Malignant breast diseases as differential diagnoses in mastitis
Maligne Brusterkrankungen als Differenzialdiagnosen von Brustentzündungen
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Zusammenfassung

Abstract
Inflammatory diseases in the female breast are mainly caused by bacterial infection of the mammary gland tissue. The infections may be etiologi- cally and temporally associated with birth and lactation, or may occur independently of the puer- perium. Local inflammatory phenomena are the main factors determining the extremely painful symptoms, which are sometimes associated with general symptoms (fever). Requiring differentiation from mastitis and abscess formation in the female breast, a similar clinical picture may be caused by benign skin changes (erysipelas, venous thrombosis, scleroderma, panniculitis, neurodermatitis, allergy, and trauma) and also malignant diseases (inflammatory breast cancer, paget’s disease, leukemic infiltration, and paraneoplastic dermatosis).

Inflammatory changes in the female breast are a group of diseases that can represent a severe symptomatic burden for the women affected, although these conditions are always histologically benign. They mainly result from traumatic lymphogenic or canalicular ascent of bacteria into the mammary gland tissue. Independently of the pathogenesis of the inflammatory process, the severity of the symptoms and their treatment are always exclusively determined by the local findings.

Inflammatory breast diseases
Puerperal mastitis affects around 1 % of puerperal women. It usually occurs in the second week after birth, so that it typically appears after discharge from hospital [1]. In comparison with multiparous women, primiparous are twice as likely to develop mastitis. Young age and nosocomial conditions facilitate the development of the inflammation, which typically — in 80 % of cases — occurs unilaterally.

In terms of its etiopathology, bacterial infection of mammary gland tissue results from the ascent of bacteria along the lactiferous ducts, starting in the area of the maternal nipple — Staphylococcus aureus in 95 % of cases, S. epidermidis 4 %, streptococci 3 %, Pseudomonas aeruginosa < 1 %, and others [2]. Bacterial colonization of the nipple occurs during breastfeeding and originates from the infant’s oropharyngeal bacterial reservoir. This is preceded by airborne transmission of the bacterial pathogen to the child — possibly from the mother, medical staff, family members, or visitors. Starting from the bacterially colonized mamill-la–areola complex, the pathogen’s spread is typi- cally lymphogenic, assisted by rhagades; more rarely, there may be retrograde canalicular spread (with congested lactiferous ducts), or very rarely hematogenous spread [3]. Inadequate hygiene during breastfeeding and/or galactostasis may
contribute to bacterial propagation and the development of flori
d infection [2].
Clinically, the classic signs of inflammation — rubor, calori
tumor, and dolor — make the breast appear (unilaterally) red, unusually
ewarm, edematously enlarged, and sensitive to pain. The area of
inflammation is most often located in the superior lateral quad
rant of the breast. Pathological (purulent) nipple secretion and
axillary lymphadenopathy may also be seen (Fig. 1a).
Clinical examination (inspection, palpation) of the local findings
is diagnostically indicative. Palpable and enlarged axillary lymph
nodes and fever with severe malaise (chills) round out the clinical
picture. Bacteriological diagnosis, with or without resistance
screening, is usually unnecessary, since Staphylococcus aureus
can almost always be identified and since staph. aureus does not
developed resistance there are no diagnostic or therapeutic im-
lications [4]. Ultrasonography of the breast can confirm inflam-
matory edema in the mammary gland tissue, ductal ectasia, and
reactive lymph-node enlargement — and above all, it can exclude
the formation of abscess cavities (Fig. 1b).
Puerperal mastitis is treated by systematic drainage of the lactat-
ing breast, application of heat with expression (pumping) if need-
ed, as well as analgesic and antipyretic measures. Particularly in
the early stage, alternating application of cool compresses can
successfully control swelling and reduce symptoms. Antibiotic
treatment at this early stage is associated with a risk of microabs-
cesses developing. At more advanced stages of inflammation, it
may be necessary to prescribe antibiotics for 7 – 10 days (e.g., pe-
icillinase-resistant penicillin, cephalosporin, clindamycin, mac-
rolides) [2]. If treatment fails (usually due to anti-inflammatory
therapy being started too late or administered inconsistently), it
may be the first sign of abscess formation. In this case, heat
should be applied to support the necrotizing process of the area
of inflammation and promote subsequent interventional pus
drainage [5]. Weaning is not required, however (prolactin inhibi-
tors are not a routine therapeutic agent), as the neonate often has
the same oral bacterial profile (excluding pediatric health impair-
ment due to insufficient bacterial colonization) as is present on
the surface of the mother’s breast (the degree of comparability
after 1 week is 80%).
Nonpuerperal mastitis affects approximately 0.1 – 2 % of all gynec-
ological patients and thus occurs more frequently than the puerperal form (Fig. 2a). Sixty percent of these patients are under
the age of 30. Another peak in the incidence occurs during
the perimenopause. Recurrences are frequent in spite of appro-
priate therapy.
In terms of its etiopathology, nonpuerperal mastitis is a mixed
bacterial infection of the mammary gland tissue (40 % Staphylo-
coccus aureus, 40 % coagulase-negative staphylococci, 10 – 20 %
aerobes, < 5 % Escherichia coli, < 5 % Proteus mirabilis, < 5 % en-
terococci and group B streptococci, lactobacilli, fusobacteria, my-
coplasma, and others). It develops via a canalicular or lympho-
genic pathway [6]. The following factors promote infection: congested secrections in the efferent ducts near the nipple, hyper-
prolactinemia (20 %), various medications, endocrine factors,
stress, trauma (accidental injury to the breast skin allowing bac-
terial ingress; animal scratches and bites; and intentional lesions,
e.g. with piercing), and last but not least, smoking (60 %, and 90 %
with complicated courses — relapse, fistula formation).
Special abacterial forms (granulomatous mastitis, plasma cell
mastitis, concomitant or specific forms of mastitis) differ from
nonpuerperal mastitis in relation to their pathogenesis and de-
tection, as well as treatment [2].

Fig. 1 Puerperal mastitis. a Clinical image with erythema as the cardinal symptom of breast tissue inflammation (courtesy of Prof. Dr. Christoph Mundhenke, Klinik für Gynäkologie und Geburts-
hilfe UKSH – Campus Kiel). b Ultrasound: Inflammation-mediated structural changes in the gland tissue.

Fig. 2 Nonpuerperal mastitis. a The combined symptoms of tumor, rubor, dolor, and calor in non-
puerperal breast infection (courtesy of Prof. Dr. Christoph Mundhenke, Klinik für Gynäkologie und Geburts-
hilfe UKSH – Campus Kiel). b Ultrasound: Nonhomogeneous swelling and hypoechoic areas with edema in the inflamed adipose tissue.
Clinically, an area of nonpuerperal inflammation is usually unilateral and located near the nipple. A gradual decline in local symptoms, associated with moderate fever, malaise, and altered laboratory test values typical for infection are diagnostically indicative in comparison with puerperal mastitis. In nonpuerperal mastitis, a lactiferous duct fistula that developed at the time of the original illness can render the mammary gland tissue permanently prone to infection and result in a protracted course with chronic recurrences, and among other things may make it extremely difficult to pinpoint the exact cause [7].

Granulomatous mastitis results from a retained secretion that infiltrates the breast stroma from a damaged duct, causing peri ductal changes consistent with plasma cell mastitis. Microabscesses lead to the development of tissue granulation. Long-term corticosteroid administration is used to treat the condition. Surgery and biopsy procedures are associated with a risk of exacerbating the disease and should therefore be avoided.

The diagnostic approach with nonpuerperal mastitis is the same as that with the puerperal form. Ultrasound (Fig. 2b) and laboratory tests (previously unnoticed hyperprolactinemia is seen in 20% of cases) supplement the clinical assessment. However, clearly raised parameters for inflammation (leukocytes, CRP) are only seen in advanced processes (such as abscess). When the pathogenesis of the mastitis is nonpuerperal, the results of bacteriological analyses of nipple secretion or (abscess) pus, including bacterial resistance screening, may potentially determine the therapeutic approach.

Treatment for early-stage nonpuerperal mastitis is based on systemic antibiotic administration including the anaerobic spectrum, which can be adjusted if necessary after resistance screening. Supplementary symptomatic and resorptive treatment measures (including cooling of the breast) should be initiated. Depending on the etiology of the inflammation, a prolactin inhibitor can be added to the drug treatment in selected cases. Prolactin inhibitors can reduce recurrences by 10% [2]. Fistulas that develop in complicated courses of mastitis should be surgically excised along with the lactiferous duct involved (this can often be demonstrated using ultrasound). Despite initially successful treatment, however, courses involving recurrences are not uncommon. Particularly from this point of view, adequate treatment compliance (to achieve a sustained response) should be ensured.

Breast abscess, as a sequela of mastitis, also occurs unilaterally — like the initial infection — with a ratio of nonpuerperal to puerperal abscesses of 55% to 45%. The risk factors correspond to those of the underlying forms of mastitis. Depending on the etiology, recurrences are possible despite appropriate treatment.

In terms of the etiopathogenesis, abscesses form when mastitis progresses unabated (due to a lack of treatment or failure of treatment), leading to local encapsulation and proteolytic and purulent necrotizing processes [8].

Diagnostically, breast abscesses can be detected using inspection (swelling, reddening of the skin, peau d’orange, pathologic secretion), palpation (fluctuation, hyperthermia, pronounced pain, ipsilateral axillary lymphadenopathy), fever (late in the course of the disease), laboratory tests (for inflammation parameters) and breast ultrasonography [9, 10]. For breast abscesses, ultrasound imaging not only offers early visualization and localization of even small accumulations of pus, it also allows differential-diagnostic distinction between abscesses and inflammations that do not form abscesses, as well as tumors in the mammary gland tissue. Sonographically, the abscess cavity appears as a sharply defined lesion with smooth borders surrounded by a thick, hypoechoic abscess membrane, filled with hypoechoic, homogeneous internal echoes (Fig. 3, 4a, b) [4].

From the clinical point of view breast abscess is a condition that is extremely painful for the patient and is only secondarily associated with general symptoms [11]. With regard to treatment, breast abscesses have been treated since the time of Hippocrates (“tibi pus, ibi evacua”) with surgical lancing (incision and counterincision) (Fig. 5) [12]. As an alternative to open surgical intervention — invasive procedures involving general anesthesia, pain, and scarring (Fig. 6) — technological advances in high-resolution ultrasound of the breast that go beyond the diagnostic aspect now allow minimally invasive approaches to abscess therapy. The reduced invasiveness, the need for only local anesthesia, the outpatient nature of the procedure, the lack of scarring, lower recurrence rate, ability to continue breastfeeding at home, reduced need for analgesic medication, a

Fig. 3 Clinical appearance of an extensive right-sided nonpuerperal breast abscess: swelling, reddening of the skin, hyperthermia, and extreme pain.

Fig. 4 Ultrasound appearance of nonpuerperal breast abscesses. a A smoothly circumscribed mass with a hyperechoic rim (abscess capsule), filled with homogeneous hypoechoic internal echoes indicating an accumulation of pus (abscess cavity). The ultrasound findings correspond to the clinical appearance shown in Fig. 3. b A multilocular breast abscess marked by seption and nonhomo geneous internal echoes in the individual compartments of the abscess cavity.
look off effects on breastfeeding in subsequent pregnancies, along with benefits in terms of health-care costs, all mean that there is a high level of patient satisfaction with this approach (Fig. 7a–d) [11–16]. If conservative antibiotic treatment for mastitis fails, local application of heat (moist heat, shortwave, microwave) promotes encapsulation of the inflammation and thus makes the abscess treatable using drainage [8]. Contraindications to this minimally invasive approach include a strong suspicion of inflammatory breast cancer, a granulomatous pathogenesis for the abscess, a highly septate and very large abscess (relative contraindication), as well as highly viscous abscess contents (relative contraindication).

**Malignant diseases as differential diagnoses**

- Inflammatory breast cancer
- Paget’s disease
- Leukemic infiltration
- Paraneoplastic dermatoses

Requiring differentiation from inflammatory breast diseases, a similar clinical picture to that of breast inflammation/abscess may be caused by benign skin changes such as erysipelas, venous thrombosis, scleroderma, panniculitis, neurodermatitis and allergic skin reactions due to mechanical, physical, medicinal, and toxic exogenous tissue changes (due to jewelry, piercing, manipulation, injury, temperature, cream, bra) (Fig. 8), as well as malignant diseases [4, 17, 18].

![Fig. 5 Principle of surgical treatment for a breast abscess: broad incision and counterincision with wick insertion.](image)

![Fig. 6 Cosmetically poor long-term result of surgical breast abscess treatment.](image)

![Fig. 7 The technique for minimally invasive breast abscess drainage. a Ultrasound-guided puncture of the abscess with an indwelling venous catheter. The cutaneous puncture site is selected at the edge of the nipple–areola complex for cosmetic reasons. b Ultrasound localization of the abscess cavity, into which the drainage catheter is inserted. c Irrigation of the abscess to drain/liquefy the purulence. d Atraumatic attachment of the (plastic) drainage catheter to the breast using Steri-Strip®. The catheter is left in place for longer-term drainage (and to allow repeated wound irrigation if needed).](image)
Carcinoma of the breast is the most frequent type of malignancy and cause of death due to cancer among women in Germany. Inflammatory breast cancer is not defined as a distinct histological variant, but is recognized on the basis of visually and palpably diffuse and irregularly delimited erythema. With an incidence of 1–6% of all breast cancer cases in the industrialized countries, the inflammatory form of breast cancer represents only a small proportion of newly diagnosed cases. The patients’ median age is between 47 and 57 years [19]. The prognosis is poor. The 5-year survival rate with inflammatory carcinoma is only 30–50%, in comparison with an average of 86–90% for patients with all types of breast cancer [20].

Diagnostically, inspection and palpation are particularly important for guidance in this tumor entity, as in other malignant clinical pictures in the female breast as well. The clinical appearance — with infiltrated and indurated skin (peau d’orange) that is extremely painful, sometimes with nodular ulcerations, edematous swelling, hyperthermic and reddened — provides a single-glance diagnostic criterion. Underlying fluctuations are not always present, but may occur in advanced stages. In more than half of the cases, it is not possible to distinguish an actual nodular tumor beyond the extensive/squamous area of altered skin. Since the clinical appearance (breast reddening) on its own is not sufficient to establish an unequivocal diagnosis of the inflammatory form of breast cancer (Fig. 9), histological confirmation (with an open skin biopsy or punch biopsy) must be regarded as indispensable, particularly in view of the need to distinguish it from inflammatory changes in the breast. In addition, early axillary lymph-node involvement (which is present in 90% of cases at the time of the initial diagnosis), which is associated with a poor prognosis, is easily assessed using both palpation and ultrasound. Mammography has much less diagnostic value with cutaneous inflammatory variants than in other forms of breast cancer [21].

Clinically, the cutaneous “inflammation” spreads very rapidly and without clear borders in the form of lymphangitic carcinomatosis of the breast skin (lymphangiosis/neoplastic vascular invasion, usually of the invasive ductal growth type). This is partly responsible for the unfavorable prognosis in this type of carcinoma. The inferior quadrants of the breast are more often affected, and nipple retraction frequently occurs.

Treatment involves primary systemic chemotherapy (including anthracyclines and taxanes), followed if necessary by modified radical mastectomy, adjuvant cytostatic drugs, and radiotherapy of the thoracic wall (for local tumor control as there is strong or early risk of local or regional recurrence). Long-term endocrine treatment approaches are appropriate for some patients. Response rates of up to 80% can be achieved using multimodal therapeutic. However, not least because distant metastases occur at an early stage, inflammatory breast cancer regimes has the poorest prognosis of all types of primary breast cancer [22].

Paget’s disease of the breast is a special intradermal manifestation of an intraductal, noninvasive (in approximately 66% of cases) or infiltrating ductal breast carcinoma in which the nipple and the surrounding skin are infiltrated. Paget’s disease occurs in approximately 2% of all breast cancer patients.

Clinically, the barrier function of the affected skin is altered, leading to the secretion of serous exudate. This leads to the nipple and its surroundings becoming reddened and covered with a moist, crusting layer. Skin thickening, edema, nipple inversion, and inflammatory changes in the areola and periareolar skin may occur. These changes cause little or no pain (Fig. 10).

For diagnosis, reddened, eczematous, and sometimes even ulcerative changes in the skin of the nipple (with an encrusted, scaly, brownish-red skin surface) initially indicate the disease. When these symptoms are present and in all cases in which there is evidence of paget cells in the skin of the nipple, it can be assumed...
that there is intraductal or infiltrating ductal paget’s disease underlying the clinical picture.

For treatment, the approach used in paget’s carcinoma does not differ from that for intraductal or primarily invasive ductal breast cancer (surgical: including resection of the nipple). The option for preserving the breast depends on the extent of the intraductal involvement. Radiotherapy, endocrine treatment, and cytostatic therapy if needed can supplement the therapeutic concept. The occurrence of paget’s symptoms does not worsen the overall prognosis of the disease. The characteristics of the underlying carcinoma are the decisive aspect.

Erythemas of the female breast due to leukemic infiltration occur only rarely, as do paraneoplastic dermatoses – erythema gyratum repens, acquired hypertrichosis lanuginosa (obligate) or dermatomyositis, subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus (facultative). The classification of paraneoplasias as obligate or facultative expresses the degree of their association with the specific underlying malignancy in each case – 100 % in comparison with 3 – 30 %. Diagnostically, the paraneoplastic association of malignancy with polymorphous skin changes (reddening, swelling) and the imaging appearance (ultrasound: homogeneous, hypoechoic, microlobulated, poorly defined, hypervascularized) indicates the specific pathogenesis of these forms of disease. On the other hand, these signs can also be misleading. Itching is noted as a nonspecific but frequent symptom, even without changes yet being visible on the skin [23].

Differential-diagnostic algorithm

Due to the various ways in which inflammatory breast diseases can be confused with malignancies of the breast, accurate clinical differentiation is sometimes difficult and is only possible with a precise awareness of the different clinical pictures. A multimodal approach should therefore be used, particularly in patients in which the clinical findings are ambiguous (Table 1).

Table 1 Differential-diagnostic algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>bacterial breast inflammation</th>
<th>(inflammatory) malignancy</th>
<th>paget’s disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical manifestations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early stage</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(skin reddening, possibly local scaly findings on nipple)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chronic disease</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(skin reddening, possibly eczematous, crusty, ulcerative, brownish-red skin surface)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mamillary secretion</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laboratory tests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tumor markers</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(incl. CA-15 – 3, CEA)</td>
<td>(nonspecific changes at most)</td>
<td>(sometimes possible)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imaging findings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>breast ultrasound</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mammography</td>
<td>± (–)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI [24]</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>digital tomosynthesis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elastography</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment response (7 – 14 days)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>symptoms improve</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with anti-inflammatory measures (systemic antibi-otics, physical, and surgical) following clinical suspicion of non-puerperal/puerperal mastitis/breast abscess</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>special abacterial forms</td>
<td>granulomatous mastitis, plas- ma cell masti- tis, concomi- tant mastitis, specific mastitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microbe detection</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(bacteriological diag-nosis)</td>
<td>(±)</td>
<td>(sometimes + (apparent effect mainly due to physical measures and diagnostic bias)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>response to antibiotic therapy</td>
<td>– (±)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lactiferous duct fistula</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic, recurrent disease</strong></td>
<td>++</td>
<td>(possible primary progression)</td>
<td>++ (possible primary progression)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(relapses not uncom- mon despite initially successful treatment. Good compliance decisive)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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