Paroxysmal sympathetic hyperactivity (PSH) is a syndrome of excessive and pathological adrenergic output to nociceptive or non-nociceptive (including environmental) stimuli. It is observed as a complication of various acute brain insults such as traumatic brain injury (TBI), stroke, anoxic brain injury, tumors, infections, autoimmune encephalitis, and acute hydrocephalus. It can manifest as a constellation of episodic, simultaneous symptoms such as tachycardia, hyperthermia, hypertension, tachypnea, and diaphoresis, often accompanied by dystonia and even motor posturing. Onset of these symptoms is usually fast, but resolution is slow, unless terminated by medication.

Since the first description of this syndrome by Penfield, many names have been ascribed to it which has created puzzlement in its diagnosis as well as understanding of its pathophysiology. Some of the names associated with this condition over the years are “autonomic storm,” “paroxysmal sympathetic storm,” “hypothalamic dysregulation syndrome,” and “paroxysmal autonomic instability with dystonia.” In 2014, the International Brain Injury Association proposed the term “paroxysmal sympathetic hyperactivity.” Its overall incidence is 18% among various cohorts of patients admitted in neurocritical care with an incidence of 33% in severe TBI patients. According to Perkes et al, 80% of cases of PSH are observed after TBI, and the remaining 20% following other cerebral pathologies. The most consistent observation is that patients with PSH are frequently young and comatose. Pediatric patients appear more prone to develop PSH after anoxic brain insults or external stimuli become exaggerated. The interruption of descending inhibitory modulation might also produce maladaptive changes in the spinal cord leading to excitatory interneuronal activity. These changes could help explain how noxious stimuli are perceived as noxious by brain.

While formal evidence on treatment is scant and lacks methodological quality, PSH is a disorder that can be treated. Can episodes be prevented with pharmacological intervention? There is at least one retrospective study that claims so. Tang et al asserted that dexmedetomidine infusion has
preventive effect on PSH in severe TBI patients who have undergone surgery. Treatment revolves around meticulous general care and pharmacological therapy with abortive (morphine) and preventive medication (propranolol). Many other drugs have been used with varying success rates. Drug combinations are frequently used and chosen based on individual preference rather than objective evidence. Avoidance of triggering stimuli is critical in its management.

While there are numerous published studies from various countries to unravel the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management of this serious but treatable condition, in India, neurocritical care specialists are still under-recognizing/under-reporting this complication. Failure to recognize the condition can adversely impact outcomes of these patients. Literature search in Indian context revealed a few case reports but only one small study on PSH incidence in 57 patients admitted in neurological ICU by Verma et al in 2015, in which the authors reported 10.53% incidence of PSH. In this issue of *Journal of Neuroanesthesiology and Critical Care*, Bhardwaj et al have published a retrospective study in a relatively large population of 257 patients with TBI, and have observed PSH in 30.8% of patients. This study focuses only on patients with TBI, which raises questions regarding the true incidence of PSH in other varieties of critical neurological diseases. Hence, to validate their reported incidence of PSH, there is a crucial need for conducting prospective multicenter studies in the whole gamut of neurocritical care patients. This would expand the understanding of this condition among neurocritical care specialists with proper management and improved outcomes. A greater effort should also be devoted to discover pharmacological agents that can either prevent this dangerous syndrome or at least mitigate its detrimental effects. Simultaneously, another vital area of research could be to predict which patient is likely to develop this complication, through use of certain predictive clinical features and/or blood biomarkers unique to these vulnerable patients.

**Conflict of Interest**
None declared.

**References**