Avoiding OHSS: Controlled Ovarian Low-Dose Stimulation in Women with PCOS

Vermeidung eines OHSS: kontrollierte ovarielle Low-Dose-Stimulation für IVF und ICSI bei Frauen mit polyzystischem Ovarsyndrom

Abstract

The polycystic ovary syndrome is a common endocrine disorder which influences outcome and potential risks involved with controlled ovarian stimulation for artificial reproductive techniques (ART). Concrete practical recommendations for the dosage of gonadotropins, the preferred protocol and preventive methods to avoid ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) are lacking. We present retrospective data of 235 individually calculated gonadotropin low-dose stimulations for ART in a single center from 2012 to 2014. Clinical data and outcome parameter of patients diagnosed with PCOS according to Rotterdam criteria (n = 39) were compared with patients without PCOS (n = 196). The starting dose of gonadotropins was individually calculated depending on patients’ age, BMI, ovarian reserve, ovarian response in previous cycles, and diagnostic criteria of PCOS. Mean age and duration of infertility did not differ between the groups, whereas mean BMI (p = 0.007) and AMH (p < 0.001) were higher in the PCOS-group. A lower mean FSH-starting and maximum dose was administered to women with PCOS (p < 0.001). The biochemical pregnancy rate of 42.4% and the clinical pregnancy rate of 32.2% for PCOS-patients did not differ from those of the control group (42.2% and 34.4% respectively). Neither mild, nor moderate or severe manifestations of OHSS occurred significantly more often in patients with PCOS. Our study supports the use of a calculated low-dose FSH-stimulation strategy in ART for patients with PCOS. Further randomized clinical trials should confirm this strategy and lead to define individual risk factors for OHSS, which can be used for recommendation of safer ART-techniques like in vitro maturation.

Zusammenfassung

Introduction
The polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrine disorder of women in reproductive age [1]. It causes chronic oligo- or anovulation and often leads to infertility. Inclusive diagnostic criteria were established 2003 in Rotterdam. The criteria provide opportunities to distinguish four clinical phenotypes using at least two of three criteria to define the syndrome: hyperandrogenism defined either as hyperandrogenaemia or clinically validated hyperandrogenism, oligo- or anovulation, and polycystic ovarian morphology in ultrasound [2]. Geisthövel proposed a further classification of women with PCOS mainly using the diagnostic aspect of hyperandrogenism. He defined five clinical subgroups: functional cutaneous androgenisation (FCA) and four typical manifestations of the female androgenisation syndrome (FAS) I–IV [3]. This approach includes a slightly different group of women than using the Rotterdam criteria for PCOS. Especially women with late onset adrenogenital syndrome or other adrenal origin of hyperandrogenaemia are included in this classification, which are explicitly excluded in the PCOS definition.

Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COS) with gonadotropins for artificial reproductive techniques (ART) leads to a higher risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) for patients affected by PCOS, because of a higher sensibility and exaggerated response to gonadotropins [4]. Therefore, it is important to give recommendations for the dosage and the preferred stimulation-protocol to avoid ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS). So far, clear guidelines from health institutes and PCOS societies or in scientific literature are lacking.

It is current practice to use the antagonist protocol to avoid OHSS in patients with elevated risk, mainly due to the possibility to use the GnRH-agonist for ovulation induction and cryopreserve all fertilised oocytes or embryos (“freeze all”) [5]. Most published studies use equal doses of gonadotropins for stimulation of patients with or without PCOS. Others propose fixed doses or lack to describe how to individualize dose and regimen. A bibliographic search of the MEDLINE database was performed in March 2014 and June 2015. The MESH words “PCO” and “IVF”, “controlled ovarian stimulation”, “FSH” were used. Articles prior to the year 2005, those without an abstract or those that were written in a language other than English, German or French were excluded. Clear recommendations for the FSH dosage and protocol should be established to improve outcome and security of controlled ovarian stimulation in a group of ART-patients with special demands.

A modern approach to classify the ovarian response or sensitivity to gonadotropin stimulation in PCOS patients is the evaluation of risks using the levels of AMH [6]. According to this classification, non-responders show basal AMH-values lower than 0.154 ng/ml, poor responders 0.154–0.7 ng/ml, normal responders 0.71–2.1 ng/ml and high responders above 2.11 ng/ml. Lee et al. further subdivided the group of high responders by introducing excessive responders with basal values above 3.35 ng/ml [7].

Aims of the study
The goal of this study was to compare pregnancy rates and complication rates, especially the occurrence of OHSS, under a lower, individualized gonadotrophin dosing mainly in the long agonist protocol in a clinical setting.

Materials und Methods
This study was designed as a single center retrospective clinical study. Data of cycles of controlled ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI were analyzed according to the criteria of PCOS.

Study population
We analyzed all stimulation cycles for IVF/ICSI of patients within three years, from 01.01.2012 to 31.12.2014, who were performed at the fertility center of the University Hospital, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics of the Technical University of Dresden, Germany. With consent of the institutional ethics committee and written informed consent of the patients, 370 cycles of 235 women were reviewed, focusing on diagnosis of PCOS. Those patients with PCOS (n = 39) constitute the study population, while all other women (n = 196) serve as control group.

Inclusion criteria
According to the inclusive Rotterdam Criteria (Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS consensus workshop group, 2004), we included women with at least two out of three following characteristics:

- Hyperandrogenemia (at least one of the androgens above the 95th percentile: free testosterone, androgen-index, androstenedione and DHEAS)
- Report of oligomenorrhea with cycle length of more than 35 days, amenorrhea with cycles lasting longer than three months or absence of a rise of progesterone before menstruation during cycle monitoring
- Documented polycystic ovarian morphology by an experienced sonographer or visualization of polycystic ovaries according to Rotterdam Criteria on printed pictures (more than 12 small antral follicles of 2–9 mm size in one ovary)

Exclusion criteria
All women stimulated were analyzed. The study group consisted of women with diagnosis of PCOS. Patients with clinical signs of PCOS but additional diagnosis of clinical thyroid dysfunction, early or late onset adrenogenital syndrome (AGS), androgen producing neoplasm, Cushing’s syndrome, hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism, premature ovarian failure, hyperprolactinaemia, HAIRAN-syndrome or intake of exogenous androgens were not regarded as PCOS according to Rotterdam criteria [2]. Six patients fulfilling diagnostic criteria of PCOS were not included in the study group, three because of the diagnosis of Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, two patients exhibited hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism and one woman was diagnosed with late onset AGS. One patient underwent stimulation without fertilization of oocytes for purpose of fertility preservation and two others had missing clinical data and were not included in the PCOS-study group.

Data collection
A total of 235 couples underwent ART in our center and were analyzed for the study. Prior to treatment three diagnostic appointments consisting of cycle monitoring, hormone profile and transvaginal ultrasound were used to exclude anatomical malformation, assess AFC and confirm ovulation. The medical history was taken separately for each partner and at least one sperm count was analyzed according to WHO criteria from 2010 [8].

OHSS was scored according to the classification of Aboulghar und Mansour [9]. A mild manifestation is characterized by mild
symptoms, abdominal pain or distension and enlarged ovaries but no visible fluids in the pouch of Douglas on ultrasound scan. Moderate OHSS appears with sonographic evidence of ascites accompanying further symptoms but without shift of haemostasis. Severe OHSS is characterized by oliguria, massive ascites with no visible fluids in the pouch of Douglas, dyspnea, oliguria, massive ascites or pleural effusion, severe hyperstimulation is present.

**Intervention**

For GnRH-agonist protocol, Nafarelin (Synarel®), Pharmacia, Copenhagen, Denmark), Triptorelin (Decapaptyl®, Ipsen Pharma, Barcelona, Spain, or as Depot: Ferring Arzneimittel GmbH, Kiel, Germany) or Leuprorelin (Enantone®, Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited, Osaka, Japan) were used for the purposes of downregulation. In some cases, an oral contraceptive pill was given for one cycle overlapping with the agonist downregulation or before the start of stimulation in antagonist cycles, predominantly 30 μg ethinylestradiol and 125 μg levonorgestrel (Mini-sistol®). Cetrotide® (Merck Serono, MSD, the Netherlands) or Gani-relax (Orgalutran®, Organon, Skovlunde, Denmark) were injected depending on age, basal FSH and BMI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>FSH starting dose for PCOS</th>
<th>Recommendation for dose adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palep-Singh et al., 2007</td>
<td>Observational comparative study (PCOS in Asian women n = 104, Caucasian n = 220, controls n = 284)</td>
<td>Mean starting dose 150 IU for Asian PCOS, 200 IU for Caucasian PCOS 225 IU for Asian and Caucasian tubal infertility</td>
<td>Adjustment according to age, basal FSH and BMI, after 7 days possible increase of dosage in case of suboptimal response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weghofer et al., 2007</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study of 47 women with PCOS compared to 100 controls</td>
<td>150–450 IU for PCOS and Non-PCOS</td>
<td>Adjustment to follicular response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koundouros et al., 2008</td>
<td>Prospective randomized study with PCOS-patients (n = 225)</td>
<td>75 IU/d step up 225 IU/d step down 150 IU and individual adjustment</td>
<td>Step-up regimen: 75 IU/d for 6 days, then increase of 37.5 IU Step-down: 225 IU/d of FSH for the first 3 days followed, then decrease to 150 IU/d for the next 3 days, then decreased to 75 IU/d or sustain at 150 IU Step-up/Step-down: 150 IU on day 1, then decrease to 75 IU on day 2, then increase back to 150 IU and so on until day 6, then, sustain at 150 IU/d or 75 IU/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sahu et al., 2008</td>
<td>Retrospective analysis of 51 PCOS ART-cycles, compared to 50 cycles with ultrasound morphology of PCO, control group 104 cycles</td>
<td>300 IU</td>
<td>According ovarian reserve score (age, BMI, AFC, FSH, E2), control on day 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swanton et al., 2010</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study (n = 290 women, including PCOS n = 78, PCO n = 101 and control n = 101)</td>
<td>150–375 IU according to age, basal FSH and previous ovarian response to gonadotropins – no difference in PCOS, PCO</td>
<td>No adjustment described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashrafi et al., 2011</td>
<td>Controlled randomized prospective study with n = 90 women with PCOS – three protocol variations concerning type of gonadotropins given and dose adjustment</td>
<td>150 IU for PCOS in all groups</td>
<td>Fixed dose of 150 IE Step-down protocol to 75 IU, when leading follicle reached 14 mm in diameter FSH discontinued and low dose hCG when leading follicle 14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decanter et al., 2013</td>
<td>Single center prospective non-randomized interventional study (n = 113). Intervention was pretreatment with oral contraceptives</td>
<td>100 to 200 IU, according to age, BMI and AFC</td>
<td>No adjustment described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huber et al., 2013</td>
<td>Retrospective cross-sectional study with n = 7520 cycles, mixed cohort, no recommendation for PCOS</td>
<td>Mixed cohort, 75–450 IU; 75–125 IE for expected high response, 150–225 IU for normal response 300–450 IU for poor response, according to age, markers of ovarian reserve, BMI, and previous response</td>
<td>Individual adjustment to response No recommendation for PCOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figen Turkcapar et al., 2013</td>
<td>Prospective randomized controlled study (n = 80 women with PCOS), HMG vs. FSH stimulation</td>
<td>150 IU</td>
<td>Adjustment according to E2, sonographical response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpinar et al., 2014</td>
<td>Retrospective observational study of n = 337 cycles of women with PCOS</td>
<td>75–300 IU according to BMI</td>
<td>No adjustment described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi et al., 2014</td>
<td>Multicenter prospective randomized controlled study of 1180 women with PCOS. Intervention randomized in fresh ET at day 3 or freeze all</td>
<td>112.5 IU/day for patients ≤ 60 kg for PCOS 150 IU/day for patients &gt; 60 kg for PCOS</td>
<td>Adjustment according to ovarian response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 1** Comparison of studies on controlled ovarian stimulation with FSH in recent studies of the last 10 years.
10 000 IU hCG (Predalon®, Brevactid®) or 250 IE recHCG (Ovitrelle®, Merck Serono, MSD). Ultrasound guided oocyte retrieval was performed 36 hours later under general anesthesia.

**Biochemical analyses and outcome**

The free androgen index (FAI) was calculated from total testosterone (nmol/l) × 100/SHBG (nmol/l). For the measurement of LH, FSH, estradiol, progesterone, hCG and prolactin sandwich-immunoassay-Kits from ADVIA Centaur®, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Inc. (Tarrytown, U.S.A.) were used. Radioimmunoassays for free testosterone (Active® Free testosteron RIA), sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG IRMA KIT), dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAS-S-7 RIA) and AMH (AMH Gen II ELISA-Kit) were obtained from Beckman Coulter (Galway, Ireland). Asbach Medical Products GmbH (Obrigheim, Germany) provided radioimmunoassays RIA CT for 17-OH-progesterone und androstenedione.

Beginning with the second half of 2013, we used RIA testosterone direct for total testosterone, Active® Free testosteron, Active® androstendion and SHBG IRMA KIT from Beckman Coulter, DHEAS was quantified by radioimmunoassay Immulite® DHEA-SO4 from Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Inc. (Tarrytown, U.S.A.) and 17-OH-progesterone by ELISA from IBL INTERNATIONAL GmbH (Hamburg, Germany).

A biochemical pregnancy was confirmed with a serum β-hCG above 10 E/l 12 to 16 days after ET. A gestational sac four to five weeks after embryo transfer visible on transvaginal ultrasound scan was evaluated as a clinical pregnancy.

**Statistical analyses**

Following the analysis of the study population, the PCOS- and control group were described and compared using SPSS Statistics (Version 22.0.0.0). Nominal and ordinal data were characterized by frequency, and compared using a χ² test or Fisher’s exact test for smaller sample sizes. Metrical data were analyzed by descriptive statistics. Using the Levene’s test confirmed similar variance of the compared groups and allowed the use of Students t-test, otherwise further significance was calculated by Wilcoxon test (Mann-Whitney-U-Test). P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Characterization of the study population and collectives**

The study included 370 stimulation cycles of 235 women treated at the infertility unit of the University Hospital in Dresden within three years. In vitro fertilization (IVF) was performed in 43.8% of the cycles, intracytoplasmatic sperm injection (ICSI) in 54.9% of cases, and in the remaining percentage IVF/ICSI splitting was performed.
PCOS was diagnosed according to Rotterdam criteria in 16.6% of patients. If NIH criteria were applied, 7.2% of the study population were considered having PCOS and with AES criteria 11.9%. The distribution of Rotterdam phenotypes and the classification of FAS of the study group is visualized in Fig. 1. For our study we explicitly differentiate patients in group FAS III with hyperandrogenism, metabolic syndrome, polyfollicular ovaries from FAS IV, because women with FAS III are at higher risk for hyperstimulation. FAS IV group women also show metabolic syndrome and hyperandrogenism but ovaries with normal or even low ovarian reserve. In terms of fertility treatment, those patients often perform as low responders in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation. Therefore we decided to use in this study the Rotterdam criteria to select the study group.

Characteristics of the study groups are shown in Table 2, as well as differences in stimulation regime, ovarian response and outcome. The mean age in both groups was not statistically different. Mean BMI and AMH-levels were significantly higher in the PCOS-group.

One cycle had to be canceled for impending hyperstimulation and there was no oocyte retrieval performed after hormonal stimulation in one case because of the absence of sperms after testicular sperm extraction.

### Stimulation modality depending on AMH-level

Classifying our cycles into AMH-responder groups a positive trend of the portion of cycles with PCOS diagnosis, polycystic ovarian morphology and oligo- or amenorrhea between the AMH-groups becomes visible ($\chi^2$ test, all $p < 0.001$). This does not apply using the criteria of hyperandrogenemia as a predictor of response ($p = 0.066$).

The mean FSH-starting and maximum dose, as well as the total dose of FSH differed within the AMH-responder groups, declining by rising AMH-levels (ANOVA $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 2).

Splitting the basal AMH-value of excessive responders into additional subgroups, the occurrence of each manifestation of the OHSS is not significant ($p$-value for mild $p = 0.765$; moderate $p = 0.144$ and severe $p = 0.097$). But on pooling moderate or severe manifestation a significant increase with higher AMH-values becomes visible ($p = 0.005$) (Fig. 2). Significantly more follicles developed, higher estradiol levels were reached and oocytes could be retrieved within more sensitive groups (ANOVA all $p$-values $< 0.001$).

### Outcome

In our study the biochemical pregnancy rate of all patients per ET was 42.2% and the clinical pregnancy rate per ET 34.0%. The biochemical pregnancy rate of 42.4% and the clinical pregnancy rate of 32.2% for PCOS-patients did not differ statistically from those of the control group. 32.1% of all clinical pregnancies were twins, there were no higher multiple pregnancies. Two cases of ectopic pregnancy occurred and 12.5% of clinical pregnancies aborted. Miscarriage rate was similar in both groups (Table 2).

A mild OHSS occurred in 3.5%, moderate in 8.4% and severe in 7.6% of all stimulation cycles, which resulted in the need for hospitalization for 1.9% of treatments. All seven patients hospitalized for OHSS had no prior diagnosis of PCOS, and hospitalization does not differ between study groups ($p = 0.611$). Neither a mild, nor a moderate or severe manifestation of OHSS occurred significantly more often in patients diagnosed with PCOS. Albeit, in the PCOS collective, one stimulation cycle (all PN-oocytes) was cryopreserved without fresh-embryo transfer (freeze all) and one cycle was coasted because of impending OHSS.

### Analysis of the Rotterdam phenotypes

Highest basal AMH-levels can be found for the phenotype with expression of all three features of the Rotterdam criteria. With
45%, this group accounted for the largest proportion of all cycles of PCOS patients. For PCOS-patients with oligo- or anovulation and polycystic ovarian morphology, we applied the lowest mean FSH dose (98 IU). Starting dose of the type with hyperandrogenism and oligo- or anovulation is biased by one patient with three stimulation cycles, accounting for one third of all cycles within this group. Her AMH-level was 1.35 ng/ml, aged 41–44 years and her BMI 32 kg/m². In this case, we decided to start with 200–300 IU. For the Rotterdam phenotype with hyperandrogenism and polycystic ovarian morphology as well as for the phenotype with expression of all criteria, moderate and severe OHSS occurred in more than 10% of cycles. Nevertheless, the distribution of several manifestations of the OHSS shows no significant deviation of the Gaussian distribution (Table 3).

Discussion

Clinical pregnancy rates after ART of women with PCOS differ between 22 and 42% in literature all over the world. This wide spectrum of pregnancy rates is due to different patients’ characteristics and treatment methods. Furthermore there are worldwide differing strategies for artificial reproduction with variations of number of transferred embryos, using blastocyst culture and elective single embryo-transfer or pre-implantation genetic diagnosis.

Nevertheless compared to the literature, our calculated stimulation regime represents a feasible option for both PCOS and Non-PCOS patients, with a satisfying result of 34.0% clinical pregnancy rate per embryo transfer.

By investigating patients with and without PCOS diagnosed by Rotterdam criteria, we could not find a difference in the clinical pregnancy rate, confirming results of other authors [10–12]. Results were comparable for PCOS patients, despite significantly higher BMI values compared to the control group, which essentially impairs fertility treatment outcomes [13,14]. Our PCOS study population had a mean BMI of 25.9 kg/m², which is considered overweight according to WHO and confirmed this observation.

The proposed stimulation regime with calculated low-dose stimulation for PCOS did not show elevated frequency of OHSS. Moderate or severe OHSS occurred in 8.4% and in 7.6% of all stimulation cycles and ranges within the rates in literature (5 to 16.6% [10,15,16]). However, lean body weight and low BMI in PCOS increases the OHSS risk [17,18]. Interestingly in our study the need for hospitalization exclusively affected patients without PCOS. Although the absolute number of women with OHSS in all groups was low, the higher awareness for OHSS in women with PCOS and anticipated risk may have resulted in this reduction of risks.

To prevent OHSS, in one case we decided to reduce drastically the gonadotropin dose (“coasting”) and in another case we froze all fertilized oocytes without fresh ET. Both treatments were applied to the same patient in subsequent stimulation cycles. The women showed extreme sensitivity to gonadotropins in two following cycles, thus representing a potential candidate for in vitro maturation.

Concerning spontaneous miscarriage rates of patients with PCOS conceiving with ART, most studies did not show a significantly elevated miscarriage rate for women with this diagnosis [19,20]. Corresponding to these results, patients treated in our clinic did...
On average after calculating the individual dose, we started stim-
ulation with 132 IU FSH in PCOS-patients. Though individually
adjusted, it can be necessary to lower this dose down to 75 IU.

These doses range below the doses of the “mild stimulation”
established by the group around Fauser et al. [28]. Despite our low-
dose strategy, our results are not inferior to those in literature in
terms of outcome and risks.

Nelson et al. [6] and Yates et al. [29] recommended an AMH-
stratified approach for the decision of the stimulation protocol
and FSH dose. For extreme responders (according to AMH above
2 ng/ml and Yates above 3.9 ng/ml) they suggest choosing the an-
tagonist protocol, because cycle cancelation rate is lower and risk
of OHSS is already reduced with this type of protocol. From this
cut-off, both authors started with a dose of 150 IU FSH/d. Also
for extremely low responders, below 0.14 resp. 0.3–2.1 ng/ml,
both recommend the antagonist protocol.

In the study of Gera, for cycles with estradiol levels above
2500 pg/ml or more than 30 growing follicles, coasting and if
necessary elective oocyte or embryo cryopreservation and subse-
quent transfer in a hormonal prepared cryo-cycle were initiated.

In this publication, the incidence of OHSS is lowered without im-
pairing pregnancy- and live birth rate [30].

Steward emphasizes the need of less aggressive stimulation
strategies for patients at risk and refers to the method of the
GnRH-antagonist-protocol with the agonist-trigger [16].

Besides intensive support with administration of progesterone
and E2 and the separation of the stimulation cycle and oocyte
pick-up from the ET, the investigation group of Humaidan, Eng-
mann and Benadiva refined the strategies against the impair-
ment of endometrial quality by luteolysis. They suggest addition-
al support of the luteal phase with low-dose hCG-injections and
fresh ET and reached comparable results for clinical and ongoing

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. PCOS</th>
<th>Hyperandrogenism + oligo-/anovulation</th>
<th>Hyperandrogenism + PCO</th>
<th>Oligo-or anovulation+PCO</th>
<th>Hyperandrogenism + oligo-/anovulation + PCO</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (n)</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cycles (n)</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion of cycles of the phenotype (%)</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion of cycles with PCOS (%)</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (y)</td>
<td>34.14 ± 4.13</td>
<td>35.55 ± 5.20</td>
<td>32.53 ± 4.01</td>
<td>32.10 ± 4.75</td>
<td>32.80 ± 3.65</td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.30 ± 4.35</td>
<td>29.90 ± 1.83</td>
<td>25.49 ± 4.87</td>
<td>26.18 ± 6.56</td>
<td>25.01 ± 6.15</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean basal AMH-level (ng/ml)</td>
<td>2.71 ± 2.33</td>
<td>3.71 ± 3.68</td>
<td>5.61 ± 1.83</td>
<td>7.44 ± 4.76</td>
<td>9.84 ± 5.55</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of cycles with step-up regimen (%)</td>
<td>109 (35.7%)</td>
<td>3 (30%)</td>
<td>6 (42.9%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
<td>19 (65.5%)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion without dose adjustment (%)</td>
<td>169 (55.4%)</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
<td>4 (33.3%)</td>
<td>9 (31.0%)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion of cycles with use of oral contraceptives (%)</td>
<td>28 (9.2%)</td>
<td>2 (20%)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
<td>14 (48.3%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion of cycles with agonist protocol (%)</td>
<td>226 (74.1%)</td>
<td>6 (60%)</td>
<td>12 (85.7%)</td>
<td>10 (83.3%)</td>
<td>19 (65.5%)</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FSH-Starting dose (IU)</td>
<td>172.17 ± 67.23</td>
<td>245.00 ± 48.31</td>
<td>110.71 ± 27.24</td>
<td>97.92 ± 29.11</td>
<td>117.24 ± 55.94</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total FSH dose (IU)</td>
<td>1929.16 ± 895.89</td>
<td>2937.50 ± 976.69</td>
<td>1341.07 ± 342.59</td>
<td>1370.83 ± 596.76</td>
<td>1631.93 ± 589.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean endstimulatory estradiol level (pg/ml)</td>
<td>1641.35 ± 936.127</td>
<td>1140.80 ± 856.31</td>
<td>1957.86 ± 1092.07</td>
<td>1573.42 ± 853.66</td>
<td>1759.69 ± 1239.89</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portion of cycles with mild OHSS (%)</td>
<td>12 (3.9%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.4%)</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate OHSS (%)</td>
<td>25 (8.2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (21.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (10.3%)</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe OHSS (%)</td>
<td>23 (7.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (7.1%)</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
<td>3 (10.3%)</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pregnancy rates as well as OHSS rates in a randomized controlled trail compared to hCG-trigger. For very high-risk patients responding with more than 25 follicles, they still chose to freeze all embryos and transfer in a subsequent cycle [31,32]. A combination of this strategy and a clear recommendation for an individually adjusted low-dose stimulation is conceivable. Nevertheless, despite the use of GnRH-agonist-trigger and low-dose hCG luteal support, for patients under elevated risk, up to 26% severe OHSS were seen when fresh ET was performed [33].

Abstaining from exogenous hCG luteal support and choosing elective cryopreservation instead of a fresh transfer improves safety of controlled ovarian stimulation for patients at high risk, at the price of lower pregnancy rates [34]. However, even with those preventive measures, six cases of severe OHSS are reported [35–37]. It seems that up to date there is no complete and effective prevention of OHSS. In the case of Ling, the patient showed basal serum AMH level of 64.5 ng/ml and developed more than 40 follicles [37]. Also in the case reports of Gurbuz, one instance of 27 and another one of 52 follicles with 45 retrieved oocytes and serum estradiol levels above 5985 and 10904 pg/ml were observed [36]. All cases show that even without endogenous hCG rising in early pregnancy after fresh ET, early-onset OHSS can occur with any kind of gonadotropin administration. If IVM cycles are primed with 125 IU FSH and ovulation is triggered by exogenous hCG as previously described [34,38], theoretically the risk of OHSS is even existing with “safe” low-dose IVM-strategy.

There is no strategy to prevent completely the risk of OHSS when gonadotropins are used. On the other hand, very sensitive patients with extremely exaggerated ovarian responses to gonadotropins are extremely rare. The challenge is to identify those patients who are at risk with the well-established approaches and offer the chance to use selectively the safe alternative of IVM. Most fertility centers in the world do not offer the reserve method of IVM. Establishment of IVM techniques and laboratory expertise is for the majority of IVF-centers inaccessible and not cost-effective. Studies show that the technique is feasible, although a longer time of training is required [38,39]. A solution could be the improvement of existing techniques and experience with exact recommendations from large RCTs and health institutes. Few, individual centers, offering IVM should get the chance to refine their IVM-techniques and knowledge. The majority of fertility centers should filter those patients for IVM and refer them to centers with special expertise in IVH.

The major drawbacks of our study on individually calculated low dose stimulation for PCOS is the retrospective character and the low number of participants. For a following randomised trial, clear guidance for decision-making should be developed out of these first results. The different phenotypes of Rotterdam diagnosed PCOS should be considered in detail.
Conclusion for Clinical Practice

Patients with PCOS represent a challenge for reproductive medicine. We propose a calculated low-dose stimulation strategy with step-up according to ovarian response in long agonist and antagon-ist protocols. The choice of the starting dose of FSH has to be calculated by patients’ basal AMH level, AFC, age, BMI and PCOS diagnosis. Response to previous stimulation cycles should be integrated as another important clinical parameter, according to our dose finding algorithm.
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