Chromoendoscopy in combination with random biopsies does not improve detection of gastric cancer foci in CDH1 mutation positive patients
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Background and study aims: Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC), an autosomal dominant tumor-syndrome, accounts for 1 % to 3 % of gastric cancers worldwide. Presumably 30% to 40% of all patients fulfilling the clinical guidelines for HDGC are carriers of a pathogenic mutation in the CDH1 gene. Patients often show multiple foci of signet ring cell carcinoma at early age and are advised to undergo prophylactic total gastrectomy (PTG). Our aim was to improve the endoscopic detection of HDGC by using an enhanced endoscopic protocol.

Patient and methods: Patients with a proven CDH1 germline mutation identified in our institute were prospectively included. Patients were advised to undergo PTG and offered a baseline endoscopic examination prior surgery. Examination was performed by using high-resolution white-light endoscopy and pan-gastric chromo-endoscopy with indigo carmine as dye combined with targeted and multiple random biopsies assessed by an expert histopathologist. Postoperative histopathology was compared with results from endoscopic biopsies.

Results: Between September 2012 and November 2014 8 patients with a proven CDH1 germline mutation were included. We conducted 44 targeted (6.3/patient) and 225 random (32.1/patient) biopsies in 7 patients. We detected 1 gastric cancer (SRCC) before developing first symptoms of cancer especially lobular breast cancer (LBC) in 6 patients (86%) with a total number of 27 cancer foci. Histopathology of gastrectomy specimen revealed multiple foci of gastric carcinoma in 6 patients (86%) with a total number of 27 cancer foci. Histopathology of gastrectomy specimen revealed multiple foci of gastric carcinoma in 6 patients (86%) with a total number of 27 cancer foci. Histopathology of gastrectomy specimen revealed multiple foci of gastric carcinoma in 6 patients (86%) with a total number of 27 cancer foci. Histopathology of gastrectomy specimen revealed multiple foci of gastric carcinoma in 6 patients (86%) with a total number of 27 cancer foci. Histopathology of gastrectomy specimen revealed multiple foci of gastric carcinoma in 6 patients (86%) with a total number of 27 cancer foci.

Conclusions: Examination with targeted and random biopsies combined with chromoendoscopy is not able to detect small foci of gastric cancer in CDH1 mutation carriers. Therefore PTG is advocated in these patients.

Introduction

Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC) is an autosomal-dominant tumor-associated syndrome accounting for approximately 1 % to 3 % of gastric cancer (GC) [1]. In contrast to the intestinal-type GC (Laurén classification) the incidence of diffuse gastric cancer (DGC) is rising [2,3]. HDGC was described for the first time by Jones in 1964 in 1 Maori family [4]. In a subsequent study, researchers from New Zealand identified germline mutations of the CDH1 gene, which encodes for the cell-to-cell adhesion protein E-Cadherin, as the molecular basis underlying familial clustering of gastric cancer in those families [5]. Carriers of the autosomal dominant inherited CDH1 mutation have an estimated cumulative risk for GC at age 80 of 70% for men and 56% for women [6]. Others reported an even higher penetrance of over 80% in both men and women [7]. While clinically evident HDGC often occurs around the fourth decade, multiple foci of signet ring cell carcinoma (SRCC) can be found in young asymptomatic patients [8–11]. Additional cases found in Europe led to the creation of criteria for hereditary diffuse gastric cancer by the International Gastric Cancer Linkage Consortium (IGCLC) (Table 1) [12–15]. In 25% to 50% of the families meeting HDGC criteria a pathogenic CDH1 germline mutation can be identified [8]. Recent studies showed that HDGC is associated with other types of cancer especially lobular breast cancer (LBC) with an estimated lifetime risk of 42–60% for female carriers [6,7,16]. At present HDGC patients with proven CDH1 mutations are advised to undergo prophylactic total gastrectomy (PTG) before developing first symptoms of GC because of the very poor prognosis in
established disease [9, 17–19]. Current recommendations suggest performing surgery between ages 16 and 30 or 5 years earlier than the age of the youngest relative at diagnosis of clinically apparent GC [20].

On the other hand, some CDH1 mutation carriers may decline surgery because of concerns regarding long-term consequences as well as the risk of operation-associated complications [17]. Moreover, a significant number of these patients will never develop a CDH1-associated gastric carcinoma, and thus, PTG is not needed in them.

Preoperative diagnosis of early DGC is hampered by the fact that the tumor cells begin infiltrating the mucosa while preserving a normal surface epithelium. Accordingly, rarely are any visible lesions spotted endoscopically. To overcome this obstacle, a variety of different endoscopic surveillance protocols have been studied in individuals with CDH1 mutations [10, 21]. Some of these studies demonstrated that chromoendoscopy might increase diagnostic accuracy and thus suggested that endoscopy may have role in guiding the timing of total gastrectomy. However, even in these promising studies, endoscopic surveillance yielded false-negative results in a significant proportion of patients [10, 21]. Moreover, there are some concerns regarding the potential toxicity of methylene blue and Congo-red, which have been used as staining agents in earlier chromoendoscopy studies [10, 21]. This is in contrast to indigo carmine, a dye that has been widely used in upper [22] and lower gastrointestinal endoscopy [23, 24]. Of note, indigo carmine has been shown to significantly increase detection rate of colorectal neoplasms [24]. To our knowledge no data exist whether use of this staining agent may have any role in surveillance of CDH1 mutation carriers and, thus, this was analyzed in the current study. To further increase the chance of detecting a malignant focus, chromoendoscopy with indigo carmine was combined with a minimum of 30 random biopsies per patient following a recommendation by the International Gastric Cancer Linkage Consortium (IGGLC) [15]. The diagnostic performance of this endoscopic surveillance protocol was studied in 8 carriers of a pathologic CDH1 germline mutation that subsequently underwent prophylactic/therapeutic gastrectomy.

## Patients and methods

### Patients

Between September 2012 and November 2014 patients with a proven CDH1 germline mutation identified by routine molecular genetic diagnostics in our institute were included. All patients were managed by a multidisciplinary team of gastroenterologists, gastrointestinal oncologists, surgeons and geneticists in our center for hereditary tumor syndromes. Patients were referred for prophylactic total gastrectomy and all were offered baseline endoscopy of the upper digestive tract. Institutional review board approval was obtained (099/15). The number of SRCC detected by targeted biopsies, random biopsies or a combination is reported as well as the number of SRCC in gastrectomy specimen. Follow up time is defined as the time span from first endoscopic examination to the most recent endoscopic examination.

Data are given as mean with standard deviation and proportion where appropriate.

### Endoscopy protocol

Baseline endoscopy was performed following a standard protocol by a team of trained endoscopists with expertise in chromoendoscopy of the stomach. All patients were offered conscious sedation with propofol. Constant insufflation and exsufflation, changing of positions and application of a mucolytic agent (N-acetylcysteine), if needed, was done to ensure full view of all anatomical segments of the mucosa up to the descending part of the duodenum. The examination was conducted with a high-resolution endoscope (EC-590VR; Fujifilm Corporation, Tokyo, Japan); Pan-chromendooscopy of the stomach is done by applying 0.4% indigo carmine dye (Indigo carmine Amino; Amino AG, Neuenhof Switzerland) via spray catheter (Olympus PW-5V1) to enhance contrast and to locate possible lesions. At least 25 minutes were spent to systematically examine the gastric mucosa. All suspicious lesions were described and biopsied. Furthermore a minimum of 30 random biopsies from all anatomical parts of the stomach (prepylorus, antrum, body, fundus and cardia) were taken.

### Pathology

A designated gastrointestinal pathologist examined all biopsy and gastrectomy specimens. Gastrectomy specimens were opened along the greater curvature, pinned and stretched on cork plate and fixed with 4% buffered formalin overnight. Each stomach was entirely submitted for histological examination using 3 mm to 5 mm-thick tissue sections that were routinely processed, paraffin-embedded, sectioned at 5 um and stained with H&E and also alcian-blue/PAS on serial sections. The topographical localisation of each sample was carefully annotated on a schematic map of the stomach.

Carcinomas were reported according to TNM classification of malignant tumors and protocolled along with every pathological finding.

### Results

#### Patient characteristics and CDH1 status

Eight patients from 3 different families with a history of either GC or LBC at a young age were included in our study. All the families fulfilled the extended criteria for HDGC [25]. In total 5 female and 3 male patients with a median age of 42.9 years (range 23 years to 61 years) at the time of study inclusion were analyzed. The number of SRCC detected by targeted biopsies, random biopsies or a combination is reported as well as the number of SRCC in gastrectomy specimen. Follow up time is defined as the time span from first endoscopic examination to the most recent endoscopic examination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Clinical criteria for hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC) defined by the International Gastric Cancer Linkage Consortium guidelines (IDGLC) [15].</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Two or more GC cases regardless of age, at least 1 confirmed DGC, in first-degree and second-degree relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Isolated individual diagnosed with DGC at age &lt;40 from a low incidence population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Personal or family history of both DGC and LBC, with 1 case &lt;50 years at time of diagnosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genetic testing of the CDH1 gene using leukocyte DNA revealed a missense mutation (c.1108G >A; p.Asp370Asn, exon 8) in family 1, a nonsense mutation (c.2116C >T;p.Gln706*, exon 13) in family 2, and a predicted splice site mutation (c.1137G >A, exon 8) in family 3 (GenBank RefSeq sequence NM_004360.3). The missense mutation c.1108G >A; p.Asp370Asn is located in a highly conserved region of the gene; 3 of 3 common in-silico tools (PolyPhen-2, MutationTaster, SIFT) predict this mutation to be prob-
ably pathogenic. The third mutation, c.1137G > A, is located within the splice donor site of exon 8. 5 of 5 in-silico tools predict that it affects splicing (Human Splicing Finder, NNSPLICE, Splice-SiteFinder-like, MaxEntScan and GeneSplicer predicted the efficiency of the splice site reduced by 70% in average, range 13% to 100%). This mutation was previously detected in a HDGC family and aberrant splicing of CDH1 RNA was shown in one of the mutation carriers [26].

At initial presentation, none of the mutation carriers showed any signs of clinically evident stomach cancer such as abdominal pain, weight loss or dysphagia. However, 6 of the 8 patients (75%) reported minor symptoms of dyspepsia.

### Endoscopic findings

All patients underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy with an average examination time of 37.7 minutes (+/- 9). However, 1 patient (patient D) had to be excluded from further analysis due to violation of the endoscopy protocol, as only 8 random biopsies were taken.

Five of the remaining 7 patients (Patients A, B, G, H, E) had abnormal findings (Fig. 1), including flat polyoid lesions < 5 mm in the antrum (Patients A, G, E), erosions (Patient B), and erythema (Patients E, G) during examination of the gastric mucosa using chromoendoscopy. Pale lesions (< 3 mm) were detected in one female patient (14%), whereas chromoendoscopy identified a single focus of SRCC (0.3 mm, pT1a) confined to the endoscopy specimen showed multifocal pathologic examination and omentectomy was performed.

During follow up, all 6 patients with proven cancer received control endoscopy of the upper digestive tract a median of 8 months (range 6 months to 10 months) after surgery, which did not reveal any suspicious lesion. Biopsies taken proved to be free of cancer.

### Discussion

In carriers of a pathogenic CDH1 germline mutation, current guidelines recommend PTG for mutation carriers even if endoscopic biopsies have been negative [20]. However, the decision-making process is complex in these patients and some of CDH1 mutation (+) patients might refuse PTG as they are afraid of a negative impact on quality of life and/or the risk of operation-associated complications [17, 27]. Moreover, with a penetrance of up to 70%, a relevant proportion of mutation carriers will never develop a CDH1-associated gastric carcinoma and thus PTG is not warranted in these patients. Therefore, there is a strong need to improve endoscopic surveillance in CDH1-mutation carriers in order to allow reliable detection of early gastric cancer, thereby enabling prompt decision making.

Here, we analyzed the diagnostic performance of chromoendoscopy using indigo carmine dye in combination with random biopsies for the detection of SRCC in 7 CDH1 germline mutation carriers from 3 different families. In random biopsies SRCC was detected in one female patient (14%), whereas chromoendoscopy-guided targeted biopsies failed to detect any cancer. In contrast, final histopathologic analysis of the gastrectomy specimen

---

### Table 2  Patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Kindred</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>CDH1 germline mutation</th>
<th>Positive endoscopy</th>
<th>Time interval from endoscopy to surgery (days)</th>
<th>Tumor localization and number of foci</th>
<th>Total number of carcinomas</th>
<th>TNM</th>
<th>Follow-up (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>c.1108G&gt;A; exon 8 p.Asp370Asn</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Cardia (1) Body (3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>T1aN0M0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Body (5)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>TisN0M0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No tumor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No tumor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>c.2116C&gt;T; exon 13 p.Gln706*</td>
<td>Yes (Carcinoma in random biopsy)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Body (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T1aN0M0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T1aN0M0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>T1aN0M0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>c.1137G&gt;A exon 8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Body (2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>T1aN0M0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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detected a total of 27 SRCC foci in 6 of the 7 patients (86%); indicating that chromoendoscopy in combination with random biopsies does not allow a reliable detection of malignant foci in CDH1 mutation carriers. This is in contrast to earlier studies which suggested that chromoendoscopy-guided targeted biopsies or careful white-light examination with targeted and random biopsies can identify early lesions, thereby helping to inform decision making with regard to gastrectomy [10, 21]. For instance, Shaw et al. demonstrated that chromoendoscopy with methylene blue and congo-red as staining agents facilitated the detection of early gastric carcinoma foci not visible by white light endoscopy [10]. However, in this study the pale lesions identified by chromoendoscopy appeared to correspond to the larger
malignant foci of 4 mm to 10 mm, whereas diagnostic performance for smaller lesions was low [10]. In our cohort, all of the cancer foci found after gastrectomy were smaller than 4 mm. Thus, chromoendoscopy seems to be insufficient for sensitive detection of small SRCC foci, irrespective of the dye used for staining. Moreover, there is wide variation in the number of these foci both within families and between HDGC kindreds, with average values ranging from <20 to >100 foci [8, 16, 18, 19, 28]. Indeed, the total number of SRCC foci per patient was much higher in the study by Shaw and co-workers than in our cohort which may explain the higher detection rate in the study by Shaw et al. (157 foci/patient vs. 4.5 foci/patient).

In a more recent study, Lim et al. evaluated the diagnostic performance of high-resolution white-light endoscopy with autofluorescence (AFI) and narrow-band imaging (NBI). In this work, the authors stated that very few targeted biopsies corresponded to SRCC (9.6%). Accordingly, only 5 of 16 patients with SRCC foci were identified by this approach. This further emphasizes the difficulties in sensitive detection of malignant foci by targeted biopsies.

Taking into account this problem the IGCLC established the Cambridge protocol for endoscopic examination [25], stating that a minimum of 30 random biopsies per patient should be taken. In line with these recommendations a total of 226 (31.1/patient) random biopsies were performed in our study. However, by this approach false-negative results were obtained in 6 out of 7 studied patients with a single focus of SRCC identified in 1 out of 226 random biopsies (0.4%). In the study of Lim et al. who performed an average of 24 random biopsies/ patient SRCC foci were detected with a sensitivity of about 80% (13/16 patients) [21], which is markedly higher than in our study (14.3%). This discrepancy most likely reflects the lower number of cancer foci found in gastrectomy specimen of our patients compared to that analyzed by Lim and co-workers (4.5/patient vs. 62/patient). Of note, even in the context of this rather high number of malignant foci 3 out of 16 patients positive for SRCC were missed by random biopsies in the Lim et al. study. This is in line with a report by Fujita et al. who calculated the theoretical number of biopsies necessary to capture at least 1 cancer focus to be 1768 (range 50 – 5832) to assure a 90% detection rate [29]. For obvious reasons this is clinically unsustainable.

Several series have studied the predilection of cancer foci in gastrectomy specimens [8, 16, 18, 30]. In our study, the majority of SRCC foci were found in body (16/27, 59%) and fundus (8/27, 30%), which is in line with data reported by Lim et al. and Fujita and co-workers. However, localization of DGC varied between different studies and thus there still is no agreement as to whether the proximal [16, 30] or the transitional zone [8, 18] should be preferentially sampled.

HDGC is a rare disease and, thus, it would not have been feasible to include a control cohort, which is a major limitation of our analysis. However, the observational character of our study reflects a real life scenario for each of the patients and highlights the current problems in correct diagnostics and subsequent decision-making in CDH1 mutation carriers.

In our cohort all patients underwent gastrectomy. In 6 of 8 patients, histopathologic analysis of the gastrectomy specimen revealed multifocal SRCC, including 5 patients with negative results on endoscopy. All patients displayed early stages of SRCC (Tis or T1a) and thus did not need any adjuvant chemotherapy, which further supports the idea of prophylactic surgery in HDGC patients. However, at what stage to recommend PTG remains debatable. Risk of advanced HDGC is considered to be less than 1% at age 20 years. Accordingly, some authors suggest that gastrectomy should be applied during the second decade of life because risk of metastases in patients younger than age 20 years is low [16, 31]. However, that is controversial as other studies have described patients who died of gastric cancer in their early 20 s [9]. In this context, sensitive identification of foci that are beginning to progress or which display specific gene expression signatures [32 – 37] suggesting a high likelihood of progression would be of great diagnostic benefit. Future studies are needed to clarify whether novel endoscopic methods such as confocal endomicroscopy [38] in combination with molecular analysis of targeted biopsies [39] may be helpful to achieve this goal.

Conclusions

Taken together, our findings indicate that chromoendoscopy in combination with random biopsies does not enable reliable and sensitive detection of SRCC foci in patients with known CDH1 mutation and emphasize the need for novel endoscopic techniques enabling more refined strategies for mucosal evaluation and biopsy targeting in these patients.
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