Management of Intracranial Incidental Findings on Brain MRI
Management intrakranieller Zufallsbefunde in der MRT-Bildgebung
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Abstract
The wider use of MRI for imaging of the head in both research and clinical practice has led to an increasing number of intracranial incidental findings. Most of these findings have no immediate medical consequences. Nevertheless, knowledge of common intracranial incidental findings and their clinical relevance is necessary to adequately discuss the findings with the patient. Based on the author’s experiences from a large population-based study, the most common incidental MR findings in the brain will be presented, discussing their clinical relevance and giving recommendations for management according to the current literature and guidelines.

Key points:
▶ Intracranial incidental findings are common.
▶ The majority of these findings have no immediate medical consequences.
▶ Knowledge of common incidental findings is necessary for appropriate management.

Introduction
Nowadays, continuous technical improvement in MR systems, the sequences used as well as the widespread use of high-field technology have resulted in ability of MRI to morphologically detect even minor changes in the brain. The number of MR examinations performed as part of the clinical routine has increased due to the high soft-tissue contrast without the use of radiation. Furthermore, magnetic resonance imaging is an integral part of population-based studies, such as the “Study of Health in Pomerania” (SHIP) [1] the study of the German national cohort [2] or the Rotterdam Study [3]. In addition, there has been an increase in the number of functional MRI examinations performed in the course of neurological studies. Consequently an increasing number of incidental findings have been detected. An incidental finding is a previously unrecognized abnormality with potentially clinical relevance that has been detected by chance, and not related to the basis for the examination [4]. The number and frequency of incidental findings are dependent on the examination modality [5] such as the field strength or sequence used as well as the patient or volunteer cohort [1, 6]. The frequency of intracerebral incidental findings described in the literature ranges between 2 – 32%. However, the majority of these findings did not
require further medical clarification [1, 3, 6], yet they represent an ethical and practical challenge, since they are unsettling to the patient or volunteer while having potential medical, financial or lifestyle consequences [7]. Patients expect the examining radiologist to provide a medical explanation as well as advice [8]. Therefore familiarity with frequently-occurring incidental findings and their various clinical consequences is important when adequately discussing the findings with the patient and, if necessary, recommending further consultation with relevant specialists. In clinical practice, such knowledge reduces the risk of overlooking a finding or misdiagnosing it [9]. In addition, the majority of volunteer subjects of neuroscientific studies have expected to be informed of possible existing abnormalities [10]. Although there is still no national or international consensus regarding responding to incidental findings [10], current population-based MR studies [1, 2] have established an internally standardized approach to incidental findings which in addition to categorizing findings, also particularly regulates communication of such findings as well as any required clarification, since this is likewise of importance [1, 2, 10]. This review article is based on the authors’ experience with a population-based MR study [1] as well as 12 years’ experience performing neurological functional MRI studies, and presents intracranial incidental findings frequently encountered during MRI, as well as their clinical relevance. The article also provides recommendations for management based on current literature as well as guidelines of the relevant individual professional associations.

Classification of Incidental Findings

Based on previous studies [1 – 3] and taking into account ethical aspects [1, 4], incidental findings can be assigned to various categories with respect to their clinical relevance and resulting consequences. In this overview, the classification system of two large population-based MR studies [1, 2] is used to classify incidental findings as: normal variations, incidental findings without clearly defined diagnostic consequences (Category I, “non-reportable”); findings requiring additional medical clarification (Category II, “reportable”) and findings requiring emergency clarification (Category III, “actionable”). Table 1 provides an overview of the classification of incidental findings into their respective categories. Depending on severity, assignment to different categories is possible. This will be discussed individually in the relevant sections. In our experience as well as in the literature, Category III generally comprises the least number of findings [1, 3, 6]. Unless otherwise indicated, the prevalence described in the individual sections is based on the prevalence in a normal healthy population as indicated in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Classification of individual incidental findings into the different categories.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>category I</td>
<td>category II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ventricular system variations</td>
<td>pineal cysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arachnoidal cysts</td>
<td>colloid cysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pineal cysts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enlarged perivascular spaces</td>
<td>cerebral microhemorrhages, solitary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choroid plexus cysts</td>
<td>cerebral microhemorrhages, multiple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cerebral microhemorrhages, solitary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMH (Fazekas Grade I)</td>
<td>WMH (Fazekas Grade II/III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracranial stenosis &lt; 50 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cavernoma in non-eloquent area without indication of hemorrhage and/or older patient/volunteer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>developmental venous anomaly (DVA)</td>
<td>intracranial aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capillary telangiectasia</td>
<td>arteriovenous malformation (AVM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meningioma, calcified/no perifocal edema/older patient/volunteer</td>
<td>meningioma with perifocal edema/younger patient/hyperintense signal in T2w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endogenous brain tumor without contrast accumulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Literature Review

Recommendations in this review article for management of individual incidental findings reflect the established approach in large population-based MR studies [1, 2]. In addition a Medline review for the 2002 – 2016 time frame was performed using the key words “incidental findings”, “brain MRI”, “management”, “population-based imaging”, “prevalence”, “guidelines”, “ventricular system”, “intracranial cyst”, “pineal gland cyst”, “cerebral microbleeds”, “white matter hyperintensities”, “radiological isolated syndrome”, “intracranial aneurysm”, “intracranial vascular malformation”, “intracranial stenosis”, “incidental stroke”, “meningioma”, “incidental glioma”, “pituitary adenoma”. Both primary literature and supplementary secondary literature for the respective findings were included. A further research of the guidelines of the relevant professional associations via the online portal www.awmf.org was performed using the respective incidental finding as a search term (last access 20 January 2016). These guidelines were assessed with respect to their recommendations for clinical management.
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Examination Protocol
Unlike clinical practice, neuroscientific studies are particularly characterized by a limited number of structural sequences [10]. Moreover, modification of the examination protocol in the course of studies is frequently not possible [1, 2], as this could make the differential diagnosis of incidental findings more difficult. Therefore recommendations for additional MR sequences or other radiological examination methods to confirm the findings are provided in the respective sections.

Ventricular System
A normal variation of the interventricular septum (Fig. 1) is frequently observed. A distinction can be made between a cavum vergae, a cavum veli interpositum and a cavum septum pellucidum; the latter is the most common variant [11]. In all weightings, the changes appear as fluid-isointense in the MRI. Even though associations with various neurological disorders have been described [12], such changes do not require further clarification (Category I).

Between 6 – 10% of all examinations exhibit limited asymmetry of the ventricular system (Fig. 1) not requiring further clarification (Category I) [13]; in such cases the right side is emphasized. The literature does not indicate clearly defined thresholds with respect to the degree of tolerated asymmetry. Pronounced asymmetry can be indicative of a possible drainage obstruction. In such cases, a possible structural lesion in the region of the interventricular foramen should be ruled out, and if needed a neurosurgical work-up should be performed (Category II).

In the differential diagnosis, normal pressure hydrocephalus should be considered if dilated temporal horns and rounded posterior horns are present with prominent ventricles in disproportion to narrow CSF spaces above the hemispheres (Fig. 1), and if there is no indication of an obstruction in the region of the interventricular foramen or aqueduct [14]. This is primarily a clinical diagnosis with a typical symptom triad (so-called Hakim triad) consisting of incontinence, gait disturbance and mental decline. Since this is a treatable form of dementia [14], further clarification should be performed (Category II) if this is suspected. If imaging raises suspicion of hydrocephalus, additional axial T2-weighted (T2w) or FLAIR images should be acquired to identify possible pressure caps. Further, the examination protocol should include high-resolution sagittal T2w images (e.g. CISS) of the aqueduct in order to rule out an obstruction.

Intracranial Cysts
Arachnoidal Cysts
These are the most common cystic intracranial anomaly with a prevalence of 0.3 – 1.4% [1, 3]. They are caused by a duplication or diverticulum of the arachnoid in an early phase of development [15] and are typically located in the region of the cisterns or above the hemisphere (Fig. 2). Despite their local space consuming effect on the brain, the literature does not provide any indication of association with clinical symptoms [15], so that – apart from very large cysts – further clarification is not necessary (Category I). Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) in which the epidermoid cyst appears hyperintense is suited to distinguish from the main differential diagnosis of epidermoid cyst.

Pineal Cysts
Cystic changes in the pineal gland are a common incidental finding, with a prevalence of up to 10% [16, 17]. As a rule they have a diameter < 1 cm, but can reach a diameter of up to 2 cm (Fig. 2). Septa and solid components can be demonstrated in uncomplicated cysts, and calcification can result from regressive changes. As a rule, the cysts appear minimally hyperintense in T1-weighted (T1w) and T2w images; there is no signal suppression in FLAIR. In high-resolution T2w images (e.g. CISS) cysts exhibit a low hypointense signal. Small pineal cysts are clinically irrelevant (Category I). Larger cysts can compress both the tectal plate and aqueduct, thus leading to headache [18]. No threshold has been defined in the literature; thus in the case of clinical symptoms, a neurosurgical work-up is called for (Category II). Purely morphologically, it is frequently not possi-

Fig. 1 Incidental findings of the ventricular system. a Axial FLAIR image of a 23-year old fMRI volunteer demonstrates a Cavum septi pellucidi et Vergae with a doubling of the interventricular septum. b Axial T2w image of a 27-year old patient with migraine. Asymmetry of the ventricular system. This is typically located on the right side. c Axial FLAIR image of a 67-year old fMRI volunteer. Symmetrical enlargement of the ventricular system and a mismatch between inner and outer CSF spaces. d Sagittal T2w image of the same volunteer as in c demonstrating downward bowing of the floor of the third ventricle indicating hydrocephalus. The volunteer was referred for further neurosurgical work-up.
ble to distinguish it from a pinealcytoma in the image [17]. In the case of highly inhomogeneous cysts, further examination using contrast and a follow-up shortly thereafter is recommended.

**Enlarged Perivascular Spaces**

Enlarged perivascular spaces (also called Virchow-Robin spaces (VR)) are interstitial fluid-filled areas surrounding the penetrating medullary arteries and adjoining the subarachnoid space. There is no consensus regarding the definition of “enlarged”; the majority of authors indicate a threshold of 2–3 mm [19]. It should be noted that VR spaces can be delineated using 3 T and are typically located in the basal ganglia in the region of the anterior perforated substance as well as in the white matter of the cerebrum. As a rule they are small, but can occupy substantial space (Fig. 3). Unlike lacunar ischemic lesions, VR spaces are liquor-isointense in all sequences and do not exhibit signal alteration in the adjoining medullary layer in FLAIR images. Although expanded VR spaces can be indicative of a number of neurological disorders [19], normally they reflect a change that does not require further clarification (Category I).

**Choroid Plexus Cysts**

These are non-neoplastic, non-inflammatory cysts within the choroid plexus; they are usually bilateral and multicentric. The cysts mainly appear isointense in T1w images, in T2w they are minimally hyperintense and strongly hyperintense in DWI (Fig. 3). They are clinically irrelevant (Category I), requiring no further clarification [20].

**Colloid Cysts**

Colloid cysts are protein-rich cysts on the roof of the third ventricle lying closely to the interventricular foramen (Fig. 3). Typically these cysts appear hypointense in T1w, and hyperintense in T2w; the signal correlates with the consistency of the cyst content. Although this is a rare incidental finding [3, 17], such cysts should be referred to a neurosurgeon (Category II), since hydrocephalus may result from a blockage of the interventricular foramen [21]. If there is sufficient suspicion, additional high-resolution T2w images (e.g. CISS) should be acquired in order to assess the positional relationship to the roof of the third ventricle and the interventricular foramen. Contrast-enhanced sequences are generally not required. Frequently colloid cysts can be easily identified in CCT as hyperdense lesions.

**Intracranial Hemorrhages**

**Macrohemorrhages**

As a rule, intracranial hemorrhaging is symptomatic (Category III), particularly in cases of intraparenchymal hemorrhage. Since signal behavior of the hemorrhage is age-dependent, and hemorrhages appear isointense in the hyperacute (<12 hours) and acute (12 hours to 2 days) stages in native T1w images frequently used in neurological studies, additional CT diagnosis should be performed if there is corresponding suspicion. In rare cases, however, large subdural hematomas or hygromas can be asymptomatic [1]. There is no data in the literature regarding the prevalence of asymptomatic subdural hematomas [1, 3, 6]. Typically they are concavely located above the hemisphere. Chronic subdural hematomas typically exhibit a hypointense signal in T1w and T2w images as well as in T2*w gradient echo (GRE) images. In FLAIR and DWI the changes appear hyperintense as a rule (Fig. 4). Since surgery is the therapy of choice particularly in cases of large hematomas [22], a neurosurgeon should be consulted immediately (Category III).

**Microhemorrhages**

Cerebral microhemorrhages (cerebral microbleeds, CBM) are intracerebral petechial hemorrhages that appear as punctate signal drop-outs in T2*w GRE images or SWI (susceptibility-weighted imaging) sequences (Fig. 4). In the literature the prevalence ranges from 4.5–9.6% [23, 24]; prevalence is dependent on the age of the patient as well as the examination technology used. Thin-slice SWI sequences with 3 T disclose more lesions that thick-slice GRE sequences with 1.5 T. Detection of a single lesion has limited diagnostic value [24]; evidence of at least two
lesions should be assessed as “positive” [23, 24]. In the majority of cases cerebral microbleeds are asymptomatic, but gain critical relevance if there are multiple occurrences. Thus the presence of multiple CBMs in otherwise healthy patients is a possible predictor of a cerebral vascular event [24]; when correlated with the extent of cognitive dysfunction, they can be indicative of an underlying disorder, depending on their distribution [24] (Fig. 4). Furthermore, there should be an additional investigation into cardiovascular risk factors if there are multiple CBMs (Category II).

**Changes in White Matter**

### Leukoaraiosis

Leukoaraiosis (white matter hyperintensities, WMH) is a descriptive term for rarefication of the white matter...
caused by damage to the medullary layer arteries [25]. In T2w and Flair images, these changes appear as patchy or flat increases in signal which typically omit the subcortical U fibers (Fig. 5). The prevalence of WMH increases with age [3, 26], and the changes are more pronounced in patients with cardiovascular risk factors and symptoms of cerebrovascular diseases. White matter hyperintensities can be quantified automatically or semi-quantified visually [26]. Visual semi-quantification can be performed in routine clinical practice. The Fazekas scale is an established means of classifying according to three levels of severity: Grade I: mild WMH, individual punctate lesions < 10 mm; Grade II: moderate WMH; individual lesions between 10 – 20 mm; Grade III: severe WMH, confluent lesion; individual or confluent lesions > 20 mm [27]. In addition, a current meta-analysis has described a relationship between the extent of WMH and the risk of stroke, cognitive dysfunction and development of dementia [26]. Therefore, in cases of extensive WMH (Fazekas Grade II/III), there should be further investigation (Category II) with respect to cardiovascular risk factors [25, 28].

Radiological Isolated Syndrome

Hyperintense lesions detected in T2w or FLAIR images which in shape, size and location (Fig. 5) appear like demyelinating masses in multiple sclerosis in otherwise neurological persons are characterized as radiological isolated syndrome (RIS) [29]. Prevalence among young people 15 – 24 years of age is 0.1 % [30]. Within the following 2.3 – 5.4 years, approx. 40 % of these patients develop neurological symptoms, and 10 % will formally meet the diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis [31]. Detected asymptomatic meyelon lesions increases the risk of developing symptoms [32]. As a rule, patients who eventually develop a neurological deficit also exhibit a progression of lesions in T2w and FLAIR images. Although there is no current consensus regarding the type and extent of possible therapy [32], a neurological work-up should be performed (Category II).

Vascular Changes

Intracranial Stenosis

Although intracranial atherosclerosis is one of the most common causes of stroke [33], the literature does not provide a uniform statement regarding the prevalence of stenosis. Stenoses are not reliably detected on anatomical sequences. Time of flight (TOF) angiography is superior to contrast-enhanced MRI with respect to local resolution imaging of intracranial vessels. It should be kept in mind, however, that due to the method, stenoses appear exaggerated in TOF angiography. Opinions regarding the management of intracranial stenoses are varied [34]. There should be further clarification of the stenosis (Category II) if the stenosis is > 50 % or extensive WMH is ascertained (Fazekas Grade II/III) [35], since stenosis progression or the risk of a cerebrovascular event is raised [33].

Stroke

A stroke is the occurrence of clinical symptoms caused by an ischemic lesion. A distinction should be made regarding clinically silent infarcts (acute incidental infarcts, AII) [36]. The prevalence of AII infarcts lies between 8 – 28 % [36] and increases with age. In DWI they appear as hyperintense lesions with corresponding signal reduction on the ADC card (Fig. 5). In T2w and FLAIR images they are likewise hyperintense, in T1w images they appear hypointense. After about 3 days subacute infarcts can exhibit barrier disturbance. Most cases of AII are not asymptomatic, but the symptoms described by the patient are not perceived as a stroke by relatives; consequently there is no medical follow-up [37]. Since both a transient ischemic attack (TIA) with a DWI-positive lesion as well as an AII increase the risk of a subsequent stroke [36], they represent an incidental finding requiring immediate investigation (Category III).

Aneurysms

The prevalence of intracranial aneurysm in the normal adult population lies between 1 – 7 % [8]; in population-
based MR studies, the prevalence is 1–3 % [1, 3, 6]. Most cases involve a saccular aneurysm of the basal cerebral arteries. The rupture of an intracranial intradural aneurysm, distal to the origin of the ophthalmic artery for the internal carotid artery or distal to the origin of the posterior inferior cerebellar artery for the vertebral artery results in a subarachnoid hemorrhage. Aneurysms can be easily identified if the examination protocol includes MR angiography. Due to its local resolution, TOF angiography also has high sensitivity for even small aneurysms [38]. However, they can also be distinguished in contrast-enhanced T1w images (Fig. 6). The signal in T2w and FLAIR images depends on the flow and possible thrombus within the aneurysm. Opinions regarding the natural progression and risk of rupture of an incidental asymptomatic aneurysm are varied [8, 39, 40]. The individual risk of rupture depends upon a number of factors that cannot be influenced (e.g. size and location of the aneurysm), as well as those that can (e.g. smoking, high blood pressure). Although previously an average rupture risk of 5 % in 5 years was assumed [8], a more recent meta-analysis was able to develop an improved individual score for the initial risk assessment [41]. Due to continuously improved treatment options, a work-up (Category II) of this type of incidental finding should be performed at a neurovascular center regardless of the age of the patient or aneurysm configuration [8, 40].

**Cavernomas**

Cavernomas make up approx. 10–15 % of all intracranial vascular malformations [39] with a prevalence of approx. 0.6 %. These are low flow malformations consisting of multiple thin-walled capillaries without any intervening brain tissue (Fig. 7). Thrombi can form within these capillaries. The adjoining medullary layer contains hemosiderin deposits and gliosis. Cavernomas may be grouped freely, can occur sporadically or postradiogenically, and in the majority of cases are located supratentorially. Depending on the location, typical symptoms are headache, seizures, focal neurological deficits or intracerebral hemorrhaging; 20 % of cases remain asymptomatic, however [42]. In T2w GRE or SWI images, cavernomas appear as signal drop-outs caused by susceptibility artifacts of blood breakdown products of thrombi within the lesion. Thus the artifact is larger than the lesion itself (so-called “blooming” phenomenon). However, T2w images better display the “popcorn-like” character of the changes with an inhomogeneous central core and a marginal hypointense rim. In addition, possible siderosis in the adjacent medulla is a clear indication of previous bleeding. With small lesions in particular, differentiation from microhemorrhages can be difficult. Incidental cavernomas can be monitored (Category II) [39]; surgery is indicated in cases that cannot be managed through medication, or in cases of neurological deficit or MR indications of recurrent hemorrhage in an eloquent region of the brain, or initial hemorrhage in a non-eloquent region, as well as in younger patients (Category II). Management of brain stem cavernomas is controversial; prompt surgical treatment [39] should be considered (Category II).

**Developmental Venous Anomaly (DVA)**

Developmental venous anomaly (DVA) [43] is the most common vascular cerebral malformation with a prevalence of 2.6 % in autopsy studies. These are not malformations in the strictest sense, but rather a variant of physiological venous drainage of the brain, in which multiple small par enchymal veins collect in a large collecting vein which can extend either cortically or subependymally [43]. Contrast-enhanced T1w images reveal a typical palm tree sign (Fig. 8). In particular the collecting vein can be distinguished as a signal drop-out in T2w images. A DVA is asymptomatic and does not bleed. However, in 20 % of cases, it is associated with a cavernoma with a bleeding risk (see previous section). Further investigation is not necessary (Category I).

**Capillary Telangiectasias**

Capillary telangiectasias make up approx. 15–20 % of all intracranial vascular malformations [44] with a prevalence of approx. 0.7 %. These are a vascular malformation made up of thin-walled capillaries with intervening normal cer-
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**Fig. 6** Incidental intracranial aneurysms. In all the cases the patients and volunteers, respectively, were referred to a neurovascular center due to the size, age or growth tendency of the aneurysm. a Inverted MIP-reconstruction of a TOF-MRA. 45-year-old MRI-volunteer with a small aneurysm of the anterior communicating artery (arrow). b Axial T2w image of a 23-year old patient with non-specific vertigo. The aneurysm at the origin of the posterior communicating artery on the right side (arrow) causes a flow void (unaffected carotid-T on the contralateral side, dotted arrow). c Sagittal contrast-enhanced T1w image of a 45-year old female patient with Multiple Sclerosis. The MRI was performed as an annual control. Detection of two new grown aneurysms of the anterior cerebral artery (arrow) and the anterior communicating artery (dotted arrow). d Intraarterial catheter angiography (XA) of the internal carotid artery of the same patient as in c demonstrating the good correlation between MRI and XA.
ebral parenchyma. They are a relatively common incidental finding, mainly located in the region of the pons and generally asymptomatic. In FLAIR images capillary telangiectasias appear low-contrasting hyperintense; in T2*w and SWI images they exhibit significant signal reduction. Administration of contrast agent results in low-contrast accumulation (Fig. 8). Typically these changes are shown on only 1–2 slices. Further clarification is unnecessary (Category I), since these are benign lesions [43, 44].

Arteriovenous Malformations (AVM)
In clinical practice, the term “arteriovenous malformation” is frequently a synonym for a pial AVM, i.e. a short-circuit connection between arteries and veins supplying the brain. Although AVM is the most frequent cause of an intracerebral hemorrhage in young adults, its prevalence is minor at 0.1% [39, 45]. In 50% of cases, an AVM is manifested by intracerebral hemorrhaging; other common symptoms include headaches, seizures or a focal-neurological deficit. The pathological short-circuit results in dilation of the arterial feeder vessels and draining veins. In T2w images these blood vessels appear as tubular signal void artifacts that after administration of contrast agent demonstrate definite accumulation (Fig. 8). An AVM is classified according to its size, location and type of venous drainage [46]. The individual risk of hemorrhage depends on a number of factors, including location, drainage via the deep brain veins and the presence of an aneurysm in the feeder vessels [39]. Although a current study has demonstrated the superiority of conservative treatment of asymptomatic AVM [47], individual treatment remains a subject of discussion; therefore an examination in a neurovascular center is recommended (Category II).

Intracranial Tumors
Meningiomas
Meningiomas are tumors arising from the meninges and are benign in the majority of cases. Prevalence is about 0.5% [1, 3, 6, 48]. As a rule, in T1w images meningiomas appear iso- or limited hypointense compared to the cortex (Fig. 9). In T2w the signal is variable, but hyperintense as a rule. After administration of contrast agent, strong homogeneous accumulation appears in the image, depending on the extent of calcification. Likewise the adjacent dura shows thickening as well as significant accumulation, the so-called “dura tail”. The extra-axial location of the tumor outside the cerebral parenchyma can be particularly assessed on T2w images showing the cortical veins lying between the brain surface and the tumor as tubular signal voids. Therefore in case of related suspicion, contrast-enhanced T1w images should be acquired. Calcifications can be distinguished in T2*w GRE as well as T2w images. Frequently these changes can be better evaluated in native CCT. Over the course of time, the majority of incidental meningiomas exhibit no or limited growth tendencies [48]. Calcification and an isointense signal to the cortex on T2w images correlate with limited growth, whereas the absence of calcifications, a hyperintense signal in T2w, perifocal edema as well as young patient age are predictive of tumor growth [48]. The treatment is dependent on the location of the tumor. Surgery is indicated for younger patients if there is pronounced perifocal edema, large non-calcified tumors, penetration into the orbit as well as tumors the continued growth of which would make complete resection difficult. In such cases, a neurosurgeon should be consulted (Category II); otherwise a follow-up in 6–12 months can be performed to evaluate the growth tendency of the tumor (Category II).

Endogenous Brain Tumors
The prevalence of incidental gliomas is much lower than that of meningiomas, and is given as 0.05% in the literature.
In T1w, tumors typically exhibit a hypointense signal (\(\text{Fig. 9}\)); in T2w and FLAIR images they appear as hyperintense with an unclear boundary. In every suspected case, the examination protocol should therefore be supplemented by contrast-enhanced T1w images on at least two spatial planes. As an alternative T1w 3D data sets (e.g. MPRage) with multiplane reformation are available. Contrast agent accumulation is found only in high-grade endogenous brain tumors which, as a rule, are also symptomatic \([49]\). Whereas a contrast-enhancing tumor requires further clarification (Category III), opinions vary as to the management of incidental low-grade gliomas \([49]\); therefore a neuro-oncological work-up should be performed early (Category II).

**Pituitary Tumors**

The prevalence of an incidental tumor of the pituitary, a so-called incidentaloma, is indicated to be 0.1%. In the majority of cases these are adenomas, which according to their size can be classified as microadenomas (<10 mm) and macroadenomas (>10 mm) (\(\text{Fig. 9}\)). As a rule, these tumors appear isointense compared to the healthy pituitary in T2w and native T1w images. Consequently they can be distinguished either according to their mass (macroadenomas) or low contrast accumulation compared to healthy pituitary tissue (microadenomas). Thus microadenomas represent an incidental finding only in contrast-enhanced images. It should be noted that approx. 35–50% of all patients up to age 35 exhibit an upward convex pituitary gland. Except for pregnant and nursing women the maximum craniocaudal diameter should not be greater than 10 mm in sagittal cross-section images \([50]\). Since microadenomas may be endocrine disruptors, and macroadenomas can cause compression of the optic chiasm due to their size, further endocrinological and neuro-ophthalmological investigation should be performed (Category II) even in cases of low growth tendency \([50]\).
Intracranial incidental findings are common, but in the majority of cases, they have no immediate medical consequences. Familiarity with common incidental findings, their clinical relevance and recommended management is required in order to discuss the findings adequately with the patient and to initiate further investigation if necessary.

Summary

Intracranial incidental findings are common, but in the majority of cases, they have no immediate medical consequences. Familiarity with common incidental findings, their clinical relevance and recommended management is required in order to discuss the findings adequately with the patient and to initiate further investigation if necessary.
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