank pain, etc. along with classical symptoms of UTI were empirically started on antibiotics at the first visit, after collection of urine samples for both microscopic analysis and culture sensitivity, while in other patients antibiotics were withheld until collection of the reports. We excluded patients with untreated urolithiasis, neurogenic bladder, chronic kidney disease, who received antibiotics within 6 months of the study, and who had foreign bodies in the urinary tract (ureteric stent, nephrostomy tube, etc.). Urine samples from patients were collected by a standard midstream clean catch method and in catheterized patients by inserting a needle into tubing by aseptic methods. Samples collected were examined microscopically and processed for culture and sensitivity. All the urine samples were processed using standard loop picking, 0.001 mL of urine to culture on UTI Hi chrome media. Significant colonies were processed by putting biochemicals for identification and antimicrobial susceptibility tests by the disc diffusion method.

Repeat culture was performed in patients showing contaminated or mixed growth in initial urine analysis. Standard criteria were used for interpretation of urine culture results as being significant and insignificant. Significant bacteriuria was considered when growth of more than or equal to 105 colony-forming units/mL was obtained.\textsuperscript{11} The organism was identified by routine methods from the samples showing significant bacteriuria.\textsuperscript{12} According to the hospital policy, susceptibility of antibiotics was tested by the disc diffusion method for the first- and second-line antibiotics for which institution’s own reference panel has been made using the CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) M100-S30 document and current trends in local population. Second-line antibiotic susceptibility tests were used only if less than two susceptible antibiotics are therein first line. In female patients with uncomplicated UTI, antibiotics were prescribed for 3 to 5 days; in male patients and in female patients with structural or functional abnormalities of the

given antibiotic and the common pathogens isolated were \textit{E. coli} (61 [42%]), \textit{Pseudomonas} (28 [19.3%]), \textit{Enterococcus} (22 [15.1%]), \textit{Klebsiella} (14 [9.6%]), and others.

Conclusion Unchecked, rampant, and inadequate use of antibiotics leads to complicated UTI with the increasing share of \textit{Pseudomonas}, \textit{Klebsiella}, or other dangerous microbes, which are difficult to treat as well as pose threat in the future.
Urinary Tract Infection: Trends and Challenges  Choudhary et al.

The antibiotics tested were: for lactose fermenters (most common)—first line: amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, cotrimoxazole, ceftriaxone, fosfomycin, gentamicin, nitrofurantoin, tobramycin; second line: amikacin, colistin, ertapenem, meropenem, piperacillin-tazobactam, linezolid, and tigecycline with slight variations for other suspected strains.

Data were entered into Microsoft Excel and analyzed in reference to type of antibiotics given and sessions of antibiotics given for treatment of UTI. For each organism isolated by culture, mean susceptibility was calculated for antibiotics tested.

**Results**

In our study, total 945 patients were enrolled, out of them 216 were excluded as per exclusion criteria and 729 were included who presented with UTI symptoms and also had a positive urine culture. Out of these, 417 (57.2%) patients were male and 312 (42.8%) patients were female. For the ease of interpretation, we divided number of patients in different age categories. Among them, 37.1% (272) patients were older than 60 years of age. The average age of our patients was 51 ± 19.2 years. **Table 1** shows demographic data of the patients.

Most patients presented with more than one symptom in the form of dysuria, frequency, urgency, fever, suprapubic discomfort, etc. (**Table 2**). Dysuria was the most common symptom presenting in 512 (70.23%) patients whereas 221 (30.31%) patients presented with fever along with other symptoms of UTI.

Among 729 patients, 160 patients were diagnosed with UTI after recovery from some surgical intervention (other than entering into the urinary system) in last 3 weeks. A total of 218 (29.9%) patients needed some form of intervention mostly urinary diversion in the form of per urethral catheterization/suprapubic catheterization/percutaneous nephrostomy/double-J stent placement. In total, 431 patients required only one antibiotic session for clearance of pathogen, 135 (18.5%) required 2 sessions, and 3 sessions were required in 66 (9%) cases. In 25 (3.4%) patients even after five to six sessions of antibiotics, sterile urine was not reported. None of the patients died directly or indirectly due to UTI.

Out of total 729 patients with UTI, the most common organism isolated was *Escherichia coli* in 453 patients (62.1%), followed by *Enterococcus* (95/13%), *Pseudomonas* (78/10.7%), and others. Among 490 patients who were started on antibiotics according to their urine c/s report, repeat urine-c/s revealed a different organism in 95 (19.4%) patients and in them antibiotic was changed accordingly after completing full course of the initial antibiotic prescribed. Among these 239 patients who were previously treated empirically, urine c/s of 145 (62%) reported resistance to already started antibiotic and common pathogens isolated in them were *E. coli* (61/42%), followed by *Pseudomonas* (28/19.3%), *Enterococcus* (22/15.1%), *Klebsiella* (14/9.6%), and others. Most common empirically started antibiotics were quinolones (105/43.4%) and cephalosporins (76/31.8%), and among those started after obtaining c/s report were quinolones (219/44.7%), followed by aminoglycosides (61/12.4%), cephalosporins (58/11.8%), and others (**Table 3**).

We also calculated the antibiotic susceptibility testing for commonly known uropathogens responsible for causing UTI. The data are provided in **Table 4**. Among antibiotics, the highest mean susceptibility was found for piperacillin-tazobactam (48.5%), followed by nitrofurantoin (45.7%), ciprofloxacin (30%), amikacin (28.14%), and others in a decreasing order. *Escherichia coli* was most susceptible to piperacillin-tazobactam, followed by nitrofurantoin, levofloxacin, and others in a decreasing order. *Pseudomonas* was most

---

**Table 1** Distribution of data of patients diagnosed with urinary tract infection (N = 729).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–40</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–60</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Distribution of the symptoms in cases with UTI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical symptoms</th>
<th>Yes, n (%)</th>
<th>No, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>221 (30.3%)</td>
<td>508 (69.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flank pain</td>
<td>124 (17.0%)</td>
<td>605 (83.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysuria</td>
<td>512 (70.2%)</td>
<td>217 (29.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>451 (61.9%)</td>
<td>278 (38.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency</td>
<td>145 (19.9%)</td>
<td>584 (80.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suprapubic pain</td>
<td>215 (29.5%)</td>
<td>514 (70.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>136 (18.6%)</td>
<td>593 (81.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Intervention required | 218 (29.9%) | 511 (70.1%) |

Abbreviations: LUTS, lower urinary tract obstruction; UTI, urinary tract infection.
susceptible to piperacillin-tazobactam, followed by ciprofloxacin. *Proteus* was most susceptible to ciprofloxacin, followed by piperacillin-tazobactam (Table 4).

### Discussion

UTIs pose a major issue in patient care. UTI accounts for 3.5 to 9% of health-care-associated infections and their overall percentage ranges from 8.64 to 57.8% according to prevalence surveys.\(^6,15\) Type of pathogens isolated, antibiotics prescribed, and their resistance pattern differ regionally.

In the present study, the *E. coli* (62.1%), *Enterococcus faecalis* (11.8%), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (10.5%) were the most common pathogens isolated. In various well-designed studies, *E. coli* was the most common pathogen isolated followed by other pathogens similar to our study.\(^6,17\) *Escherichia coli*, which was the principal pathogen isolated, showed high susceptibility to piperacillin-tazobactam, nitrofurantoin, levofloxacin, and others. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which is a common cause of hospital-acquired UTI, was less sensitive to the common antibiotics but highly sensitive to piperacillin-tazobactam, ciprofloxacin, ceftazidime, and amoxicillin. *Pseudomonas* was susceptible to the second-line drugs and most of these are associated with high resistance to the first-line antibiotics used, namely, amoxicillin, amikacin, and others. This may be due to widespread clinical use of common antibiotics in the hospital and cross-resistance existing among various classes of antibiotics.

In our study, maximum susceptibility was shown to piperacillin-tazobactam followed by nitrofurantoin, cotrimoxazole, and others. The results of the present study showed that the mean susceptibility of the uropathogens was low for commonly used drugs like levofloxacin, ceftriaxone, etc.

In contrast to literatures, our study exhibits a higher number of males mostly above 60 years presenting with UTI than females in all age groups.\(^18,19\) This discrepancy may be due to the fact that males have more complicated and difficult-to-treat UTIs than females and being a tertiary care center most patients referred are males with complicated UTI.

In our study, 32.8% patients were administered antibiotics without c/s before their visit to our center. We found a rise in appearance of *Pseudomonas* from 10.2 to 19.8% in urine c/s of patients who received inappropriate antibiotics without culture sensitivity reports. Futile use of antimicrobial agents without proper protocol doses and course leads to escalation of resistance to commonly used antibiotics. Besides, it also promotes unmasking of more resistant and difficult-to-treat pathogens like *Pseudomonas* and *Klebsiella* as can be seen in our study results.

### Table 3 Pattern of antibiotics given to patients before urine culture and according to culture sensitivity report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group of antibiotics</th>
<th>Antibiotic given without urine culture sensitivity, n (%)</th>
<th>According to urine culture sensitivity, n (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cephalosporins</td>
<td>76 (31.8)</td>
<td>58 (11.8)</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrolide (azithromycin)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22 (0.2)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinolones</td>
<td>105 (43.4)</td>
<td>219 (44.7)</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonamides</td>
<td>10 (4.2)</td>
<td>48 (9.7)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycopeptides</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (0.04)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycosides</td>
<td>9 (4.2)</td>
<td>61 (12.4)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenems</td>
<td>12 (5.0)</td>
<td>13 (6.0)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillins</td>
<td>8 (2.7)</td>
<td>17 (3.4)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fosfomycin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13 (2.6)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrofurantoin</td>
<td>19 (7.9)</td>
<td>37 (7.5)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Amk, amikacin; Amx, amoxicillin; Cfx, ceftriaxone; Cfz, ceftazidime; Cmx, cotrimoxazole; Cps, ceftoperazone sulbactam; Cpx, ciprofloxacin; Fos, fosfomycin; Imp, imipenem; Lfx, levofloxacin; Lzd, linezolid; Mpn, meropenem; Nft, nitrofurantoin; Ppc, piperacillin; Vcm, vancomycin; Col, colistin.
Antibiotic resistance is inevitably also affected by national wealth. There are proper guidelines and clinical pathways developed by high-income countries to guide in optimal use of antibiotics. They often have extensive surveillance systems to monitor antibiotic use and resistance.20–22

In contrast, antibiotic surveillance in low-income countries is challenging but urgent. It may generate valuable information for public health interventions. Since most countries in Asia are of developing cadre, they do not have any surveillance system to monitor unrestrained use of antibiotics leading to higher rates of resistance in Asia.23,24 Nevertheless, many Asian countries have now instituted antibiotic stewardship programs to regulate antibiotic usage. Among the risk factors for antibiotic-resistant pathogens, antibiotic usage is identified to be most important reason for its acquisition. Stewardship program regulating and monitoring use has decreased the levels of E. coli resistance to the applicable antibiotics.25 However, choosing the appropriate antimicrobial agents is often difficult as many antibiotics are available, and the length of therapy and lowest effective dose are not well defined.

Our study has its own limitations, for example, small sample size, single-institution study, and improper documentation of urine c/s reports and antibiotics prescribed in few patients. Hence, it should be interpreted with circumspection.

Conclusion

An increasing proportion of Pseudomonas and Klebsiella were detected on repeat urine culture reports especially in empirically treated patients. These pathogens were also found resistant to commonly used antibiotics. The urge and pressure to treat patients as fast as possible compelling the treating physician to use high-order antibiotics as first line without accurate diagnosis and investigation of UTI patients have landed us in predicament. Critical measures are required to regulate antimicrobial use with the provision of local hospital antibiograms to prevent this surge of antibiotic resistance. Clinicians can then incorporate such protocols and manage UTI without any confusion.
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