Introduction

No accurate epidemiological data on the incidence of chronic pelvic pain in women, an ill-defined term, have been published to date. In a review of the international literature, Latthe et al. [1] state a prevalence of 2–24% for chronic, non-cyclical pelvic pain; estimates for the USA and Germany are between 7 and 15% [2–4].

Methods: This pilot study investigated 28 patients (age 20–65, median 36.5 years) from a gynaecology practice whose only clinical finding was painful pelvic floor muscle tightness. Following standardised gynaecological and physiotherapist examination, all patients received osteopathic treatment. Pain had been present for a median of 3 years (range 1 month to 20 years). 14 patients had previously confirmed endometriosis. Treatment success was evaluated on consultation with patients in person or in writing.

Results: 22 of the 28 participants completed the treatment according to plan. Overall, 17 reported symptom improvement, while 10 of the 14 patients with endometriosis did.

Conclusion: Osteopathy is well received by women with painful pelvic floor muscle tightness and appears to be an effective treatment option.
The median interval from endometriosis diagnosis to onset of symptoms is 10.4 years [6]. This highlights the unsatisfactory situation these patients find themselves in, however this particular study sheds no light on whether available treatments are effective.

Women with chronic pelvic pain very often have painful pelvic floor muscle tightness [7] – a rare finding in healthy, symptom-free women [8]. Significant comorbidity with musculoskeletal diseases exists, a fact that is not widely acknowledged [9].

The term “osteopathy” describes a school of diagnosis and treatment developed by the American Andrew Taylor Still. Its central focus is on musculo-fascial structures and their influence on somatic symptoms. Three forms of practice have evolved: parietal osteopathy, visceral osteopathy and craniosacral therapy. Evidence exists to different degrees – in descending order – supporting the efficacy of each of the three subdivisions of osteopathy [10].

Osteopathy describes causality between numerous symptoms and diseases due to the fascial connections between the musculoskeletal system and the internal organs. Thus, inflammation of internal organs can cause musculoskeletal symptoms and vice versa (e.g. injuries of the axial skeleton can cause abdominal symptoms). Also, functional limitation at one skeletal level may cause symptoms at a different level. These interconnections are described as “lesion chains” [11].

This pilot study investigates the efficacy of osteopathic diagnosis and treatment for women with chronic pelvic pain and painful pelvic floor muscle tightness not related to the menstrual cycle.

Materials and Methods

Patients

28 women presented to our clinic at a certified endometriosis centre with chronic lower abdominal symptoms not clearly related to the menstrual cycle. 14 of these patients had previously confirmed endometriosis. In the control group of 14 patients without known endometriosis, 7 had previous abdominal surgery for other reasons (uterine fibroids, appendicectomy, cholecystectomy, Crohn’s disease, ileus during infancy); specific endoscopic exclusion of endometriosis was however not carried out since dysmenorrhea and infertility did not feature as prominent symptoms in this group (Table 1).

Group allocation was on the basis of clinical history.

Gynaecological examination

Apart from the gynaecological history, particular note was taken of symptoms, diseases and injuries of the axial skeleton. All patients underwent a standard gynaecological examination by the same investigator (M. Sillem). Additional transvaginal digital palpation of the levator ani and internal obturator muscles was performed. Bony landmarks were the inferior pubic ramus and the ischial spine (Fig. 1). A diagnosis of painful muscle tightness was made if there was tenderness on careful palpation. All patients also underwent transvaginal ultrasound examination. All patients were offered further investigation with (repeat) laparoscopy and/or medication as alternatives to osteopathic treatment.

Study inclusion

Only patients with painful tightness of the pelvic floor musculature were included in the study. Patients with concurrent pathological findings on clinical or ultrasound examination of the genital tract (cysts, fibroids) were treated accordingly and excluded from the study.

After selection, patients were presented to a physiotherapist with osteopathy training (I. Klausmeier). A clinical history was taken, with particular attention to musculoskeletal and abdominal symptoms/injuries, followed by a systematic examination (sacroiliac joint mobility, tenderness on abdominal palpation, posture, range of motion of the hips, spine).

Osteopathic treatment

A standardised approach was used starting with release of musculoskeletal blocks, particularly of the sacroiliac joints. Depending on clinical findings, this was followed by mobilisation of the diaphragm and abdominal organs using standard techniques. The pelvic floor was released using the so-called “grand manoeuvre” (movement of the abdominal organ compartment in a cranial direction). The treatment was concluded in many cases with mobilisation of the temporomandibular joints and cervical spine.
Each patient was prescribed 6 treatment sessions, of which a median of 6 were carried out (range 1–24), usually at weekly intervals.

**Evaluation**
A few weeks after treatment completion, patients were questioned in person or in writing on their satisfaction with the treatment.

**Results**

### Clinical history
Duration of symptoms ranged from a few weeks up to 20 years, median 3 years. 21 patients had previously had an abdominal operation: 9 had one previous laparoscopy or laparotomy, 8 patients had 2, one patient 3, and 3 patients 4 previous laparoscopies and/or laparotomies. 10 of the patients with endometriosis were taking or had previously taken hormonal treatment, in 6 cases dienogest mono. Four of these patients had had no improvement of symptoms. 11 patients reported injuries or diseases of the axial skeleton/musculoskeletal system or specifically the lower limbs (3 motor vehicle accidents, 3 sacral injuries, 2 knee injuries, and 1 each for scoliosis, pelvic ring fracture and multiple fractures). Six patients had had psychotherapy, which had been recommended for a further two patients.

### Osteopathic treatment
Patients underwent a median of 6 (range 1–24) osteopathic treatment sessions each lasting 30 minutes. 22 patients completed treatment as planned with only two patients discontinuing after a single session. The median of repeat prescriptions was 2.5 (range 0 to 5). The group of 14 patients with endometriosis differed significantly from the rest of the participants as follows: longer duration of symptoms, more previous operations and both better treatment compliance and outcome (Tables 2 to 4). In contrast there was no difference in comorbidity between the groups. Five out of 11 cases with musculoskeletal diseases/injuries benefitted from osteopathic treatment, whereas all 5 patients with endometriosis and psychiatric comorbidity did. Three patients without any symptom improvement after osteopathic treatment re-presented to the gynaecology clinic: One, with a history of previous endometriosis, required surgery for a newly diagnosed ovarian endometrioma; two were receiving and had benefitted from psychotherapy.

### Discussion
This feasibility study presents our experience with osteopathy for the treatment of endometriosis and pelvic pain on the basis of muscular tightness. There is little scientific data on the use of osteopathy in general, and available data often has limited validity. In a PubMed search only one study on the described techniques for the treatment of endometriosis was found. Daraï and colleagues [12] used osteopathy to treat 20 patients with deep infiltrating endometriosis, of whom one third had already been operated and 70% were receiving medication. Physical wellbeing improved in 80% of patients and 60% had improved psychological wellbeing. In a Cochrane Review, however, Proctor et al. [13] concluded that spinal
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**Table 1** Summary of differences between patients with and without endometriosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Known endometriosis</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Duration of symptoms in years</th>
<th>Number of laparoscopies/-tomies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>median (range)</td>
<td>median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 (2–20)</td>
<td>2 (1–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No known endometriosis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1–5)</td>
<td>0.5 (0–3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Treatment success as a function of previously diagnosed endometriosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endometriosis</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Repeat prescription</th>
<th>Did not improve</th>
<th>Therapy stopped</th>
<th>No follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No known endometriosis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Treatment success as a function of known/previous musculoskeletal diseases/injuries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive history</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Repeat prescription</th>
<th>Did not improve</th>
<th>Therapy stopped</th>
<th>No follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative history</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** Comorbidity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Known endometriosis</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Musculoskeletal</th>
<th>Psychosomatic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No known endometriosis</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Previous history of musculoskeletal disease/injury.
Manipulation (a parietal osteopathic technique) was of no benefit for dysmenorrhea. Chronic pain arising from areas bordering the internal genital organs includes backache/low-back pain and urinary tract pain syndromes. A systematic review of osteopathy for lower back pain found numerous studies of which only two were high-quality: One showed an effect no better than placebo, the other found similar effects for osteopathy, conventional physiotherapy and physical/sporting activity [14]. However, a prospective, double-blind, randomised trial of 455 patients, which was published soon thereafter and included a control arm receiving a sham treatment, showed a highly significant positive effect [15]. A systematic review of osteopathy in women with lower urinary tract symptoms also showed a statistically significant positive effect [16].

Endometriosis is a disease prone to recurrence, with recurrence rates estimated at 20 and 50% respectively two and five years after primary treatment [17]. However, it has also been found in at least 20% of women undergoing routine tubal ligation for family planning [18]. This means that other causes of pain and alternative treatment approaches must be considered, especially when proven effective treatments fail. Endometriosis patients in our study were burdened by long histories of suffering and multiple operations. These women were more likely to benefit from osteopathy and had better compliance than women without known endometriosis.

A history of injury or disease of the musculoskeletal system with consequent tension of the pelvic floor musculature was common among our study patients. Psychopathological comorbidity was considerable, as shown by the number of women being recommended or already receiving psychotherapy.

Osteopathy was well received as a treatment option by participants in our study and proved effective in this heterogeneous group with multiple previous treatments. On the one hand, the validity of this pilot study is limited by small population size and the lack of systematic follow-up, on the other hand all patients were examined and treated in a standardised fashion by the same two investigators.

**Conclusion**

We consider osteopathy to be a valuable additional treatment method as part of the multimodal approach to the treatment of endometriosis and chronic pelvic pain.
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