

 $\odot$  (i) =

# Indian College of Radiology and Imaging **Evidence-Based Guidelines for Interventions in** Portal Hypertension and Its Complications

Amar Mukund<sup>1</sup> Shaleen Rana<sup>1</sup> Chander Mohan<sup>2</sup> Naveen Kalra<sup>3</sup> Sanjay Saran Baijal<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Interventional Radiology, Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, New Delhi, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Interventional Radiology, BLK Superspecialty Hospital, New Delhi, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Radiology, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Medanta— The Medicity, Gurugram, Haryana, India

Indian | Radiol Imaging 2021;31:917-932.

# Abstract

## **Keywords**

- balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration
- hepatic venous pressure gradient
- portal hypertension
- transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt
- transjugular liver biopsy

# Introduction

Various interventional procedures are performed for the diagnosis of portal hypertension (PH) and treatment of its complications. Hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) is useful in diagnosis of PH before the clinical signs appear. Other uses of HVPG are diagnosis of the type of PH, measuring response to therapy, and prediction of morbidity and mortality. Similarly in patients with deranged coagulation and ascites, transjugular liver biopsy (TJLB) is done to

published online January 10, 2022 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0041-1740235. ISSN 0971-3026.

Address for correspondence Amar Mukund, MD, Department of Interventional Radiology, Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, D-1, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi 110070, India (e-mail: dramarmukund@gmail.com).

Portal hypertension is a complication of chronic liver disease. Various radiological interventions are being done to aid in the diagnosis of portal hypertension; further, an interventional radiologist can offer various treatments for the complications of portal hypertension. Diagnosis of portal hypertension in its early stage may require hepatic venous pressure gradient measurement. Measurement of gradient also guides in diagnosing the type of portal hypertension, measuring response to treatment and prognostication. This article attempts to provide evidence-based guidelines on the management of portal hypertension and treatment of its complications.

> diagnose the cause of liver parenchymal disease in patients with deranged coagulation or having ascites. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) and balloon retrograde transvenous obliteration (BRTO) are used for the treatment of various complications of PH. TIPS has an important role in the treatment of variceal bleeding, refractory ascites, hepatic hydrothorax, hepatorenal syndrome (HRS), and Budd-Chiari syndrome (BCS), whereas BRTO is used in gastric variceal bleeding and hepatic encephalopathy (HE). This article attempts to provide evidence-based

© 2022. Indian Radiological Association. All rights reserved. This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

guidelines on the management of PH and treatment of its complications.

# Methods

According to the mandate of the Indian College of Radiology and Imaging, the guidelines were formulated. The guidelines were formed based on the available literature in this subspecialty by interventional radiologists working exclusively in the management of PH. The authors performed extensive literature search of PubMed, Cochrane library, and Embase database and framed the guidelines in the form of statements, and the grades of recommendation were assigned (1 = strong, 2 = weak) and quality of evidence (A = high, B =moderate, C = low) as defined by UpToDate grading guide [https://www.uptodate.com/home/grading-guide].

The statements and the discussion have stressed upon the clinical features, indications, contraindications, techniques, and complications of various procedures in the management of PH as may be important for an interventional radiologist focusing on PH.

1.1 Clinical presentation of patients with PH

The patients present with variceal bleed, ascites, and HE. (1A)

1.2 Investigations required for the diagnosis of PH

Laboratory investigations: Baseline investigations required are liver function tests, kidney function tests, platelet count, international normalized ratio (INR), and serum albumin levels. **(1A)** 

Ultrasound (US) and cross-sectional imaging including multiphasic contrast-enhanced CT and MRI: Imaging is important in diagnosing chronic liver disease and its complications. (1A).

Non-invasive methods of measuring portal hypertension: Serum biomarkers like AST-platelet ratio index, fibroindex (combination of platelet count, AST, and GGT), and FIB4 (combination of age, AST, platelet count, and ALT) can be used

*Transient elastography*: Transient elastography (TE) is used to measure the stiffness of the liver and assess the degree of fibrosis non-invasively. **(1A)** 

1.3 Interventional procedures in diagnosing PH

1.3.1 Hepatic venous pressure gradient measurement

*HVPG measurement* is essential for patients with liver parenchymal disease suspected to have PH. (1A)

*Indications*: Indications of HPVG measurement are diagnosis of degree of PH (**1A**), prognostic information (**1A**), measurement of response to therapy (**1A**), predictor of response to antiviral therapy (**1A**), assessment of development for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (**2B**), and trials for investigations of new therapies. (**2C**)

*Contraindications*: There are no absolute contraindications for HVPG measurement. The relative contraindications for HVPG measurements are severe cardiac or pulmonary disease, hypersensitivity to contrast agents, pregnancy. **(1A)**  *Pre-procedural evaluation*: Review indications and contraindications, informed consent to be taken, patient should be fasting for 6 hours, check coagulation parameters. **(1A)** *The pressure recorder should be calibrated* **(1C)** 

*Procedure of measuring HVPG*: (a) Venous puncture should be done under US guidance; (b) right atrium (RA) and IVC pressures should be measured; (c) right hepatic vein accessed and pressure should be measured within 5 cm of the ostium; (d) after the wedge hepatic venous pressure (WHVP) is taken, contrast is injected to assess adequate occlusion; (e) three permanent readings are taken, and mean is calculated. **(1A)** 

*Complications:* complications include hematoma at the puncture site, transient arrhythmias, AV fistula formation, pneumothorax, and hemothorax. **(1A)** 

1.3.2 Transjugular liver biopsy

*Pre-procedural evaluation*: (a) Coagulation parameters (INR and platelets) should be evaluated. (b) Patient should be fasting for 4 to 6 hours. (c) An US screening to assess the patency of IJV and hepatic veins should be done. **(1A)** 

*Right IJV approach* should be used **(1B)** alternatively; femoral approach may also be used.

*Indications*: Indications of TJLB are ascites, coagulopathy, morbid obesity, shrunken liver, peliosis hepatis, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia, and early post living donor liver transplant (LDLT) graft dysfunction. **(1C)** 

*Contraindications*: Relative contraindications are right IJV thrombosis, hepatic vein thrombosis, and hydatid cyst of the liver. **(1A)** 

*Three cores should be obtained to* ensure adequate tissue sampling. **(1B)** 

Pre- and post-procedural contrast run should be taken. (1A)

*Complications:* Hemoperitoneum is a major complication of TJLB. Minor complications like biliary puncture, portal vein puncture, transient arrhythmias, and puncture site hematomas. **(1A)** 

1.4 Management of complications of PH

1.4.1 Management of uncomplicated ascites

Patients present with increasing abdominal distension. (1A)

Diagnostic paracentesis is to be done in patients with grade II and grade III ascites. **(1A)** 

Grade II ascites is treated with diuretics (1A) and salt restriction. (1A)

Grade III ascites is treated with large-volume paracentesis (LVP). **(1A)** 

Refractory ascites is treated by repeated LVP (1A) or TIPS. (1A)

Tunneled catheters may be used for refractory ascites. (2B)

Peritoneovenous shunting may be done in patients who are poor candidates for repeated paracentesis, TIPS, or liver transplant. **(2B)** 

1.4.2 Management of variceal bleeding

1.4.2.1 Management of esophageal variceal bleeding

Pharmacotherapy and endoscopic therapy is the first line of treatment in acute esophageal variceal bleeding. **(1A)** TIPS is used in the treatment of acute esophageal variceal bleeding after failure of medical and endoscopic therapy, pre-emptive TIPS in high-risk patients and secondary prophylaxis. **(1A)** 

1.4.2.2 Management of gastric variceal bleeding

Pharmacotherapy therapy and endoscopic therapy are the first line of treatments in acute gastric variceal bleeding. **(1A)** TIPS is used in the treatment of gastroesophageal varices type 2 (GOV2) and isolated gastric varices (IGVs) type 1. **(1B)** 

BRTO is effective in treating gastric varices. (1C)

BRTO may be advantageous over TIPS in the treatment of gastric varices. **(2A)** 1.4.3 HE

BRTO is useful in the treatment of HE. (2A)

1.4.4 Hepatic hydrothorax

Hepatic hydrothorax presents with recurrent pleural effusion. **(1A)** 

Pharmacotherapy is used as a first-line therapy for treatment. **(2B)** 

Refractory hydrothorax may be treated by (a) therapeutic aspiration (1C), (b) pleural catheters (1C), (c) Pleurodesis (1B) and (d) TIPS (1B)

1.4.5 Treatment of HRS

HRS is treated with Terlipressin and albumin. (1A)

TIPS may be used in HRS (type 2). (2B)

1.4.6 Budd-Chiari syndrome

Clinical presentation of BCS is variable. (1A)

USG with Doppler should be used as first modality for the diagnosis of BCS, followed by CT/MRI. (1A)

Anticoagulants are the first line of treatment for asymptomatic BCS. (1A)

Venoplasty with/without stenting is used for short segment IVC or hepatic vein stenosis. (1A)

TIPS/Direct intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (DIPS) is done in patients who do not respond to anticoagulation and/or venoplasty/stenting is not possible. **(1A)** 

2.0 Interventional procedures and techniques for the treatment of PH

2.1 Transjugular intrajugular portosystemic shunt (TIPS)

*Indications of TIPS*: Indications are acute variceal bleeding **(1A)**, refractory ascites **(1A)**, refractory hydrothorax **(1B)**, portal vein thrombosis (PVT) **(1C)**, HRS **(2B)**, and BCS **(1A)** *Absolute contraindications of TIPS*: Contraindications are congestive cardiac failure, severe tricuspid regurgitation, severe pulmonary hypertension (mean pulmonary artery pressure >55 mm Hg), sepsis, and biliary obstruction. **(1A)** *Relative contraindications of TIPS*: Severe coagulopathy, severe thrombocytopenia, encephalopathy, moderate pulmonary hypertension (mean pulmonary artery pressure 41–55 mm Hg), centrally located liver mass **(1A)** 

TIPS should be performed by an experienced interventional radiologist in a tertiary care center. **(1A)** 

Prior to TIPS liver function tests, renal function tests, coagulation profile, Child–Pugh (CP) score, MELD score and echocardiography should be done. **(1A)** 

US with Doppler, contrast-enhanced CT and/or MRI should be done prior to the procedure. **(1A)** 

Preferred route for TIPS is right internal jugular vein and USG-guided IJV puncture should be done. (1A)

Right hepatic vein is preferred for the creation of TIPS. (1A)

USG-guided portal vein puncture is preferable. (1B)

Covered stents should be preferred over bare stents for TIPS. (1A)

Goal of TIPS is to reduce portosystemic gradient to  ${<}12\,\text{mm}$  Hg. (1A)

In patients with variceal bleed, if portosystemic gradient is not reduced below 12 mm Hg, additional embolization of varices arising from splenoportal axis may be done. **(2B)** 

TIPS in PVT is feasible and may aid in the resolution of thrombus. **(1C)** 

Complications of TIPS: (a) HE, (b) puncture-related complications including hemoperitoneum, (c) stent-related complications, (d) hepatic dysfunction. **(1B)** 2.2. BRTO

Indications: Gastric variceal bleeding and HE. (1A)

*Contraindications:* Severe coagulopathy, splenic vein thrombosis, PVT, and intractable esophageal variceal bleed. **(1B)** 

Pre-procedural imaging evaluation (multiphasic CT is necessary). **(1A)** 

Femoral or jugular route may be taken as per the anatomy of renal vein/lienorenal shunt. **(1B)** 

Sodium tetradecyl sulfate is easily available, safe, and effective sclerosant for BRTO. (**1B**)

Compliant balloon catheter should be used for occluding the shunt and the shunt should be occluded for at least 4 to 6 hours. **(1B)** 

End point of sclerosant administration is filling of the entire varix. (**1B**)

Modifications of BRTO with the use of vascular plug (plugassisted retrograde transvenous obliteration, PARTO) is a faster technique and does not require sclerosant nor is associated with complications like balloon rupture/balloon displacement. **(1B)** 

Complications of BRTO/PARTO: Exacerbation of ascites/ pleural effusion, exacerbation of esophageal varices, portal venous thrombosis, gastropathy. **(1A)** 

# **Detailed Guidelines**

# PART 1

1. Clinical presentation

Q1. What is the clinical presentation of PH?

The common complications of PH are variceal bleeding, ascites, and HE.

## Remarks

PH is the result of increasing vascular resistance due to parenchymal distortion and increased portal venous flow due to hyperdynamic circulation.<sup>1,2</sup> PH is diagnosed when HVPG is >5 mm Hg. The complications of PH develop when the HVPG is >10 mm Hg. Gastrointestinal manifestations of PH are formation of gastroesophageal varices (GOV), ectopic varices, intestinal vasculopathy, ascites, and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Neurological manifestation of PH is HE. Pulmonary manifestations of PH are hepato-pulmonary syndrome, portopulmonary hypertension, and hepatic hydrothorax. Renal involvement results in HRS. Other manifestations are cardiomyopathy, hepatic osteodystrophy, and hypersplenism.<sup>3,4</sup>

Q2. What laboratory investigations are required to evaluate a patient with PH?

Baseline investigations required are liver function tests, kidney function tests, platelet count, INR, and serum albumin levels.

#### Remarks

The baseline investigations are an insight to the functional status of the liver. Calculation of CP score which uses bilirubin, INR, ascites, albumin, and encephalopathy is used to assess the severity of the liver disease. Increasing CP score is associated with decreasing mean survival.<sup>5</sup> The model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score uses bilirubin, INR, and creatinine levels and is used for risk of mortality in patients with cirrhosis. Patients with higher MELD scores are more likely to present with variceal re-bleed. INR and platelet levels are important if an interventional procedure is being planned to determine the risk of bleeding.<sup>5,6</sup>

Q3. What is the role of imaging in PH?

Imaging is important in diagnosing chronic liver disease and its complications.

#### Remarks

US is cheap, non-invasive, and widely available. Grayscale US assesses the parenchymal echo texture, measures the portal vein diameter, and detects the presence of large collaterals. Other findings like splenomegaly and ascites can also be detected. Doppler US assesses the direction of flow in the portal vein in addition to various parameters like velocity, resistive index and pulsatility index of the portal vein.<sup>7,8</sup> Multiphasic CT is needed to assess the hepatic parenchyma, presence of space-occupying lesion, status of hepatic veins, inferior vena cava, portal vein, and portosystemic collaterals. Due to its ability of comprehensively depicting the portosystemic collaterals, multiphasic CT is useful for pre-procedural evaluation in BRTO and its follow-up.<sup>9–11</sup> MRI similar to CT depicts the anatomy of the liver and the hepatic vasculature, presence or absence mass lesion, vascular thrombosis and presence of large collaterals. Recently, MR elastography (MRE) has also been used for the measurement of liver stiffness. MRE has few added advantages over US elastography. It can evaluate the entire liver and can be done in obesity or ascites, which is a limitation of US elastography. Longer scanning times, availability, and higher cost are the disadvantages of MRI. MRE has been used in measuring the stiffness of the liver and the spleen with promising results.<sup>12,13</sup> Various imaging modalities do not directly measure the portal pressure and do not correlate well with HVPG.

Q4. Are there non-invasive methods of measuring PH?

#### Remarks

Serum biomarkers and TE have been used to predict PH. TE has been compared with various serum biomarkers like AST– platelet ratio index, fibroindex (combination of platelet count, AST and GGT), and FIB4 (combination of age, aspartate transaminase, platelet count, alanine aminotransferase) and was found to be more sensitive, specific, and accurate. Serum biomarkers correlate well with liver fibrosis while the detection of PH is suboptimal.<sup>14,15</sup>

Q5. Does TE have a role in PH?

TE is used to measure the stiffness of the liver and assess the degree of fibrosis.

#### Remarks

TE is a widely available non-invasive modality that has been used to measure the degree of fibrosis in chronic liver disease.<sup>14</sup> Recently Baveno VI consensus workshop recognized a subset of asymptomatic patients with advanced cirrhosis having HVPG >5 mm Hg. These patients were labeled as compensated advanced liver disease (cALD) or compensated cirrhosis (CC). TE was recommended in these patients as it has been useful in early detection of liver fibrosis and progression to clinically significant PH (CSPH) which is defined as HVPG >10 mm Hg. CSPH patients are at an increased risk of developing complications of PH. In the absence of other clinical signs, TE values <10 kPa rule out cALD or CC. Values >15 kPa are suggestive of CC. It was also ascertained that screening endoscopy can be avoided in patients with liver stiffness <20 kPa and platelet count >150,000 as these patients have very lower risk of varices.<sup>16</sup> Since the elastography findings can be variable, TE has to be performed twice on two different days. Obesity and ascites are detrimental to measurement of TE and falsely high for conditions in which liver is congested like right heart failure, extrahepatic cholestasis, and alchoholism.17,18

Q6. What is the role of measuring HVPG in chronic liver disease?

HVPG measurement is essential for patients with chronic liver disease.

# Remarks

HVPG is the gold standard for the measurement of portal venous pressure in chronic liver disease. Normal HVPG is <5 mm Hg. HVPG >5 mm Hg is labeled as mild PH and this phase does not have any clinical manifestations. HVPG >10 mm Hg is labeled CSPH and is associated with the development of complications like ascites and variceal bleeding and increasing mortality. HVPG identifies and classifies PH.<sup>19</sup>

Q7. What are the indications for the measurement of HVPG?

- Diagnosis of the degree of PH.
- · Prognostic information.
- Measurement of response to therapy.
- · Assessment of the risk of developing HCC.
- Predictor of response to antiviral therapy.
- · Clinical trials for investigation of new therapies.

#### Remarks

HPVG diagnoses PH and predicts the outcome of patients depending on the values. HVPG >10 mm Hg is associated with onset of complications of PH. Patients with HVPG >12 mm Hg are more likely to be associated with ascites and variceal bleeding.<sup>19,20</sup> HVPG values  $\geq$ 16 mm Hg are associated with increased mortality in the presence or absence of varices that may or may not have bled. At these HVPG values, a patient presenting with variceal bleeding is more likely to have a prolonged hospital stay, increased requirements of blood products, increased chances of early re-bleed and failure to control bleeding. HVPG was also found to be an independent predictor of development of HCC.<sup>21,22</sup> Monitoring of HVPG as a response to therapy is also beneficial.<sup>23</sup> Since response to pharmacological agents is measurable, HVPG has been used for measuring the response to various pharmacological agents.<sup>20,24-29</sup>

Q8. What are the contraindications for HVPG measurement?

- Severe cardiac or pulmonary disease.
- Hypersensitivity to contrast agents.
- Pregnancy.
- Active encephalopathy.

#### Remarks

Cannulation of the right side of the heart can potentially raise right heart pressure and induce or exacerbate cardiac failure. A history of hypersensitivity to contrast agents should be taken as necessary precautions can be followed, though the amount of contrast injected is very low.

Patient with active encephalopathy will not be able to lie still and hence variations in HVPG may occur.<sup>30,31</sup>

#### Q9. What are the pre-procedural requirements?

#### Remarks

Review of the indications and contraindications should be done to reduce the incidence of complications. If a concomitant TJLB is also required, right jugular puncture has to be done.<sup>30</sup>

Q9a. How long the patient should be fasting?

Patient should be fasting for 4 to 6 hours prior to the procedure.

Remarks

The patient should be fasting 4 to 6 hours prior to the procedure as light sedation might be needed for the proce-

dure. American Society of Anaesthesiologists recommends that patient should not have had clear liquids 2 hours prior to the procedure and a light meal 6 hours prior to the procedure. This is to prevent any chance of aspiration during the procedure under sedation.<sup>31</sup>

#### Q9b. Is coagulation correction required?

HVPG is a low-risk procedure. Society of Intervention Radiology consensus guidelines state that procedures with low bleeding risk require a minimum platelet count of  $20 \times 10^9$ /L and corrected INR between 2.0 and 3.0.<sup>22,32,33</sup>

Q10. How is the pressure recorder calibrated?

#### Remarks

A scale with upper limit of 30 to 60 mm is used with a recording speed of 4 to 5 mm/s. Known external pressure should be used to calibrate the transducer. The transducer should be at the level of the RA and in the mid-axillary line. The tubing should be as short as possible with no air bubbles or leakages.<sup>27,28,33</sup>

Q11. HVPG measurement

Q11 a. Why should the puncture be US guided?

Remarks

US-guided puncture reduced inadvertent puncture of the artery and hence reduced the chances of post-procedural hematoma or arteriovenous fistula. The various approaches are femoral vein, internal jugular vein (IJV), and the ante-cubital vein. Right IJV is preferred if a concomitant biopsy is also planned.<sup>27,28,33</sup>

Q11b. Why should RA and IVC pressures be measured?

#### Remarks

Using RA pressures will give the true portosystemic gradient and is more relevant if there is stenosis or webs in the hepatic vein or the IVC. IVC pressure should be measured at the level of hepatic ostia. Any pressure below this level may be higher due to compression of the vein by enlarged caudate lobe.<sup>27,28,33</sup>

Q11c. Where should the pressure be measured in the hepatic vein?

#### Remarks

The pressure should be measured within 5 cm of the ostium as the readings may be false distally. The difference in free hepatic venous pressure (FHVP) and inferior vena cava/right atrium is less than 2 mm Hg. If the pressure is >2 mm Hg, an incomplete occlusion of the vein by the balloon or hepatic vein obstruction should be suspected.<sup>27,28,33</sup>

#### Q11d. When should adequate wedging be checked?

Remarks

The adequacy of wedging should be checked after the WHVP is measured. Measurement of WHVP after contrast injection is likely to reveal false values as contrast transmits pressure poorly. In addition, the pressure tracing should be allowed to stabilize for at least 60 seconds and 15 seconds for measuring WHVP and FHVP, respectively. Adequate wedging can be confirmed by no aspiration of blood on applying negative pressure and by wedge sinusoidogram with no reflux of contrast into other veins and lack of a waveform. If the occlusion is inadequate, the WHVP is measured again and occlusion is reassessed.<sup>27,28,33</sup>

Q11e. How many readings should be taken?

Remarks

Three permanent readings are taken. If any reading varies by >1 mm Hg, then all the readings are discarded and fresh readings taken as any variation is likely due to an error during recording. Any movement, coughing, or talking by the patient has to be recorded and this might affect the readings.<sup>27,28,33</sup>

Q12. What are the complications of HVPG and how to avoid them?

Remarks

Puncture site hematomas are the most common complication and can be dealt with post-procedural compression for 10 minutes. Arteriovenous fistula formation can be avoided by using US guidance for puncture. Right hemothorax or pneumothorax can be avoided by not making a low-neck puncture and transgressing the pleura. Transient arrhythmias can be seen when the wire or the catheter traverses the RA.<sup>27,28,33</sup>

Q13. What are the pre-procedural requirements for TJLB?

Remarks

According to the Society of Intervention Radiology Guidelines, TJLB is a low bleeding risk procedure and recommend that INR be corrected in the range of  $\leq 2.0$  to 3.0 and platelet count  $> 20 \times 10^9$ /L.<sup>32,33</sup> Wallace et al studied the hemorrhagic complications in patients with hematologic malignancies with thrombocytopenia and found that no hemorrhagerelated complications occurred. They concluded that the safe platelet count for a TJLB lies below  $30 \times 10^9$ /L.<sup>34,35</sup> If the INR is not in the desired range, fresh frozen plasma can be transfused and similarly platelet infusion can also be given for desired platelet levels. There is approximately 6,000/mL increase in platelet after transfusion of a unit of random donor platelets and 30,000/mL increase after transfusion of a unit of single donor platelets.<sup>34</sup>

Q13b. How long should the patient be fasting for TJLB?

#### Remarks

Patient should be fasting for at least 2 hours for liquids and 6 hours for light food as per American Society of Anaesthesiology recommendations.<sup>31</sup>

Q13c. Why should an US screening be done prior to TJLB?

#### Remarks

IJV should be screened to rule out thrombosis which if present will result in inability to perform TJLB. Similarly in case of thrombosis of hepatic veins, TJLB would not be feasible.<sup>36</sup>

Q14a. What is the best approach for TJLB?

## Remarks

Right IJV is the best approach for TJLB under US guidance. US guidance reduced the chances of complications like carotid puncture, pneumothorax, or hemothorax in addition to assessing the patency of the right IJV.<sup>36–38</sup>

Q14b. Are there alternative routes for TJLB if right IJV is thrombosed?

# Remarks

Transfemoral-transcaval biopsies have been performed by few authors with no significant complications. Cynamon et al performed transfemoral-transcaval biopsy with a technical success of 97%.<sup>39</sup> Li et al performed liver biopsy via the transfemoral route in LDLT patients reporting a technical success in all patients with adequate biopsy sample and no major complications.<sup>40</sup> Yavuz et al performed TJLB via left IJV in patients in whom right IJV could not be cannulated.<sup>41</sup> They reported chest pain in few patients when the stiff cannula passed through the mediastinal veins. No major complications were reported. Right external jugular vein (EJV) approach was used by Siegel et al.<sup>42</sup> Due to superficial course of the EJV, they recommended the use of this route for other transjugular procedures too.

Biopsies using the abovementioned non-conventional routes should be performed by experienced interventional radiologists and chances of complications are high.

Q15. What are the indications for TJLB?

- Ascites
- Coagulopathy
- · Morbid obesity
- Small shrunken liver
- Suspected vascular mass or peliosis hepatis
- · Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia
- Early postoperative live DLT graft dysfunction

#### Remarks

Ascites and coagulopathy are contraindications to percutaneous liver biopsy due to increased incidence of catastrophic hemorrhage.<sup>42,43</sup> TJLB does not transgress the liver capsule and bleeding from the site of biopsy will drain back into the venous system, thus no hemodynamic deterioration is seen.<sup>43</sup> Other indications are failure of percutaneous biopsy in patients with morbid obesity and those having a small shrunken liver. Hypervascular tumors and associated conditions also require a TJLB if feasible.<sup>44</sup> Kim et al performed TJLB in LDLT patients and concluded that TJLB was a safe alternative in these patients who otherwise had a high risk of hemorrhagic complications from percutaneous liver biopsy.<sup>45,46</sup>

Q16. What are the contraindications for TJLB?

The relative contraindications for TJLB are:

- Right IJV thrombosis.
- Hepatic veins thrombosis.
- Hydatid cyst of the liver.

Right IJV and hepatic vein thrombosis limit the access to the liver and hence the biopsy cannot be done via this route. Inadvertent puncture of the hydatid cyst may result in hematogenous dissemination and severe life-threatening anaphylaxis.<sup>47</sup>

Q17. How many cores should be obtained?

Three cores should be obtained.

Remarks

The biopsy specimen should have at least 11 portal tracts or should be at least 20 to 25-mm long for appropriate histopathological diagnosis. Short specimens may result in failure to diagnose cirrhosis in 20% cases.<sup>48–50</sup>

Q18. Why pre- and post-procedural contrast runs important?

Remarks

After cannulation of the right hepatic vein, a contrast injection is done to verify the position as a distal position can result in hepatic capsule puncture and resultant hemoperitoneum. A contrast injection post-biopsy can identify the peritoneal leakage of contrast and if visualized embolization of the vein can be done immediately.<sup>51</sup>

Q19. What are the complications of TJLB?

Remarks

Hemoperitoneum is a major complication of TJLB. A contrast injection into the hepatic vein just after biopsy can diagnose peritoneal leakage of contrast and an immediate embolization with gelfoam can be done. If there is a fall in hemoglobin, multiphasic CT should be done and if the bleed is arterial, selective angiography and embolization should be done.

Minor complications are self-limiting. Puncture site hematomas can be treated by manual compression.<sup>43,46,51,52</sup>

Q20. How do patients with uncomplicated ascites present?

Remarks

Non-infected ascites and ascites not associated with HRS are called uncomplicated ascites. There are three grades of ascites; grade I which can be detected only by US, grade II which shows symmetric distension of the abdomen, and grade III which shows marked abdominal distension. Onset of ascites in PH is a poor prognostic indicator.<sup>53,54</sup>

Q21. When should a diagnostic paracentesis be done?

Remarks

A diagnostic paracentesis should be done in all patients who develop grade II and grade III ascites to rule out other causes of ascites. An ascites with serum ascites albumin gradient  $\geq$  1.1 g/dL is likely to due to PH.  $^{55,56}$  Total ascitic protein level should be measured as levels < 15 g/L are associated with increased chances of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. In addition, neutrophil count and cultures should also be sent.  $^{56}$ 

Q22. How is grade II ascites treated?

Grade II ascites is treated by diuretics and salt restriction.

Remarks

Patients developing moderate ascites are started on aldosterone antagonists with sequential increase in dose till it reaches the maximum. If the weight loss is <2 kg/wk or hyperkalemia occurs, then furosemide is added in increasing doses.<sup>57</sup> A moderate sodium restriction is suggested.<sup>58</sup>

Q23. How is grade III ascites treated?

Grade III ascites is treated with LVP.

Remarks

LVP should be done with simultaneous administration of albumin, especially if >5 L fluid is to be drained. Concomitant administration of albumin reduces the incidence of post paracentesis circulatory dysfunction which has detrimental effects like early re-accumulation, increasing portal pressure, and development of HRS. Minimal dose of diuretics should be started after LVP.<sup>58–60</sup>

Q24. How is refractory ascites treated?

Remarks

Refractory ascites is treated by repeated LVP or TIPS.<sup>61,62</sup>

Q24a. What is the definition of refractory ascites?

Remarks

Refractory ascites is defined as ascites which cannot be mobilized or early recurrence which cannot be prevented by medical therapy. Refractory ascites is labeled as diuretic resistant and diuretic intractable. Diuretic resistant ascites does not respond to diuretics while diuretic intractable ascites has complications due to side effects of diuretics.<sup>53</sup>

Q24b. What is the role of paracentesis for refractory ascites?

Remarks

LVP with administration of albumin is a safe option for patients with recurrent ascites.<sup>55</sup>

Q24c. What is the role of TIPS in the treatment of refractory ascites? Remarks

Few randomized controlled trials have been done comparing TIPS with LVP. Rössle et al in their study concluded that the TIPS group had a better transplant-free survival as compared with LVP with similar incidence of HE.<sup>61</sup> Ginès et al concluded that though TIPS was associated with better survival and improvement of HRS, there was increased frequency of HE post-TIPS and that the cost incurred was higher than LVP.<sup>61</sup> Multiple meta-analyses have reported better control of ascites in the TIPS group with increased incidence of HE and no improvement in survival.<sup>61–66</sup> Salerno et al, in their meta-analysis, suggested that TIPS significantly improved transplant-free survival in patients with refractory ascites.<sup>66</sup> They also showed that the incidence of HE was higher in TIPS group but there was no difference in likelihood of developing first episode of HE.<sup>66</sup> TIPS should be considered in patients with refractory ascites who are appropriately selected.

Q25. What is the role of tunneled catheters in the treatment of refractory ascites? Remarks

Tunneled catheters have been used for the treatment of refractory ascites. Tunneled catheters result in surrounding fibrosis, hence reducing the chances of infection.<sup>67–70</sup> The long-term patency of tunneled catheters is good but the incidence of infection is high in catheters which have been in place for more than 3 months.<sup>69,70</sup> Due to chances of infection, prophylactic antibiotics need to be administered.

Q26. What is the role of peritoneovenous shunting in refractory ascites? Remarks

Peritoneovenous shunt is also known as Denver shunt. This surgical shunt was effective in decreasing ascites on comparison with medical management with no survival benefit. The associated blockage of the shunt was a recurrent problem. This procedure is rarely performed nowadays.<sup>67,68</sup>

Q27. What is the treatment of acute variceal bleeding?

Remarks

Pharmacotherapy and endoscopic therapy are the first line of treatments in acute variceal bleeding.<sup>19,71</sup>

Q28a. What is the role of TIPS in treatment of acute esophageal variceal bleeding? Remarks

TIPS is used in the treatment of acute variceal bleeding following failure of pharmacotherapy and endoscopic therapy. TIPS has been found to immediately stop hemorrhage in >90% of patients.<sup>72,73</sup> Despite cessation of bleeding, the mortality in these patients is still high and outcomes are dependent upon CP score and MELD score.<sup>73–75</sup>

Q28b. What is the role of early pre-emptive TIPS after esophageal variceal bleeding? Remarks

Early TIPS means TIPS performed within 72 hours of an esophageal variceal bleed. Multiple randomized control studies concluded that early TIPS was associated with better survival than the pharmacotherapy-endoscopic intervention group.<sup>76,77</sup> Early TIPS is associated with reduced risk of treatment failure and re-bleed in addition to reduced chances of developing ascites or worsening of ascites.<sup>78</sup>

Q28c. What is the role of TIPS in secondary prophylaxis of esophageal variceal bleed? Remarks

Pharmacotherapy and endoscopic therapy are the treatment of choice for patients with bleeding outside the window of early TIPS. TIPS is more likely to prevent re-bleed than pharmacotherapy or endoscopic intervention in patients who have had multiple episodes of re-bleeding or are at a high risk of variceal hemorrhage.<sup>79</sup> Multiple studies, however, have shown that HE is more in patients with TIPS with similar survival benefit. TIPS is more likely to be beneficial in CP Class C patients as compared with endoscopic treatment.<sup>79,80</sup>

Q29. What is the treatment of acute gastric variceal bleeding? Remarks

Endoscopic therapy and pharmacotherapy are used in the initial management of gastric variceal bleeding. In patients with bleeding gastroesophageal varices type I (GOV1), cyanoacrylate injection is the first line of treatment.<sup>80</sup>

Q30. What is the role of TIPS in the treatment of acute gastric variceal bleeding? Remarks

Gastric varices bleed less frequently but the risk of mortality is high if bleeding occurs. TIPS was found to be effective in controlling acute hemorrhage in bleeding gastric and esophageal varices.<sup>81</sup> Studies show conflicting outcomes for re-bleed, hospital stay, and morbidity in patients undergoing TIPS versus endoscopic therapy.<sup>81–83</sup> Patients with TIPS are likely to have HE as a side effect and may not be well tolerated in patients with poor hepatic reserve. TIPS is used in the treatment of GOV type 2 and IGVs type 1. All large gastric collaterals should be obliterated at the time of performing TIPS. Embolization with either coils or sclerosing agents (cyanoacrylate) can be done depending on the size of the gastric collaterals.<sup>84</sup>

Q31. What is the role of BRTO in gastric variceal bleed?

Remarks

BRTO is highly effective in the treatment of gastric varices and reduces the re-bleeding rate. BRTO increases the portal flow and may help in transient improvement in the liver synthetic functions; however, it may cause worsening of esophageal varices in 30% cases.<sup>84–86</sup>

Q32. What is the advantage of BRTO over TIPS in the treatment of gastric varices?

Remarks

BRTO is equally effective as TIPS in the treatment of gastric varices and reduces the re-bleeding rate with relatively low complications in comparison to TIPS.<sup>87</sup> BRTO may result in the improvement of hepatic reserve and HE but there can be worsening of ascites due to worsening PH.<sup>84–88</sup> TIPS reduces portal pressure and hence is very effective in controlling esophageal variceal bleed. However, gastric varices are a low-pressure system and bleed at a lower pressure unlike esophageal varices so lowering pressure in a low-pressure system may not be very effective.<sup>89,90</sup> BRTO leads to complete obliteration of all gastric varices and hence is very effective in controlling gastric varices and hence is very effective in controlling gastric variceal bleed.<sup>83–86</sup> However, BRTO increases the portal flow and as a result may cause worsening of esophageal varices.<sup>85,86,88</sup>

Q33. What is the role of BRTO in HE?

Remarks

BRTO leads to the closure of portosystemic shunt and hence directs the mesenteric flow toward the liver and avoids portosystemic mixing.<sup>91,92</sup> This helps in detoxification of ammonia produced in gut, thus helps in treating HE due to portosystemic shunts.<sup>91,92</sup>

Q34. What is the definition of hepatic hydrothorax?

#### Remarks

Hepatic hydrothorax is the presence of recurrent pleural effusion in a cirrhotic patient in the absence of pleural or cardiovascular disease. It is more common on the right side. It may cause respiratory failure.<sup>93</sup>

Q35. What is the first line of treatment of hepatic hydrothorax?

Remarks

Pharmacotherapy may be used in the treatment of hepatic hydrothorax. Octreotide and terlipressin have been used with limited success.<sup>94,95</sup>

Q36. What is the treatment of refractory hydrothorax?

# Remarks

- a. Pleural thoracocentesis: Repeated aspiration of pleural fluid may be needed. Not more than 1 L of pleural fluid should be aspirated in a single session due to risk of re-expansion pulmonary pneumonia.<sup>93</sup>
- b. Tunneled pleural catheters: Presence of drains in the pleura for short duration may be associated with infection and development of empyema. Tunneled catheters which can remain in place for a longer time are less likely to cause infection but have been associated with pain at the site and frequent occlusion. It can be used as a bridge before TIPS and liver transplant.<sup>96</sup>
- c. Pleurodesis: Instillation of an irritant within the pleural cavity causes inflammation and adhesion of the two layers of the pleura. Various chemicals used are talc, tetracycline, doxycycline, bleomycin, and betadine. The procedure may be done by instillation of sclerosant via a canula or thoracoscopy with or without video nassistance.<sup>97</sup>
- d. TIPS: TIPS has been used effectively to treat hepatic hydrothorax with success.<sup>79,98</sup>

Q37. What is HRS and how is it treated?

#### Remarks

Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is defined as progressive renal failure in patients with cirrhosis. It is treated by administering vasoconstrictor therapy (Terlipressin) and volume expansion by albumin as a first-line therapy.<sup>99</sup>

Q38. Does TIPS have a role in the treatment of HRS?

#### Remarks

TIPS has limited success in HRS type 1 despite improvement in renal function as patients usually have a severe liver disease.<sup>100</sup> Patients with type 2 HRS have shown an improvement in renal function after TIPS. Since renal dysfunction is one of the causes of ascites in type 2 HRS, TIPS was found to be beneficial in control of ascites also.<sup>101</sup> Q39. What is the clinical presentation of BCS?

#### Remarks

BCS presents with abdominal pain and distension due to ascites, lower limb edema and abdominal wall collaterals.<sup>102,103</sup> It may be acute, subacute or chronic with acute being associated with rapid deterioration.<sup>103</sup> Few patients are asymptomatic and asymptomatic cases usually have large collaterals. BCS should be suspected in patients with acute or chronic liver disease in whom common causes of liver disease have been ruled out.<sup>103</sup>

## Q40. How is BCS diagnosed?

## Remarks

US is the initial imaging modality and has a sensitivity of more than 75% in diagnosing BCS.<sup>104</sup> Liver is enlarged and congested in acute BCS with thrombus in hepatic veins.<sup>104,105</sup> Chronic thrombosis or narrowing of the hepatic veins may be seen in chronic BCS with the development of comma-shaped intrahepatic, venovenous, bridging collaterals.<sup>101–104,106</sup> Multiphasic CT and MRI can be done for confirmation of diagnosis if the US is equivocal and if an intervention is planned.<sup>104–106</sup>

Q41. What is the pharmacological treatment of BCS?

Remarks

Long-term treatment with low molecular weight heparin and vitamin K antagonists are used for the treatment of BCS.<sup>106</sup>

Q42. What interventional treatments are possible for BCS?

#### Remarks

Symptomatic BCS may be treated by restoration of hepatic venous outflow or by creating a portosystemic shunt.<sup>103</sup> Hepatic venous outflow is obstructed at the level of hepatic IVC.<sup>103,104</sup> supra-hepatic The veins or aim of venoplasty/stenting is to decongest the liver and restore normal pathway of venous drainage.<sup>103–107</sup> Venoplasty using serial balloon dilatation is used for short segment stenosis.<sup>104</sup> If the narrowing does not resolve, a stent should be placed. Long-term anticoagulation should be continued.<sup>103–106</sup> Treatment of obstruction causes reduction in congestion and hence the liver stiffness which can be measured by TE.107

Q43. When is TIPS/direct intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (DIPS) used as a therapeutic option in BCS? Remarks

TIPS/DIPS is used in symptomatic BCS, in whom venoplasty/stenting is not feasible.<sup>103–106</sup> TIPS/DIPS has shown excellent long-term outcome with improved long-term outcome and improved survival. TIPS is better than surgical procedures in terms of morbidity and mortality.<sup>108</sup> In a study, Mukund et al compared the clinical improvement and survival in patients with anatomical recanalization and DIPS creation. The clinical improvement and survival in both

groups were similar, whereas improvement in liver functions was better in anatomical recanalization group.<sup>109</sup>

# PART 2

Q1. What are the indications of TIPS?

Remarks

Indications of TIPS are<sup>79,110–113</sup>:

- Acute esophageal variceal bleeding.
  - a. Salvage procedure in acute variceal bleeding
  - b. Early TIPS after esophageal variceal bleeding
  - c. Secondary prophylaxis of esophageal variceal bleed
- Acute gastric variceal bleeding in GOV type 2 and IGV type 1.
- · Refractory ascites.
- · Refractory hydrothorax.
- Hepatorenal syndrome.
- BCS.

Q2. What are the absolute contraindications of TIPS?

Remarks

Absolute contraindications of TIPS are<sup>79,110–113</sup>:

- Congestive cardiac failure.
- Severe tricuspid regurgitation.
- Severe pulmonary hypertension.
- Sepsis.
- Unrelieved biliary obstruction.

TIPS placement increases the volume of blood returning to the heart, thereby increasing the right heart pressure, and exacerbates pre-existing heart failure. Sepsis and unrelieved biliary obstruction may present with worsening of liver functions tests post-TIPS.<sup>107,108</sup>

Q3. What are the relative contraindications of TIPS?

Remarks

Relative contraindications of TIPS are<sup>79,110–113</sup>:

- Severe coagulopathy.
- Severe thrombocytopenia.
- Encephalopathy.
- Moderate pulmonary hypertension.
- · Centrally located liver mass.

Relative contraindications are usually conditions in which there is an anatomical hindrance to placement of the TIPS stent. Severe coagulopathy/thrombocytopenia can be managed by the administration of fresh frozen plasma and platelet infusion.

Q4. Who should perform TIPS and where should it be done?

Remarks

TIPS should be performed by an experienced interventional radiologist, and it should be performed in a tertiary care hospital.<sup>79</sup> The decision for TIPS should be taken by a team of interventional radiologists, hepatologists, and transplant sur-

geons. Cardiologists for assessment and management of cardiac functions pre- and post-TIPS should also be available.<sup>79,113</sup>

Q5. What are the investigations performed prior to TIPS procedure? Remarks

Liver function tests, renal function tests, coagulation profile, CP score, MELD, and echocardiography are to be done prior to the procedure. Since TIPS causes altered hemodynamics of the right-sided cardiac chambers, a pre-procedural cardiac evaluation is essential prior to TIPS procedure.<sup>79,114,115</sup> CP Class C, serum bilirubin >3 mg/dL, alanine aminotransferase >100 IU/L, and pre-TIPS encephalopathy are independent predictors of mortality after TIPS.<sup>79,115</sup> MELD score is better than CP score to predict 30-day mortality and those with MELD score  $\geq$ 18 have a higher mortality.<sup>79</sup> However, MELD score was not found to predict long-term outcomes.<sup>115</sup>

Q6. What imaging should be performed prior to TIPS?

Remarks

US Doppler along with cross-sectional is important for the assessment of the hepatic veins, IVC, and the portal vein.<sup>116</sup> Imaging helps in ruling out contraindications and reviewing the anatomy to recognize favorable anatomy.<sup>116</sup>

Q7. What is the preferred route for performing TIPS?

Remarks

Right internal jugular route is the preferred route for performing TIPS.<sup>111</sup> A meta-analysis by Hung and Lee showed that the use of two-dimensional US was found to be better than blind technique. There was a significant reduction in the complications and the puncture being performed in the first attempt.<sup>112</sup>

Q8. Which hepatic vein should be chosen for performing TIPS?

Remarks

Right hepatic vein is the preferred vein for performing TIPS.<sup>111,116</sup> However, patients with deformed liver due to atrophy hypertrophy complex may be opted for alternate and more appropriate hepatic vein.<sup>116</sup>

Q9. Why should portal vein be punctured under US guidance? Remarks

Use of real-time US significantly reduces the radiation dose and fluoroscopy time. Real-time US also prevents other complications by reducing the chances of extracapsular puncture and accidental puncture of bile ducts/hepatic artery.<sup>117–119</sup> Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) has recently been used for the guidance of portal vein puncture. The IVUS transducer is placed in the IVC via the right femoral approach and guidance is provided for the puncture of the portal vein for TIPS/DIPS.<sup>119</sup> In a comparison with conventional TIPS, Pillai et al observed that IVUS-guided puncture of the portal vein showed significant reduction in portal vein access time in addition to reduction in needle pass-related complications and radiation dose.<sup>120,121</sup>

Q10. Why are covered stents preferred for TIPS?

Remarks

Covered stents are less prone to occlusion as the PTFE covering prevents pseudo intimal hyperplasia and acute thrombosis which is mostly related to bile intravasation into the stent.<sup>122,123</sup> Studies have shown covered stents to have a better patency and survival rates in patients with variceal bleeding and ascites.<sup>124–126</sup>

Q11. What is the desired portosystemic gradient post-TIPS?

Remarks

Portosystemic gradient should be measured before and after the procedure. The target of TIPS creation is to reduce the portosystemic gradient to less than 12 mm Hg.<sup>79</sup> Reduction of HVPG to  $\leq$ 12 mm Hg is associated with the resolution of varices. Reduction of HVPG  $\geq$ 20% from the baseline results in reduced risk of variceal re-bleed, ascites, and mortality.<sup>24,79</sup> Pressures should be measured in the portal vein and the IVC adjacent to the TIPS stent.<sup>127,128</sup>

Q12. What should be done if post-TIPS portosystemic gradient does not reduce below 12 mm Hg? Remarks

In patients with acute variceal bleed, if the portosystemic gradient across TIPS persists to be high (>12 mm Hg), then additional embolization of varices arising from the spleno-portal axis may be done to stop the ongoing bleed and prevent variceal re-bleed.<sup>129</sup> Studies and meta-analysis have shown a reduced re-bleed rate in patients undergoing additional variceal embolization.<sup>129</sup>

Q13. Can TIPS be performed in patients with PVT?

# Remarks

PVT and cavernoma formation are relative contraindications of TIPS. TIPS may be performed if anticoagulation therapy fails in resolving PVT.<sup>130</sup> Patent right and left portal vein with partial thrombosis of the main portal vein had a better technical success than extension of thrombosis in right or the left portal vein.<sup>130</sup> TIPS may be performed in PVT with cavernoma formation in patients with severe PH who have not responded to medical treatment.<sup>131</sup>

Q14. What are the complications of TIPS?

# Remarks

14a. HE is one of the major complications of TIPS.<sup>79</sup> Increasing age, high CP score, higher creatinine values, low sodium, and low albumin levels are independent predictors of post-TIPS encephalopathy. Treatment is by medical management and TIPS reduction may be contemplated if medical therapy fails.<sup>111</sup>

14b. Puncture-related complications are liver capsule puncture, haemobilia, or biliary fistula.

The complications can be minimized by using US guidance for puncture and avoiding artery/bile ducts. Minimal needle

passes should be taken. Non-target puncture does not cause significant clinical consequences.<sup>79,110,116</sup>

14c. Stent-related complications are TIPS-itis, stent thrombosis, stent stenosis, and stent migration.<sup>79,116</sup> TIPS-itis is bacterial colonization of the TIPS stent which is managed by broad-spectrum parenteral antibiotics. TIPS-itis tends to be recurrent.<sup>132</sup>

TIPS thrombosis/stenosis can be treated by angioplasty and administration of anticoagulants. If there is recurrent thrombosis/stenosis, hypercoagulable states should be ruled out.<sup>132,133</sup>

14d. Post-TIPS hepatic failure may be due to (a) diversion of portal blood via TIPS, (b) occlusion of hepatic artery by stent, and (c) occlusion of hepatic vein by covered parts of the stent.

Post-TIPS hepatic failure is more likely to occur in patients with poor pre-procedure hepatic reserve. Contrast-enhanced CT can assist in the diagnosis of arterial or hepatic vein occlusion and absence of occlusion suggests dysfunction due to diversion of portal flow. In these cases, shunt reduction or occlusion may be attempted.<sup>116,134</sup>

Q15. What are the indications for BRTO?

Remarks

Bleeding gastric varices and HE are indications for BRTO. BRTO may be used for bleeding gastric varices with large gastrorenal/splenorenal shunts.<sup>85,86,88</sup>

HE is caused by protosystemic shunting resulting in high ammonia levels and occluding these shunts may improve encephalopathy.<sup>91,92</sup>

Q16. What are the contraindications for BRTO?

# Remarks

Coagulopathy is usually a manifestation of associated liver disease. Risk-versus-benefit ratio should be calculated and BRTO may be performed in an actively bleeding patient in whom endoscopic treatment has failed. In case of portal venous thrombosis, the gastrorenal shunt may the only pathway to the splanchnic circulation but there may be increased portal flow through the periportal collaterals. Patients with gastric varices, isolated splenic vein thrombosis, and splenomegaly may be treated by splenic artery embolization with or without BRTO. Since there is increased flow through the portal vein and the portal pressure, there are chances of recurrence or bleeding of existing esophageal varices.<sup>85,86,88,91,92</sup>

Q17. Which imaging is needed prior to BRTO?

# Remarks

Multiphasic CECT is needed to evaluate various veins. The main portal vein, the presence and sizes of gastrorenal/splenorenal shunts, any other shunts need to be thoroughly examined in the CT.<sup>112,135</sup> Transdiaphragmatic shunts also need to be kept in mind.<sup>135,136</sup>

Q18. What is the preferable access for performing BRTO?

Remarks

Femoral venous approach is the most widely used access for BRTO.<sup>112,136</sup> However, depending on the anatomy and orientation of left renal vein and gastrolienorenal shunt as evaluated on pre-procedure CT, appropriate access—femoral or jugular—may be taken.<sup>85,86,92,135,136</sup>

# Q19. Which sclerosant is safe to use for BRTO?

Sodium-tetradecyl-sulfate is one of the safest and most widely used sclerosants for BRTO.

# Remarks

Ethanolamine oleate (EO) was the initial sclerosant used and described for BRTO. But EO was associated with renal complications.<sup>137</sup> However, recent studies have proved beyond doubt the safety and efficacy of sodium-tetradecylsulfate in BRTO.<sup>85,88,92,137</sup>

Q20. Which balloon should be used and what is the ideal balloon dwell time?

Compliant balloon catheter should be used, and the shunt should be occluded with balloon for at least 4 to 6 hours.

# Remarks

Compliant balloon should be used for occluding the shunt for performing BRTO as it provides a better and non-traumatic occlusion.<sup>86,88,91</sup> Moreover, it may be used for occluding large shunts for which plugs may not be available.<sup>86</sup> After occluding the shunt with the compliant balloon, venogram is performed to look for adequate occlusion of shunt. Once the tight occlusion is achieved, sclerosant in the form of foam is injected to fill the shunt and varices. Balloon dwell time should be 4 to 6 hours as this provides adequate time for thrombus formation within the shunt and the varices.<sup>86,88,92,135–137</sup> However, before the removal of balloon catheter, complete thrombosis within the shunt should be ascertained and balloon should be removed carefully.<sup>86,88,92</sup> Also, keeping the balloon for 4 to 6 hours is associated with lesser patient discomfort and lesser chances infection.135,136

Q21. What is the end point of sclerosant administration?

# Remarks

The end point of sclerosant administration is filling of the shunt as well as the target varices with the sclerosing agent and just visualization of the afferent vein.<sup>135,136</sup>

Q19. What are the modifications in BRTO to make it quick?

PARTO has been proposed and shown to be a quick technique and devoid of complications associated with rupture of balloon.

# Remarks

PARTO has been conceptualized with an idea of replacing the balloon catheter with vascular plug, thus reducing the balloon dwell time (4–6 hours) and making the procedure very fast.<sup>85,138</sup> Further, PARTO is free of complications related to balloon rupture and associated pulmonary embolism.<sup>85,138</sup> In PARTO, vascular plug and a catheter are placed side by side within the shunt with catheter tip proximal to the plug. The plug is deployed and after confirming adequate closure of the shunt, gelfoam slurry is injected proximal to the plug through the catheter placed by the side of plug. Gelfoam slurry is injected to completely fill the varices and the catheter is removed.<sup>138</sup>

Q20. What are the complications of BRTO/PARTO?

# Remarks

Exacerbation of ascites/pleural effusion, exacerbation of esophageal varices and gastropathy are due to increased portal flow and resulting increased portal pressure.<sup>86,88,92,135–137</sup> Portal venous thrombus was classified as high attenuation, combined and low attenuation by Cho et al. High attenuation thrombi were thought to be due to iodized oil. They hinted that high attenuation thrombus was not of much significance but low attenuation thrombus could progress to complete occlusion.<sup>139</sup>

# **Declaration of Patient Consent**

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient(s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

# **IRB Statement**

Being a review article, it does not require institutional review board approval.

Financial Support and Sponsorship None.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

Acknowledgment None.

# References

- 1 Al-Busafi SA, McNabb-Baltar J, Farag A, Hilzenrat N. Clinical manifestations of portal hypertension. Int J Hepatol 2012; 2012:203794
- 2 de Franchis R, Primignani M. Natural history of portal hypertension in patients with cirrhosis. Clin Liver Dis 2001;5(03): 645-663
- <sup>3</sup> Leung JC, Loong TC, Pang J, Wei JL, Wong VW. Invasive and noninvasive assessment of portal hypertension. Hepatol Int 2018;12 (Suppl 1):44–55
- 4 de Bruyn G, Graviss EA. A systematic review of the diagnostic accuracy of physical examination for the detection of cirrhosis. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2001;1:6

- <sup>5</sup> Christensen E, Schlichting P, Fauerholdt L, et al. Prognostic value of Child-Turcotte criteria in medically treated cirrhosis. Hepa-tology 1984;4(03):430–435
- 6 Northup PG, Wanamaker RC, Lee VD, Adams RB, Berg CL. Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) predicts nontransplant surgical mortality in patients with cirrhosis. Ann Surg 2005; 242(02):244–251
- 7 Berzigotti A, Piscaglia F. Ultrasound in portal hypertension—part 1. Ultraschall Med 2011;32(06):548–568, quiz 569–571
- 8 Berzigotti A, Piscaglia FEFSUMB Education and Professional Standards Committee. Ultrasound in portal hypertension—part 2—and EFSUMB recommendations for the performance and reporting of ultrasound examinations in portal hypertension. Ultraschall Med 2012;33(01):8–32, quiz 30–31
- 9 Sangster GP, Previgliano CH, Nader M, Chwoschtschinsky E, Heldmann MG. MDCT imaging findings of liver cirrhosis: spectrum of hepatic and extrahepatic abdominal complications. HPB Surg 2013;2013:129396
- 10 Kang HK, Jeong YY, Choi JH, et al. Three-dimensional multidetector row CT portal venography in the evaluation of portosystemic collateral vessels in liver cirrhosis. Radiographics 2002; 22(05):1053–1061
- 11 Al-Osaimi AM, Sabri SS, Caldwell SH. Balloon-occluded Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration (BRTO): preprocedural evaluation and imaging. Semin Intervent Radiol 2011;28(03):288–295
- 12 Singh S, Venkatesh SK, Wang Z, et al. Diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance elastography in staging liver fibrosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;13(03):440–451.e6
- 13 Talwalkar JA, Yin M, Venkatesh S, et al. Feasibility of in vivo MR elastographic splenic stiffness measurements in the assessment of portal hypertension. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2009;193(01): 122–127
- 14 European Association for Study of Liver Asociacion Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Higado. EASL-ALEH Clinical Practice Guidelines: non-invasive tests for evaluation of liver disease severity and prognosis. J Hepatol 2015;63(01):237–264
- 15 Chou R, Wasson N. Blood tests to diagnose fibrosis or cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a systematic review. Ann Intern Med 2013;158(11):807–820
- 16 de Franchis RBaveno VI Faculty. Expanding consensus in portal hypertension: Report of the Baveno VI Consensus Workshop: stratifying risk and individualizing care for portal hypertension. J Hepatol 2015;63(03):743–752
- 17 Millonig G, Friedrich S, Adolf S, et al. Liver stiffness is directly influenced by central venous pressure. J Hepatol 2010;52(02): 206–210
- 18 Bosch J, Abraldes JG, Berzigotti A, García-Pagan JC. The clinical use of HVPG measurements in chronic liver disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009;6(10):573–582
- 19 Feu F, García-Pagán JC, Bosch J, et al. Relation between portal pressure response to pharmacotherapy and risk of recurrent variceal haemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis. Lancet 1995; 346(8982):1056–1059
- 20 Turnes J, Garcia-Pagan JC, Abraldes JG, Hernandez-Guerra M, Dell'Era A, Bosch J. Pharmacological reduction of portal pressure and long-term risk of first variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2006;101(03): 506–512
- 21 Kim MY, Baik SK, Yea CJ, et al. Hepatic venous pressure gradient can predict the development of hepatocellular carcinoma and hyponatremia in decompensated alcoholic cirrhosis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009;21(11):1241–1246
- 22 Ripoll C, Groszmann RJ, Garcia-Tsao G, et al; Portal Hypertension Collaborative Group. Hepatic venous pressure gradient predicts development of hepatocellular carcinoma independently of severity of cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2009;50(05):923–928

- 23 Merkel C, Bolognesi M, Sacerdoti D, et al. The hemodynamic response to medical treatment of portal hypertension as a predictor of clinical effectiveness in the primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding in cirrhosis. Hepatology 2000;32(05): 930–934
- 24 Stanley AJ, Robinson I, Forrest EH, Jones AL, Hayes PC. Haemodynamic parameters predicting variceal haemorrhage and survival in alcoholic cirrhosis. QJM 1998;91(01):19–25
- 25 Bañares R, Moitinho E, Matilla A, et al. Randomized comparison of long-term carvedilol and propranolol administration in the treatment of portal hypertension in cirrhosis. Hepatology 2002; 36(06):1367–1373
- 26 Abraldes JG, Albillos A, Bañares R, et al. Simvastatin lowers portal pressure in patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension: a randomized controlled trial. Gastroenterology 2009;136(05): 1651–1658
- 27 Kumar A, Sharma P, Sarin SK. Hepatic venous pressure gradient measurement: time to learn!. Indian J Gastroenterol 2008;27 (02):74–80
- 28 Philips C. In pictures: hepatic venous pressure gradient-indications, technique and complications. Int J Anat Radiol Surg 2017; 6:RR01–RR04
- 29 Chelliah ST, Keshava SN, Moses V, Surendrababu NR, Zachariah UG, Eapen C. Measurement of hepatic venous pressure gradient revisited: catheter wedge vs balloon wedge techniques. Indian J Radiol Imaging 2011;21(04):291–293
- 30 Suk KT. Hepatic venous pressure gradient: clinical use in chronic liver disease. Clin Mol Hepatol 2014;20(01):6–14
- 31 Practice Guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration: application to healthy patients undergoing elective procedures: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preoperative Fasting and the Use of Pharmacologic Agents to Reduce the Risk of Pulmonary Aspiration. Anesthesiology 2017;126(03):376–393
- 32 Patel IJ, Rahim S, Davidson JC, et al. Society of Interventional Radiology Consensus Guidelines for the periprocedural management of thrombotic and bleeding risk in patients undergoing percutaneous image-guided interventions-part II: recommendations: endorsed by the Canadian Association for Interventional Radiology and the Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiological Society of Europe. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2019;30 (08):1168–1184.e1
- 33 Tey TT, Gogna A, Irani FG, et al. Application of a standardised protocol for hepatic venous pressure gradient measurement improves quality of readings and facilitates reduction of variceal bleeding in cirrhotics. Singapore Med J 2016;57(03): 132–137
- 34 Wallace MJ, Narvios A, Lichtiger B, et al. Transjugular liver biopsy in patients with hematologic malignancy and severe thrombocytopenia. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2003;14(03):323–327
- 35 Mohanty D. Current concepts in platelet transfusion. Asian J Transfus Sci 2009;3(01):18–21
- 36 Dohan A, Guerrache Y, Boudiaf M, Gavini JP, Kaci R, Soyer P. Transjugular liver biopsy: indications, technique and results. Diagn Interv Imaging 2014;95(01):11–15
- 37 Mammen T, Keshava SN, Eapen CE, et al. Transjugular liver biopsy: a retrospective analysis of 601 cases. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2008;19(03):351–358
- 38 Sebastian B, Singhal S, Botcha S, Madhurkar R, Thiruchunapalli D, Uthappa MC. The utility of ultrasound guidance in transjugular liver biopsy: our experience. Abdom Radiol (NY) 2019;44(02): 749–755
- 39 Cynamon J, Shabrang C, Golowa Y, Daftari A, Herman O, Jagust M. Transfemoral transcaval core-needle liver biopsy: an alternative to transjugular liver biopsy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2016;27(03): 370–375

- 40 Li FQ, Ko GY, Sung KB, et al. Transfemoral liver biopsy using a Quick-Core biopsy needle system in living donor liver transplantation recipients. Liver Transpl 2014;20(10):1178–1184
- 41 Yavuz K, Geyik S, Barton RE, et al. Transjugular liver biopsy via the left internal jugular vein. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2007;18(02): 237–241
- 42 Siegel EL, Caresio J, Eckard DA. Use of the external jugular vein approach for transvenous liver biopsy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 1992; 3(02):371–374
- 43 Patel A, Gogna A, Irani FG, et al. Single centre experience of transjugular liver biopsy in 152 patients. Ann Acad Med Singap 2014;43(03):160–165
- 44 Bravo AA, Sheth SG, Chopra S. Liver biopsy. N Engl J Med 2001; 344(07):495–500
- 45 Kim KR, Ko GY, Sung KB, et al. Transjugular liver biopsy in patients with living donor liver transplantation: comparison with percutaneous biopsy. Liver Transpl 2008;14(07):971–979
- 46 Behrens G, Ferral H. Transjugular liver biopsy. Semin Intervent Radiol 2012;29(02):111–117
- 47 Neumayr A, Troia G, de Bernardis C, et al. Justified concern or exaggerated fear: the risk of anaphylaxis in percutaneous treatment of cystic echinococcosis-a systematic literature review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2011;5(06):e1154
- 48 Colloredo G, Guido M, Sonzogni A, Leandro G. Impact of liver biopsy size on histological evaluation of chronic viral hepatitis: the smaller the sample, the milder the disease. J Hepatol 2003;39 (02):239–244
- 49 Cholongitas E, Quaglia A, Samonakis D, et al. Transjugular liver biopsy: how good is it for accurate histological interpretation? Gut 2006;55(12):1789–1794
- 50 Kalambokis G, Manousou P, Vibhakorn S, et al. Transjugular liver biopsy—indications, adequacy, quality of specimens, and complications—a systematic review. J Hepatol 2007;47(02): 284–294
- 51 Jana M, Gamanagatti S. Transjugular liver biopsy: tips and tricks. Trop Gastroenterol 2012;33(03):168–172
- 52 Dohan A, Guerrache Y, Dautry R, et al. Major complications due to transjugular liver biopsy: incidence, management and outcome. Diagn Interv Imaging 2015;96(06):571–577
- 53 Moore KP, Aithal GP. Guidelines on the management of ascites in cirrhosis. Gut 2006;55(Suppl 6):vi1-vi12
- 54 Runyon BA, Montano AA, Akriviadis EA, Antillon MR, Irving MA, McHutchison JG. The serum-ascites albumin gradient is superior to the exudate-transudate concept in the differential diagnosis of ascites. Ann Intern Med 1992;117(03):215–220
- 55 Runyon BAAASLD Practice Guidelines Committee. Management of adult patients with ascites due to cirrhosis: an update. Hepatology 2009;49(06):2087–2107
- 56 Rimola A, García-Tsao G, Navasa M, et al. Diagnosis, treatment and prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: a consensus document. International Ascites Club. J Hepatol 2000;32(01): 142–153
- 57 Santos J, Planas R, Pardo A, et al. Spironolactone alone or in combination with furosemide in the treatment of moderate ascites in nonazotemic cirrhosis. A randomized comparative study of efficacy and safety. J Hepatol 2003;39(02):187–192
- 58 European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines on the management of ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2010;53(03):397–417
- 59 Solà R, Vila MC, Andreu M, et al. Total paracentesis with dextran 40 vs diuretics in the treatment of ascites in cirrhosis: a randomized controlled study. J Hepatol 1994;20(02):282–288
- 60 Fernández-Esparrach G, Guevara M, Sort P, et al. Diuretic requirements after therapeutic paracentesis in non-azotemic patients with cirrhosis. A randomized double-blind trial of spironolactone versus placebo. J Hepatol 1997;26(03): 614–620

- 61 Rössle M, Ochs A, Gülberg V, et al. A comparison of paracentesis and transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunting in patients with ascites. N Engl J Med 2000;342(23):1701–1707
- 62 Ginès P, Uriz J, Calahorra B, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunting versus paracentesis plus albumin for refractory ascites in cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 2002;123(06): 1839–1847
- 63 Deltenre P, Mathurin P, Dharancy S, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in refractory ascites: a meta-analysis. Liver Int 2005;25(02):349–356
- 64 Albillos A, Bañares R, González M, Catalina MV, Molinero LM. A meta-analysis of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt versus paracentesis for refractory ascites. J Hepatol 2005;43(06): 990–996
- 65 Saab S, Nieto JM, Lewis SK, Runyon BA. TIPS versus paracentesis for cirrhotic patients with refractory ascites. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006;CD004889(04):CD004889
- 66 Salerno F, Cammà C, Enea M, Rössle M, Wong F. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for refractory ascites: a metaanalysis of individual patient data. Gastroenterology 2007;133 (03):825–834
- 67 Stanley MM, Ochi S, Lee KK, et al; Veterans Administration Cooperative Study on Treatment of Alcoholic Cirrhosis with Ascites. Peritoneovenous shunting as compared with medical treatment in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis and massive ascites. N Engl J Med 1989;321(24):1632–1638
- 68 Ginès P, Arroyo V, Vargas V, et al. Paracentesis with intravenous infusion of albumin as compared with peritoneovenous shunting in cirrhosis with refractory ascites. N Engl J Med 1991;325(12): 829–835
- 69 Corrigan M, Thomas R, McDonagh J, et al. Tunnelled peritoneal drainage catheter placement for the palliative management of refractory ascites in patients with liver cirrhosis. Frontline Gastroenterol 2020;12(02):108–112
- 70 Reinglas J, Amjadi K, Petrcich B, Momoli F, Shaw-Stiffel T. The palliative management of refractory cirrhotic ascites using the PleurX (©) catheter. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 2016:4680543
- 71 Avgerinos A, Nevens F, Raptis S, Fevery J. Early administration of somatostatin and efficacy of sclerotherapy in acute oesophageal variceal bleeds: the European Acute Bleeding Oesophageal Variceal Episodes (ABOVE) randomised trial. Lancet 1997;350 (9090):1495–1499
- 72 Vangeli M, Patch D, Burroughs AK. Salvage tips for uncontrolled variceal bleeding. J Hepatol 2002;37(05):703–704
- 73 Azoulay D, Castaing D, Majno P, et al. Salvage transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for uncontrolled variceal bleeding in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2001;35(05):590–597
- 74 Maimone S, Saffioti F, Filomia R, et al. Predictors of re-bleeding and mortality among patients with refractory variceal bleeding undergoing salvage Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt (TIPS). Dig Dis Sci 2019;64(05):1335–1345
- 75 Monescillo A, Martínez-Lagares F, Ruiz-del-Arbol L, et al. Influence of portal hypertension and its early decompression by TIPS placement on the outcome of variceal bleeding. Hepatology 2004;40(04):793–801
- 76 García-Pagán JC, Caca K, Bureau C, et al; Early TIPS (Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt) Cooperative Study Group. Early use of TIPS in patients with cirrhosis and variceal bleeding. N Engl J Med 2010;362(25):2370–2379
- 77 Garcia-Pagán JC, Di Pascoli M, Caca K, et al. Use of early-TIPS for high-risk variceal bleeding: results of a post-RCT surveillance study. J Hepatol 2013;58(01):45–50
- 78 Boyer TD, Haskal ZJAmerican Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. The role of Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt (TIPS) in the management of portal hypertension: update 2009. Hepatology 2010;51(01):306

- 79 Jalan R, Bzeizi KI, Tripathi D, Lui HF, Redhead DN, Hayes PC. Impact of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt for secondary prophylaxis of oesophageal variceal haemorrhage: a single-centre study over an 11-year period. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2002;14(06):615–626
- 80 Garcia-Tsao G, Abraldes JG, Berzigotti A, Bosch J. Portal hypertensive bleeding in cirrhosis: risk stratification, diagnosis, and management: 2016 practice guidance by the American Association for the study of liver diseases. Hepatology 2017;65(01): 310–335
- 81 Chau TN, Patch D, Chan YW, Nagral A, Dick R, Burroughs AK. "Salvage" transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts: gastric fundal compared with esophageal variceal bleeding. Gastroenterology 1998;114(05):981–987
- 82 Kochhar GS, Navaneethan U, Hartman J, et al. Comparative study of endoscopy vs. transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in the management of gastric variceal bleeding. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) 2015;3(01):75–82
- 83 Lo GH, Liang HL, Chen WC, et al. A prospective, randomized controlled trial of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt versus cyanoacrylate injection in the prevention of gastric variceal rebleeding. Endoscopy 2007;39(08):679–685
- 84 Yu J, Wang X, Jiang M, et al. Comparison of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) alone and combined with embolisation for the management of cardiofundal varices: a retrospective study. Eur Radiol 2019;29(02):699–706
- 85 Park JK, Saab S, Kee ST, et al. Balloon-Occluded Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration (BRTO) for treatment of gastric varices: review and meta-analysis. Dig Dis Sci 2015;60(06):1543–1553
- 86 Mukund A, Deogaonkar G, Rajesh S, Shasthry SM, Sarin SK. Safety and efficacy of sodium tetradecyl sulfate and lipiodol foam in Balloon-Occluded Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration (BRTO) for large porto-systemic shunts. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2017;40(07):1010–1016
- 87 Paleti S, Nutalapati V, Fathallah J, Jeepalyam S, Rustagi T. Balloon-Occluded Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration (BRTO) versus Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt (TIPS) for treatment of gastric varices because of portal hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2020;54 (07):655–660
- 88 Mukund A, Rangarh P, Shasthry SM, Patidar Y, Sarin SK. Salvage balloon occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration for gastric variceal bleed in cirrhotic patients with endoscopic failure to control bleed/very early rebleed: long-term outcomes. J Clin Exp Hepatol 2020;10(05):421–428
- 89 Morrison JD, Mendoza-Elias N, Lipnik AJ, et al. Gastric varices bleed at lower portosystemic pressure gradients than esophageal varices. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2018;29(05):636–641
- 90 Saad WE, Darcy MD. Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt (TIPS) versus Balloon-occluded Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration (BRTO) for the Management of Gastric Varices. Semin Intervent Radiol 2011;28(03):339–349
- 91 Mukund A, Rajesh S, Arora A, Patidar Y, Jain D, Sarin SK. Efficacy of balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration of large spontaneous lienorenal shunt in patients with severe recurrent hepatic encephalopathy with foam sclerotherapy: initial experience. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2012;23(09):1200–1206
- 92 Mukund A, Chalamarla LK, Singla N, Shasthry SM, Sarin SK. Intractable hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhotic patients: midterm efficacy of balloon-occluded retrograde portosystemic shunt obliteration. Eur Radiol 2020;30(06):3462–3472
- 93 Garbuzenko DV, Arefyev NO. Hepatic hydrothorax: an update and review of the literature. World J Hepatol 2017;9(31): 1197–1204
- 94 Barreales M, Sáenz-López S, Igarzabal A, et al. Refractory hepatic hydrothorax: successful treatment with octreotide. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2005;97(11):830–835

- 95 Ibrisim D, Cakaloglu Y, Akyuz F, et al. Treatment of hepatic hydrothorax with terlipressin in a cirrhotic patient. Scand J Gastroenterol 2006;41(07):862–865
- 96 Haas KP, Chen AC. Indwelling tunneled pleural catheters for the management of hepatic hydrothorax. Curr Opin Pulm Med 2017; 23(04):351–356
- 97 Hou F, Qi X, Guo X. Effectiveness and safety of pleurodesis for hepatic hydrothorax: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Dig Dis Sci 2016;61(11):3321–3334
- 98 Gordon FD, Anastopoulos HT, Crenshaw W, et al. The successful treatment of symptomatic, refractory hepatic hydrothorax with transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. Hepatology 1997;25(06):1366–1369
- 99 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu; European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2018;69:406–460
- 100 Guevara M, Ginès P, Bandi JC, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in hepatorenal syndrome: effects on renal function and vasoactive systems. Hepatology 1998;28(02): 416-422
- 101 Testino G, Ferro C, Sumberaz A, et al. Type-2 hepatorenal syndrome and refractory ascites: role of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent-shunt in eighteen patients with advanced cirrhosis awaiting orthotopic liver transplantation. Hepatogastroenterology 2003;50(54):1753–1755
- 102 Janssen HL, Garcia-Pagan JC, Elias E, Mentha G, Hadengue A, Valla DCEuropean Group for the Study of Vascular Disorders of the Liver. Budd-Chiari syndrome: a review by an expert panel. J Hepatol 2003;38(03):364–371
- 103 Mukund A, Sarin SK. Budd-Chiari syndrome: a focussed and collaborative approach. Hepatol Int 2018;12(06):483–486
- 104 Mukund A, Gamanagatti S. Imaging and interventions in Budd-Chiari syndrome. World J Radiol 2011;3(07):169–177
- 105 Mukund A, Gamanagatti S, Acharya SK. Radiological interventions in HVOTO—practical tips. Trop Gastroenterol 2011;32(01): 4–14
- 106 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: vascular diseases of the liver. J Hepatol 2016; 64:179–202
- 107 Mukund A, Pargewar SS, Desai SN, Rajesh S, Sarin SK. Changes in liver congestion in patients with Budd-Chiari syndrome following endovascular interventions: assessment with transient elastography. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2017;28(05):683–687
- 108 Garcia-Pagán JC, Heydtmann M, Raffa S, et al; Budd-Chiari Syndrome-Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt Group. TIPS for Budd-Chiari syndrome: long-term results and prognostics factors in 124 patients. Gastroenterology 2008;135 (03):808–815
- 109 Mukund A, Mittal K, Mondal A, Sarin SK. Anatomic recanalization of hepatic vein and inferior vena cava versus direct intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation in Budd-Chiari syndrome: overall outcome and midterm transplant-free survival. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2018;29(06):790–799
- 110 Haskal ZJ, Martin L, Cardella JF, et al; Society of Cardiovascular & Interventional Radiology, Standards of Practice Committee SCVIR Standards of Practice Committee. Quality improvement guidelines for transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2001;12(02):131–136
- 111 Hung ML, Lee EW. Role of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in the management of portal hypertension: review and update of the literature. Clin Liver Dis 2019;23(04):737–754
- 112 Parker R. Role of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in the management of portal hypertension. Clin Liver Dis 2014; 18(02):319–334

- 113 Harikrishnan KM. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stent shunt. Med J Armed Forces India 1995;51(04):281–283
- 114 Chalasani N, Clark WS, Martin LG, et al. Determinants of mortality in patients with advanced cirrhosis after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunting. Gastroenterology 2000;118 (01):138–144
- 115 Salerno F, Merli M, Cazzaniga M, et al. MELD score is better than Child-Pugh score in predicting 3-month survival of patients undergoing transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. J Hepatol 2002;36(04):494–500
- 116 Krajina A, Hulek P, Fejfar T, Valek V. Quality improvement guidelines for Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt (TIPS). Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2012;35(06):1295–1300
- 117 Miraglia R, Maruzzelli L, Cortis K, et al. Radiation exposure in transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2016;39(02):210–217
- 118 Miraglia R, Gerasia R, Maruzzelli L, D'Amico M, Luca A. Radiation doses to operators performing transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt using a flat-panel detector-based system and ultrasound guidance for portal vein targeting. Eur Radiol 2017; 27(05):1783–1786
- 119 Tavare AN, Wigham A, Hadjivassilou A, et al. Use of transabdominal ultrasound-guided transjugular portal vein puncture on radiation dose in transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt formation. Diagn Interv Radiol 2017;23(03):206–210
- 120 Petersen B. Intravascular ultrasound-guided direct intrahepatic portacaval shunt: description of technique and technical refinements. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2003;14(01):21–32
- 121 Pillai AK, Andring B, Faulconer N, et al. Utility of intravascular USguided portal vein access during transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation: retrospective comparison with conventional technique in 109 patients. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2016;27 (08):1154–1159
- 122 Triantafyllou T, Aggarwal P, Gupta E, Svetanoff WJ, Bhirud DP, Singhal S. Polytetrafluoroethylene-covered stent graft versus bare stent in transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2018;28(07):867–879
- 123 LaBerge JM, Ferrell LD, Ring EJ, Gordon RL. Histopathologic study of stenotic and occluded transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts. J Vasc Interv Radiol 1993;4(06):779–786
- 124 Barrio J, Ripoll C, Bañares R, et al. Comparison of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt dysfunction in PTFE-covered stent-grafts versus bare stents. Eur J Radiol 2005;55(01): 120–124
- 125 Bercu ZL, Fischman AM, Kim E, et al. TIPS for refractory ascites: a 6-year single-center experience with expanded polytetrafluoroethylene-covered stent-grafts. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2015;204 (03):654–661
- 126 Amarapurkar DN, Punamiya S, Patel ND. An experience with covered transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for refractory ascites from western India. Ann Hepatol 2006;5(02): 103–108

- 127 Casado M, Bosch J, García-Pagán JC, et al. Clinical events after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: correlation with hemodynamic findings. Gastroenterology 1998;114(06): 1296–1303
- 128 Anuradha Rao TN, Rastogi H, Pandey UC. Pictorial essay: transjugular intra-hepatic porto-systemic shunt (TIPS). Indian J Radiol Imaging 2001;11:17–22
- 129 Qi X, Liu L, Bai M, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in combination with or without variceal embolization for the prevention of variceal rebleeding: a meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;29(04):688–696
- 130 Valentin N, Korrapati P, Constantino J, Young A, Weisberg I. The role of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in the management of portal vein thrombosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;30(10): 1187–1193
- 131 Fanelli F, Angeloni S, Salvatori FM, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt with expanded-polytetrafuoroethylenecovered stents in non-cirrhotic patients with portal cavernoma. Dig Liver Dis 2011;43(01):78–84
- 132 Kochar N, Tripathi D, Arestis NJ, Ireland H, Redhead DN, Hayes PC. Tipsitis: incidence and outcome-a single centre experience. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010;22(06):729–735
- 133 Sterling KM, Darcy MD. Stenosis of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts: presentation and management. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1997;168(01):239–244
- 134 Wolf DC, Siddiqui S, Rayyan Y, Rozenblit G. Emergent stent occlusion for TIPS-induced liver failure. Dig Dis Sci 2005;50 (12):2356–2358
- 135 Saad WE. Balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration of gastric varices: concept, basic techniques, and outcomes. Semin Intervent Radiol 2012;29(02):118–128
- 136 Saad WE, Kitanosono T, Koizumi J, Hirota S. The conventional balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration procedure: indications, contraindications, and technical applications. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol 2013;16(02):101–151
- 137 Chu HH, Kim M, Kim HC, Lee JH, Jae HJ, Chung JW. Long-term outcomes of balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration for the treatment of gastric varices: a comparison of ethanolamine oleate and sodium tetradecyl sulfate. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2018;41(04):578–586
- 138 Kim DJ, Darcy MD, Mani NB, et al. Modified Balloon-Occluded Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration (BRTO) Techniques for the Treatment of Gastric Varices: Vascular Plug-Assisted Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration (PARTO)/Coil-Assisted Retrograde Transvenous Obliteration (CARTO)/Balloon-Occluded Antegrade Transvenous Obliteration (BATO). Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2018;41(06):835–847
- 139 Cho SK, Shin SW, Do YS, et al. Development of thrombus in the major systemic and portal veins after balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration for treating gastric variceal bleeding: its frequency and outcome evaluation with CT. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2008;19(04):529–538