Periodontal Manifestations in Vertical Root Fractured Teeth
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Abstract

Vertical root fractures are more commonly encountered following endodontic treatment and in teeth without endodontic treatment, with habits like bruxism and eating coarse, hard food. The teeth most predisposed to fracture are the premolars of the maxilla and mandible, the mesial roots and mesiobuccal roots of the mandibular molars, maxillary molars and the mandibular incisors. Timely identification of cracked teeth and fractures is the key since it permits a conservative and preventive approach. Delay in diagnosis and treatment will result in the involvement of the underlying periodontium, causing periodontal pockets, abscess formation, and bone loss, thereby further complicating the outcome. Appropriate diagnosis, education, and counseling of the patient by the dentist and coronal restoration following root canal treatment are mandatory to prevent the loss of teeth in patients. The prognosis of multirooted teeth is more favorable. Affected single-rooted teeth may, however, require immediate extraction. MEDLINE database and Scopus search (www.scopus.com) were performed using the key words “vertical root fracture” or “longitudinal root fracture.” Text book chapters were manually checked.
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Introduction

According to the American Association of Endodontists (AAE), five categories of cracks are craze lines, fractured cusp, cracked tooth, split tooth, and vertical root fracture (VRF).1 Sharp pain during mastication, sensitivity to cold and ache or pain on the release of bite force, is characteristic of cracked tooth syndrome.2 Advanced cracks in teeth are associated with deep probing depths of >6 mm, which is an important element in the prognosis of the tooth. Intact teeth are associated with 65% prevalence of cracks.3 As per the Glossary of Endodontic Terms, AAEs, a VRF is an incomplete root fracture that may occur buccolingually or mesiodistally; it may cause periodontal defect(s) or sinus tracts and may be radiographically evident. It can be either complete or incomplete and confined to the root.4,5

A VRF is a complex clinical scenario that mostly occurs due to the production of lateral wedging forces during compaction of gutta-percha, for obturation or post-placement, which can induce stresses and strains during root canal treatment (RCT).6–8

Additional masticatory forces in patients with chronic parafunctional habits can lead to the extraction of the affected tooth. It is also be detected in intact teeth in patients...
with chronic trauma from occlusion such as bruxism, clenching, eating hard foods such as nuts, and thermal cycling. The teeth most predisposed to fracture are the premolars of the maxilla and mandible, the mandibular incisors, mesial root, mesiobuccal roots of the mandibular molars, and maxillary molars.

This type of fracture is usually diagnosed by secondary symptoms that develop following the primary treatment, often when the coronal restoration has already been completed. The fracture line itself is often not directly visible, and therefore, the history, clinical, and radiographic signs and symptoms are important factors that can lead to a correct diagnosis. It is vital to pay attention to the patient’s chief complaints, a thorough clinical examination and proper scrutiny of periapical and bitewing radiographs. Hence, in more advanced cases, it requires an interdisciplinary approach with an endodontist and periodontist to rule out similar appearing endodontic-periodontal lesions.

Etiology

Because of the devastating effects and the lack of treatment modalities for VRF, it is very critical to identify the etiology. Many such etiologies have been suggested, they can be categorized as predisposing and iatrogenic factors (Table 1).

Clinical Manifestation

Early Manifestation
The probability of a VRF should be borne in mind if a well-completed root canal-treated tooth does not resolve after completion. When “pain on biting” complemented with a “bad taste” is present in a root canal-treated tooth, a VRF can be suspected. Commonly, patients complain they felt a jolt of pain on biting hard food like nuts and may state that “since then, the tooth felt different.” In the initial stages, the patient may notice a mild discomfort when biting or chewing, sensitivity, or pain on lateral percussion. In a study evaluating root canal treated, vertically fractured teeth, Tamse et al detected that 50% or more patients reported some kind of dull ache and pressure on biting. Chronic inflammatory lesion in the area was reported in 35% of patients in the form of an abscess.

If the fracture and subsequent infection are allowed to progress, a deep narrow probing will be evident along the fracture location, swelling often occurs in the attached gingiva, and a sinus tract may be located more coronally, compared with an apical location of a sinus tract in the case of chronic periapical abscess (Figs. 1 and 2). The point of sinus tract drainage is called a stoma or parulis. This mostly indicates the presence of necrotic pulp and chronic periapical abscess. These signs and symptoms have to be differentiated from nonhealing RCT that are very similar.

Late Manifestation
As the fracture progresses, the periodontal probing pattern that was narrow and deep later becomes wider and deeper at the fracture location. In well-established chronic cases, the bone destruction is extensive, the root fragments may

Table 1 Predisposing and iatrogenic factors of VRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predisposing factors</th>
<th>Latrogenic factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excessive occlusal loads or intensity of such loads</td>
<td>Root canal treatment procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma, bruxism, and clenching</td>
<td>Intraradicular dowels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cracks in dentin Caries</td>
<td>Wedging forces of post placement and obturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomy of the roots—mesiodistal and bucco-lingual dimension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversized post preparation leading to a weakened tooth structure, excessive pressure during cementation of posts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obturation-related stress and strain in the roots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post or pin corrosion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Periodontal Manifestations

Complete or incomplete VRFs extend to the supporting periodontium. The resultant soft tissue ingrowth into the fracture space intensifies the separation of the root segments. Bacteria, debris, and foreign material make a pathway through the gingival sulcus into the fracture zone while communicating with the oral cavity. This invasion results in an inflammatory process, resulting in the destruction of the supporting periodontium and granulation tissue formation, thereby a rapid progression of the bony defect in an apical and interproximal direction. Periodontal breakdown and bone resorption are quicker in premolars, i.e., in premolars of the maxilla where the buccal cortical plate is thin and the mesial roots of the mandibular molars, the most prone to fracture. Most commonly dehiscence-type bone resorption in the buccal cortical plate develops in teeth associated with a periodontal abscess. Of 35% of patients with a sinus tract, 24% presented with deep osseous defects buccally, and in 13–42% patients, a sinus tract was observed by Tamse et al on the evaluation of VRFs in endodontically treated teeth. The sinus tract location was typically closer to the marginal gingiva, as in contrast to failed RCTs in which sinus tracts often are in an apical location. Many patients examined had buccal and lingual/palatal sinus tracts, at both aspects. This is a characteristic and typical sign for a VRF. Of 35% patients, a sinus tract was observed by Tamse et al on the evaluation of deep osseous defects buccally, and in 13–42% patients, a sinus tract was observed by Tamse et al on the evaluation of VRFs in endodontically treated teeth. The sinus tract location was typically closer to the marginal gingiva, as in contrast to failed RCTs in which sinus tracts often are in an apical location. Many patients examined had buccal and lingual/palatal sinus tracts, at both aspects. This is a characteristic and typical sign for a VRF.

Implications: Avenue for bacterial ingress leading to
- Pulpal pathosis
- Periodontal pathosis
- Failed RCT

Probing Pattern

A deep narrow isolated probing pattern adjacent to the fracture site is a common feature of VRF teeth. Bilateral probing patterns may be visible in a through and through fracture. The probing pattern should be differentiated in VRF that is narrow/wide but localized compared with a generalized wider consistent conical probing pattern in periodontitis. A sudden deep dip of the periodontal probe in an isolated location around the circumference of the tooth in the presence of otherwise normal attachment usually indicates a fractured root. A characteristic indication of a fracture would be two deep probing depths on opposite sides of the infection. Teeth with large restorations may necessitate complete removal of the restoration before deep interproximal periodontal probing of molar teeth with fractures mesiodistally.

Bone Resorption Pattern

Periodontal breakdown and bone resorption are rapid in premolars where the buccal cortical plate is thin. An initial dehiscence-type defect forms in the buccal plate that resorbs apicocoronally. As the fracture advances, the bone defect becomes wide with extension inter-proximally and laterally. This is best observed by the reflection of a full-thickness periodontal flap and thorough debridement.

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of VRFs should carefully take into consideration the patient’s chief complaint, a thorough dental history, and detailed clinical examination. After which, based on the location of the fracture and periodontal involvement, the prognosis assessment and steps in the management must be considered. Since there is no single specific indicator of VRF, a combination of all aspects, clinical, and radiographic analysis is mandatory in the diagnosis.

Clinical Examination

- Patient history—Patients may convey a long history of undiagnosed pain or sensitivity on related or adjacent to a given tooth, despite several clinical and radiographical examinations. Sensitivity and discomfort while chewing are also common complaints.
- Hard tissue examination—A bite test using a Tooth Slooth (Professional Results, Laguna Niguel, CA, United States) or a similar device can be used to detect a cracked tooth. When the patient bites down on the Tooth Slooth, it will reproduce the sensation of a sharp pain of the chief complaint or pressure upon release.
- Soft tissue and gingival examination—Visual examination of gingival swelling and sinus tract formation is a crucial step. Fistula tracking is done by inserting a semi-rigid radiopaque material into the sinus track until resistance is met. Taking a second radiograph with the insertion of a material such as gutta-percha cones into the sinus tract will indicate the course of the sinus tract and the origin of the lesion. A periodontal probe is a mandatory requirement in the armamentarium. The probing depth must be carefully evaluated around the involved and adjacent teeth.
- Staining—Methylene blue or tincture of iodine dyes can help detect a fracture line. A cotton pellet soaked with methylene blue dye is swabbed on the dried occlusal surface of the tooth. The patient is asked to bite down an object like a stick, along with lateral jaw movements. This enables the dye penetration into the fracture area. Subsequently, the dye is rinsed from the tooth surfaces and a distinct fracture line is visualized with magnifying loops or microscopic examination.
- Pulp testing—Pulp testing is carried out to determine the pulpal innervation of the affected tooth.
- Magnification and transillumination—Magnification of the area with loupes or an operating microscope can be of value when looking for a crack. Large intracoronal restorations need to be removed prior to transillumination. If
the tooth does not have an extensive intracoronal restoration, fiber optic transillumination in a dark room will penetrate the tooth structure, leaving the area beyond the crack dark, thus disclosing the crack.2

- **Radiographs**—The root canal-treated tooth may appear normal radiographically. Often, periapical radiographs at different angulations may be necessary to verify the existence of a fracture. The commonly occurring radiographic features of VRFs are the “halo” appearance. There may be a radiolucency in one or both sides of the root, radiolucency along the root laterally, or angular radiolucency from the bone crest ending by the root side. Space besides a post or root filling may also be detected.18–20

- **Exploratory surgical assessment**—Surgical full-thickness flap reflection may eventually be required to visibly confirm the presence of a root fracture along with the type of bone loss. This will provide a confirmatory diagnosis of VRF to the clinician.14

- **Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT)**—Although CBCT has been used and there are some cases where it was helpful, the main problem with it is the masking of the fracture line by the obturating material or posts making it an unreliable tool. With the current CBCT devices, unseparated fracture width may be too small and undetectable. The smallest voxel size of approximately 0.075 mm is currently available for a CBCT device. Hence, CBCT imaging would not be able to visualize a root fracture of greater than 0.15 mm width.2 The literature from meta-analysis and systematic reviews conclude that the use of CBCT in the detection of VRF as a diagnostic tool may be unreliable.21–23

## Prognosis and Management

The severity, position of the crack, and discomfort experienced by the patient determine the prognosis and management. When the crack is minute without pulpal involvement, resins, inlays, or crowns may be used to restore the tooth in a conservative manner:24 RCT is mandatory in patients with worsening chronic symptoms such as tooth hypersensitivity, pulpal involvement periodontal abscess, and deep probing depths, prior to completing the coronal restoration. Early detection of cracked and fractured teeth can enable the establishment of conservative preventive strategies to avoid complications.

Single-rooted teeth generally have a poor prognosis when there is advanced involvement. Molars, on the contrary, can be salvaged by treatment with root resection and hemicoronal restoration. Persistence of symptoms, severe destruction of the underlying periodontium, and loss of attachment can hamper the prognosis and lead to the extraction of the tooth.3,14,25 In patients with suspected VRF with severe periodontal destruction, tooth or root extraction is recommended at the earliest. Any delay may possibly compromise the replacement with an endosseous implant. Thus, all precautionary and diagnostic measures are necessary at an early stage. Several efforts at repairing fractures with a variety of restorative materials like cyanoacrylates have been reported in the literature; yet, none of the outcomes of these treatment options is regarded as a dependable long-term solution.2,5

## Conclusion

VRFs are challenging and confusing to detect clinically. Various tests along with the patient’s signs, symptoms, and history must be meticulously considered to arrive at a confirmatory diagnosis. It is important to detect the causative factor/s to determine the prognosis of such teeth and the best treatment option. Hence, early detection is vital and can be managed conservatively since the management depends on the extent and severity of the lesion.

Heroic attempts to treat such teeth with conservative approaches in most cases may not be possible. Following root canal treatment, a coronal restoration is mandatory. Single-rooted teeth generally have a poor prognosis, whereas it may be possible to save multirooted teeth. Progress into the underlying periodontium with severe bone loss can hamper the prognosis of such teeth and lead to extraction.
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