Volumetric laser endomicroscopy can target neoplasia not detected by conventional endoscopic measures in long segment Barrett’s esophagus
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Introduction
The incidence of esophageal cancer is rising despite increased surveillance efforts. Volumetric laser endomicroscopy (VLE) is a new endoscopic imaging tool that can allow for targeted biopsy of neoplasia in Barrett’s esophagus. We report a series of 6 patients with long-segment Barrett’s esophagus (> 3 cm), who underwent a session of endoscopy with volumetric laser endomicroscopy, after a separate prior session of standard high-definition endoscopy with narrow band imaging (NBI) and random biopsies that did not reveal neoplasia. In all six patients, the first endoscopy was the index endoscopy diagnosing the Barrett’s esophagus. All VLE exams were performed within 6 months of the previous endoscopy. In five patients, VLE-targeted biopsy resulted in upstaged disease/diagnosed dysplasia that then qualified the patient for endoscopic ablation therapy. In one patient, VLE localized a focus of intramucosal cancer that allowed for curative endoscopic mucosal resection. This case series shows that endoscopy with VLE can target neoplasia that cannot be localized by high-definition endoscopy with NBI and random biopsies.

Methods and study aims: The incidence of esophageal cancer is rising despite increased surveillance efforts. Volumetric laser endomicroscopy (VLE) is a new endoscopic imaging tool that can allow for targeted biopsy of neoplasia in Barrett’s esophagus. We report a series of 6 patients with long-segment Barrett’s esophagus (> 3 cm), who underwent a session of endoscopy with volumetric laser endomicroscopy, after a separate prior session of standard high-definition endoscopy with narrow band imaging (NBI) and random biopsies that did not reveal neoplasia. In all six patients, the first endoscopy was the index endoscopy diagnosing the Barrett’s esophagus. All VLE exams were performed within 6 months of the previous endoscopy. In five patients, VLE-targeted biopsy resulted in upstaged disease/diagnosed dysplasia that then qualified the patient for endoscopic ablation therapy. In one patient, VLE localized a focus of intramucosal cancer that allowed for curative endoscopic mucosal resection. This case series shows that endoscopy with VLE can target neoplasia that cannot be localized by high-definition endoscopy with NBI and random biopsies.

Patients and methods
Six patients with long-segment Barrett’s esophagus were selected for this series from the endoscopic database at our institution. Our institution has performed over 200 cases of VLE for Barrett’s esophagus, of which roughly half were for long-segment Barrett’s esophagus (> 3 cm), Institutional review board approval was obtained for this report. All patients in this series had undergone prior high-definition endoscopy with NBI and random biopsies (every 1 to 2 cm of the Barrett’s in four-quadrant fashion) within 6 months of the endoscopy with VLE that did not reveal any lesions consistent with neoplasia. In these six pa-
patients, these were the index endoscopies diagnosing the Barrett’s esophagus. All patients were referred for subsequent endoscopy with VLE by their primary gastroenterologist, given that they had long-segment disease; it was felt by the referring gastroenterologist that advanced imaging in a tertiary care setting was better suited for follow-up endoscopy with long-segment disease. The current American College of Gastroenterology guidelines at the time of endoscopy [5] for these patients was to repeat an endoscopy with biopsy within 1 year of diagnosis if no neoplasia was present (4 patents in this series), if low-grade dysplasia was present to repeat an endoscopy within 6 months to exclude high-grade dysplasia (1 patient in this series), and to undergo endoscopic therapy for intramucosal cancer (1 patient in this series). The referring gastroenterologist and patient dictated timing of repeat endoscopy; which was less than or equal to 6 months for all cases and thus within a year.

At the time of the VLE all patients included in this series underwent repeat high-definition endoscopy with NBI first, prior to VLE examination. VLE-targeted biopsies were performed on areas suspicious for neoplasia then random biopsies were performed. Patients were not included in this case series if findings on repeat high-definition upper endoscopy, NBI exam, or random biopsies led to a diagnosis of neoplasia. Including these VLE cases in the series would overestimate the potential of VLE to target neoplasia.

All VLE exams were performed using the only commercially available platform in the United States (NvisionVLE™ Imaging System, NinePoint Medical, Bedford, MA, USA). It is our practice to use a 20-mm balloon catheter unless there is a stricture or tortuous esophagus, in which case, a 14-mm or 17-mm balloon catheter is used. All procedures were performed by endoscopists trained in endoscopy for Barrett’s esophagus (AJT, MM). Each has performed over 40 VLE exams prior to the cases included in this series. The endoscopist interpreted the VLE images. A clinical representative from the VLE Company was present to aid the endoscopist in image interpretation, if desired. The pathologists who interpreted the pathology in this study are experts in gastrointestinal pathology and are trained to read Barrett’s esophagus histology. All histology with dysplasia is reviewed in a weekly conference among the gastrointestinal pathologists to ensure a high degree of accuracy.

Imaging is performed by automatic helical pullback of the probe from the distal to proximal end of the balloon over a 90-second period to create real-time 360-degree volumetric images. Twelve hundred cross sectional scans are generated over the 6cm segment. VLE scans are viewed by using a software interface that allows real time viewing of cross-sectional transverse and longitudinal views. A registration line is seen on the balloon and its orientation is matched up with the registration line on the cross-sectional imaging (viewed as a thin shadow line on the VLE image) to allow targeting of abnormalities seen. Lesions are localized taking into consideration the lesion’s relationship to the GE junction and to the registration line. If multiple scans are required to visualize a long segment of Barrett’s esophagus then unique targets are visualized on both scans to overlap them accurately. Once lesions are detected on the VLE scan that are suspicious for neoplasia, the corresponding endoscopic area can be biopsied. Endoscopic mucosal resection can be considered if the abnormal area on the VLE scan is located below the surface. All procedures were performed with monitored anesthesia care without an endotracheal tube. Vital signs and oxygen saturation were monitored and recorded throughout the procedure.

VLE Features of esophageal squamous mucosa, and intestinal metaplasia

VLE is able to differentiate between normal squamous mucosa and intestinal metaplasia [2,3,6]. VLE features of esophageal squamous mucosa include layered horizontal architecture without glands in the epithelium (Fig. 1). VLE features of intestinal metaplasia include loss of layered architecture in the setting of no surface pits and crypts (Fig. 2a) and glands seen in the epithelium (Fig. 2b, yellow arrows) [6].

VLE Features of Neoplasia

There are features of OCT imaging that can help target dysplasia. Glandular architecture and signal intensity are the two main components for determining if dysplasia is present [3,7]. An OCT scoring index (OCT-SI) was created in 2006 to aid in OCT diagnosis of Barrett’s esophagus associated dysplasia [3]. A score greater than or equal to 2 is associated with a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 75% for dysplasia.

Recently Leggett et al devised a new algorithm for detection of dysplasia based on ex vivo endoscopic mucosal resection specimens scanned with VLE. This index is called the VLE diagnostic algorithm (VLE-DA) [7]. The algorithm first determines the degree of mucosal effacement (partial versus full loss of layered mucosal architecture). If complete effacement is seen and the surface intensity is greater than the subsurface, then dysplasia is suspected. If partial effacement is seen with greater than 5 atypical glands, then dysplasia is also suspected. This VLE-DA had a sensitivity of 86% (95% CI 69–96) and a specificity of 88% (95% CI, 60–99). Finally, a washed-out appearance known as homogeneous scattering is suggestive of high-grade dysplasia or cancer.

Case Reports

Table 1 shows the patient characteristics, findings from the first endoscopy, highest histology yield from the first endoscopy, interval between the two exams, findings from the second
endoscopy with VLE, highest histology yield from the VLE exam, and how VLE changed management in all six patients. Fig. 3 shows the corresponding VLE images that were obtained which allowed for targeting of neoplasia. Patients one through four had all been previously diagnosed with non-dysplastic Barrett’s esophagus or classified as indefinite for dysplasia. If they did not undergo VLE, they would have been surveyed with endoscopy. However, they underwent repeat endoscopy with VLE that upstaged their disease to dysplastic Barrett’s esophagus. The upstaging of pathology qualified the patients for initiation of ablative therapies for eradication of intestinal metaplasia.

Patient 1 had developed a stricture in his nodular Barrett’s segment. Two previous endoscopies were performed with dilation of the stricture and extensive biopsies at the site. The biopsies showed intestinal metaplasia without dysplasia and thus no ablative therapies were offered. After his VLE-targeted biopsy returned as high-grade dysplasia, he was started on cryotherapy after successful stricture dilation, and his disease is improving.

Patients 2 through 4 had flat Barrett’s mucosa without visible neoplasia and were started on radiofrequency ablation protocols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
<th>Patient 5</th>
<th>Patient 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Barrett’s (cm)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiatal hernia (Yes/No)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings on first endoscopy/NBI</td>
<td>Stricture, Barrett’s mucosa</td>
<td>Barrett’s mucosa</td>
<td>Barrett’s mucosa</td>
<td>Barrett’s mucosa</td>
<td>Barrett’s mucosa/no visible lesion on NBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest grade of disease on histology after 1st endoscopy</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>IND</td>
<td>LGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between the first endoscopy and endoscopy w/ VLE (weeks)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of VLE balloon catheter used (mm)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings on VLE exam</td>
<td>ISM</td>
<td>LLA</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>LLA</td>
<td>ISM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest grade of disease on histology after VLE</td>
<td>HGD</td>
<td>LGD</td>
<td>LGD</td>
<td>LGD</td>
<td>HGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How VLE changed management</td>
<td>Started cryotherapy</td>
<td>Started RFA</td>
<td>Started RFA</td>
<td>Started RFA</td>
<td>Started RFA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NBI, narrow band imaging; IM, intestinal metaplasia; IND, indefinite for dysplasia; LGD, low-grade dysplasia; HGD, high-grade dysplasia; IMCA, intramucosal cancer; ISM, inverted surface maturation; Ho, homogeneous scattering; AG, atypical glands; LLA, loss of layered architecture; IS, irregular surface.

after VLE-targeted biopsies upstaged their disease to dysplastic Barrett’s. Patient 5 had been previously diagnosed with low-grade dysplasia. He was refusing ablative therapy and in favor of surveillance with endoscopy. He opted for VLE at the advice of his primary gastroenterologist. VLE-targeted biopsies revealed HGD that convinced the patient he needed ablation, as the guidelines unequivocally endorse RFA for HGD [5]. Finally Patient 6 had a previous endoscopy consistent with Barrett’s esophagus. Random biopsies returned as intramucosal cancer but high-definition white light endoscopy or narrow-band imaging did not show a focal raised lesion to target for endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR). On repeat endoscopy and NBI, a focal lesion could not be identified. VLE targeted a specific area to perform EMR. EMR was performed with adequate margins and histology showed a small 5-mm T1a cancer. VLE allowed for targeted resection of the intramucosal cancer. The patient is undergoing subsequent ablative therapy to eradicate the remaining intestinal metaplasia.

Features on VLE that allowed targeting of neoplasia included a surface signal intensity > subsurface intensity (Patients 1, 2, 3) and atypical glands (Patients 3, 4, 5, 6). Patient 6 had homogeneous scattering or a washout appearance suggestive of cancer.

No immediate adverse events (AEs) occurred in any of the patients in this case series. Our endoscopy suite makes standard follow-up phone calls within 48 hours of the procedure and documents any pain or delayed AEs events. None of the patients in this series developed any pain or delayed adverse events from the VLE procedure.

**Discussion**

VLE is a new imaging technology that allows for real-time targeting of disease for biopsy or endoscopic mucosal resection in Barrett’s esophagus. Wolfson et al already reported that VLE is safe and efficacious in Barrett’s esophagus in a large number of patients [8] while Sauk et al have shown a high interobserver agreement for interpretation of images among trained readers [2]. This case series demonstrates the potential of VLE to not only target neoplasia, but to target neoplasia that conventional methods could miss. Previous reports have also reported the ability of VLE to detect neoplasia when it is not evident on endoscopy. Leggett et al reported a case of VLE-targeted EMR of subsquamous cancer [4]. Swager et al reported that VLE can target subsquamous glands after radiofrequency ablation; although most of these glands were benign [9]. Our group reported a case, not in this series, of VLE detecting focal HGD in a long segment of Barrett’s when two previous endoscopies did not target this [10]. It should be noted that cases series are limited by bias for reporting cases of favorable outcomes. Future studies examining the percentage yield of VLE, sensitivity and specificity of VLE, and cost effectiveness of VLE are needed to determine if VLE will be a sustainable option to screen and survey Barrett’s in the future. Some of these questions will be answered from the national VLE registry that is ongoing. As this case series shows, the technology is promising. In summary, this case series shows that VLE can target neoplasia that is not detected by high-definition white light endoscopy, NBI, or random biopsies. This may allow for expeditious ablative therapies to eradicate intestinal metaplasia and prevent progression of disease. Larger studies are needed to confirm our findings.
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