Biomechanical Assessment of the Influence of Inlay/Onlay Design and Material on Stress Distribution in Nonvital Molars
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Abstract

Objectives The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of inlays/onlays with or without pulp extension from different materials on stress distribution in endodontically treated molars by three-dimensional finite element analysis (3D FEA).

Materials and Methods We used 3D mandibular molar models in this study. The models represented mesio-occluso-distal (MOD) cavities restored by inlays, onlays that covered buccal cusps, and onlays that covered all cusps with pulp extension (modified inlay/onlay) or without pulp extension (conventional inlay/onlay). Three materials (L: lithium disilicate glass-ceramic, P: polymer-infiltrated ceramic network [PICN], and C: nanofilled composite resin) were utilized. A force of 600 N was applied vertically and obliquely. Stress distribution in FEA models was analyzed using the von Mises theory.

Results The results revealed that an oblique load generated higher stresses than vertical load. Composite resin restorations transmitted almost all the stress to the neighboring tooth structures, while lithium disilicate ceramic restorations absorbed most of the stresses. Moreover, modified inlays and onlays with pulp extension proved better than conventional inlays/onlays in terms of stress redistribution in dental structures. Onlays showed a better pattern of stress distribution than inlays within the restoration and the restored tooth.

Conclusions According to stress distribution in dental structures, modified lithium disilicate ceramic onlays with pulp extension have been found to be the best choice to restore endodontically treated molars among the studied restorations.
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**Introduction**

It is common to find a large mesio-occluso-distal (MOD) cavity with endodontic access in clinical practice. Endodontic treatment increases the fracture risk due to the loss of dental structures as well as the restorative procedures, especially in class II cavities, such as MOD cavities. This decrease in fracture strength yields difficulty when choosing the best restoration for endodontically treated teeth, particularly posterior teeth. Full crowns with or without posts have been used widely; they involve removing additional tooth structures. Moreover, posts are used only when more conservative solutions, such as inlays/onlays or full crowns with cores, are not available as the remaining coronal structures are insufficient to retain a core or a restoration. Endocrown is a total ceramic crown anchored both to the pulp chamber and on the cavity margins. It is an alternative restoration to full crowns with posts when the crown length is inadequate or the interocclusal space is not practically sufficient for suitable ceramic thickness. Inlay and onlay are two conservative restorations that might be used to restore vital teeth and endodontically treated teeth. Inlay is a fixed intracoronal restoration that does not cover any cusp, whereas onlay is a partial-coverage restoration that restores the occlusal surface and covers one or more cusp.

Even though inlay and onlay are considered conservative alternatives, the results of studies about their behavior are still controversial. Some authors concluded that cuspal coverage did not increase the fracture strength, and there was no difference between inlays and onlays. Holberg et al. showed that the volume of ceramic inlays and preparation design did not affect stress distribution in the first mandibular molars. In contrast, other studies found that preparation design resulted in a significant difference. Dejak and Mlotkowski found that inlays were associated with unfavorable distribution and high magnitude of stresses, while onlays showed lower stresses and better distribution of stress than inlays. They also concluded that endocrowns caused more stress concentration in the remaining tooth structures than inlays and onlays. Moreover, Seow et al. found that inlay and onlay with an extension to the pulp chamber did not offer any biomechanical advantage to the restored premolars when they were compared with inlay/onlay without pulp extension.

Inlay and onlay could be fabricated from different materials such as composite resin and ceramic. Composite resin provides a tooth-color restoration. The nanofillers in the composites promote physical properties such as high resistance to wear; they also provide superior optic and aesthetic properties, such as high translucency, high polish, and polish retention. Ceramic is another aesthetic restorative material. Lithium disilicate glass-ceramic (LDS) is characterized by its high translucency and physical properties due to the volume of lithium disilicate crystals and their needle-like shape. Polymer-infiltrated ceramic network (PICN) is another material that is considered as resin-matrix ceramic; the PICN provides a lower tendency to brittle fracture than pure ceramic. Furthermore, it has a low level of abrasion on antagonizing teeth.

A considerable number of studies showed contrasting results about the best restorative materials of inlay/onlay. For instance, some studies found the composite resin better than ceramic in terms of performance and fracture resistance. Liu et al. found that the final fracture load of MOD composite inlays was higher than MOD ceramic inlays (2057.53 N and 2004.89 N, respectively). Magne and Oganesyan concluded that ceramic was a better choice to provide more cusp stabilization when compared with composite resin. However, St-Georges et al. showed no differences in fracture strength between ceramic and composite resin inlays.

The conflicting results of previous studies about inlay/onlay along with the lack of studies about inlay/onlay with a pulp extension led us to carry out this current study. Thus, the main aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of conventional inlays/onlays without pulp extension and modified inlays/onlays with an extension to the pulp chamber and their materials on stress distribution in endodontically treated molars, when vertical and oblique forces were applied, using three-dimensional finite element analysis (3D FEA).

**Materials and Methods**

**Modelling and Meshing**

This study was performed using a 3D FEA, which was based on computer geometry design. First, a series of cone-beam computed tomographic (CBCT) slices of the mandibular left first molar was taken (Pax-i3D Green; Vatech, Gyeonggi-do, Seoul, South Korea). Then, the slices were imported into the Mimics 21.0 software (Materialise NV Technologielaan; Leuven, Belgium) to isolate the components: enamel, dentin, and pulp. Using the 3-Matic software (Materialise NV Technologielaan; Leuven, Belgium), the periodontal ligament was created with a thickness of 0.25 mm. The 3D objects were converted into STL files, which were then refined using the Geomagic software (Geomagic Studio; Geomagic Inc, USA). SolidWorks software (Dassault Systèmes SolidWorks Corporation, Waltham, USA) was also used to produce the inlay/onlay cavity preparations, cortical and spongy bones according to the dimensions taken from the literature. All models, as shown in Figs. 1 and 2, were then exported to the Ansys Workbench 20.0 R2 software (Ansys Inc; Canonsburg, Pennsylvania, USA) to perform the FEA. A mesh of tetrahedral elements was generated for each model because of the complexity of the geometry. Then, it was refined as shown in Fig. 3 and accepted with relative errors of less than 1%. Details of nodes and elements are cited in Table 1.

**Preparation and Restoration Design**

The preparation designs were created as described in the literature. The prepared cavity was two-thirds of the intercuspal distance with a 10-degree divergence of the internal walls. The depth of the occlusal cavity was 2.0 mm while the
pulp chamber was 3.0 mm in depth. In the onlay models, only functional cusps or both functional and non-functional cusps were reduced 1.5 mm and 1.0 mm, respectively. The study included three restorative materials: L: IPS e.max CAD lithium disilicate glass-ceramic (LDS); P: Vita Enamic® polymer-infiltrated ceramic network (PICN); C: Filtek™ supreme nanocomposite resin.

The models according to the restoration design were as follows: first, conventional inlay without pulp extension; second, conventional onlay that covered buccal cusps without pulp extension; third, conventional onlay that covered all cusps without pulp extension; fourth, modified inlay with pulp extension; fifth, modified onlay that covered buccal cusps with pulp extension; and finally, modified onlay that covered all cusps with pulp extension as shown in Fig. 1.

A block of resin-modified glass ionomer cement (RMGI) was created to fill the pulp chamber in conventional inlay/onlay without pulp extension models. RMGI was selected in this study to represent a bonded restoration instead of composite resin in order for the results of conventional nanocomposite inlay/onlay not to interfere with the results of modified nanocomposite inlay/onlay with pulp extension, as a pulp chamber would be filled with composite resin in conventional and modified nanocomposite resin inlay/onlay models.

**Material Properties**
All materials were assumed to be isotropic, homogeneous, and linear elastic. The mechanical properties of all materials used in this study are taken from the literature. A summary of the mechanical properties is shown in Table 2.

**Boundary Conditions**
The models were fixed at the inferior surface of the cortical bone in all directions. Vertical and oblique static occlusal loadings of 600 N were applied on buccal cusps tips, central

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional inlay (L1, P1, C1)</td>
<td>45,331</td>
<td>84,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional onlay/buccal cusps coverage (L2, P2, C2)</td>
<td>46,657</td>
<td>89,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional onlay/all cusps coverage (L3, P3, C3)</td>
<td>51,291</td>
<td>96,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified inlay (L4, P4, C4)</td>
<td>57,193</td>
<td>105,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified onlay/buccal cusps coverage (L5, P5, C5)</td>
<td>54,306</td>
<td>98,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified onlay/all cusps coverage (L6, P6, C6)</td>
<td>57,219</td>
<td>102,828</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The vertical loading was parallel to the longitudinal axis of the tooth acting like a normal loading, while the second loading was oblique, 45 degrees to the longitudinal axis to stimulate the force acting on the mandibular molar during the closing phase of the mastication cycle. Equivalent von Mises stress, based on the distortion energy theory, has been used in plenty of studies to evaluate different restorations from diverse materials including ceramic materials and composite resins. Thus, equivalent von Mises stresses were adopted to evaluate stress distribution in all models in the present study.

### RESULTS

#### Stress distribution in restorations

The maximum values of von Mises stress in enamel, dentin, and restoration are summarized in Figs. 4–6. The maximum stress concentration occurred in the loading points: in the buccal cusps and the distal marginal ridge of the restoration as shown in Figs. 7–10. Oblique loading was associated with a much higher stress concentration that lied on a large space of the occlusal surface, as shown in Fig. 11. Regardless of loading direction, stress concentration increased within conventional inlay/onlay (without pulp extension), particularly in the internal surfaces of the restoration, when compared with modified inlay/onlay with pulp extension as shown in Figs. 7–11.

Von Mises values in inlays were the highest when vertical loading was applied, while oblique loading was associated with the highest von Mises values within onlays that covered all cusps. Oblique loading also caused higher values in all restorations than vertical loading as shown in Fig. 6.

Under both loading conditions, onlays showed better stress distribution than inlays as shown in Figs. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11. Stress distribution in onlays that covered buccal cusps and onlays that covered all cusps was similar as shown in Figs. 8 and 10.

#### Stress Distribution in Enamel and Dentin

Visual maps shown in Figs. 12 and 13 showed that the stress in enamel concentrated in the loading areas on buccal cusps, the cervical region and the axial internal walls of the occlusal cavity. Oblique loading caused higher von Mises...
values as well as higher stresses that concentrated in enamel as shown in ► Fig. 4 and ► Fig. 13 (D–F).

In dentin, vertical loading caused stress concentration in the cervical region and the buccal axial wall of both the occlusal cavity and the pulp chamber, while oblique loading led to greater stress concentration in the previous areas as well as the lingual axial wall of the occlusal cavity as shown in ► Figs. 12 and 13. It also caused more stress concentration in root surfaces than vertical loading as observed in ► Fig. 13.

Inlay models showed higher stress concentration in the internal walls of preparation than onlay models as shown in ► Fig. 12 with higher von Mises values in enamel and dentin as noticed in ► Figs. 4 and 5. The stress distribution pattern in dental structures restored by modified inlay/onlay with pulp extension was better than the stress in inlay/onlay without pulp extension models as shown in ► Figs. 12 and 13. Nanocomposite resin inlay/onlay transmitted almost all stresses to the surrounding dental structures, while LDS inlay/onlay absorbed most of the stresses within the restoration itself. Moreover, PICN inlay/onlay transmitted fewer stresses to dental structures than nanocomposite resin restorations.

**Stress Distribution in Periodontal Tissues**

The pattern of stress distribution in cortical bone, spongy bone, and periodontal ligament was similar among the studied models, as shown in ► Figs. 14 and 15. Stress concentrated in the cervical area of cortical bone and periodontal ligament in all models when vertical loading was applied, as shown in ► Fig. 14. Oblique loading was associated with higher stress concentration in periodontal tissues and greater von Mises values than vertical loading, as observed in ► Figs. 15–17. Von Mises values in periodontal structures when vertical and oblique loadings were applied are summarized in ► Figs. 16 and 17.

**Discussion**

The ideal coronal restoration of endodontically treated teeth aims to preserve the root canal system, support the
remaining structures, and replace the lost tooth structures. The anatomic position of the tooth, the occlusal forces on it, its restorative requirements, as well as the properties of the restorative material, are important factors that should be taken into account when endodontically treated teeth are to be restored. Thanks to adhesive dentistry, inlays and onlays, which are made from different cosmetic materials, provide conservative alternatives for such teeth. However, the final conclusion about inlay/onlay designs and materials is still controversial. Finite element analysis is a popular numerical method for

Fig. 7 Stress distribution in conventional inlay without pulp extension when vertical load was applied. (A, B) LSD inlay (L1 model); (C, D) PICN inlay (P1 model); (E, F) nanocomposite inlay (C1 model).

Fig. 8 Stress distribution in modified onlay (covered buccal cusps) with pulp extension when vertical load was applied. (A, B) LDS onlay (L5 model); (C, D) PICN onlay (P5 model); (E, F) nanocomposite onlay (C5 model).

Fig. 9 Stress distribution in conventional onlay (covered all cusps) without pulp extension when vertical load was applied. (A, B) LDS onlay (L3 model); (C, D) PICN onlay (P3 model); (E, F) nanocomposite onlay (C3 model).

Fig. 10 Stress distribution in modified onlay (covered all cusps) with pulp extension when vertical load was applied. (A, B) LDS onlay (L6 model); (C, D) PICN onlay (P6 model); (E, F) nanocomposite onlay (C6 model).
stressed analysis that has been used effectively in dentistry since 1970. This method is considered as an essential search tool, by which researchers are able to determine the stress distribution in tooth-restoration complex under different circumstances. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the effect of diverse designs and materials of inlays and onlays on stress distribution in mandibular molars when vertical and oblique loadings were applied.

Mandibular molar models with MOD cavities were used in this study, as posterior teeth are more likely to lose hard tissues because of caries, restoration failure, or endodontic treatment procedures. Furthermore, posterior teeth are subjected to functional and parafunctional forces of various directions and magnitudes. The maximum bite force ranges from 200 N to 3,500 N according to many factors such as the occlusion scheme, the existed restorations, and the position of the tooth. Commonly, the normal bite force on molars varies from 400 to 800 N. Therefore, an average force of 600 N was applied in this study.

Because mandibular molars are subjected to different directions of forces as mentioned above, vertical loading was applied to stimulate the normal force on mandibular molars, whereas oblique loading was applied to stimulate the clinical force acting on mandibular molars during the closing phase of mastication. In our study, oblique loading caused higher stresses in the roots that may lead to root failure because the tooth is endodontically treated. These findings correspond to the results of previous studies. Stress concentration was also seen in the loading points on restoration in onlay models or adjacent enamel in inlay models, which is consistent with other studies such as in the study by Holberg et al., who concluded that compressive stresses occurred in the loading areas, while tensile stress was in the surrounding regions.

Regarding the restoration design, the results of von Mises maps showed that stress concentration in modified inlays/onlays with pulp extension was less than that in conventional inlays/onlays without pulp extension. This could be explained by the thickness of the restoration and its volume. The increase in the thickness and volume of the restoration corresponds to a better pattern of stress distribution; our finding is in line with the conclusions of previous studies, which found that increasing the volume of the restoration was associated with a better pattern of stress distribution in dental structures and restorations. In
contrast, stresses are concentrated in the internal surfaces of conventional inlays/onlays without pulp extension. The stress in the base of inlays/onlays may be an indicator of the potential failure; it is thought to be responsible for crack initiation that might lead to fracture of the restoration bulk. More stresses are also concentrated in the surrounding dental structures that were restored by conventional inlays/onlays compared with modified inlay/onlay with pulp extension models. This might be attributed to the decrease in the thickness of the restorative material. Moreover, the RMGI base might cause stress transmission to the adjacent structures in conventional inlay/onlay models due to its low elastic modulus.

Taking into account no cuspal coverage, inlays showed the highest von Mises values and the highest stress concentration; this is in accordance with the study by Dejak and Młotkowski. Furthermore, inlay models showed higher stress concentration in tooth cusps and the internal walls of the cavity as shown in Fig. 12; this might be explained by the location of the loading points. In inlay models, the loadings were partially applied on the tooth structures, while they were applied totally to the onlays that absorbed most of the loads. Because of the stress location in inlay-restored teeth, the likely damage would occur in the tooth-inlay interface. Secondary caries and debonding may be the potential failure in inlay-restored teeth. A systematic review showed that caries, fracture of the tooth, and fracture or chipping of ceramic were the most frequent complications of inlays and onlays. Fracture of tooth structures might be the widespread complication of composite resin inlay-restored teeth because composite resin inlays are susceptible to high wear over time. This wear causes a decrease in the height of the restoration and makes the forces act mainly on the tooth. However, as ceramic has high wear resistance, ceramic inlays receive the main forces that may cause ceramic chipping. The wear of the PICN is in the same range of ceramic wear (IPS e.max CAD) according to the manufacturer; therefore, PICN inlays might show chipping of restoration, same as ceramic inlays rather than tooth fracture. On the contrary, the forces were totally on the restoration in onlay models.
which protects onlay margins from chipping and protects the tooth from damage. This could clarify why stress distribution was more preferable in onlay models than in inlay models; this corresponds with the results of previous studies that found inlays were associated with higher stress concentration than onlays.

According to the restorative material and regardless of the design of the restoration, lithium disilicate ceramic inlays and onlays showed the highest von Mises values and the highest stress concentration within the restoration itself, whereas nanocomposite resin inlays and onlays showed the lowest von Mises values as well as the least stress concentration in the restoration. This can be attributed to the elastic module of these materials. The higher the elastic modulus the restorative material has, the greater stress concentrates in the restoration, and the less stress is transmitted to the dental structures. Although Jiang et al concluded that composite resin is better than ceramic in terms of stress redistribution in the prepared cavity, we found that the highest stress concentration in dental structures was in composite resin models. It could be due to the low elastic modulus of composite resin that caused stress transmission to the dental tissues. Correspondingly, lithium disilicate ceramic models showed the least stress concentration in dental structures because of the high elastic modulus of lithium disilicate glass-ceramic.

**Fig. 14** Stress distribution in periodontal tissues when vertical load was applied in modified restoration models. (A) LDS inlay model. (B) PICN onlay (covered buccal cusps) model. (C) Nanocomposite onlay (covered all cusps) model. 1: cortical bone; 2: spongy bone; 3: periodontal ligament.
Fig. 15 Stress distribution in periodontal tissues when oblique load was applied in conventional restoration models. (A) Nanocomposite inlay model. (B) LDS onlay (covered buccal cusps) model. (C) PICN onlay (covered all cusps) model. 1: cortical bone; 2: spongy bone; 3: periodontal ligament.

Fig. 16 von Mises values in periodontal tissues when vertical load was applied; L1–L6: LDS restoration models. P1–P6: PICN restoration models. C1–C6: nanocomposite resin restoration models.
onlays showed a good pattern of stress distribution in dental structures. Therefore, PICN might be a promising alternative to glass-ceramic. However, more studies are highly suggested to be performed to make a curial decision about this material.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitations of this study, it has been found that modified inlays/onlays with pulp extension show a more preferable pattern of stress distribution in tooth-restoration complex than conventional inlays/onlays without pulp extension. Compared with onlays, inlays cause more stress concentration in tooth structures whether they are modified with pulp extension or not. Lithium disilicate glass-ceramic onlays associate with the most preferable stress distribution in dental structures among the studied models, so they are seen to be good restorations for endodontically treated molars. Nanocomposite resin restorations transmit almost all stresses to the dental structures, which might make them unsuitable choices for endodontically treated molars.
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