**Improved Image Quality and Detectability of Hypovascular Liver Metastases on DECT with Different Adjusted Window Settings**
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**ZUSAMMENFASSUNG**


**Ergebnisse** Die höchste Gesamtanzahl, durchschnittliche Anzahl und Gesamtanzahl von LM < 1 cm wurden mit WS 25/350 HU und 80 kV-Bildern (7,0; p = 0,02/218; p = 0,01/64; p < 0,001) verglichen mit WS 75/200 HU und virtuellen 120 kV-Bildern sowie regulären WS 50/350 HU und 80 kV- und virtuellen 120 kV-Bildern. Die beste Erkennbarkeit war für WS 25/350 HU mit 80 kV-Bildern verglichen mit WS 75/200 HU und virtuellen 120 kV-Bildern (1,2 vs. 2,5; p = 0,01). Die HU des normalen Lebergewebes, der Aorta, die SNR und LLMR unterschieden sich signifikant zwischen den 80 kV- und virtuellen 120 kV-Bildern (128 HU vs. 93 HU, < 0,05/192 HU vs. 131 HU, < 0,05/10,3 vs. 8,1; p < 0,05/2,8 vs. 2,1; p < 0,05).

**Schlussfolgerung** 80 kV-Bilder der DECT sind genauer bezüglich der Erkennbarkeit hypovaskularisierter Lebermetastasen im Vergleich zu virtuellen 120 kV-Bildern. Optimierte Fensterwerteinstellungen führen zusätzlich zu einer verbesserten Detektion.

**Kernaussagen:**
- Die DECT ist eine vielversprechende Methode zur Detektion hypovaskularisierter Lebermetastasen.
- Die Genauigkeit der 80 kV Bilddaten wird durch optimierte Fensterwerteinstellungen erhöht.
- Die Verwendung alleiner Niedrig-kV-Bilddaten würde zu einer verminderten Strahlenexposition führen.

**ABSTRACT**

**Objective** To investigate dual-energy CT of hypovascular liver metastases (LMs) with special focus on window settings (WSs). The aim of the study is to investigate the extent to which adapted WSs and the low-energy images of DECT improve the visibility especially of smaller LMs.

**Materials and Methods** 30 patients with LMs of colorectal cancer were investigated with DECT of the liver. In each patient contrast-enhanced DECT imaging with portal-venous delay was performed. The total number, mean number and conspicuity (1 = excellent – 5 = poor) of LMs were documented on 80-kVp images and virtual 120-kVp images with different WSs (25/200 HU, 50/200, 75/200 HU, 25/350 HU, 50/350 HU, 75/350 HU, 25/500 HU, 50/500 HU, 75/500 HU). The CT-Dichte (HU) of the LM and further anatomic regions were documented as well as the signal to noise ratio of 80 kVp images and virtual 120 kVp images were calculated. The total number of LMs depending on size (< 1 cm, 1–2 cm, > 2 cm) were determined. The Quotient Signal/Bildrauschen (SNR) and Leber/LM (LLMR) was calculated. The total number of LMs was determined for the 80 kV- and virtual 120 kV-Bildensätze – bestimmt. Hierfür wurden reguläre und zuvor ermittelte optimierte Fensterwerteinstellungen verwendet.

**Ergebnisse** The highest total number, mean number and total number of LMs < 1 cm were determined with WS 25/350 HU and 80 kV-Bildensätze (7,0; p = 0,02/218; p = 0,01/64; p < 0,001) compared with WS 75/200 HU and virtual 120 kV-Bildensätze as well as regular WS 50/350 HU and 80 kV- and virtual 120 kV-Bildensätze. The best detectability was for WS 25/350 HU with 80 kV-Bildensätze compared with WS 75/200 HU and virtual 120 kV (1,2 vs. 2,5; p = 0,01). The HU of the normal liver tissue, the aorta, the SNR and LLMR differed significantly between the 80 kV- and virtual 120 kV-Bildensätze (128 HU vs. 93 HU, < 0,05/192 HU vs. 131 HU, < 0,05/10,3 vs. 8,1; p < 0,05/2,8 vs. 2,1; p < 0,05).

**Schlussfolgerung** 80 kV-Bildensätze der DECT are more accurate especially regarding the detectability of hypovascular liver metastases compared to virtual 120 kV-Bildensätze. Optimized window settings also lead to an improved detection.

**Kernaussagen:**
- The DECT is a promising method for the detection of hypovascular liver metastases.
- The accuracy of the 80 kV images is increased by optimized window settings.
- The use of single low-kV images would lead to a reduced radiation exposure.
2 cm, > 2 cm) on 80 kVp images and virtual 120 kVp images with previously investigated best and regular WSs were documented. Results The highest total number, mean number per patient and total number of LMs < 1 cm were detected with the WS 25/350 HU on 80 kVp images (7.0; p = 0.02/218; p = 0.01/64; p < 0.001) compared to the WS 75/200 HU on virtual 120 kVp images and the regular WS 50/350 HU on 80 kVp images and virtual 120 kVp images. The best conspicuity of LMs on 80 kVp images was documented with the WS 25/350 HU compared to the best WS on virtual 120 kVp images with 75/200 HU (1.2 vs. 2.5; p = 0.01). HU of normal liver, aorta, SNP and LMR differed significantly between 80 kVp images and virtual 120 kVp images (128.1 vs. 93.6; <0.05/192.8 vs. 131.4; <0.05/10.3 vs. 8.1; p = 0.05/2.8 vs. 2.1; p < 0.05).

Conclusion Low kVp images of DECT datasets are more precise in detecting hypovascular liver metastases than virtual 120 kVp images. Dedicated window settings have a relevant influence on conspicuity.

Introduction
Several studies have demonstrated the benefits of dual-energy CT for the detection of hypervascular abdominal lesions, especially of the liver [1–4]. The value of dual-energy CT for contrast-enhanced abdominal organ imaging is an exciting topic. The improved CT contrast resolution obtained at low kVp settings has been shown to provide higher detection rates of hypervascular lesions in phantom and clinical hepatic imaging, provided that the noise in the low kVp image is not excessive [4, 5]. Further attention should be paid to the visibility of hypodense hepatic metastases [6–9]. 80 kVp data acquired from a DECT scanner demonstrate a greater attenuation difference between metastatic disease and normal liver, thus increasing lesion conspicuity and potentially improving assessment of early metastatic disease [9].

It is unclear whether window settings (WSs) can influence the performance of low kVp images. While liver windows (50/350 HU) are commonly used in clinical practice, a study concluded that the use of liver windows has limited added value in the detection of liver disease, as evidenced by an only 3.1% increase in lesion detection in 1175 consecutive patients, resulting in a change in diagnosis in only 1.7% and a change in follow-up recommendation in 0.85%. [9–13]. Therefore, an optimization of image parameters would be useful here.

The aim of this study was to investigate DECT of hypovascular liver metastases in patients with colorectal cancer with a special focus on adjusted window settings (WSs) in addition to the use of low energy data sets.

Materials and Methods

Patient Population
Between October 2009 and December 2011, 30 consecutive patients with CRC and LM (17 men, 13 women; mean age 65 years + 14 years) underwent dual-energy CT (DECT) for regular staging. The inclusion criterion was an abdominal diameter measured ≤ 35 cm on the AP or lateral topogram [9].

Liver metastasis was determined by comparison with monoenetic pre- and follow-up scans (pre 5.3 months ± 2 (30/30 patients); post 4.8 months ± 1.9 (30/30 patients). The study was approved by our institutional review board and all patients provided written informed consent before participation.

Imaging Protocol and Scan Parameters
All CT examinations were obtained using a dual-source multi-detector CT unit (Somatom Definition™ Dual Source; Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany). This system consists of two X-ray tubes mounted on one gantry at a 90° angle [14] and two corresponding 64-section detectors. One detector (detector A) covers a 50-cm field of view and the other detector (detector B) covers a 26-cm field of view [14]. Patients were positioned supine on the table. Patients were positioned slightly off center to the left to ensure complete coverage of the liver by the smaller field of view of detector B. After intravenous injection of a non-ionic contrast agent (1.5 mL per kilogram of body weight, Xenetix 300™, Guerbet, Sulzbach, Germany), bolus tracking was started in the abdominal aorta at the level of the celiac trunk. Dual-energy datasets were acquired in the portal-venous (bolus tracking and 80 sec) phase from the dome of the liver to the iliac crest by operating tube A at 140 kVp and a reference value of 96 mAs and tube B at 80 kVp and a reference value of 404 mAs. For both tubes, online dose modulation (Care DOSE 4D™, Siemens Medical Solutions) was used. For the dual-energy CT, collimation was set to 14 × 1.2 mm on both detectors. For all imaging, the gantry rotation speed was 0.5 seconds.

Post-Processing and Image Reconstruction
Axial post-contrast images were reconstructed using a section thickness and an increment of 3 mm. Dual-energy CT generates three different series of images: 80 kV images, 140 kV images and weighted-average images, which are based on attenuation information on images obtained from both detectors, using 70% information from the high kVp and 30% from the low kVp images. Weighted-average images are similar to 120-kVp imaging of the abdomen. For post-processing, images were loaded onto a dedicated dual-energy post-processing workstation (syngo MMWP; Siemens Medical Solutions, Forchheim, Germany).

Measurements of Metastases and Image Quality
Two experienced radiologists read all cases in consensus. On a dedicated workstation (syngo MMWP, Siemens Medical Solutions), 3-mm CT images were displayed side-by-side (window setting (WS):
25/200 HU, 50/200 HU, 75/200 HU, 25/350 HU, 50/350 HU, 75/350 HU, 25/500 HU, 50/500 HU, 75/500 HU). CT attenuation was determined in 6 regions using regions of interest of 0.2 – 1.0 cm² in size: hepatic metastases; normal hepatic parenchyma; aorta at the level of the coeliac trunk; psoas muscle; perihepatic fat; and air in front of the patient. The signal (liver)/noise ratio and liver/LM ratio were calculated (SNR/LLMR). As images could be easily discriminated, radiologists were not blinded as to which image represented the 80 kVp dataset and the virtual 120 kVp dataset. For both CT image sets, the radiologists rated the conspicuity of the LMs on a five-point scale: 1: excellent; 2: good; 3: fair; 4: poor; and 5: not interpretable. Both readers reported all LMs separately for the previous best proven and regular (50/350 HU) WSs of the 80 kVp images and the virtual 120 kVp images according to size (< 1 cm; 1 – 2 cm; > 2 cm), total number and number per patient.

**Statistical Analysis**

CT attenuation, the number of detected LMs and the image quality parameters were tested for differences using the Wilcoxon test for paired samples. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to investigate statistically significant differences in the qualitative scores. If there was a statistically significant difference among the groups, pairwise comparisons were performed using the Steel-Dwass test [8]. For all studies, a difference with a P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. All calculations were performed using statistical software (SPSS, version 21, SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

**Results**

The best conspicuity of LMs on 80 kVp images was documented with the WS 25/350 HU compared to the best proven WS on virtual 120 kVp images with 75/200 HU (1.2 vs. 2.5; p = 0.01) (▶ Fig. 1). The mean conspicuity of all WSs of the 80 kVp images was 2.6 and significantly better compared to the mean conspicuity of all WSs of the virtual 120 kVp images with 3.9 (p < 0.05). The best mean window width for 80 kVp and virtual 120 kVp images was 350 HU (1.5/p < 0.05; 3.3/p < 0.05) (▶ Table 1).

The best WSs with regard to the conspicuity of LMs (25/350 HU for 80 kVp; 75/200 HU for virtual 120 kVp) were compared to the regular abdominal WSs (50/350 HU for 80 kVp/virtual 120 kVp) (▶ Table 1, ▶ Fig. 2). The highest total number of LMs (218; p = 0.01), the mean number of LMs/patient (7.0; p = 0.02), the mean diameter of LMs/patient (20 ± 11mm; p < 0.01), the conspicuity of LMs (1.2; p < 0.01) and the total number of LMs < 1 cm (64; p < 0.01) were better detected with WS 25/350 HU on 80 kVp images. This is in comparison to the best proven WS 75/200 HU on virtual 120 kVp images and the regular WS 50/350 HU on 80 kVp images and virtual 120 kVp images. 80 kVp 50/350 HU images, which represent the regular abdominal image parameters, were superior to virtual 120 kVp 50/350 HU with regard to the total number of LMs, the mean number of LMs/patient and the total number of LMs< 1 cm (▶ Table 2).

The attenuation (HU) of normal liver and aorta as well as the SNR and LLMR differed significantly between 80 kVp images and virtual 120 kVp images (128.1 vs. 93.6; p < 0.05/192.8 vs.131.4; p < 0.05/10.3 vs. 8.1; p < 0.05/2.8 vs. 2.1; p < 0.05) (▶ Table 3, 4). The attenuations of LM, muscle, fat and air were not significantly different.

**Table 1** Conspicuity of LMs depending on window settings (HU) and tube voltage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>80 kVp</th>
<th>120 kVp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>conspicuity of LMs/each WS (HU)</td>
<td>25/200 = 4.5</td>
<td>25/350 = 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25/500 = 2.8</td>
<td>25/200 = 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50/200 = 4.1</td>
<td>50/350 = 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50/500 = 2.7</td>
<td>50/200 = 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75/200 = 2.1</td>
<td>75/350 = 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75/500 = 2.8</td>
<td>75/200 = 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conspicuity of LMs/window width 200/350/500 HU</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Conspicuity of LMs depending on window settings (HU) and tube voltage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>80 kVp</th>
<th>120 kVp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>conspicuity of LMs/each WS (HU)</td>
<td>25/200 = 4.5</td>
<td>25/350 = 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25/500 = 2.8</td>
<td>25/200 = 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50/200 = 4.1</td>
<td>50/350 = 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50/500 = 2.7</td>
<td>50/200 = 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75/200 = 2.1</td>
<td>75/350 = 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75/500 = 2.8</td>
<td>75/200 = 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conspicuity of LMs/window width 200/350/500 HU</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Conspicuity of LMs depending on window settings (HU) and tube voltage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>80 kVp</th>
<th>120 kVp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>conspicuity of LMs/each WS (HU)</td>
<td>25/200 = 4.5</td>
<td>25/350 = 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25/500 = 2.8</td>
<td>25/200 = 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50/200 = 4.1</td>
<td>50/350 = 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50/500 = 2.7</td>
<td>50/200 = 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75/200 = 2.1</td>
<td>75/350 = 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75/500 = 2.8</td>
<td>75/200 = 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conspicuity of LMs/window width 200/350/500 HU</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** Conspicuity of LMs depending on window settings (HU) and tube voltage.
Discussion

We demonstrated with our study that hypovascular liver metastases in patients with colorectal cancer could be significantly better detected using low kVp DECT images compared to 120 kVp virtual DECT images. Robinson et al. concluded in their study (11 patients) that pure 80 kVp data acquired from a dual-source dual-energy MDCT scanner demonstrates greater attenuation differences and improved contrast-to-noise between metastatic disease and normal liver when compared with 120 kVp data [9]. We could show that this increased conspicuity depended not only on a lower tube voltage but also on the selection of special window settings. By comparing 80 kVp images and 120 kVp images, the best image quality was reached with different WSs. For 80 kVp, WS 25/350 proved to be superior and for virtual 120 kVp, WS 75/200 was superior over the other tested window settings. Finally, we could show that the regular abdominal WS 50/350 was inferior to our evaluated new parameters. Higher total and mean numbers of detected liver metastases especially < 1 cm were achieved with these adjusted window settings.

Because low kVp DECT increases image noise, contrast enhancement, and soft tissue contrast, Nakaura et al. hypothesized that wide window width display settings would improve the image quality of low kVp CT. Their results also suggest that wide window width display settings are optimal for the inspection of low kVp scans. A wider window width might reduce the contrast in qualitative analysis because it displays a larger CT number range [7]. Our data suggest that low kVp images require a lower window level and width to reach the highest conspicuity.

Chu et al. showed that DECT has the potential to provide added diagnostic value for the evaluation of focal pancreatic tumors. Virtual native images could replace true native images and may reduce radiation dose and the iodine map, while improving the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tube voltage</th>
<th>80 kVp 25/350 HU</th>
<th>80 kVp 50/350 HU</th>
<th>virtual 120 kVp 75/200 HU</th>
<th>virtual 120 kVp 50/350 HU</th>
<th>p-value in multiple comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total number of LMs</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean number of LMs/patient</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean diameter of LMs (mm)</td>
<td>20 ± 11</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
<td>24 ± 7</td>
<td>26 ± 6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total number of LMs &lt; 1 cm</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total number of LMs 1 – 2 cm</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>&lt;0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total number of LMs &gt; 2 cm</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conspicuity of LMs</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Value shows a statistically significant difference in pairwise comparison of protocol "80 kVp 50/350 HU", "virtual 120 kVp 75/200 HU" and "virtual 120 kVp 50/350 HU" with protocol "80 kVp 25/350 HU" using Steel-Dwass test.

2 Value shows a statistically significant difference compared with the value of four protocols using Kruskal-Wallis test.
differentiation between cystic or solid nature of lesions and improving lesion conspicuity compared with simulated weighted 120 kVp images. In their study, the differences in CNR between the images with a weighting factor of 0.3 and the images with a weighting factor of 0.5 or 0.7 were statistically significant (different?) among the cystic lesions and solid hypovascular tumors (p < 0.05) [15]. Therefore, this might be another important parameter in addition to WS with influence on the image quality and conspicuity of hypovascular lesions.

Our study has some limitations. No histological samples of liver metastases were available. However, with the help of pre- and follow-up scans, we were able to perform reliable differentiation in our opinion. Non-cystic lesions with a size increase or decrease, completely new lesions or lesions that were unrecognizable on follow-up scans were rated as LM. The evaluation of LM recognition ability was a subjective process, which was offset by a consensus decision of two experienced radiologists. Although a certain body circumference was regarded for the inclusion of patients, we did not consider this parameter in the statistical analysis. The most notable disadvantage of low-voltage CT is the potential for increased image noise (4, 5, 7, 9, 17) resulting from the lower tube voltage, which results in unacceptable images in large patients. Beyond a certain patient size, the benefit of increased contrast and increased contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) at 80 kV is offset by the increased noise and beam-hardening artifacts, thus making abdominal 80 kV single-source and dual-energy CT (using 80 kV in the lower energy tube) inadvisable [16, 17].

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that DECT is a promising tool for detecting hypovascular liver metastases. The diagnostic value of low kVp image data can be significantly increased by using special window settings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Attenuation (HU) of LM, normal liver, muscle, fat, air and aorta depending on tube voltage.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80 kVp</td>
<td>virtual 120 kVp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>45.4 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver</td>
<td>128.1 ± 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>52.4 ± 14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fat</td>
<td>–117.3 ± 23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>air</td>
<td>–1012.4 ± 132.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aorta</td>
<td>192.8 ± 23.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Background noise (BN), signal (liver)/noise ratio (SNR) and liver/LM ratio depending on tube voltage.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80 kVp</td>
<td>virtual 120 kVp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>12.4 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>10.3 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLMR</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLINICAL RELEVANCE

- DECT is a promising tool for detecting hypovascular liver metastases.
- The diagnostic value of low kVp image data can be significantly increased by using special window settings.
- The use of low kVp images leads to reduced radiation exposure.
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