Evidence-Based Guidelines for the Pharmacological Management of Acute Methamphetamine-Related Disorders and Toxicity
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Introduction
In several European countries, doctors and staff in hospitals, practices, and addiction treatment centers are faced with rapidly increasing numbers of subjects who suffer from acute complications of the use of methamphetamine (crystal). Although epidemiological data on methamphetamine use are still limited, the rate of (meth)amphetamine-induced mortality is currently increasing in Germany. Mortality is mostly due to cardiovascular complications or HIV infection.

Methamphetamine acts as a potent agonist at the trace amine-associated receptor 1 (TAAR1). Among other effects, this results in the internalization or the reverse function of plasma membrane

ABSTRACT
Consumption of methamphetamine (“crystal”) has spread dramatically over several European countries. The management of methamphetamine-induced acute disorders has become a growing challenge to the health system. Pharmacological treatment strategies for methamphetamine-induced intoxication syndromes, acute withdrawal symptoms, and methamphetamine-induced psychosis are particularly important. The development of interdisciplinarity and evidence- and consensus-based (S3) German Guidelines was based on a systematic literature and guideline search on therapeutic interventions in methamphetamine-related disorders (April, June 2015). Consideration was given to 9 guidelines and 103 publications. Recommendations on pharmacological treatment strategies were drawn up using the nominal group technique. Overall, only limited evidence is available. Benzodiazepines are first-line medication for methamphetamine-induced intoxication syndromes, particularly when they present with acute agitation and aggressive behavior. There is no evidence-based medication for the treatment of methamphetamine-related withdrawal symptoms and cravings. When treating methamphetamine-induced psychosis, second-generation antipsychotics should be favored, given their more favorable side-effect profile. The indication for continuation of antipsychotic medication must be reviewed regularly. In most cases, the antipsychotic should be tapered off within 6 months.
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and the vesicular monoamine transporters via protein kinase A/C phosphorylation [1]. According to in-vitro studies, methamphetamine is twice as potent at releasing noradrenaline (NA) compared to dopamine and 60-fold as potent at releasing NA compared to serotonin [2]. Due to its high lipophilicity, methamphetamine is absorbed thoroughly following inhalation and oral or intranasal administration and it moves through the blood-brain barrier faster than other stimulants. The half-life of methamphetamine is quite variable, ranging from 5 to 30 h. It is excreted by the kidneys, usually around 30–50 % as methamphetamine and 10–25 % as amphetamine [3].

This paper aims to present the available evidence and derive consensus-based expert recommendations on the pharmacological treatment strategies for methamphetamine-induced intoxication, acute methamphetamine withdrawal, and methamphetamine-induced psychosis.
Methods

We performed a systematic literature search on therapeutic interventions for methamphetamine-related disorders in June 2015 using the following databases: Cochrane Library, Medline via PubMed, PSYINDEX via DIMDI, and OVID database “PsycINFO.” A manual search was made in addition. The search strategy was very sensitive in order to include all treatment-relevant studies (▶ Table 1). We included all studies (irrespective of the type of study) and systematic reviews on therapeutic interventions in humans with methamphetamine-related disorders published since 2000. In addition, we searched systematically the Library of the Guidelines International Network (GIN) and the AWMF (Association of Scientific Medical Societies in Germany) database for relevant guidelines (▶ Table 2).

Papers were included if they reported a suitable topic (methamphetamine-related); the type of publication was a systematic review, randomized clinical trial (RCT), or case series; the language was German or English; and the publication period was 2000–2015. Suitable topics were harm reduction (number of papers included n = 4), pharmacotherapy (n = 58), psychotherapy (n = 26), pharmacological and psychotherapy (n = 3), and other therapies (e.g., acupuncture, sport) (n = 12).

Papers were excluded if they reported no suitable topic or study type. Excluded were preclinical studies, epidemiological studies (number of papers excluded n = 107), number of patients < 10 (n = 9), predictive factors (n = 39), studies on dental problems (n = 9), publication withdrawn (n = 2) or not available (n = 1), editorials, abstracts, etc. (n = 114), and non-German or English language (n = 3).

All included studies were extracted to evidence tables (piloted forms). We used the tool of the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine 2011 (OCEBM) in order to assess methodological quality and grade the evidence [4]. Furthermore, we used the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool to record and present the methodological limitations of RCTs. We did not contact study authors for questions. Guidelines were assessed using the DELBI instrument. The AMSTAR score was utilized for systematic reviews. In case of uncertainty regarding the assessment, assignment of levels of evidence, or inclusion/exclusion, a second reviewer independently assessed the trial, reviews, or guidelines in question. Discrepancies were discussed and resolved by consensus between the 2 reviewers (▶ Fig. 1).

A systematic search for guidelines on methamphetamine or amphetamine-type stimulants was performed in April 2015 (▶ Table 3). The search strategy and terminology were geared to the different search functions of each database and were modified correspondingly. We included documents in German or English. There were no restrictions regarding patient groups (▶ Table 4).

Results and Discussion

General recommendations

In the case of methamphetamine intoxication, the following symptoms may occur to varying degrees:

- medical-somatic symptoms, such as sympathoadrenergic symptoms (high blood pressure, tachycardia, hyperthermia, diaphoresis, mydriasis, or agitation) and complications such as uncontrolled hypotension, cardiac arrhythmia, circulatory problems, seizures, respiratory depression, chest pain, strokes, brain hemorrhage, and disorders of consciousness.
- mental symptoms such as expansive-aggressive states,
amphetamine intoxication. However, a negative test cannot rule out life-threatening methampheti-

motoxication follows a syndrome-oriented approach. Monitoring the sympathoadrenergic syndrome including methamphetamine in-
take is crucial. A positive result from a qualitative urine test ("tox screening") increases the probability of methamphetamine intoxication. However, a negative test cannot rule out life-threatening methamphetamine intoxication.

During the acute intoxication, it may be difficult to take the me-
dical history from the person affected. Therefore, information from
a third party and consideration of the social environment of the pa-
tient are important for making a diagnosis. The possibility of meth-
amphetamine intoxication should be considered in every patient
presenting with diaphoresis, hyperthermia, hypertension, tachycardia, severe agitation, or psychosis. Initially, the amount and type of substance (or substances) involved are mostly unknown. Hence, it is difficult to predict the clinical course. The management of any sympa-thoadrenergic syndrome including methamphetamine in-
toxication follows a syndrome-oriented approach. Monitoring the autonomic nervous system and psychopathological symptoms is crucial. A positive result from a qualitative urine test ("tox screening") increases the probability of methamphetamine intoxication. However, a negative test cannot rule out life-threatening methamphetamine intoxication.

A person suffering from a methamphetamine intoxication ought to be treated in a quiet, low-stimulus environment if possible (LoE 5; †††) [2].

The emphasis is on care and calming of the affected individual
and on attending to or preventing secondary harm due to panic or expansive and aggressive behavior. According to clinical experi-
ence, a quiet, protective space and talking down the patient is cru-

cial. As far as possible, the patient should be attended to by the
same member of staff. Family or friends of the patient, who might help to calm him or her down, can also be involved. Doctors and
nursing staff should listen to the patient and try to explain to him
or her the situation and their acts in simple, short sentences. Their basic attitude should be empathic and accepting (no reproaches or confrontations). Any potentially irritating or misleading forms of behavior such as abrupt movements or approaching the patient rapidly should be avoided. When these principles are respected, clinical experience shows that sedating medication may not be re-
quired [7].

In an emergency situation with aggressive patients, it is essential
for helpers to always keep their own safety and health in mind (keep
an exit route open, high level of staffing, at least a second person who
can intervene or go for help). There are established and, in some
cases, evaluated de-escalation management concepts for staff in
health care and social services (e.g., www.prodema-online.de).

As far as possible, physical restraints (fixation) should be avoi-
ded, as they will almost always promote further escalation of agi-
tation and aggression. Of note, physical restraints are associated
with increased risk of life-threatening conditions (e.g., rhabdomy-
losis, hyperthermia, etc.) and sudden death. Nevertheless, tem-
porary use of restraint may be unavoidable in exceptional situations (e.g., aggressive attacks by the patient). In this case, a standard
procedure and high staffing are needed (usually 5 people). Given
the risk for the patient, attendance by a qualified professional nurse
is recommended throughout restraint (1:1 care).
After a methamphetamine intoxication, a psychiatric evaluation for substance-use disorders and, if appropriate, further addiction treatment should be recommended (LoE 5; ⬇️). Once the intoxication has abated, many patients are receptive to psychoeducation and recommendations for diagnostics and treatment. Diagnostic procedures should include a psychiatric evaluation for addiction and comorbid psychiatric disorders. If appropriate, contacts to the professional support system should be established in order to promote further treatment (e.g., psychiatrist, specialist outpatient addiction treatment center, counselling facility).

### Pharmacological interventions in acute methamphetamine intoxication

No evidence is available on pharmacological interventions in acute methamphetamine intoxication. Recommendations reflect the consensus of the working group based on their clinical experience (see Acknowledgment). The systematic search for guidelines came up with consensus-based guidelines of moderate methodological quality on the emergency management of patients with psycho-stimulant intoxication syndrome [7]. Overall, the level of evidence of recommendations is weak.

In the case of an intoxication with several (unknown) substances, no medication ought to be given, unless sufficient monitoring of cardiovascular parameters can be provided (LoE 5; ⬇️). In an acute situation, it is often unclear which substance or combination of substances is involved. In this case, it is advisable to be reticent with the administration of medication if or as long as no adequate monitoring of cardiovascular parameters is provided. Possible interactions can exacerbate disorienting and respiratory depression (e.g., benzodiazepines in the case of a mixed intoxication with alcohol, liquid ecstasy, or certain natural hallucinogens [fly agaric toadstools, angel’s trumpets, etc.]). Resuscitation equipment must be available, and monitoring of cardiovascular parameters (e.g., heart rate, blood pressure, blood oxygen) must be ensured for the duration of action of the medication given, especially outside emergency wards.

In the case of methamphetamine intoxication with severe agitation, aggressiveness, or psychotic symptoms requiring pharmacological treatment, benzodiazepines should be given as first-line medication (LoE 5; ⬇️). Fast-acting benzodiazepines are the first choice in the case of severe agitation, threatening or manifest aggressive behavior towards the patient himself/herself or others, or psychotic symptoms. > Table 5 gives some typical doses and dosage intervals. Sedation should not be so deep that the patient becomes unconscious. In most cases, no other medication apart from benzodiazepines is needed.

In the case of methamphetamine intoxication, an additional medication with an antipsychotic may be considered if the administration of benzodiazepines is not sufficient (LoE 5; ⬇️). In the case of hallucinations and delusions, it may be appropriate to administer an add-on antipsychotic medication. Based on clinical experience, second-generation antipsychotics such as olanzapine are the first choice. First-generation antipsychotics, like butyrophenones (haloperidol), may be an alternative choice; however, they have a high risk for acute side effects (in particular acute dystonia). Furthermore, butyrophenones may worsen symptoms of dysphoria and anxiety [8, 9]. > Table 5 gives some typical doses and dosage intervals.

Epileptic seizures are a frequent complication of methamphetamine intoxication. Here, too, benzodiazepines are the first choice. In contrast, antipsychotics usually lower the threshold for seizures. Once there is no further intake of methamphetamine, the ingested methamphetamine has been excreted, and normal sleep patterns have been reestablished, psychotic symptoms from methamphetamine intoxication mostly ease within hours or 1–2 days. The need for continuation of antipsychotic medication should be reviewed continuously during the course of treatment of methamphetamine intoxication and at the latest after 3 days [7, 8] (▶ Table 6).

### Pharmacotherapy of methamphetamine-induced psychosis

The use of an antipsychotic medication is indicated in case of psychosis following methamphetamine use and extending beyond the period of the pharmacologic action of the drug. According to ICD-10 criteria, (meth)amphetamine-induced psychosis (F15.5x) evolves during intoxication or within a few days of last use and lasts for

---

**Table 5** Psychopharmacological treatment of methamphetamine intoxication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First choice: benzodiazepines</th>
<th>Typical doses and dosage intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Substance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Diazepam</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mg orally, if necessary repeat after 30 min; alternatively, 2.5–5.0 mg i. v. bolus, if necessary repeat after 5–10 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Add-on treatment, if necessary: antipsychotics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Substance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Olanzapine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risperidone</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second choice: Haloperidol</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECG monitoring with i. v. application required.
A benzodiazepine may be considered temporarily as an add-on treatment to an antipsychotic medication of a methamphetamine-induced psychosis (LoE 5; ⇔).

No evidence is available on the use of benzodiazepines in the treatment of methamphetamine-induced psychosis. According to the clinical experience of the experts, add-on benzodiazepines may be helpful in the case of ongoing psychotic symptoms if antipsychotic medication is not sufficient. Add-on benzodiazepines may attenuate the acute threat to the patient himself/herself or others (e.g., due to pronounced depressive mood swings or acute anxiety symptoms). Benzodiazepines themselves are addictive. Therefore, the dose should be as low as possible with limited treatment duration.

General treatment of methamphetamine withdrawal

The main goals of physical detoxification are alleviation of withdrawal symptoms and prevention of complications. The goals also include diagnostic evaluation, counselling, and, where appropriate, commencement of treatment of physical and psychiatric disorders. Moreover, social counselling and the introduction of the first steps towards socio-rehabilitative procedures may be appropriate. The special feature of qualified withdrawal treatment is to integrate somatic, psychiatric, psychotherapeutic, and social work [8].

According to clinical experience, the need for treatment in methamphetamine-dependent users is similar to heroin and cocaine addicts. Withdrawal symptoms include craving, exhaustion, cognitive impairments, sleep disorders, irritability, agitation, depressive-anxious moods, and sometimes even suicidal ideation. Withdrawal symptoms may be very heavy for at least 1 week and somewhat milder for at least another 2 weeks [14]. Craving lasts far longer and presents a high risk of relapse, particularly in outpatient treatment [15–17].

Given the high risk of relapse, the duration of qualified withdrawal treatment should consider the needs of the individual patient and extend beyond the abatement of acute withdrawal symptoms in order to achieve sufficient, mental, somatic, and social stability for further treatment. However, up to now, there is no clear evidence concerning which patients do better with in- or outpatient treatment.

Treatment of a methamphetamine withdrawal should be at least 3 weeks, particularly in the case of high and regular substance consumption (LoE 5; ⬔ ⬕) [14, 18].

Pharmacotherapy of methamphetamine withdrawal

Fifty-eight publications on pharmacotherapy of withdrawal from amphetamine-type stimulants were identified. However, only a few studies focused on methamphetamine-dependent patients. Furthermore, they had considerable methodological limitations (small sample sizes, high drop-out rates), and only a few studies addressed specifically withdrawal treatment. Hence, only findings of limited reliability are available for methamphetamine withdrawal, and many of the recommendations are extrapolations from studies with cocaine- and other amphetamine-type-stimulant-dependent patients.

Only 2 RCTs were available on the use of antidepressants for withdrawal of methamphetamine-dependent users. A study with 20 participants showed that bupropion reduced methamphetamine...
ne-induced subjective effects ("high") and cue-induced craving, but it had no effect on depressive mood or anxiety [19]. Another study (n = 31) failed to show any effect of mirtazapine on retention rate or withdrawal symptoms such as sleep disorders, anxiety, and depression [20–22].

There was no evidence to support the efficacy of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in alleviating methamphetamine withdrawal symptoms. In fact, there is a risk of a serotonergic syndrome and a higher rate of side effects with SSRIs in methamphetamine users [23].

There were no studies on tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) in the acute treatment of methamphetamine-dependent users [24]. However, TCAs like desipramine are effective against withdrawal symptoms in cocaine addicts [25]. As both the pharmacology and the withdrawal symptoms of methamphetamine are quite comparable to those of cocaine, TCAs might be helpful for methamphetamine withdrawal, too.

Overall, the evidence concerning antidepressants during methamphetamine withdrawal is poor, in some cases contradictory, and probably very much dependent on the random sample examined (especially consumption duration and pattern) and the treatment setting [22, 26].

If, in the case of methamphetamine withdrawal, the prevailing signs are depressive-anxious symptoms, exhaustion, and/or hypsomnia, bupropion or a TCA with activating properties such as desipramine may be considered (LoE 5 ⇔). If insomnia and/or agitation prevail, an antidepressive medication with more sedative properties may be considered (LoE 5 ⇔).

These recommendations refer to symptoms during acute withdrawal. Reference is made here to the publication by Härtel-Petri et al. (this journal page 96) for the treatment of a comorbid depressive disorder.

First-generation antipsychotic medication with high potency ought not to be used to alleviate withdrawal symptoms in the acute treatment of methamphetamine patients (LoE 2; ⇓). There is neither a rationale nor evidence for the use of first-generation antipsychotic medication to alleviate withdrawal symptoms.

For second-generation antipsychotics, RCTs on aripiprazole are available that show no or only marginal effects compared to placebo (20, 27–29). In 1 study, an exacerbation of methamphetamine craving was described on aripiprazole [28]. There are no studies on other antipsychotic medications for the treatment of withdrawal symptoms. According to clinical experience, olanzapine or quetiapine might be helpful in the management of agitation, tension, or insomnia during methamphetamine withdrawal.

Benzodiazepines may be considered in inpatient withdrawal treatment of methamphetamine-dependent users to attenuate an acute threat to the patient himself/herself or others or to treat pronounced anxiety symptoms (LoE 5 ⇔). Given the addictive potential, benzodiazepines should be administered at the lowest possible dose and should be tapered off as soon as possible (LoE 5 ⇔). No evidence is available on the use of benzodiazepines for the treatment of methamphetamine withdrawal. The general use of benzodiazepines in conjunction with uncomplicated methamphetamine withdrawal does not seem appropriate. However, add-on benzodiazepines may be helpful in the case of ongoing psychotic symptoms if antipsychotic medication is not sufficient. Add-on benzodiazepines may attenuate the acute threat to the patient himself/herself or others (e.g., due to pronounced depressive mood swings or acute anxiety symptoms). Nevertheless, benzodiazepines should be tapered off as soon as possible.

In justified individual cases and if previous withdrawal attempts have failed, sustained-release dexamphetamine may be considered in inpatient withdrawal treatment to alleviate withdrawal symptoms in methamphetamine-dependent users (LoE 2; ⇔)

When sustained-release dexamphetamine is used in inpatient withdrawal treatment to alleviate withdrawal symptoms, the dose should be individually titrated and then tapered off no later than the time of discharge (LoE 5 based on [20, 24, 30]; ⇑⇑). Sustained-release dexamphetamine should not be given to treat methamphetamine withdrawal in an outpatient setting (LoE 5; ⇓⇓). A small RCT with 49 methamphetamine-dependent users investigated reduction of use after 3 months on sustained-release dexamphetamine versus placebo. At the end of the "stabilization phase" (corresponds to the withdrawal phase), the average dose was 80 mg/day. Although there was no significant difference to placebo for the primary endpoint, a reduction in withdrawal symptoms in the stabilization phase was demonstrated as a secondary endpoint. The study had several limitations (secondary endpoint, small sample size, high drop-out rate of 53 %) [20, 24, 30]. In another small RCT, which enrolled 60 methamphetamine-dependent users, 60 mg/day of sustained-release dexamphetamine were tolerated as well as placebo (primary endpoint: tolerance) and the drop-out rate was 13 % in both treatment arms [31]. Regarding the secondary endpoints, there was no reduction in the level of use, but a reduction in withdrawal symptoms (Amphetamine Withdrawal Questionnaire) and craving (measured using a visual analogue scale). The clinical relevance of these effects seems to be limited; for instance, the difference in severity of withdrawal of around 3 points (score of 15 vs. 12) was seen only for the 8 withdrawal severity scorings. Similarly, the reduction in craving was demonstrated in only 2 out of 8 craving scorings during withdrawal. This trial, too, has several limitations (secondary endpoint, small sample size).

Due to the limited evidence, no specific recommendation can be made. Given the high drop-out rate amongst methamphetamine users during withdrawal, inpatient treatment with dexamphetamine may be justified (e.g., when patients have already dropped out from previous withdrawals). Sustainable-release dexamphetamine should be titrated individually and should be tapered off within 2 weeks and no later than at the time of discharge from the inpatient setting. Needless to say, dexamphetamine is a narcotic and has potential for abuse. Hence, the patient should not be supplied with any of this medication on discharge from inpatient treatment, nor should it be prescribed for outpatients.

For other stimulants, either no evidence was available for the acute treatment of methamphetamine-dependent users (methylphenidate), or the available studies did not show any effects or they only showed marginal benefits compared to placebo [32–36]. Hence, several small RCTs with modafinil failed to demonstrate clinically relevant improvements [32–36].

N-acetylcysteine may be considered for alleviating methamphetamine craving during withdrawal (LoE 2; ⇔).
A small (n = 32), but otherwise methodologically sound, randomized, placebo-controlled study with a cross-over design showed a favorable effect of N-acetylcysteine on craving in the acute treatment phase coupled with very good tolerability. The dose was 600 mg in the first week and 1 200 mg in the second to fourth week followed by wash-out over 3 days [37].

Another randomized, placebo-controlled study with 18 participants demonstrated an attenuation of some subjectively positive methamphetamine-induced effects (agitation, drive, energizing effects) by the calcium antagonist isradipine. However, isradipine was not well tolerated during methamphetamine withdrawal [38]. Another small, placebo-controlled RCT (n = 26), varenicline enhanced the information processing speed of patients during methamphetamine withdrawal. Moreover, varenicline attenuated the subjectively positive methamphetamine-induced effects. Apart from this, no positive effects on other symptoms of methamphetamine withdrawal or on craving were observed [44, 45]. Given the considerable methodological flaws (small sample size, not double-blinded, conflicts of interest), the validity of this study is very limited. Two other small placebo-controlled RCTs failed to demonstrate any improvement of cognitive functions on rivastigmine. However, cognitive impairment was not seen in patients included [46, 47].

Based on the available evidence, N-acetylcysteine may be considered for the treatment of methamphetamine craving during withdrawal treatment. This substance is usually well tolerated. There is also preliminary evidence that N-acetylcysteine might have a favorable impact on craving and the risk of relapse in other substance-use disorders [48–51]. The effect of N-acetylcysteine is thought to be linked to a modulation of glutamatergic transmission; however, the exact mechanism of action has still to be elucidated [52].

**Synopsis: Symptom-oriented approach**

**Table 7** gives a synopsis of the recommendations for the pharmacological treatment of acute complications of methamphetamine use. The recommendations are grouped according to the target symptoms (symptom-oriented approach).

Taken together, the available evidence for the acute pharmacological treatment of methamphetamine-related disorders is limited. The recommendations are based mainly on clinical expert consensus. Benzodiazepines are first-choice medication for methamphetamine-induced intoxication syndromes, particularly if they present with acute agitation. There is no evidence-based medication for the treatment of methamphetamine-related withdrawal symptoms and craving. When treating methamphetamine-induced psychosis, second-generation antipsychotic drugs ought to be favored, given the more favorable side-effect profile. The indication for continuation of antipsychotic medication must be reviewed regularly. In most cases, the antipsychotic should be tapered off within 6 months. Overall, further research is warranted.

The complete guidelines (in German) can be downloaded at www.crystal-meth.aezq.de.

The Working Group (Co-Authors) of the S3 Guidelines
- Wolf-Dietrich Braunwarth, Klinikum Nürnberg
- Roland Härtel-Petri, Psychotherapeutische Praxis, Bayreuth
- Heribert Fleischmann, Bezirksklinikum Wöllershofen
- Euphrosyne Gouzoulis-Mayfrank, LVR-Klinik Köln
- Willem Hamdorf, AHG Klinik Mecklenburg
- Ursula Havemann-Reinecke, Universitätsklinik Göttingen
- Peter Jeschke, Neuropsychologische Gemeinschaftspraxis, Halle
- Josef Mischo, Kreiskrankenhaus St. Ingbert
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**Table 7** Synopsis of symptom-oriented pharmacological treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Treatment options</th>
<th>Strength of recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine-intoxication with acute agitation or pronounced fluctuation of symptoms with reactions that are difficult to predict</td>
<td>After exhaustion of psychotherapeutic de-escalation actions, preferably benzodiazepines, as soon as an adequate monitoring of cardiovascular parameters is available</td>
<td>⬠ ⬠ ⬠</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methamphetamine-induced psychotic symptoms</td>
<td>Second-generation antipsychotics If necessary, concomitant benzodiazepines for a limited period of time</td>
<td>⬠ ⇑ ⇑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronounced craving during methamphetamine withdrawal</td>
<td>If necessary, N-acetylcysteine with 600–1 200 mg/day</td>
<td>⇑ ⇑ ⇑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disorders and/or agitation during methamphetamine withdrawal</td>
<td>Sedating antidepressants or sedating antipsychotics Avoid hypnotics!</td>
<td>⇑ ⇑ ⬠</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute depressive and/or anxious symptoms with risk of harm to patient or others during methamphetamine withdrawal</td>
<td>If necessary, benzodiazepines for a limited period of time</td>
<td>⇑ ⇑ ⬠</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive-anxious symptoms with exhaustion and/or hypersomnia during methamphetamine withdrawal</td>
<td>Bupropion or TCAs, especially desipramine</td>
<td>⇑ ⇑ ⇑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the case of repeated failed withdrawal attempts in the past</td>
<td>Dexamphetamine (individual cases, only in an inpatient setting), wash-out of the dose after 2 weeks at the latest</td>
<td>⇑ ⇑ ⬠</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wodarz N et al. Evidence-Based Guidelines for the... Pharmacopsychiatry 2017; 50: 87–95
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