An Analysis of the Femoral Drilling Angle to Avoid Tunnel Collision during Double-Bundle Anterior Cruciate Ligament and Anterolateral Ligament Reconstruction on the Knee
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Abstract

Concomitant anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) and anterolateral ligament (ALL) reconstruction has been reported as an effective technique for providing rotational control of the knee. However, the intraoperative risk of collision with an ACL tunnel during the drilling for the femoral ALL tunnel has been described. The purpose of this study was to investigate the various femoral drilling procedures to avoid tunnel collisions during combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction. Nine cadaveric knees were used in this study. ACL drilling was performed through the anteromedial portal to footprints of the posterolateral bundle at 120° (PL120) and 135° (PL135) knee flexion and the anteromedial bundle at 120° (AM120) and 135° (AM135) knee flexion. ALL drilling was performed at 0° (Cor0-ALL) and 30° (Cor30-ALL) coronal angles using a Kirschner wire (K-wire). The distance between the ALL footprint and ACL K-wire outlets, axial angles of ALL K-wires colliding with ACL K-wires, and distances from the ALL footprint to the collision point were measured. From these values, the safe zone, defined as the range of axial angles in which no collisions or penetrations occurred, was identified by simulation of tunnels utilized for reconstruction grafts in each drilling procedure. The point-to-point distance from the ALL footprint to the K-wire outlet was significantly greater in the AM120 than the AM135 (13.5 ± 3.1, 10.8 ± 3.2 mm; p = 0.048) and in the PL135 than the PL120 (18.3 ± 5.5, 16.1 ± 6.5 mm; p = 0.005) conditions, respectively. During an ACL drilling combination of PL135/AM120, a safe zone of > 45° in Cor30-ALL was identified. With a narrow safe zone during the PL135/AM120 combination only, the risk of femoral tunnel collisions in combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction is high. AM drilling at 120° and PL drilling at > 135° knee flexion, combined with ALL drilling at 30° coronal angle and > 45° axial angle, may reduce this risk.
The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is frequently injured in the athletic populations. ACL reconstruction has been considered as a treatment for controlling anteroposterior and rotational stability. Recently, the anterolateral ligament (ALL) has been reported as a secondary stabilizer to the ACL in several biomechanical studies. The ALL also provides rotational control of the knee during the simulated pivot shift and in resisting anterior tibial translation and internal tibial rotation. A cadaveric biomechanical study has suggested that ALL injury may contribute to residual internal rotation and a positive pivot shift following ACL reconstruction. Preoperative ALL injury was associated with worse clinical outcomes after ACL reconstruction compared with isolated ACL injury. Concomitant ACL and ALL reconstruction has been shown to reduce internal rotation and axial plane tibial translation compared with isolated ACL reconstruction for ACL- and ALL-deficient knees. Moreover, combined ACL and ALL reconstruction has been reported as an effective technique for achieving a higher rate of return to preinjury sport levels, reduction in graft failures, and better knee stability compared with isolated ACL reconstruction.

Although various techniques for ALL reconstruction have been reported, the risk of collision with the ACL tunnel during drilling for the femoral ALL tunnel has been described. Numerous studies have evaluated the risk of femoral tunnel collision between the ACL and the fibular collateral ligament (FCL) for multiligament reconstruction; however, there have been few reports on femoral tunnel collisions in combined ACL and ALL reconstruction. Furthermore, these studies on femoral tunnel collisions have evaluated single-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction procedures without assessing combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction. Although the superiority of double-bundle over single-bundle ACL reconstruction remains controversial, some reports have stated that double-bundle ACL reconstruction is superior in decreasing the risk of graft failures and in providing better knee stability. Therefore, it is important to establish procedures that aid in avoiding femoral tunnel collisions during combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the various drilling procedures to avoid collisions between femoral ACL and ALL tunnels during combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction. We hypothesized that very specific ALL drilling angles would be necessary to ensure an optimal drilling procedure, in order to avoid collisions during combined reconstructions.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the affiliated institutions.

Subjects

Nine cadaveric knees (four male and five female cadavers from a 2019 gross anatomy course) embalmed with formaldehyde were used for this study. All had intact cruciate ligaments, and none presented with macroscopic evidence of fractures, deformities, or joint contractures. The mean age of the subjects at the time of death was 81.4 ± 14.1 years (range: 67–105 years). Height and weight measurements were not available. The patella, patellar tendon, skin, subcutaneous tissue above the patella and patellar tendon, infrapatellar fat pad, and ACL were removed to expose the femoral footprint of the ACL. The footprint of the posterolateral (PL) and anteromedial (AM) bundles of the ACL was identified by referring to the native ACL footprint and a previous report on the ACL femoral footprint.

ACL Drilling

A 2.4-mm diameter Kirschner wire (K-wire) was inserted through the anteromedial portal, which was situated in the medial position as much as possible without injuring the articular cartilages and menisci. Previous studies have described the potential risks associated with ACL femoral drilling through the anteromedial portal (e.g., injury to the common peroneal nerve, blowout of the posterior femoral cortex, and short femoral tunnels) and have recommended that the knee be flexed > 110° to decrease the risk of these complications. Based on these reports, we evaluated the knees that were flexed 120° and 135° in this study. The K-wire was then inserted through the footprint of the PL bundle of the ACL with the knee flexed to 120° (PL120). The next K-wire was also inserted through the footprint of the PL bundle of the ACL with the knee flexed to 135° (PL135). The remaining two K-wires were both inserted through the footprint of the AM bundle of the ACL: one with the knee flexed to 120° (AM120) and the other with the knee flexed to 135° (AM135). A total of four K-wires were inserted through the ACL footprint, and the flexion angles of the knees were measured using a goniometer. The K-wires inserted in the knees flexed to 120° were pulled laterally out of the intra-articular space, so that when the knees were flexed to 135°, the subsequent K-wires could be inserted through the same points. After insertion of the four wires, the knee joint and the distal third of the femur were dissected free from all soft tissues, and the femur was placed onto a wood block.
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Fig. 1 Drilling procedure for the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL). (A) For the anterior ACL drilling, a 2.4-mm-diameter Kirschner wire (K-wire) is inserted through the anteromedial portal, which is situated as much as possible in the medial and inferior position without injuries to the articular cartilages and menisci. (B) After insertion of the four K-wires for ACL drillings, the knee joint and the distal third of the femur are dissected free from all soft tissues. The blue dot indicates the lateral epicondyle.
The simulated distance was obtained using the following formula (Fig. 2B):

\[ S_{\text{simulated}} = M_{\text{measured}} - 3.3. \]

\( S_{\text{simulated}} < 0 \) signified collision between ACL and ALL tunnels on the lateral surface of the femur in the simulated reconstruction.

**ALL Drilling**

ALL femoral drilling was performed in both the coronal and axial planes. The 0° coronal line was defined as the line parallel to the distal condylar line of the femur (Fig. 3A). The 0° axial line was defined as the line parallel to the posterior condylar line of the femur (Fig. 3B). A 2.4-mm diameter K-wire was inserted through the ALL footprint at 0° (Cor0-ALL) and 30° coronal angles (Cor30-ALL) (Fig. 3A). The ALL K-wire was made to collide with each inserted K-wire in the simulated collision angles by adjusting the axial angles in the Cor0-ALL and Cor30-ALL orientations. The axial angles (°) of ALL K-wires during collisions and the distances (D, mm) from the ALL footprint to the collision point were measured in each knee using a protractor and another K-wire of the same length, respectively (Fig. 3B, C). Wood blocks configured into a cube or quadrangular prism to maintain the 0° and 30° coronal angles, respectively (Fig. 4A, B) were used to standardize ALL drilling. The wood blocks enabled us to maintain the correct orientations during drilling and improved the accuracy of our axial angle measurement.

**Simulation of Collision Angle and Safe Zone in ALL Drilling**

Considering that the tunnels utilized for reconstruction grafts require K-wires with a diameter greater than...
The range outside the simulated collision angles was defined as the range of simulated no-collision angles. The common range of simulated no-collision angles in all nine knees was identified for each drilling condition: AM120 or 135, PL120 or 135, and Cor0-ALL or Cor30-ALL. Additionally, the ranges of axial angles of ALL K-wires penetrating the trochea, intercondylar, or popliteal areas were measured, and we evaluated a safe zone, defined as the simulated no-collision angles in which the K-wires did not penetrate the trochea, intercondylar, or popliteal areas in any of the nine knees under each drilling condition.

**Statistical Analysis**

Paired t-tests were performed to compare the distance from the ALL footprint to the K-wire outlets between AM120 and AM135 and between PL120 and PL135. In Cor0-ALL and Cor30-ALL, paired t-tests were performed to compare the distance from the ALL footprint to the collision point between AM120 and AM135 as well as between PL120 and PL135. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan), which is a graphical user interface for R (version 2.13.0; The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). More precisely, it is a modified version of R commander (version 1.6–3) designed to add statistical functions frequently used in biostatistics.

**Results**

**Safe Zone**

The axial angles of ALL K-wires during collisions and the distances from the ALL footprints to collision points are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Based on these data, the common range of simulated no-collision angles was identified for the following combinations of drilling conditions in both Cor0-ALL and Cor30-ALL: PL120/AM120, PL120/AM135, PL135/AM120, and PL135/AM135 (Table 3). In Cor0-ALL, axial angles greater than $48.7^\circ \pm 5.8^\circ$ (min/max: 39°/54°) penetrated the trochea, whereas in Cor30-ALL, no axial angles penetrated the trochea. In Cor0-ALL, axial angles smaller than $1.4^\circ \pm 4.8^\circ$ (min/max: 7°/18°) penetrated the intercondylar or popliteal areas, whereas in Cor30-ALL, axial angles smaller than $12.2^\circ \pm 4.6^\circ$ (min/max: 3°/18°) penetrated the intercondylar or popliteal areas. According to these findings, the axial angle ranges over which the K-wires did not penetrate the trochea and intercondylar or popliteal area were $8^\circ$–$39^\circ$ in Cor0-ALL and $>18^\circ$ in Cor30-ALL. By applying these axial angle ranges to each simulated no-collision angle, we identified a safe zone of $>45^\circ$ in only Cor30-ALL during an ACL drilling combination of PL135/AM120 (Table 3). No safe zone was identified with the other drilling combinations.

**Distance from the ALL Footprint to the K-wire Outlet**

The point-to-point distances from the ALL footprints to the K-wire outlets were $10.8 \pm 3.2$, $13.5 \pm 3.1$, $18.3 \pm 5.5$, and $16.1 \pm 6.5$ mm in the PL120, PL135, AM120, and AM135 conditions, respectively. The distances between the ALL footprints and the K-wire outlets in the anterior direction were $9.2 \pm 3.1$, $11.4 \pm 4.2$, $9.9 \pm 7.0$, and $13.7 \pm 6.0$ mm in the PL120, PL135, AM120, and AM135 conditions, respectively. Finally, the distances between the ALL footprints and the K-wire outlets in the proximal direction were $3.2 \pm 5.0$, $-4.8 \pm 4.9$, $14.6 \pm 3.5$, and $7.7 \pm 4.7$ mm in the PL120, PL135, AM120, and AM135 conditions, respectively (a negative distance indicated the distal direction). The point-to-
point distances were significantly longer in the PL135 condition than in the PL120 condition (\(p = 0.048\)). Furthermore, the point-to-point distances were significantly longer in the AM120 condition than in the AM135 condition (\(p = 0.005\)). In all ACL drilling conditions, the simulated distances were >0 mm in all knees. The PL135 outlet was situated in a more anterior (\(p < 0.001\)) and distal (\(p = 0.017\)) location than the PL120 outlet. The AM120 outlet was situated in a more posterior (\(p < 0.001\)) and proximal (\(p < 0.001\)) location than the AM135 outlet (\(\leftarrow\) Fig. 5A-D).

### Table 1 Axial angles (°) of ALL drilling during collisions between the ALL and ACL K-wires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Cor0-ALL</th>
<th>Cor30-ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PL120</td>
<td>PL135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>– 8</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Distances from the ALL footprint to the collision point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Cor0-ALL</th>
<th>Cor30-ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PL120</td>
<td>PL135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

The most important finding of the present study was that the drilling procedure that reduces the risk of femoral tunnel collisions between ACL and ALL tunnels in combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction is AM drilling at 120° knee flexion and PL drilling at 135° knee flexion in...
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Table 3 The common range of simulated no-collision angles and safe zones for different combinations of the ACL and ALL drilling angles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Simulated no-collision angle (°) Cor0-ALL</th>
<th>Cor30-ALL</th>
<th>Safe zone (°) Cor0-ALL</th>
<th>Cor30-ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL120/AM120</td>
<td>&gt; 76</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL120/AM135</td>
<td>&gt; 76</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL135/AM120</td>
<td>&gt; 76</td>
<td>&gt; 45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&gt; 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL135/AM135</td>
<td>&gt; 62</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; ALL, anterolateral ligament; AM120, ACL drilling through the footprint of the anteromedial bundle in the knee flexed at 120°; AM135, ACL drilling through the footprint of the anteromedial bundle in the knee flexed at 135°; Cor0-ALL, ALL drilling at 0° coronal angle; Cor30-ALL, ALL drilling at 30° coronal angle; PL120, ACL drilling through the footprint of the posterolateral bundle in the knee flexed at 120°; PL135, ACL drilling through the footprint of the posterolateral bundle in the knee flexed at 135°.

Note: All data are expressed in degrees. The symbol “–” indicates drilling combinations in which simulated no-collision angles or safe zones were not identified.

Fig. 5 Locations of Kirschner wire (K-wire) outlet points. Locations of K-wire outlet points during (A) anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) drilling through the footprint of the anteromedial bundle in the knee flexed at 120° (AM120), (B) ACL drilling through the footprint of the anteromedial bundle in the knee flexed at 135° (AM135), (C) ACL drilling through the footprint of the posterolateral bundle in the knee flexed at 120° (PL120), and (D) ACL drilling through the footprint of the posterolateral bundle in the knee flexed at 135° (PL135). The letters a–i represent outlet points for each knee. The yellow triangle indicates the average location of the nine knees; the red circle indicates the lateral epicondyle; and the blue square indicates the ALL footprint.

There are few reports on femoral tunnel collision during combined single-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction using computed tomography (CT).\textsuperscript{13,18,30} Jette et al\textsuperscript{18} determined that the ALL femoral tunnel should be drilled at an angle of 30° anterior in the axial plane and 30° proximal in the coronal plane during single-bundle ACL drilling with the knee flexed at 120°. Smeets et al\textsuperscript{14} observed a high risk of tunnel convergence when performing combined single-bundle ACL and ALL reconstructions, and they reported that the occurrence of tunnel conflicts can be reduced by aiming the ALL tunnel in a more proximal and anterior direction, which supports the results of the present study. The previous computed tomography studies were performed using only two types of axial angles during ALL drillings; as a result, the actual axial angles that caused collisions in each knee were not evaluated. In this study, the axial angles of ALL K-wires during actual collisions were measured, and a safe zone of ALL drilling was evaluated based on these angles.

One option to avoid collisions is to use the same combined femoral tunnel, as described in combined reconstructions of ACL and posterolateral corner,\textsuperscript{31} and posterior cruciate ligament and medial collateral ligament.\textsuperscript{32} Sonnery-Cottet et al\textsuperscript{33} described a technique of combined single-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction using the same femoral tunnel for the ACL and the ALL. A disadvantage of this technique is that it is not possible to perform double-bundle ACL reconstruction with ALL drilling at 30° coronal angle and > 45° axial angle. This recommendation is supported by the following results: (1) the point-to-point distance between the ALL footprint and the K-wire outlet was greater in the AM120 and PL135 conditions than in the AM135 and PL120 conditions; (2) no collision was observed in the PL135 condition in the Cor30-ALL orientation; and (3) with the PL135/AM120 combination, the safe zone was > 45° in Cor30-ALL. The present study and another report\textsuperscript{13} have shown that the greater the angle of knee flexion, the more distal the location of the K-wire outlet. Furthermore, in the present study, there were no collisions when the PL drilling outlets were distal to the ALL footprint. Therefore, to place the outlets more distally from the ALL footprint, PL drilling with the knee flexed at a minimum of 135° (or greater, if possible) may be better. The optimization of this procedure is clinically relevant, as it may aid surgeons by reducing concerns about tunnel collision during femoral tunnel drilling; consequently, the operation of combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction can be safely and rapidly performed. However, with the narrow safe zone (> 45°) during the limited ACL drilling procedures (only PL135/AM120 combination), the results of the present study also indicate a high risk of femoral tunnel collisions in combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction, which is in support of our initial hypothesis.
reconstructions. Moreover, Mediavilla et al. described a technique of combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction, using the same femoral tunnel for the PL bundle of the ACL and the ALL. As a disadvantage, the shared graft with one of the ACL bundles and ALL is a one-strand tendon graft because the graft needs to be long; thus, the diameter of the one of the ACL graft is small unless additional grafts are used. Furthermore, these techniques need to be used in an outside-in manner during femoral drilling while placing the guide to an exact position of the femoral ALL and ACL footprint, which is complicated and technical.

Some studies have investigated the relationships between the location of femoral tunnel outlets and the lateral anatomic structures (e.g., the posterior femoral cortex, attachment of the gastrocnemius, FCL, popliteus tendon, and capsule) during ACL femoral drilling through the anteromedial portal. These studies concluded that it was more desirable to drill with the knee flexed to 135° rather than to 120° to avoid a posterior blowout and to create the tunnel outlet under the soft tissues. In contrast to these reports, the present study showed that AM femoral drilling was safer at 120° flexion than at 135° flexion when avoiding femoral tunnel collision between ACL and ALL tunnels. Therefore, further studies are needed to investigate the safest femoral drilling procedure during combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction to prevent femoral tunnel collisions, including all of the lateral anatomic structure injuries.

Moreover, when it is difficult to achieve 135° flexion (for instance owing to joint contracture, thick legs, or a hanging leg position with leg holder), the outlet points of PL drilling should be oriented more proximally to the ALL footprint, resulting in a higher risk of femoral tunnel collision between ACL and ALL tunnels. Although the outside-in technique, which allows the point of the outlets to be controlled on the femoral lateral surface, may be considered, this technique leads to acute graft bending, which is associated with poor graft maturation. Therefore, for such knees, femoral drilling using the flexible reamer and curved guide system, which allows a certain degree of control over intra-articular tunnel orientation and can produce significantly more antverted femoral tunnels than those obtained with a rigid drill or other fixation methods such as anchors or staples, may be better.

This study had some limitations. First, we had a small sample size. Second, we excised structures surrounding the knee (e.g., the skin, patella, and quadriceps tendon) that may have had an influence on the knee joint alignment during femoral drilling. Third, although simulations for the tunnels utilized for reconstruction grafts were performed by drawing the diameters of tunnels and outlets as circles, these might actually be ellipses (i.e., imperfect circles), because most ACL and ALL drilling is not perpendicular to the lateral surface of the femur or each other. Therefore, the values in this study might have been influenced by these slight differences from clinical operations. Fourth, the cadaver height and the size of the femoral condyle were not evaluated. These characteristics might have been associated with the chance of presenting collisions; thus, they may have affected our results. Finally, the original ALL in each knee was not identified because cadaveric knees embalmed with formaldehyde were used in this study; hence, the ALL footprint was determined as the position of the lateral epicondyle. Future studies using fresh frozen cadaveric knees are required to identify the original ALL.

In conclusion, with a narrow safe zone during only PL135/AM120 combination, the risk of femoral tunnel collisions in combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction is high. AM drilling at 120° and PL drilling at >135° knee flexion, combined with ALL drilling at 30° coronal angle and >45° axial angle, may reduce this risk. These findings may enable the combined double-bundle ACL and ALL reconstruction to be safely and rapidly performed by reducing surgeons’ concerns regarding femoral tunnel collision.
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