Cone-Beam Computed Tomography in Periodontal Diagnosis and Treatment Planning
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Introduction

Periodontal disease is considered being a dreadful microbial disease that affects tooth-supporting structures.1 Extensive case recording and radiological evaluation are crucial for successful treatment outcomes in such patients.2 The conventional periodontal diagnostic approaches include recording pocket probing depth, bleeding on probing, clinical attachment loss, and intraoral radiography, which plays a vital role in diagnosing the type and amount of alveolar defect.3 At present, conventional diagnostic techniques have drawn various limitations that have put clinicians to look at other alternative methods, which would help provide a better diagnosis.

Intraoral radiography is the most familiar diagnostic technique used in periodontics. Its major limitation is its inability to provide a three-dimensional (3D) view of the anatomical structures. Hence, it can affect the interpretation and identification of the defect. The technique also underestimates the amount of bone loss and fails in identifying various anatomical landmarks.4–6

With the introduction of computed tomography (CT) to the medical field, the possibility of visualizing through a 3D image has come true. However, the high cost incurred by the tool and the amount of radiation emitted by it limit the usage of this technology in the dental field.

Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) is comparatively a newly emerged diagnostic technology. They have received massive appreciation in dental maxillofacial surgery.7,8

The technique offers numerous advantages, such as the low cost incurred by the equipment and lower radiation emission.9 The method uses an X-ray source that produces a cone beam of radiation, unlike in CT, where it emits a fan beam-like radiation.10

The system consists of a flat panel detector, an image intensifier, and a detector. The source and detector directly connect to a platform to produce sequential planar images as the sensor rotates around the object. The entire scanning completes in a single rotation. Therefore, it can tremendously reduce the radiation exposure to the person.11 When we compare the exposure dose of panoramic radiograph with...
that of periapical, it is around 0.0063 and 0.0012 mGy, respectively.\textsuperscript{12} Reports also suggest a dose range within 33 to 84 Sv for performing intraoral estimation.\textsuperscript{13}

The application of CBCT widely spans among fields including dentoalveolar surgeries, implantology, general/specialized dentistry (orthodontics, periodontics, endodontics, and forensic dentistry).\textsuperscript{14–17} The article here focuses on the different applications of CBCT in the field of periodontics.

**Soft Tissue Assessment**
Assessing facial soft tissue thickness plays an integral role in the treatment plan and the degree of success in various periodontal procedures. Even though several methods have emerged to measure soft tissue, the accuracy of these techniques is still under debate.

The literature provides numerous studies supporting the application of CBCT to determine the measurements of hard tissue, while publications are very scarce concerning soft tissue assessment.\textsuperscript{18}

In a study conducted by Alessandro et al in 2008, they devised a new technique based on the principle of CBCT, known as soft tissue CBCT (ST CBCT), which helps visualize and estimate the distances respective to hard–soft tissues as well. The technique was simple and non-invasive. Thus, clinicians found it helpful in determining the association between various structures of the periodontium.

Three patients with distinct periodontal biotypes were asked to obtain two separate CBCT scans for the study. The first scan followed the standard methods, while the second used the ST CBCT method. The routine scan could only procure the distance between the cementoenamel junction and the facial bone crest and the width of the alveolar bone. In contrast, the ST CBCT scans showed better visualization and accurate measurements of periodontal structures.\textsuperscript{19} Thus, the latter plays a significant role in determining the dimensions of various structures in the periodontium.

However, certain studies have depicted that the technique can’t be used as a precise tool for assessing soft tissues.

A study conducted by Gupta et al in 2015 evaluated the thickness of palatal masticatory mucosa through the CBCT scan, along with bone sounding conducted on 20 patients assigned palatal surgery. They found no significant differences among the two groups. However, the results could not be generalized as the sample size was inadequate and not accurately determined (– Table 1).\textsuperscript{20}

**Periodontal Ligament Space**
The status of the lamina dura in a radiograph marks as an early sign for the detection of periodontitis. Periodontal diseases are accompanied in most cases by a discontinuity of the lamina dura.

An imaging technique with a higher sensitivity would be required to detect variations in the periodontal ligament space. As conventional imaging modalities pose several disadvantages such as overlapping, processing errors, or errors in patient positions, clinicians look forward to novel innovations like CBCT to address these issues.\textsuperscript{21}

Ozmeric et al compared CBCT and conventional radiographs by creating an artificial periodontal ligament space in a phantom model. The results showed that periapical radiographs were superior to CBCT in regard to estimating the periodontal ligament space.\textsuperscript{22}

A series of in vitro studies were used in an artificial periodontal ligament (PDL) model to compare the images of CBCT and radicular grooves (RGs). The images were presented to 20 people including dentists, dental assistants, and students. Weeks later, the same photos were mixed and given to the same subjects. The results showed a significant difference, where RGs elicited better images compared with CBCT.

However, detailed studies on assessing minor slice/window level techniques for image optimization are required to validate these results further.\textsuperscript{23}

**Alveolar Bone Defect**
The accurate detection of the alveolar bone defects depends upon the precision of the radiograph taken. Usually, 2D radiographs are insufficient for evaluating bone defects. This is due to various reasons such as obstruction of spongy bone changes in the cortical plate or blurring of anatomic bone structures.

With the addition of a third diagnostic plane, images generated were more precise and accurately determined, especially in a buccolingual direction.\textsuperscript{24}

A study conducted by Balasundaram in 2014 showed CBCT scanners as a reliable source for quantitative information on periodontal bone levels. However, accuracy in the anterior jaw was unsatisfactory.\textsuperscript{25}

Another study conducted by Vandenberghe et al compared defect measurements in CBCT with intraoral digital radiographs. The results showed intraoral imaging with a better image detail accuracy, while CBCT illustrated a more appropriate morphological assessment of defects.\textsuperscript{26} However, in cross-sectional sections, CBCT measurements were considered to have better accuracy than digital imaging.\textsuperscript{27}

Similarly, Misch and colleagues suggested that the CT system exhibited intraoral measurements as equivalent and authentic as clinical calibrations and radiographs.\textsuperscript{28}

In a case report by Mohan et al in 2014, a patient reported with a complaint of loose teeth. Clinical and direct digital radiography failed to accurately assess the extent of the periodontal lesion. With the means of CBCT imaging, the detailed morphology of the defects was attained. Post-surgically, the CBCT measurements were also found to be exactly identical to the actual intrasurgical measurements (– Fig. 1).\textsuperscript{29}

Mengel et al and Noujeim et al were few among the many remaining authors who have also validated the potentiality of this approach in estimating intrabony defects in their studies.\textsuperscript{30,31}

A systematic review done by Haas et al assessed the capability of CBCT in estimating alveolar defects. The results showed a moderate amount of evidence, supporting its role as an appropriate imaging modality, yet also mentioned not to be selected as clinicians first choice for Perio assessment.\textsuperscript{32}
Table 1 CBCT studies assessing soft tissue components as listed by Ashwini S et al (2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Proband characteristics</th>
<th>Radiographic assement</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Potential benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Januário 2008</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Three patients with different periodontal biotypes.</td>
<td>Two separate CBCT scans. First scan: standard methods used. Second scan: ST-CBCT.</td>
<td>First scan: measurements of the distance between the CEJ and the facial bone crest. ST-CBCT: measurement of the distance between the gingival margin and the CEJ and width of the facial gingiva.</td>
<td>ST-CBCT scans may allow clear visualization, measurements, and analysis of the relationship of the structures of the periodontium and dentogingival attachment apparatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barriviera et al. 2009</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Thirty-one patients (11 males and 20 females; age ranging from 19 to 53 y).</td>
<td>CBCT scans: thickness of the palatal mucosa was obtained at 40 different locations.</td>
<td>Average thickness of the palatal mucosa: 2.92 mm in the canine area, 3.11 mm in the first premolar, 3.28 mm in the second premolar, 2.89 mm in the first molar, and 3.15 mm in the second molar.</td>
<td>CBCT may allow the determination of the dimensions of the palatal mucosa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ueno et al. 2014</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>CBCT images of 44 subjects (Japanese population; 22 males and 22 females; age ranging from 19 to 77 y).</td>
<td>Measurements on the coronal plane in 3-mm interval in the canine, first and second premolar, midpoint between first and second, and first and second molar.</td>
<td>Palatal mucosa: thickest between canine and premolar regions was 9 to 12 mm from the gingival margin.</td>
<td>CBCT can be used for evaluating the thickness of palatal mucosa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yilmaz et al. 2015</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>CBCT images of 368 patients (181 males and 164 females; age ranging from 15 to 69 y).</td>
<td>Thickness of palatal mucosa measured. Greater palatine foramen location in relation to the tooth determined.</td>
<td>The mean palatal mucosal thickness from second molar to the canine teeth was 3.7, 3.3, 3.7, 3, and 3 mm.</td>
<td>The second premolar to second molar zone can be considered a suitable graft site based on the mean palatal mucosal thickness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gupta et al. 2016</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>20 systemically healthy subjects (10 males and 10 females; age ranging from 19 to 53 y) requiring palatal surgery.</td>
<td>Thickness of the palatal tissue measured and various points using CBCT scans and clinically.</td>
<td>Clinical and radiographic methods comparison showed no significant difference.</td>
<td>CBCT may be used as a non-invasive method to accurately and consistently determine the soft tissue thickness of the palatal masticatory mucosa.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CBCT, cone-beam computed tomography; CEJ, cementoenamel junction; ST-CBCT, soft tissue CBCT.
Also, available literature was unable to arrive at a consensus regarding the efficacy between CBCT and in situ measurements. Studies constantly depicted contradictory results. A possible technical limitation of under- or over-estimation of values was pointed out by numerous clinicians through this scan. A valid justification for this could be the differences in the voxel sizes.

The influence of co-variables such as the probing force, probe diameter, and presence of granulation tissue may also suggest the discrepancies between the two routes.

Moreover, access and visualization pose a challenge in direct clinical calibration.

Furcation Involvement

Furcation involvement commences once the attachment loss progresses up to the level of root furcation. Furcation involvement possesses two components: horizontal defect depth and vertical depth. Anatomical variations like cervical enamel pearls, length of root trunk, root anatomy, and root entrance dimensions can influence horizontal and vertical dimensions.

An integral step in assessing furcation involves estimating radicular bone loss. Conventional radiographs, however, might fail in determining the bone support in the intra-radicular area, especially in the maxillary molar area.

CBCT images have provided detailed data on the furcation involvement of maxillary molars. Umetsubo et al in their in vitro study done in pig mandibles reported that CBCT images showed an accuracy within a range of 78 to 88%, suggesting its potentiality in the detection of furcation defects.

In the in vitro assessment conducted by Vandenberghe et al, he compared the results obtained from cone-beam and intraoral radiography. They concluded that the latter failed to accurately detect crater defects and furcation involvement in approximately 29 and 44% of cases, respectively, while CBCT demonstrated 100% detectability for both cases.

Similarly, Walter et al reported 84% data accuracy in surgical assessments taken before and after the furcation surgery in maxillary molars of 14 selected subjects. His reports suggested CBCT holding more weightage compared with conventional and clinical measurements.

Milena et al from Belgrade University Serbia conducted a clinical examination to compare the diagnostic efficiency in clinical probing and cone-beam radiography for furcation involvement in periodontitis patients. Furcation was assessed at three sites in maxillary molars and two sites in mandibular teeth. The number of diseased sites revealed by CBCT was higher compared with digital probing after the study, hence supporting its adjunctive role in FI assessment. Buccal furcation involvement was denoted positive in CBCT scans but was unable to be detected clinically by probing.

Pajnigara et al conducted a study to assess the dimensions of furcation defects clinically, intrasurgically, and through CBCT. Pre- and post-surgical evaluation and photographs were taken by the clinicians after 6 months. The study group consisted of 40 subjects with grade II furcation defects, treated with demineralized freeze-dried allograft. Statistical significance was noted between pre-surgery clinical and CBCT measurements with post-surgery CBCT and clinical values in vertical and horizontal aspects of the defect. The authors suggested that CBCT is an advisable diagnostic tool in furcation defects for advanced periodontal disease.

Furcation-involved teeth usually possess less successful treatment outcomes and a poorer long-term prognosis. Accurate detection in such cases is, therefore, crucial in formulating an appropriate treatment plan. Thus, CBCT enables the clinicians to adequately visualize the furcation defects and compare the data with clinical measurements to manage such clinical cases efficiently.
Regenerative Periodontal Therapy and Bone Graft
Cone beam techniques were also investigated for bone level detection following regenerative periodontal procedures.

A similar study was conducted by Grimard et al who compared direct clinical, periapical radiograph, and CBCT measurements for detecting bone-level differences. They evaluated the changes in 35 intrabony defects, which were followed by regenerative periodontal therapy. CT provided more definitive measurements compared with periapical radiographs. Thus, it is inferred as a potential tool that can prevent surgical re-entry as a technique for assessing regenerative periodontal therapy outcomes.

In 2010, Ito et al investigated the role of CBCT for the ideal placement of GTR membrane in inter-proximal defects. It allowed for detailed visualization of the characteristics of alveolar defects in all three dimensions. Using a template in axial dimension for the membrane made clinicians easier to arrive at a definitive conclusion. The membrane was easily adaptable over to the root surface on both the arches by using a template. The dimensions of the membrane were altered when viewed without CBCT. It also presented a shorter membrane trimming time and better accurate measurement in the volume assessment of bony defects and bone graft used in cleft surgeries.

CBCT in Implant Dentistry
Radiographs play a crucial role in implant dentistry in assessing the bone levels and determining the prognosis of treatment outcomes.

Hu et al, in their study, evaluated the reliability of two presurgical radiographic methods, i.e., panoramic radiography and CBCT, in implant planning concerning specific regions (maxilla and mandible). The results suggested that in mandible, digital panoramic radiography was safer to perform, while in maxilla, CBCT was better recommended.

A study by Ekrish et al attempted to investigate the effect of exposure time on the accuracy of CBCT in implant sites on a dry skull. He found that reduced dose exposure time has no significant impact on the accuracy of the site measurements.

Various other articles also suggest its ability to limit the rate of implant failures to a certain extent by providing the necessary information regarding the density, shape, height, and width of the alveolus at the implant site.
Table 2 Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>Prognosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue assessment</td>
<td>Significant in determining dimensions of periodontal soft and hard tissues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar defects</td>
<td>Potential for estimating intrabony defects in three planes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furcation involvement</td>
<td>Adequate visualization of furcation defects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regenerative periodontal therapy</td>
<td>Presents shorter membrane trimming time and accuracy in the volume assessment of osseous defects and bone grafts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant dentistry</td>
<td>Limit the failures by providing information on density, shape, width, and height of alveolus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

CBCT can have an accurate and precise diagnostic value and deliver quantitative information on all the prevailing periodontal bone aspects. It is seen as a beneficial tool in visualizing craters, furcation defects, and bone defects.

However, CBCT is still not an efficient method for assessing bone quality and periodontal bone levels, while, on the contrary, conventional radiography is more precise. Also, the increased radiation emission for CBCT is still a limitation of the technique.

Therefore, the exact decision of whether to use CBCT in various applications in periodontology should be taken after careful consideration of its limitations, indications, and risks (Table 2).
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