High Fructose Negatively Impacts Proliferation of NSC-34 Motor Neuron Cell Line
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Abstract

Objectives The main aim of this study is to identify the deleterious effects of indiscriminately consumed high fructose on motor neurons that are critically affected in many neurological conditions causing movement disorders including paralysis.

Materials and Methods Neuroblastoma x mouse spinal cord motor neuron cell line (NSC-34) motor neuron cell lines were treated with high fructose and oxygen supplementation (18.8%) and assayed for cell proliferation/death, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, and oxidative stress response induction

Statistical Analysis Mean and standard deviation, significance with and without high fructose (F)-5%, were estimated by t-tests using GraphPad Prism ver. 8.2.1

Results F-5% along with O2 (18.8%) annihilates the cells (~85%) by day10 and inhibits cell division as observed by the presence of multinucleated cells. Unexpectedly, 1 to 2% of cells that survived, differentiated and displayed progressive neurite extension. Though not healthy, they were viable up to 80 days. F-5% increased ROS levels (~34%) not accompanied by concomitant enhanced expression of oxidative stress response regulator, the transcription factor, nrf-2, or downstream effector, sod-1.

Conclusion High fructose is extremely harmful to NSC-34 motor neuron cell line.

Introduction

Fructose, commercially inexpensive and highly addictive,1 commonly used in the form of refined sugar, sucrose, or high fructose corn syrup in confectioneries, baked/processed food, and sports drinks, is associated with disruption of metabolism. High fructose consumption with a sedentary lifestyle2 leads to various diseases like metabolic syndrome, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity,3 and nonalcoholic fatty liver.4 Off-late, fructose is implicated in various neurological conditions, neuroinflammation,5 and neurodegenerative diseases (ND)6 as it crosses the blood–brain barrier in small quantities. In the brain, surplus glucose is converted to fructose through the sorbitol pathway and metabolized.7 Sports people are known to consume energy drinks enriched with glucose and fructose to replenish their energy rapidly. Sports personnel have a higher incidence of the ND, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), also known as Lou Gehrig disease, characterized by motor neuron (MN) degeneration leading to progressive paralysis and eventually death.8 Recent findings suggest that fructose metabolism is impaired in...
Fructose is also known to generate extremely harmful reactive oxygen species (ROS), superoxide—$\text{O}_2^-$ and hydroxyl—$\text{OH}$, in hepatocytes.\(^{10,11}\) An established regulator of the oxidative stress defense mechanism, the nuclear factor erythroid-2 related factor (nrf2), induces the expression of antioxidant response element-dependent genes that inactivate ROS and maintain redox homeostasis of the cells.\(^2\) A downstream effector of nrf2, Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase 1 (sod1), which dismutates $\text{O}_2^-$ and converts it to $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in the cytoplasm and mitochondrial intermembrane space, if mutated is known to cause ALS.\(^{13,14}\) Since fructose plays a major role in energy metabolism and ROS generation, its effects on oxidative stress response need to be better understood.

In this report, we address the effects of high fructose on cell proliferation, induction of morphological changes in the cells, and its role in ROS generation and antioxidant defense in the MNs.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Culture and Assays**

Neuroblastoma x mouse spinal cord motor neuron cell line (NSC-34)\(^ {15}\) was maintained using standard protocols as reported earlier.\(^ {5,16,17}\) The cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO\(_2\) and 18.8% O\(_2\) in the Forma series II CO\(_2\) incubator (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) and subcultured every 3 to 4 days. All the reagents were from Gibco (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Maryland, United States) unless otherwise mentioned. Fructose at a final concentration of 5% (277mM) was added to the cells seeded in T25 flasks ($2 \times 10^5$ cells) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) complete medium and this was maintained at 37°C with 5% CO\(_2\) and 18.8% O\(_2\) for the long-term assay. Cell viability was determined using thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay as reported earlier.\(^ {18}\) Further, 5% fructose treated cells were stained with acridine orange (50 µg/mL, 30 minutes, 37°C) that emits green fluorescence when bound to double-stranded DNA.\(^ {19}\) After phosphate buffered saline washes, the cells were subjected to fluorescence microscopy. The cellular ROS levels were measured by using 2¢,7¢-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCF-DA) (Sigma Aldrich, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India).\(^ {20}\) Expression of oxidative response pathway genes, Nrf-2 and Sod-1, was performed by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The cells were analyzed for nuclear staining in Nikon Eclipse-Ti fluorescence microscope, using fluorescein isothiocyanate filter. The nucleus was viewed with 10x and 40x objectives. The same microscope was utilized for viewing and capturing the phase-contrast images. The images were obtained with a CCD camera and Q-imaging software.

**Statistics**

Mean and standard deviation were calculated, and significance was estimated by t-tests using GraphPad Prism ver. 8.2.1 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California, United States).

**Results**

Treatment of the NSC-34 cell line with high fructose (5%) abrogated cellular proliferation as early as day 5 (\textit{Fig. 1A}). This deteriorated further on day 10 and 15 (\textit{Fig. 1A}). On day 5, cell death was 53%, determined by MTT assay (\textit{Fig. 1B}). On day 10, cell death was 85% by day 10 (day 5—$p = 0.017$; day 10—$p = 0.0007$) compared with control. To identify how high fructose inhibits NSC-34 proliferation, they were stained with acridine orange at day 20. This revealed multinucleated cells (\textit{Fig. 2}). Although nuclear division was clearly apparent, cell division was obstructed. While control cells showed obvious cell division (\textit{Fig. 2B, a}), it was almost negligible in F5% treated cells (\textit{Fig. 2B, b–d}), a clear indication of cell division arrest at cytokinesis, thereby inhibition of

![Fig. 1](image-url)  **Fig. 1** High fructose inhibits cell proliferation. (A) Deterred cell density with 5% fructose treatment as early as day 5 (Scale: 1 cm = 100 µm) (B) Significant cell death confirmed by thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide assay performed at day 5 and 10 (day 5—$p = 0.0275$; day 10—$p < 0.0001$).
cell proliferation leading to multinucleated cells. One possibility is the inefficient synthesis of the cellular components needed due to reduced or lack of ATP production upon F5% treatment.

Contrary to the above-mentioned adverse effects, around 1 to 2% of cells exhibited clear-cut differentiation as observed by the presence of extensive neurites (Fig. 3). Of this populace, ~0.5% survived up to day 80 when maintained with a medium change every 5 days and oxygen 18.8% (Fig. 3). This oxygen supplementation was essential for the long-term maintenance.

Fructose is known to increase ROS levels in various cell types. To identify fructose mediated ROS generation and its impact on NSC-34 cells, ROS levels were measured using the fluorescent ROS indicator, DCF-DA. F5% significantly increased DCF-DA fluorescence intensity by 34% upon 48 hours exposure (Fig. 4A), showing a significant increase in ROS levels (p = 0.0002). The neuronal cell body also exhibited an extensive number of vacuoles (Fig. 4B). This vacuolation is indicative of ROS mediated cellular stress and toxicity.

---

Fig. 2 Multinucleation observed in most cells exposed to high fructose. (A) 5% Fructose inhibited cell division at cytokinesis. (B) a. Upon acridine orange staining, control cells show normal cytokinesis each divided cell with a single nucleus. b. 5% fructose led to binucleated, trinucleated, and polynucleated cells. c. Four nuclei (magnified inset) (Scale: 1cm = 100 µm). Nuclei are indicated with yellow arrows.

Fig. 3 Fructose effects—Differentiation and long-term survival in vitro (A–B). Fructose 5% treatment induced neuronal differentiation and facilitated neurite formation. (C–H) Differentiated cells at varied DIV that survived from 31 to 80 days. (DIV—No. of days in vitro in culture) (Scale: 1cm = 100µm).
Generally, ROS level increase is accompanied by induction of oxidative stress response. As F5% increased ROS levels, the expression of the oxidative stress response genes was investigated. Nrf-2, the transcription factor, master inducer of expression of a multitude of oxidative stress response pathway genes\(^1\) including sod-1, an enzyme responsible for conversion of superoxide into less toxic hydrogen peroxide and oxygen\(^2\) were evaluated through RT-PCR. Only basal levels of both nrf-2 and sod-1 were expressed upon F5% treatment, the same as in control (Fig. 4). This lack of induction of oxidative stress response despite increased ROS may be the cause for motor neuronal cell death and other detrimental effects like vacuolation.

**Discussion**

Reports of chronic, indiscriminate high fructose consumption being linked to major neurological/ND including ALS\(^2\) are unsettling. ALS is more prevalent among sports personnel. Energy beverages consumed by them as an immediate source of muscular energy are supplemented with fructose. But fructose's effect on motor neuron degeneration in general, sports people and ALS is unclear. Hence, we set out to address fructose impact on the NSC-34 MN line in vitro.

In sports people, oxygen uptake is quite different, with high VO\(_{2}\)\(_{\text{max}}\) (maximal oxygen uptake).\(^2\) To closely mimic this, unlike normal mammalian cell culture, the oxygen level was maintained at 18.8%, with exclusive \(\text{O}_2\) supply in addition to 5% \(\text{CO}_2\). In vivo \(\text{O}_2\) level is below 6.5% in most of the tissues including brain and muscle.\(^2\) But that of the spinal cord is not known. Although F5% shuts off the energy metabolism machinery in the cells under said normal conditions of 37°C with 5%\(\text{CO}_2\) only through the combination of high oxygen and fructose, the negative effects of ~85% cell death on day 10 (\(\sim\) Fig. 1) through inhibition of cell division (\(\sim\) Fig. 2) were observed. In addition, unexpectedly, around 1 to 2% of the cells differentiated with long, branched neurites and 0.5% of this populace were viable in vitro up to 80 days (\(\sim\) Fig. 4), but only with \(\text{O}_2\) supplementation. Hence, even if high fructose combined with \(\text{O}_2\) supplementation arrests cell proliferation, this study still provides a strategy for long-term maintenance of differentiated spinal cord MNs that is hard to achieve. A further detailed study will provide novel insights into the MN function and abnormalities on long term cultures.

**Conclusion**

High fructose is lethal to MNs (\(\sim\) Figs. 1 and 2) due to excess ROS generation without oxidative stress response (\(\sim\) Fig. 4) and complete abolition of mitochondrial activity.\(^1\) Unlike other cell types, as MNs lack regenerative ability after birth, and high fructose affects the differentiated MNs on the long term, the detrimentality brought about by F5% needs to be considered seriously. The only marginal benefit of high fructose is that it provides a strategy or direction, in combination with \(\text{O}_2\) supplementation, to differentiate and maintain low percentage of MNs for a long term in vitro (\(\sim\) Fig. 4) that is not possible otherwise. Overall, high fructose in diet has no benefit whatsoever and caution is required against its unfettered intake as part of the diet.
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