The lower esophageal sphincter (LES), the crural diaphragm, and the gastroesophageal flap valve (GEVF) are key components of the esophagogastric junction (EGJ) and the anti-reflux barrier. Currently available endoscopic techniques to treat gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) include suturing devices, transmural staplers, prosthetic implantation, and radiofrequency ablation; all aimed at reinforcing the LES [1]. Although reflux can occur when increases in intra-abdominal pressure surpass the hypotensive LES pressure, most reflux takes place during spontaneous relaxations of the EGJ, which are known as “the transient LES relaxations” (TLESRs) [2].

The GEFV and the associated acute angle of His can serve as a mechanical barrier by compressing the proximal stomach against the intra-abdominal esophagus, thereby limiting EGJ opening. While disruption of the GEFV structures in patients with GERD, particularly those with a hiatal hernia, has been assessed and graded for many years [3], recent functional anatomy analysis using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and high resolution manometry (HRM) has demonstrated that, compared with healthy subjects, patients with GERD have a wider insertion angle, which might compromise the function of the GEFV [4]. Therefore, the GEFV and its associated angle of His could be both an anatomical culprit and a therapeutic target for GERD. Here, we describe our recently developed endoscopic mucosal flap valvuloplasty technique to build/elongate the GEFV.

The study, using both preoperative anesthesia and postoperative euthanasia, was conducted in a porcine model. The endoscopic procedure was performed using a single-channel gastroscope (RS110; Pentax, Tokyo, Japan) with a transparent cap (MH-588; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) attached.

We began the procedure by making a 2-cm longitudinal mucosal incision using a Dual knife (KD-650l; Olympus) after submucosal injection of saline and methylene to form an entry site about 4 cm above the EGJ (Fig. 1a and Fig. 2a). A submucosal tunnel was then dissected from the esophagus, through the cardia, extending within the gastric submucosal space, eventually to a site 5 cm distal to the EGJ (Fig. 1b and Fig. 2b) [5]. The gastric submucosal tunnel was bilaterally extended using endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) to create a 135° fan-shaped submucosal space centered on the cardia with a radius of 5 cm. This space separated the covering mucosa and part of the submucosal layer from the underlying muscularis propria (Fig. 1b and Fig. 2c). An electric heating forceps was used both for coagulation of visual bleeding and for prophylactic hemostasis, as the fundal submucosal space is richly vascularized.

The endoscope was subsequently withdrawn from the tunnel, advanced into the gastric lumen, and retroflexed to detect the elevated, fan-shaped, detached fundal mucosa, which was stained in blue (Fig. 2c). After the submucosa had been injected and cautery markings made, the detached fundal mucosa, with the exception of its proximal part near the cardia, was circumferentially incised along its verge, using an IT-knife (KD-650l; Olympus) after submucosal injection of saline and methylene to form an entry site about 4 cm above the EGJ (Fig. 1c and Fig. 2d). Because of the self-elasticity of the muscularis mucosa, the free-hanging fundal
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**Fig. 1** Schematic illustrating the procedure of endoscopic gastroesophageal mucosal flap valvuloplasty. a A 2-cm longitudinal mucosal incision is made approximately 4 cm above the gastroesophageal juncture (GEJ) as a mucosal entry into the submucosal space. b A transcardiac submucosal tunnel is created to a site 5 cm distal to the GEJ. c A retroflexed endoscope is used to view the elevated, blue-stained fan-shaped gastric fundal mucosa, which is incised along its verge, with the exception of its proximal edge, then detached from the gastric luminal wall. d The incised mucosa curls spontaneously to form a double-layered mucosal flap. e The initial esophageal mucosal entry is closed with hemostatic clips, leaving a double-layered mucosal flap present at the GEJ. f The flap valve is thin and pliable so that it can prevent regurgitation of gastric contents into the esophagus.
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mucosa automatically curled toward the cardia to form a gastroesophageal mucosal flap (Fig. 1d and Fig. 2e). Therefore, the mucosal flap was composed of a double layer of mucosa with submucosal tissue sandwiched in between. The initial esophageal mucosal entry was eventually closed with hemo clips (DLC-D-135; Microtech, Aurora, Colorado, USA) (Fig. 1e, Fig. 1f and Fig. 2f).

During 3 weeks of follow-up after the procedure, the pig appeared to do well, with no evidence of fever or dysphagia. Endoscopic re-examination showed that both the incision in the esophagus and the mucosal ulcer on the gastric fundus had healed, and a living, prominent mucosal flap was observed at the EGJ. At necropsy, the size of the flap was 1.9 cm × 1.5 cm × 0.5 cm (Fig. 3a). Pathological examination demonstrated the sandwich-like flap structure with the mucosal layers on either side and a submucosal layer in the middle; small blood vessels could be observed (Fig. 3b). Therefore, this endoscopic valvuloplasty procedure appears safe and feasible.

This procedure was developed on the basis of advanced endoscopic resection techniques and has the potential to restore the GEFV function in patients with GERD. While current tests, such as measurement of the LES/gastric yield pressure or esophageal pH, are not suitable for evaluating GEFV function in a porcine model, ex vivo gastric perfusion tests or pH monitoring in primate animals could be applied in the future.
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