Surgical Treatment of Multilevel Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy: Open-Door Laminoplasty and Fixation via Unilateral Approach. A Feasibility Study
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Abstract

Objective  We compared open-door laminoplasty via a unilateral approach and additional unilateral lateral mass screw fixation (uLP) with laminectomy and bilateral lateral mass screw fixation (LC) in the surgical treatment of multilevel degenerative cervical myelopathy (mDCM).

Methods  A retrospective cohort analysis of 46 prospectively enrolled patients (23 uLP and 23 LC). The minimum follow-up was 1 year. Neck and arm pains were evaluated with visual analog scales and disability with the Neck Disability Index (NDI). Myelopathy was rated with the modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association (mJOA) score. Cervical sagittal parameters were measured on plain and functional X-ray films with a specific software. The statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). Fusion was defined as <2 degrees of intersegmental motion on flexion/extension radiographs.

Results  The two groups were similar in age and comorbidities. The mean operation time and the mean hospital stay were shorter in the uLP group (\( p = 0.015 \)). The intraoperative blood loss did not exceed 200 mL in both groups. At follow-up, the groups showed comparable clinical outcome data. The sagittal profile did not deteriorate in either group. Fusion rates were 67% in the uLP group and 92% in the LC group. No infections occurred in either group. In the LC group, one patient developed a transient C5 palsy. Revision surgery was required for a malpositioned screw (LC) and for one implant failure (uLP).

Conclusion  Laminoplasty and unilateral fixation via a unilateral approach achieved comparable clinical and radiologic results with laminectomy and bilateral fixation, despite a lower fusion rate. However, the surgical traumatization was less.
Introduction

Degenerative cervical myelopathy is the leading cause of spinal cord dysfunction worldwide. The goals of surgical treatment are effective decompression, preservation or restoration of the cervical lordosis, and fixation of the unstable cervical spine. In patients with multilevel impingement of the spinal cord, laminoplasty and laminectomy with fixation and fusion are widely accepted treatment options. A recent systematic review showed that both procedures provide similar clinical outcomes. In 2000, Roselli et al described a laminoplasty technique via a unilateral approach to decrease the surgical traumatization of the neck muscles. In 2004, we adopted this technique for posterior cervical decompression with additional fixation and fusion. The preliminary results showed an improved clinical outcome with respect to neck pain. Furthermore, the degree of postoperative cervical kyphosis and range of motion (ROM) compared well with that of the conventional laminoplasty technique requiring bilateral muscle dissection. However, in our experience the less invasive unilateral lateral mass screw fixation (uLP) was indicated only in patients with preserved lordotic cervical alignment, no segmental instability, and no, or only moderate, neck pain. Otherwise, we chose the bilateral approach for conventional lateral mass screw fixation (LC). Postoperative C5 palsy is a well-known complication of multisegmental cervical decompression, but the pathologic mechanism is still poorly understood. Recent studies have shown a higher incidence of C5 palsy following laminectomy and fusion, compared with laminoplasty. This might be partly due to the excessive dorsal shift of the spinal cord. Theoretically, laminoplasty could combine the advantages of an effective posterior open-door decompression with additional fixation decreasing the risk of postoperative kyphosis. Both goals could be achieved with less surgical traumatization of the neck muscles. A similar concept has been recently described by Liu et al, who suggested unilateral laminoplasty with unilateral stabilization as a novel technique in patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. In the present study, the clinical and radiologic outcomes of patients treated with uLP were compared with that of patients who underwent LC.

Materials and Methods

Between April 2013 and May 2018, 23 patients affected by multilevel degenerative cervical myelopathy (mDCM) were prospectively enrolled and treated with uLP. The control group was an age-matched cohort of patients who underwent LC. Inclusion criteria were minimum age (18 years at the time of surgery), clinical diagnosis of DCM, and multilevel stenosis (>2 levels) of the subaxial cervical spinal canal. Exclusion criteria were fixed cervical kyphosis >10 degrees, previous cervical spine surgery, tumors, fractures, and infections of the cervical spine. Demographic data, the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification, and the number of surgical levels were documented. The clinical outcome was assessed with the modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association (mJOA) score (total points = 17). The neurologic function recovery rate (i.e., improvement ratio) was calculated as follows: (JOA score at follow-up – preoperative JOA score)/(17–preoperative JOA score) × 100 (%).

All patients had provided written consent to participate in the internal hospital registry.

Surgical Procedures

The surgical goals were the direct and indirect (by dorsal shift) decompression of the cervical spinal cord via a posterior approach, along with preservation or restoration of the cervical lordosis.

Unilateral Laminoplasty and Fixation

In the conventional “open-door” laminoplasty, the neck muscles are dissected on both sides. After a laminotomy on the opening side, a monocortical trough is drilled on the hinge side to weaken the laminae. The “opening of the door” is stabilized with specific titanium mini-plates. In the laminoplasty via a unilateral approach, the same opening is achieved by elevating on the approach side the cut lamina.
until it “fractures” (resistance loss) at the contralateral lamina–facet junction. This is accomplished by gripping the lamina with a punch and simultaneously applying force on the spinous process with the thumb. Thus, the median ligament complex and the neck muscles on the hinge side are preserved. Typically, the opening encloses the four laminae from C6 to C3. Furthermore, an undercutting is performed at the cranial and caudal ends to provide a smooth dorsal shift of the spinal cord. In this study, the unilateral open-door laminoplasty was followed by a uLP via the same approach side (►Figs. 1 and ►Video 1). The fixation was performed with the S4 Cervical System (Aesculap AG, Tuttlingen, Germany). The 3.5-mm lateral mass screws were 14 to 18 mm long. The custom-made mini-plates (Medicon eG, Tuttlingen, Germany) featured the last lateral hole larger to allow for the passage of the lateral mass screw.

**Laminectomy and Bilateral Fixation**

The conventional laminectomy and bilateral fixation was performed via a midline approach (►Fig. 3). First, a subperiosteal incision exposed the laminae and the lateral masses. Care was taken to cut the nuchal ligament in its avascular zone to prevent postoperative bleeding and muscle atrophy. Next, the lateral mass screws were inserted with the help of lateral fluoroscopy. After fixation of the screw–rod system, a trough was drilled in the laminae medially to it on both sides. The laminae were removed en bloc. All fixations were performed with the same hardware used in the study group.
Postoperative Treatment
All patients were treated according to the same protocol: low-dose opioid analgesia, antibiotics 30 minutes before and up to 24 hours after surgery, mobilization the day after surgery, and no collar.

Clinical Outcome
Follow-up examinations were performed at 3 and 12 months. The clinical scores were compared with the preoperative status of the patient and with the clinical performance of patients of the other group.

Radiologic Outcome
Plain and flexion/extension radiographs were performed preoperatively and at 3 and 12 months after surgery. The cervical sagittal balance was defined by the following parameters: sagittal view of the vertical axis, C1–C7 Cobb angle, C2–C7 Cobb angle, C0–C2 Cobb angle, C7 slope, and the range of motion (ROM) for C3–C7. The measurements were performed by an independent Imaging Software Laboratory (Raylytic GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). An automated and validated software was applied for unbiased and extremely accurate analysis.

Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed with descriptive and inferential statistics (t-test, one-way analysis of variance [ANOVA], and $\alpha = 0.05$). Fusion was defined as intersegmental motion <2 degrees in flexion/extension radiographs. The statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results
Twenty-three (13 females) patients were enrolled in the uLP group and 23 (10 females) in the LC group. The mean age was 69 years (range: 57–82 years) without significant difference between the groups.
In both groups, the intraoperative blood loss did not exceed 200 mL. The mean surgical time was 86 minutes in the uLP group and 136 minutes in the LC group (p < 0.001). Radiation time was 0.12 and 0.20 minutes in the uLP and LC groups, respectively (p < 0.001). The mean hospital stay was significantly shorter in the uLP group (9 days) than in the LC group (12 days; p = 0.015). The overall length of the hospital stay was influenced by the reimbursement regulations.

Clinical Outcome
All patients were followed for at least 12 months (averages: 13 and 23 months for the uLP and LC groups, respectively). The longest follow-up was 60 months (LC group). The mean mJOA score increased significantly in the uLP group, from 12.6 points preoperatively to 15.1 points at 3 months, and 15.7 at 12 months (p < 0.001 for preoperative vs. 12 months). In the LC group, the mean mJOA score was 12.3 points preoperatively, 14.6 points at 3 months, and 15.5 at 12 months (p < 0.001 for preoperative vs. 12 months). These improvements in mJOA scores from before surgery to the last follow-up were both statistically significant and clinically relevant. The neurologic function improvement ratio averaged 64%. Among the 46 patients, 75% showed a good neurologic recovery (ratio >50%; uLP = 19 patients; LC = 17 patients), and 25% showed a poor recovery (ratio <50%; uLP = 4 patients; LC = 6 patients). The differences between the groups were not significant (Table 1).

The Neck Disability Index (NDI) was assessed preoperatively, at 3 months postoperatively, and at the last follow-up (Figs. 4 and 5). In the uLP group, the initial mean NDI was 26 points, and it improved to 14 points at 12 months. In the LC group, the initial mean NDI was 28 points, and it improved to 18 points at the last follow-up. The difference between the groups was statistically significant for NDI and visual analog scale (VAS) of the neck at 3 months of follow-up but not for the VAS arm. After 12 months, the difference in the groups did not reach statistical significance, but it reached the required level of substantial clinical benefit.

Postoperative Complications
In the uLP group, no postoperative iatrogenic neurologic deterioration or C5 palsy, infection, spinal fluid leak, nonunion, or rod breakage was observed. At the 3-month follow-up, one patient showed a loose lateral mass screw along with postoperative kyphosis. This patient underwent revision surgery 4 months after the primary procedure, via a combined approach, resulting in a fusion procedure between C3 and T2. In the LC group, one patient complained of a transient (3 months) C5 palsy, which recovered spontaneously and completely. One patient reported C6 postsurgical radicular pain, due to a malpositioned lateral mass screw. Revision was performed, and the patient recovered completely. One patient suffered from postoperative delirium and was observed two nights in the intermediate care unit. Last but not least, an 83-year-old patient experienced transitory cerebral ischemia, almost 3 weeks after surgery.

X-ray Analysis
Twenty patients of each group were available for X-ray analysis 1 year after surgery. The ROMs of the instrumented levels were reduced in both groups (mean before/after ROMs: uLP—23/5 degrees; LC—20/2 degrees). These findings were correlated with fusion rates of 67% (uLP) and 92% (LC). At 1 year after surgery, cervical lordosis (C2–C7) was almost preserved in the uLP group but was reduced (though not significantly) in the LC group (mean before/after lordosis: LP—19/16 degrees; LC—14/7 degrees). Cranio cervical lordosis

### Table 1
Comparison at 3-month follow-up of the clinical outcomes in patients treated for mDCM with uLP and with LC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome at 3-mo follow-up</th>
<th>uLP (n = 23)</th>
<th>LC (n = 23)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDI</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS neck</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS arm</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mJOA</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LC, lateral mass screw fixation; mDCM, multilevel degenerative cervical myelopathy; mJOA, modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association; NDI, Neck Disability Index; n.s., not significant; uLP, unilateral lateral mass screw fixation; VAS, visual analog scale.

*Statistically significant and clinically relevant
(C0–C2) remained unchanged in the uLP group but increased with more rigid fusion (mean before/after lordosis: uLP—28/28 degrees; LC—30/33 degrees). The C2–C7 sagittal vertical axis was only marginally affected in both groups (mean before/after axis lengths: uLP—26/28 mm; LC—30/34 mm). The radiologic data are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 Radiologic outcomes of the functional X-ray analysis in patients treated for mDCM with uLP and with LC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>12-mo follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>uLP, N = 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM (C3–C7)</td>
<td>23 degrees</td>
<td>5 degrees (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical lordosis (C2–C7)</td>
<td>19 degrees</td>
<td>16 degrees (p = 0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craniocervical lordosis (C0–C2)</td>
<td>28 degrees</td>
<td>28 degrees (p = 0.432)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVA (C2–C7)</td>
<td>26 mm</td>
<td>28 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC, N = 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROM (C3–C7)</td>
<td>20 degrees</td>
<td>2 degrees (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusion rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical lordosis (C2–C7)</td>
<td>14 degrees</td>
<td>7 degrees (p = 0.045)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craniocervical lordosis (C0–C2)</td>
<td>30 degrees</td>
<td>33 degrees (p = 0.153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVA (C2–C7)</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
<td>34 mm (p = 0.611)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LC, lateral mass screw fixation; mDCM, multilevel degenerative cervical myelopathy; ROM, range of motion; SVA, sagittal vertical axis; uLP, unilateral lateral mass screw fixation.
Note: Values are the mean measurements.

Discussion

DCM is one of the most common causes of spinal cord dysfunction in elderly patients. To date, surgical decompression is the only effective therapy in progressive disease. However, due to the usually advanced age of the patients, we must take into account their potentially risky comorbidities, the prevalence of perioperative complications, and the often limited effectiveness of postoperative rehabilitation. Consequently, the minimal as possible surgical traumatization should be mandatory. Despite the many procedures of cervical spine surgery described, laminoplasty and laminectomy remain the benchmark procedures for posterior multilevel decompression.
These procedures can be considered equivalent in terms of clinical efficacy. Anatomical studies have shown that the “open-door” laminoplasty increases the axial cross-section of the spinal canal up to 200%. However, no differences were observed between these procedures in the postoperative clinical outcome. Also, the present study confirmed the clinical equivalence of the laminoplasty and laminectomy procedure. In both groups, the mJOA score improved significantly by 3 points. This value is considered clinically important. Moreover, the NDI and the VAS arm and neck scores showed a substantial, clinically relevant, improvement after 1 year.

The laminoplasty via a unilateral approach, and therefore traumatizing less the soft tissue and the neck muscles, was first described by Roselli et al. The goal was to reduce the prevalence of postoperative wound-healing disturbances and the degree of neck muscles atrophy, and therefore to boost the rehabilitation. In this study, delayed wound healing and clinically relevant muscle atrophy did not occur in either group. As it was expected, the mean operating time in the uLP group (86 minutes) was significantly shorter than in the LC group (136 minutes). Furthermore, the duration of the hospitalization, which could influence the entire postoperative recovery process, was also significantly shorter in the uLP group (9 days) than in the LC group (12 days). Of course, the duration of the inpatient stay depends on various reimbursement and social factors, but these were homogenous in the identical hospital setting. A further potential benefit of the “controlled” decompression provided by laminoplasty and of the resulting “controlled” dorsal shift of the spinal cord could be a lower prevalence of C5 palsy compared with laminectomy. Liu et al recently described a technique that was similar to uLP for treating ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. Instead of an open-door laminoplasty, they performed a hemilaminectomy to decompress the spinal cord. The rationale for that approach was to reduce the prevalence of postoperative C5 palsy and to preserve the cervical lordosis. In 146 patients, they observed no case of C5 palsy, and the lordotic angle did not change significantly over time. The present study showed a comparable trend: no C5 weakness occurred in the uLP group, and one transient C5 palsy occurred in the LC group. However, due to the small number of patients treated in the present study, we cannot draw any firm conclusion. Currently, cervical laminectomy is usually combined with bilateral fixation and fusion to restore cervical lordosis and/or reduce the risk of postoperative kyphosis. In contrast, laminoplasty is associated with postoperative kyphosis. This side effect, which may cause considerable neck pain, is particularly frequent among elderly patients. To reduce the risk of postoperative kyphosis, a unilateral laminoplasty can be “stabilized” with unilateral fixation and fusion. The biomechanical effects of bilateral subaxial lateral mass stabilization have been demonstrated in various studies. As a consequence, bilateral LC is commonly performed in the clinical practice. On the contrary, there is still a knowledge gap about cervical fixation with unilateral lateral mass screws. Therefore, we had evaluated previously in a cadaver model the biomechanical stability of a multilevel construct in the subaxial spine. The study did not show any significant difference between unilateral and bilateral LC regarding the ROM and the neutral zone. Also, Su et al could show in a retrospective clinical study that the curvature after bilateral laminoplasty and unilateral fixation was similar in both groups.

In the present study, we evaluated the C-spine stabilization in terms of the intersegmental motion measured on the
differences were almost insignificantly greater with a lower functional level than the LC group. However, the lordosis decreased moderately in the LC group. That was probably due to our surgical technique, because, in the beginning, we did not actively restore the cervical lordosis after decompression adjusting the Mayfield clamp.

A limitation of this study was the lack of randomization. Therefore, we could not exclude a potential bias in patient selection. The uLP group tended to present with less pain and less functional level than the LC group. However, the lordosis decreased moderately in the LC group. That was probably due to our surgical technique, because, in the beginning, we did not actively restore the cervical lordosis after decompression adjusting the Mayfield clamp.

We have not seen any implant failure so far, but it could occur by the time passing. Finally, the number of patients studied is small. As mentioned in the introduction, the indication for unilateral stabilization is the preservation of the cervical lordosis. The parameters of the cervical sagittal alignment, sagittal vertical axis, and lordosis of the C-spine did not change significantly after surgery in either group. However, the lordosis decreased moderately in the LC group. That was probably due to our surgical technique, because, in the beginning, we did not actively restore the cervical lordosis after decompression adjusting the Mayfield clamp.

A limitation of this study was the lack of randomization. Therefore, we could not exclude a potential bias in patient selection. The uLP group tended to present with less pain and with a lower functional level than the LC group. However, the differences were almost insignificant. The mean follow-up for the patients of the uLP group was 13 months up to now. We have not seen any implant failure so far, but it could occur by the time passing. Finally, the number of patients studied is small. As mentioned in the introduction, the indication for unilateral stabilization is the preservation of the cervical lordosis. The parameters of the cervical sagittal alignment, sagittal vertical axis, and lordosis of the C-spine did not change significantly after surgery in either group. However, the lordosis decreased moderately in the LC group. That was probably due to our surgical technique, because, in the beginning, we did not actively restore the cervical lordosis after decompression adjusting the Mayfield clamp.

A limitation of this study was the lack of randomization. Therefore, we could not exclude a potential bias in patient selection. The uLP group tended to present with less pain and with a lower functional level than the LC group. However, the differences were almost insignificant. The mean follow-up for the patients of the uLP group was 13 months up to now. We have not seen any implant failure so far, but it could occur by the time passing. Finally, the number of patients studied is small. As mentioned in the introduction, the indication for unilateral stabilization is the preservation of the cervical lordosis. The parameters of the cervical sagittal alignment, sagittal vertical axis, and lordosis of the C-spine did not change significantly after surgery in either group. However, the lordosis decreased moderately in the LC group. That was probably due to our surgical technique, because, in the beginning, we did not actively restore the cervical lordosis after decompression adjusting the Mayfield clamp.

Conclusion

Laminoplasty and unilateral fixation via a unilateral approach achieved comparable clinical and radiologic results with laminectomy and bilateral fixation, despite a lower fusion rate. Operating time and inpatient stay were shorter and neck pain at 3 months was less.
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