Hemodynamic Assessment of Pregnant People with and without Obesity by Noninvasive Bioreactance: A Pilot Study
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Abstract

Objective This study aimed to identify cardiovascular differences between pregnant people with and without obesity for trimester-specific changes in hemodynamic parameters using noninvasive cardiac output monitoring (NICOM).

Study Design This study is a pilot prospective comparative cohort between pregnant people with and without obesity. Hemodynamic assessment was performed with NICOM (12–14, 21–23, and 34–36 weeks) during pregnancy.

Results In first trimester, pregnant people with obesity had higher blood pressure, stroke volume (SV), total peripheral resistance index (TPRI), and cardiac output (CO). Pregnant people with obesity continued to have higher SV and cardiac index (second and third trimesters). During the first trimester, body mass index (BMI) positively correlated with SV, TPRI, and CO. Fat mass showed a strong correlation with TPRI. BMI positively correlated with CO during the second trimester and fat mass was positively associated with CO. During the third trimester, TPR negatively correlated with BMI and fat mass.

Conclusion Fat mass gain in the period between the first and second trimesters in addition to the hemodynamic changes due to obesity and pregnancy contribute to some degree of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction which was manifested by lower SVs. Future work should investigate the possible causative role of obesity in the cardiovascular changes identified in people with obesity.

The obesity pandemic continues unabated, and according to the latest assessments, 300 million female people worldwide have obesity.1 In the general population, obesity is defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than 30 kg/m². Obesity can alter hemodynamic function by causing higher cardiac output (CO)2,3 and higher stroke volume (SV).4,5 Obesity is also
associated with altered myocardial geometry and heart failure. 

Obesity in pregnancy is defined as a BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m² at the first antenatal consultation and confers a high-risk status on the pregnancy. In the United States, alone half of all pregnant people have overweight or obesity. Pregnant people with obesity are at increased risk for gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, maternal mortality, and are more likely to have caesarean births. There are also serious implications for the fetus including risk of stillbirth, macrosomia, and congenital abnormalities. Infants born to people with obesity more often demonstrate fetal distress in labor, meconium aspiration, and low Apgar's scores, and, in future, life as adults with an increased risk of premature death.

Identifying cardiovascular differences between pregnant people with and without obesity might assist in identifying targets for intervention to improve outcomes in these cohorts of patients.

Traditionally, the gold standard for central hemodynamic monitoring has been invasive through the use of a pulmonary artery catheter (PAC). Placement of these lines and the interpretation of the readings require considerable specialist expertise. The development of transthoracic bioelectance monitoring has been revolutionary, as it permits noninvasive, bedside monitoring of the SV, and CO. Transthoracic bioelectance methods have been validated against open heart porcine models, pulse contour analysis, and the PAC. Transthoracic bioelectance monitoring has been safely used in pregnancy where it has demonstrated hemodynamic differences between preeclamptic and normotensive pregnant people.

We hypothesized that by using noninvasive cardiac output monitoring (NICOM), we would be able to detect hemodynamic differences between pregnant people with and without obesity during the course of pregnancy.

Methods

Study Population
This prospective comparative cohort pilot study was conducted at a large tertiary care referral center (Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada). We recruited two cohorts of pregnant people with and without obesity. At the time of initial booking into local antenatal clinic, people with obesity were identified by BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m² and people without obesity by BMI 18 to 25 kg/m².

All recruited patients were at least 18 years old and were followed longitudinally throughout their pregnancies. All patients agreed to participation by giving informed consent. The study was approved by the local institutional review board.

Study Procedures
Patients were interviewed and pertinent past medical and social history were collected. Height was measured at the patient’s first visit. Once per trimester (12–14, 21–23, and 34–36 weeks), all enrolled patients underwent the following assessments:

**Anthropometric Measurements**
- Weight in kilograms (kg) was measured on a standard scale.
- Body fat was assessed using skin fold measurements at the triceps and thigh level with skinfold calipers.

**Cardiovascular Measurements**
- Resting systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured with a standard sphygmomanometer with an appropriately sized cuff.
- Hemodynamic assessment was performed with the bioelectance-based NICOM system (NICOM, Cheetah Medical Inc.). The NICOM noninvasively measured cardiac function parameters including mean arterial pressure (MAP), CO, cardiac index (CI), SV index (SVI), total peripheral resistance (TPR), and TPR index (TPRI). The definitions, units, and normal values of these parameters are as follows:
  - MAP (mm Hg) defined as the average pressure in a patient’s arteries during one cardiac cycle. It is considered a better indicator of perfusion to vital organs than systolic blood pressure (SBP; normal range: 70–105 mm Hg).
  - CO (L/min) is the amount of blood the heart pumps through the circulatory system in 1 minute (normal range: 4–8 L/min).
  - CI (L/min/m²) is a hemodynamic parameter that describes the CO from left ventricle in 1 minute to body surface area, thus relating heart performance to the size of the individual (normal range: 2.5–4 L/min/m²).
  - SVI (mL/m²/beat) is the amount of blood pumped by left ventricle in one contraction (beat) to body surface area (normal range: 33–47 mL/m²/beat).
  - TPR (dyne.s/cm³) is the resistance to blood flow offered by all of the systemic vasculature, excluding pulmonary vascular system. TPR is equivalent to systemic vascular resistance (SVR) when the central venous pressure (CVP) is low. NICOM monitor estimates TPR assuming CVP is negligible (e.g., equals to 0) and uses same calculation of SVR.
  - SVR = (MAP – CVP) × 80 / CO (normal range: 800–1,200 dyne.s/cm³)
  - TPRI (dyne.s/cm³/m²) is the TPR by patient’s body surface area (normal range: 1,970–2,390 dyne.s/cm³/m²).

The patient interfaced with the NICOM system through four disposable surface pregelled and glued double electrodes placed on the patient’s skin, providing the connection for measurements of current flowing along the thorax. Two electrodes were placed on upper chest and two were placed on the upper abdomen to act as the source of a constant magnitude and, high-frequency current that provided homogeneous coverage of the thorax with an HF electrical field.
**Data Analysis**

Continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed as means with standard deviations. The significance of comparison was assessed by Student’s t-test. All p-values were two-sided and a value of less than or equal to 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Associations between hemodynamic and anthropometric characteristics were calculated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r). Statistical analyses were completed using commercially available software (SAS Version 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Results**

Seven pregnant people with obesity and eight with normal weight were enrolled in the study. In the group with obesity, six (6/7) patients completed the study, whereas in the group without obesity, seven (seven of eight) completed the study. One patient with normal weight and one patient with obesity presented with preterm births at 36 and 35 weeks, respectively, before they could be studied during the third trimester. All remaining patients in both groups completed their pregnancies without adverse obstetrical events and all had healthy term infants (> 37 weeks). All neonates were discharged with mothers and no admission to neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) was reported. Both groups of women were age-matched at the time of enrolment and were of similar gravidity, parity and gestational age (table 1).

Of note, chronic hypertension was identified currently during pregnancy in three of seven (42.8%) patients with obesity and one of eight (12.5%) patients without obesity. In regard to clinical history, people with obesity had higher rates of family history of diabetes (five of seven, 71.4% vs. five of eight, 62.5%), hypertension (six of seven, 85.7% vs. two of eight, 25%), cardiovascular events (five of seven, 71.4% vs. two of eight, 25%), stroke (four of seven, 57.1% vs. two of eight, 25%), and sickle-cell disease (one of seven, 14.2% vs. 0).

People with obesity had significantly higher enrollment BMI (39.8 ± 3.9 vs. 22 ± 2.5 kg/m², p < 0.0001) and fat mass (40.6 ± 3.6 vs. 28.6 ± 5.9%, p < 0.0001) when compared with people with normal weight (table 1).

In the first trimester assessments, people with obesity had higher SBP, diastolic blood pressure (DBP), MAP, SV, TPRI, and CO (table 2).

In the second and third trimesters, people with obesity demonstrated higher SVs and CI, while other parameters remained similar (table 3). BMI increased during the latter portions of pregnancy for both groups of people (table 3). Fat mass increased between the second and third trimesters for people with normal weight, whereas people with obesity demonstrated a reduction. (table 3).

Anthropometric and hemodynamic data were interrogated for degree of change between trimesters. Between the first and second trimester, blood pressure (SBP and MAP) significantly decreased for people with obesity but remained comparatively higher when compared with people with normal weight. The TPRI and TPRI significantly decreased for both groups between the first and second trimester but the degree of decrease was more pronounced for people with obesity.

Correlation analysis was done per trimester and showed that BMI and fat mass were more closely related to hemodynamic measures during the first trimester. In the first trimester BMI positively correlated with CO (r = 0.54, p = 0.04), TPRI (r = 0.56, p = 0.04), and CO (r = 0.53, p = 0.04). Fat mass showed a strong correlation with TPRI during the first trimester (r = 0.68, p = 0.01).

BMI positively correlated with CO during the second trimester (r = 0.53, p = 0.04) as did fat mass (r = 0.55, p = 0.03). During the third trimester, BMI, as well as fat mass, negatively correlated with TPRI (r = −0.56, p = 0.05; r = −0.59, p = 0.04, respectively).

Further correlation analysis showed that the change in fat mass over the course of pregnancy significantly correlated with changes in TPRI (r = 0.63, p = 0.04), TPRI (r = 0.64,

| Table 2 Hemodynamic data of pregnant people with and without obesity at the time of study enrollment (first trimester 12–14 weeks) |
|---|---|---|---|
| n | Obese | Nonobese | p |
| SBP (mm Hg) | 128 ± 15 | 99 ± 13 | < 0.01 |
| DBP (mm Hg) | 75 ± 10 | 59 ± 12 | 0.02 |
| MAP (mm Hg) | 92 ± 13 | 72 ± 13 | 0.01 |
| SV (mL) | 101 ± 20 | 75 ± 13 | 0.01 |
| TPR (dyn/s/cm⁵) | 1,003 ± 128 | 997 ± 189 | 0.95 |
| TPRI (dyn/s/cm²/m²) | 2,158 ± 298 | 1,665 ± 394 | 0.03 |
| CO (L/min) | 7.34 ± 1.15 | 5.93 ± 0.73 | 0.01 |
| CI (L/min/m²) | 3.49 ± 0.59 | 3.61 ± 0.42 | 0.64 |

Abbreviations: CI, cardiac index; CO, cardiac output; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; NA, indicates not applicable; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SV, stroke volume; TPR, total peripheral resistance; TPRI, total peripheral resistance index.

Note: Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Table 3 Anthropometric and hemodynamic data of pregnant people with and without obesity in the second (21–23 weeks) and third trimesters (34–36 weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trimester 2 (21–23 weeks)</th>
<th>Trimester 3 (34–36 weeks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>Nonobese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>118 ± 15</td>
<td>105 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>70 ± 13</td>
<td>61 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>80 ± 7</td>
<td>74 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV (mL)</td>
<td>99 ± 22</td>
<td>73 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR (dyn/s/cm³)</td>
<td>756 ± 151</td>
<td>942 ± 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPRI</td>
<td>1,634 ± 312</td>
<td>1,568 ± 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (L/min)</td>
<td>8.16 ± 1.56</td>
<td>6.46 ± 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI (L/min/m²)</td>
<td>4.49 ± 1.65</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, cardiac index; CO, cardiac output; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; NA, indicates not applicable; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SV, stroke volume; TPR, total peripheral resistance; TPRI, total peripheral resistance index.

Note: Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

\[ p = 0.03 \), CO \( (r = -0.71, \ p = 0.01) \), and CO \( (r = -0.68, \ p = 0.02; \ Figs. 1,2,3,4). \)

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to profile hemodynamic parameters longitudinally throughout pregnancy in people with obesity and normal weight using NICOM and to record pregnancy outcomes.

In this small pilot study, we identified important cardiovascular differences in pregnant people with and without obesity throughout gestation. Given the small numbers, we were not able to correlate these hemodynamic changes to maternal and fetal outcomes.

Classically, obesity has been associated with a higher cardiovascular workload. To offset this, several hemodynamic changes take place. For example, the Frank-Starling curve shows a leftward shift, with afterload reduction which is mainly due to decreased TPR. In some populations, the higher levels of the adipokine leptin which is released by fat cells have been associated with this drop in TPR. With higher levels of adiposity, individuals with obesity have higher circulating blood volume, as well as a higher SV. There is also a tendency toward higher resting heart rate. As CO is the product of SV and heart rate, individuals with obesity typically have a higher CO than age- and sex-matched normal weight individuals. Over time, this compensatory mechanism can affect the myocardium causing left and right ventricular enlargement and hypertrophy. Unchecked, chamber dilation can progress to diastolic and systolic ventricular dysfunction which in severe cases can lead to overt heart failure.
It is important to note that in normal pregnancies, some of the hemodynamic changes seen with obesity are also present. For example, there is a 40 to 50% increase in circulating blood volume. SV and CO also increase while TPR falls. SV fell consistently throughout pregnancy in our study, so it is unknown what role they may have caused some degree of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and therefore, lower SVs.

Although our numbers are too small to draw specific conclusions, we speculate that fat mass gain between the first and second trimesters, in addition to the hemodynamic changes already present due to obesity and pregnancy, may have caused some degree of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and therefore, lower SVs.

This hypothesis could not be confirmed due to lack of echocardiographic studies on both cohorts. The hypothetical causality between obesity gain and altered NICOM parameters, and the potential for left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, can be better explored in future studies. Our study suggests that incorporating echocardiographic parameters with NICOM in pregnant populations with obesity may clarify this matter.

We identified that our patients with obesity maintained stable CO and CI. This fact might be reflective of young age and the ability to compensate with higher heart rates. Extrapolating from this, for people with obesity at the start of pregnancy, more focused fat mass management between the first and second trimesters could confer better hemodynamic function, mitigating the higher TPR, and lower CO/CI.

This pilot study was designed to assess the clinical utility of NICOM in pregnant people with and without obesity. It was possible to identify that NICOM was useful in tracking cardiovascular and hemodynamic changes throughout the course of gestation. Further studies, including echocardiographic assessments, are necessary to corroborate these findings. It would be interesting to observe whether the hemodynamic changes identified in this pilot study are resolved in the postpartum period and how such resolution might be explained by changes in weight, BMI, and/or fat mass.

**Limitations**

Future work with larger patient groups could investigate the possible causative role of the adipocytokines (leptin and adiponectin) in the cardiovascular changes seen in pregnancy affected by obesity. It is well known that leptin can cause chronic oxidative stress in endothelial cells, stimulate migration and proliferation of vascular smooth cells, and induce calcification of vascular cells, thus contributing to vascular pathology. In patients with obesity and diabetes, the elevation of leptin levels also causes a reduction of nitric oxide (NO) and attenuation of NO vasodilation, contributing to increased vascular resistance.

**Conclusion**

Obesity remains one of the major health care concerns of our time and obesity in pregnancy has serious adverse implications for our patients and maternal cardiac health.
our numbers are too small in this pilot study to draw inference, we suggest that further investigation into the role of weight gain and fat mass management between the first and second trimesters is warranted, as this period coincides with potentially detrimental cardiovascular changes.
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