Technical and Medium-Term Clinical Outcomes of Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt with Fluoroscopy and Additional Trans-abdominal Ultrasound Guidance
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Abstract

Background and Objective The aim of the study is to evaluate the technical and clinical outcomes of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) performed with additional transabdominal ultrasound guidance.

Material and Methods Patients who underwent TIPS between January 2004 to January 2020 in our center were studied. Technical, hemodynamic, angiographic, and clinical outcome were recorded up to 1 year of follow-up.

Results TIPS was attempted in 162 patients (median [range] age 37[3–69] years; 105 were males and 57 were females; Etiology: Budd-Chiari syndrome [BCS] 91, cirrhosis 65, symptomatic acute portal venous thrombosis [PVT] 3, veno-occlusive disease [VOD] 2, congenital portosystemic shunt [CPSS] 1) during the study period. Indication for TIPS was refractory ascites in 135 patients (BCS 86, cirrhosis 49) and variceal bleed in 21 patients (BCS 5, cirrhosis 16). Technical success was seen in 161 of the 162 (99.4%) patients. The tract was created from hepatic vein in 55 patients and inferior vena cava (IVC) in 106 patients. Complications within 1 week post TIPS were seen in 29 of the 162 (18%) patients, of whom one developed unexplained arrhythmia and hypotension and died. Of the patients with available follow-up, clinical success was noted in 120 (81%), while 14 (9%) patients had partial nonresponse and six (4%) had complete nonresponse. Eight (5%) patients died during the follow-up period.

Conclusion The technical success of TIPS creation with additional transabdominal ultrasound guidance is very high with low peri-procedural complication rate. It has enabled the inclusion of a wider spectrum of cases like acute PVT and obliterated hepatic veins which were otherwise considered contraindications.
Introduction

Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) is considered a challenging interventional procedure. The key deciding factor is the creation of a liver parenchymal tract between the hepatic vein and the portal vein. Traditionally, the tract was created under fluoroscopic guidance. To improve the success rate, several techniques have been used, including indirect portography, wedge portography with iodinated contrast or CO₂, transabdominal ultrasound (US) and computed tomography (CT) guidance. Since 2004, we have been using transabdominal US guidance, in addition to fluoroscopy, while performing TIPS at our center. Direct intrahepatic cavo-portal shunts (DIPS) or a transcaval TIPS is a tract created between the inferior vena cava (IVC) to a branch of the portal vein. This is usually performed when a suitable hepatic vein is not available. Our initial case series on US-guided TIPS was published with technical details and immediate outcomes.

Methods

Data Collection

Approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB: 1147 Retro dated: January 24, 2019). A retrospective study was conducted on patients on whom TIPS was attempted between January 2004 and January 2020 at our Department, which is located in a large tertiary care and teaching hospital in South India. The list of cases was obtained from PACS (Picture Archiving and Communication System). Clinical details were obtained from the patients’ hospital records. Follow-up details were collected up to 1 year. Informed consent was obtained from patients prior to the standard of care established treatment.

Patient Work-Up

The patients referred for TIPS procedure underwent clinical and imaging evaluation. The latter primarily included US with Doppler. The liver size and presence of ascites were noted. Intrahepatic venous anatomy, especially the intrahepatic IVC, hepatic veins, and the portal vein, were assessed. The orientation of the veins was assessed from the possible tract options between the hepatic vein or IVC to a branch of the portal vein. If the information obtained from US and Doppler was found inadequate, additional contrast enhanced CT (CECT) examination was performed. Echocardiography was performed to exclude obvious cardiac insufficiency.

The bleeding parameters were checked and prothrombin (INR) corrected if >1.5. Platelet infusion was considered when the counts were below 50,000 per microliter. Since 2015, our center has been doing thromboelastography to estimate the risk of bleeding during the procedure. Correction of bleeding parameters was done as per advice from the Transfusion Medicine department of our Institution. For gross ascites, partial therapeutic drainage was performed before the procedure. All patients received prophylactic antibiotics before the procedure.

Refactory variceal bleed was defined as failure to control bleed with pharmacological and endoscopic therapy. Refractory ascites is defined as ascites that cannot be mobilized or the early recurrence of which cannot be satisfactorily prevented by medical therapy. Prior to doing TIPS, the Model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score was calculated for all patients; similarly, the BCS-TIPS prognostic index was calculated for patients with Budd-Chiari syndrome (BCS).6,7

Steps of TIPS

The steps of the procedure are similar to what has been previously described from our center. A summary of the steps with comments is mentioned in Table 1. The orientation of the equipment and the medical staff with respect to the patient is demonstrated in Fig. 1.

If there was no contraindication, patients were started on heparin that was changed to an oral vitamin K antagonist after a period of overlap to maintain a prothrombin time international normalized ratio (INR) between 2 and 3.

Special Circumstances

If there was a previously placed stent in the path of TIPS creation, strut plasty was performed and TIPS was created. Stents would have been placed to treat BCS in IVC or hepatic veins.

If the indication was acute portal venous thrombosis (PVT), then portal venous entry of the needle, while creating the tract, was monitored on US only. The details of TIPS procedure in patients with acute portal vein thrombosis have been previously described. After placement of the stent, suction thrombectomy and thrombolysis were performed to relieve the portal vein thrombus. If TIPS was performed during pregnancy, extreme care was taken to restrict the radiation, for inevitable indications.

In children, the same Rosch Uchida needle (RUPS) set was used. However, the size of the stent caliber used was smaller, depending upon the diameter of the main portal vein.

In case of congenital porto-system shunt (CPSS/Abernathy malformation), TIPS has a role in those patients who have large congenital shunts, and who are at a higher risk of developing acute portal hypertension following the closure of the shunt.

Follow-Up Protocol

Stent patency was assessed using US Doppler at the time of discharge, and at 3 months, 6 months, and 1-year post-procedure, or if clinically indicated.

Outcome of TIPS

Assessment of the success of the procedure was based on four aspects—technical, hemodynamic, angiographic, and clinical. Technical success was defined as the creation of a shunt between the hepatic vein and the intrahepatic branch of the portal vein.11 Hemodynamic success was defined as a reduction in the pressure gradient across the shunt to below 12 mm Hg.12 Angiographic success was defined as more than 50% of the contrast flowing into the shunt during the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step of the procedure</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Comments “dos and don’ts”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Siemens Multistar or Artis Zee Siemens Healthcare AG, Forchheim, Germany</td>
<td>Any DSA suite should do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient positioning</td>
<td>Supine, head turned toward left</td>
<td>If through left IJV, turn the head toward right side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Conscious sedation is the alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jugular access</td>
<td>5F sheath</td>
<td>Right side, under US guidance If unsuitable, left side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannulation of RHV</td>
<td>5F multipurpose catheter, 0.035” glide wire</td>
<td>Cross check the position within RHV by trans-abdominal US (Orientation in → Fig. 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic venogram, pressure measurements</td>
<td>5F multipurpose catheter</td>
<td>Pressures from RA, RHV, and HVPG (when possible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannula placement in RHV</td>
<td>RUPS 100 set</td>
<td>Gentle curve in the distal portion of the 45-cm long 7-Fr cannula if needed, more curve for DIPS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract creation</td>
<td>Aim the RPV between the PV confluence and the first division.</td>
<td>“a single wall, single puncture” Avoid extrahepatic puncture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternate fluoroscopic and US guidance. Adjust the appropriate angle of the access needle for PV puncture. Real-time US guidance in anterolateral oblique sagittal plane. Enter the RPV with a gentle jab.</td>
<td>Prevent any air to get into the system; dribble saline whenever metal needle is withdrawn. Look for blood from the hub of plastic cannula.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannulation of the portal system</td>
<td>0.035” stiff glide wire (Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan)</td>
<td>Manipulate under fluoroscopy in such a way that the wire turns medially, place well inside SMV or SV. US is the alternative guidance, especially if there is PV thrombosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portogram and IVCgram and pressure measurement</td>
<td>Marker pigtail</td>
<td>Study the morphology of the portal system, size of the PV, site of entry into the PV, large varices. PV pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasty of the tract</td>
<td>8 mm or 10 mm balloon, 4 cm length</td>
<td>If likely chance of developing hepatic encephalopathy, 8 mm diameter was used. If balloon cannot be advanced, use smaller balloons for the initial dilatation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent placement</td>
<td>Viatorr stent-graft (Gore, Flagstaff, Arizona, United States) Niti-S (Taewoong Medical, Seoul, South Korea) 10 mm diameter</td>
<td>Adequacy of the stent—to cover the entire parenchymal tract, uncovered stent well in the main portal vein, upper end well in IVC. Post plasty if the stent expansion is inadequate. Assess the shape of the tract A wide “C” is desirable, other shapes are “L” and “V.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portogram and pressure gradient</td>
<td>Less than 12 mm Hg is favorable</td>
<td>Any varices attributable to the clinical presentation may be embolized if the pressure gradient is high or grossly filling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extubation</td>
<td>Manuel compression of the access site</td>
<td>Monitoring in ICU for 24 h.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DIPS, direct intrahepatic porto-systemic shunt; DSA, digital subtraction angiography; HVPG, hepatic vein pressure gradient; IJV, internal jugular vein; IVC, inferior vena cava; PV, portal vein; RA, right atrium; RHV, right hepatic vein; RUPS 100, Rösch-Uchida Transjugular Liver Access Set (Cook, Bloomington, IN); SMV, superior mesenteric vein; SV, splenic vein; US, ultrasound.
post-procedure portography, along with a reduction in the flow to the pre-existing varices. **Clinical success** was defined as a resolution of the clinical problem for which the procedure was indicated.\(^{11}\) Clinical non-response to TIPS in patients with ascites was considered partial, if ascites persisted, but there was a reduction in frequency of therapeutic paracentesis or dose of diuretics; further, it was considered complete if there was no change in the frequency of therapeutic paracentesis and diuretic dose. Clinical non-response to TIPS in patients with portal hypertensive bleed was considered complete if the patient had recurrent portal hypertensive bleed, and partial, if the patient continued to have large gastroesophageal varices in the absence of recurrent portal hypertensive bleed. Adverse events were recorded during the procedure and the immediate post procedure period. Follow-up was recorded up to 1 year and adverse events were subcategorized into <7 days, from 7 days to a month and a month to a year after the TIPS procedure. Adverse events were classified as major or minor according to the Society of Interventional Radiology classification system.\(^{13}\)

**Adequacy of the Shunt**

We assessed the extent of the stent coverage on either side of the puncture. The site of puncture should ideally be within 2 cm of the portal venous confluence, and it should not involve the extrahepatic segment of portal branches. The covered portion of the stent should adequately cover the liver parenchyma. The desirable shape of the final shunt is a wide “C” in AP view.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as median and range and categorical variables were expressed as number and percentages. The analysis was done using Microsoft Excel 2016.

**Results**

**Demographics**

There were 162 patients (105 males and 57 females), with the median age (range) being 37 (3–69) years. The etiology underlying the indication for TIPS/DIPS was BCS in 91 (56%) patients, cirrhosis with portal hypertension in 65 (40%) patients, symptomatic acute PVT in three (2%) patients, veno-occlusive disease (VOD) in two (1%) patients, and congenital portosystemic shunt in one (1%) patient. Among the patients with cirrhosis with portal hypertension, refractory variceal bleed was the indication in 16 of the 65 cases (25%) and refractory ascites in 49 of the 65 cases (75%). One patient had a duodenal varix, which was embolized in the same session as the TIPS procedure. The median MELD score was 11 (6–27) in these 65 patients. Among the BCS patients, 86 of the 91 cases (95%) had refractory ascites, while five (5%) had variceal bleeding. In patients with BCS, the median BCS TIPS prognostic index was 3.7 (1.1–6.5) and the median MELD score was 12 (6–25). The indication for TIPS in patients with VOD was refractory ascites; the patient with CPSS was asymptomatic but underwent prophylactic TIPS prior to the closure of the shunt. One patient, who was pregnant with 29 weeks gestation at the time of the procedure, underwent emergency TIPS for BCS-related variceal bleed, which was refractory to endoscopic therapy.

**Technical Details of TIPS Intervention**

The procedure was performed through the right IJV in 160 of the 162 patients and through the left IJV in the remaining two patients. **Technical success** was achieved in all but one patient—this patient had cirrhosis with PVT. In this particular patient, attempts at TIPS were unsuccessful and the visibility was poor due to the entry of air from the cannula into the region of shunt creation.

The tract was created from the IVC in 106 (DIPS) and the hepatic vein in 55 (conventional TIPS) patients. The portal entry was into the right branch in 127 and left in 33 of the total 161 patients. One entry of the 161 cases was to the fistulous communication of the CPSS. The tract length varied between 1 cm and 10 cm. The tracts were longer in DIPS than TIPS, with lengths of 6(1–10) cm and 4(2–8) cm, respectively. The diameter of the balloon catheter was predominantly 10 and 8 mm that was used in 85 and 73 patients, respectively. Smaller balloons, measuring 6 mm, were used in three cases—children or patients with a small build. The stents used included Viatorr stent-graft (Gore, Flagstaff, Arizona, United States) \((n=63)\) and Niti-S (Taewoong Medical, Seoul, South Korea) \((n=72)\). A combination of uncovered-covered stents and uncovered self-expandable stents alone was placed in 14 and 11 patients, respectively. The reason for using different stents was mainly due to the availability of the stents at that point in time. The average length of the covered portion of the stent was 7.3 cm.

Of the 106 patients in whom the tract was created from the IVC, IVC plasty had to be done prior to the TIPS during the same sitting in three patients, all of whom had BCS. Strut plasty was done in seven patients, specifically in five through

---

**Fig. 1** Schematic diagram, view from above shows main operator stands on the head end of the patient and performs the procedure and another operator performs per abdomen ultrasonography to shows relation of cannula-needle position and direction toward target portal vein branch.
We also performed CECT when there was a suspicion of an intervention 67 days after TIPS.

The Pre-procedure Imaging Work-Up
We managed most of our procedures with preprocedure US and Doppler evaluations. The purpose of this was to evaluate the hepatic veins, portal vein, and their anatomical relations. With respect to portal venous anatomy, one needs to evaluate the size, branching pattern, and any evidence of acute or chronic thrombosis. It is also important to identify if the portal venous bifurcation is intrahepatic or extrahepatic. In the case of BCS, patency of the inferior vena cava (IVC) has to be assessed. Contrast-enhanced CT (CECT) was done when there had been previous interventions like endovascular treatment for BCS. In such situations, CT would help in determining the condition of the previously placed stent. We also performed CECT when there was a suspicion of an arteriovenous malformation as per US. In one patient who had CPSS, the shunt close to the PV was punctured. There was no direct puncture of the main PV.

Clinical Outcomes in Immediate and Midterm Follow-Up
Of the 161 patients in whom TIPS was created successfully, 14 patients had undergone TIPS within 1 year of this study (May 2019 to April 2020). Follow-up data was available for 149 patients. Seven patients were lost to follow-up and five patients underwent TIPS within 3 months of writing this article and, hence, their clinical responses have not yet been assessed. Clinical success was noted in 120 out of the 149 (81%) patients, whereas 20 patients showed partial or complete non-response, and eight patients died during the follow-up period. In one patient, who had a large CPSS, TIPS was performed prior to the closure of the congenital shunt and we did not expect any clinical change. Among the 20 patients who did not show clinical response following TIPS, the underlying etiology was cirrhosis in 16 patients, BCS in three and VOD in one patient. Fourteen out of these 20 patients showed partial non-response in the form of reduction in the frequency of paracentesis and diuretic dose, while six out of 20 patients did not have any clinical response despite a patent stent. One patient with complete non-response underwent liver transplantation during the follow-up period.

Adverse Events in the Immediate and Midterm Follow-Up
Adverse events have been detailed in – Table 2. Of the 162 patients in whom TIPS was attempted, 49 (30%) patients developed major AE during the follow-up period, with 29 (18%) of these occurring within a week of the procedure. Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) was the most common AE noted in 16 (10%) patients and occurred in seven of these patients in the first week following TIPS. They were all managed successfully with standard medical measures. Reduction in stent diameter was not done in any patient. Sepsis was the next most common AE and occurred in 11 (7%) patients. They were managed with antibiotics. One patient, who was pregnant during the procedure, suffered a miscarriage 67 days after TIPS.

Six patients, all of whom underwent TIPS for the indication of refractory ascites, developed incarcerated umbilical hernia during the follow-up period. The underlying etiology of refractory ascites was BCS in cirrhosis in four patients and BCS in two patients. Of these six patients, four patients were managed surgically and the remaining two conservatively with successful reduction of hernia. One patient, who underwent surgery, showed improvement in the early postoperative period, but developed spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) 10 days after surgery and died.

Mortality during Follow-Up
During the follow-up period, eight patients died 25 (2–246) days after the TIPS procedure. The cause of death was infection in four patients (lower respiratory tract infection 2, SBP 2), HE followed by aspiration pneumonia in two patients, recurrent HE in a patient and another patient developed unexplained cardiogenic shock immediately after the procedure and died. Of these eight patients, one patient underwent re-intervention for stent occlusion during the follow-up period.

Oclusion of TIPS Stents during the Follow-Up Period
Oclusion of the stents was noted in 12 patients within 1 month of TIPS and in 16 patients between 1 month and 1 year of TIPS. Of the 12 stents placed, three were Viatorr stent-graft (Gore, Flagstaff, Arizona, United States), seven were Niti-S (Taewoong Medical, Seoul, South Korea), and two were bare metal stents. Of the 12 patients who developed occlusion of stent within 1 month of TIPS, the occlusion was tackled successfully in 10 patients with angioplasty of the stent. The details of this aspect will be presented in a forthcoming article.

Discussion
In this study, the predominant indication for TIPS was ascites caused by BCS. This could be explained by the referral bias to our tertiary care center and institutional practice.
acquired abnormality of the portal vein, like acute or chronic thrombosis.

**Additional Image Guidance during TIPS**

Usually, there are several attempts made during the conventional TIPS procedure. Minimizing the number of attempts, while creating the TIPS tract, has many advantages. These include a higher success rate, a lower rate of complication, a reduced duration of procedure, and lower radiation exposure. The main advantage of additional transabdominal US is that it makes it possible to visualize the tract being created in real-time. Further, there are the additional advantages of the universal availability, adequate resolution, and low cost of the US.

A good orientation to the specific anatomy is essential even before the patient is taken up for the procedure. The US is useful in detecting (a) the location of the cannula tip, (b) tracking of the needle in the liver parenchyma, and (c) entry of the needle into the portal vein. In case of conventional TIPS, the US helps in confirming the selected vein as the RHV and its location behind the right branch of the PV (RPV).

Sometimes, the middle hepatic vein (MHV) can be mistaken for the RHV on fluoroscopy in the presence of ascites and variation in the volume of liver parenchyma. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time from TIPS procedure</th>
<th>Major adverse events</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Minor adverse events</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Procedure related adverse events (Technique related)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Procedure related adverse events (Technique related)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemobilia</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unexplained cardiac arrhythmia and hypotension</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>Puncture site bleed</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Procedure related adverse events (Technique unrelated)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Breaking of tip of black plastic sheath covering the 14-gage covering stiffening cannula of RUPS (left within liver parenchyma).</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficult extubation</td>
<td>4 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post procedure self-limiting fever</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post procedure hepatitis</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemoptysis</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical adverse events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute kidney injury</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension and cardiac failure</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower respiratory infection</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatic encephalopathy</td>
<td>7 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacteremia</td>
<td>4 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 d to 1 mo</td>
<td>Hepatic encephalopathy</td>
<td>7 (2)</td>
<td>Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mo to 1 y</td>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension and cardiac failure</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatic encephalopathy</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower respiratory infection</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical adverse events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 mo</td>
<td>Obstructed umbilical hernia</td>
<td>4 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mo to 1 y</td>
<td>Obstructed umbilical hernia</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events probably unrelated to TIPS procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intrauterine death</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent occlusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 mo post procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mo to 1 y post procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Number in parentheses denotes patient who died of the respective complications.
visualization of the cannula tip is of greater importance in case of DIPS to avoid inadvertent suprarehepatic IVC puncture. In addition, the site of the portal venous entry can also be more precisely decided. As US is a dynamic imaging technique, the movement of the liver during each phase of respiration is inconsequential. It also provides superior visualization of the anteroposterior orientation of the hepatic veins (HV) or IVC to the portal vein. This helps in altering the cannula curve, if required. DIPS may be considered even when the HVs are patent, but do not have favorable anatomical relation to the PV. Further, it brings down the requirement of iodinated contrast, as fewer angiographic runs are done. There is no need to perform CO₂ angiography. Some of the complications mentioned in the literature are related to CO₂ angiography.¹⁴

In case of BCS, hepatic veins are obliterated and wedge portography is not possible. With the help of US, it is possible to create a longer tract, especially from the IVC, instead of the hepatic vein. The real-time visualization also helps in preventing overshooting of the portal branch or transcapsular puncture. There is no need to drain the ascites or completely correct the bleeding parameters if the procedure is done under US guidance. US facilitates a reduction in the time taken to create the tract and, thus, in the overall duration of the procedure. The radiation dose is also approximately reduced to one-third.¹⁵ US is also potentially useful in detecting new onset PVT. Isolated portal vein thrombosis may be asymptomatic till it extends to the splanchnic veins.

Increased exposure to radiation is a concern in technically challenging circumstances like BCS and PVT, as well as in vulnerable populations like children and pregnant women. US guidance has an additional advantage in that it reduces the dose of radiation.¹⁵

All precautions need to be taken to prevent air-entry at the site of tract creation. This may be achieved by generous saline dripping at the hub of the plastic cannula, while removing the metal needle to pass the glide wire. Otherwise, the air sucked into the plastic cannula has a tendency to be displaced to the hepatic parenchyma at the time of reinsertion of the needle. Also, any flushing of the cannula, if required, should be done in a wedged position. If air enters, it can obscure further visualization with US.

Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) provides excellent guidance to create the shunt.¹⁶,¹⁷ The IVUS probe is placed from the transfemoral access and TIPS is performed from the jugular access. The tract may be performed through the caudate lobe of the liver. The closer view also helps in avoiding puncture of the biliary radicles and hepatic arteries. However, availability and additional cost are issues to be considered. CT has been used to create a TIPS tract transvenously as well as percutaneously.¹⁸,¹⁹ There are also reports suggesting the use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for additional image guidance.²⁰

Comparison of the Outcome—Success and Complications

The success in TIPS ranges from 67 to 100% in 19 case series.²¹ Most studies refer to technical success as the success of the procedure. However, the success of the procedure can be better assessed by evaluating technical, angiographic, hemodynamic, and clinical aspects. Fanelli et al in a recent study achieved technical success in 100% patients and hemodynamic success (pressure gradient <12 mm Hg) in 90.1% patients. In various studies, TIPS related death rates range from 3 to 5%.²¹–²⁴ In our study, procedure-related mortality was one out of 162 patients (0.4%). The major complications described in literature include hemoperitoneum, stent malpositioning, haemobilia, hepatic infarction, and resistant hepatic encephalopathy. The minor complications described include biliary duct puncture, gallbladder puncture, right kidney puncture, transient pulmonary edema, transient hepatic encephalopathy, and transient renal failure. Such complications may occur in 4 to 8% of the cases.²¹,²² All the abovementioned and other complications occur due to (1) nontarget puncture, (2) reduced perfusion of the liver, (3) contrast-related issues (4) infections and, (5) device–(catheter, stent, etc.) related issues. Hepatic ischemia can result either by the covered stent encroaching the HV/PV or compression of the hepatic artery following stent placement. Contrast-related complications are common, as some of these patients have deranged renal function or may have received large amounts of contrast. Patients with cirrhosis are predisposed to renal injury due to splanchnic vasodilation and reduced renal perfusion.²⁵ It is possible that the longer duration of the procedure increases the chances of infection. Chances of malpositioning of the stent may be reduced by creating a wide C-shaped tract, which mainly depends on the site of puncturing the PV. US-guided punctures contribute to reduce the probability of most of the above complications, as evident in the current study.

During the post-procedural period, the most common medical complication in our patients was HE, that occurred in 16 (9.8%) patients, with seven occurring in the immediate (<7 days) post-procedure period. However, these patients were all managed successfully with medical measures. Deaths in patients with HE (3/16) were uncommon in our patients during the study period. Post TIPS HE has been reported in 34 to 42% patients in literature.²⁶ The relatively low rates of post TIPS HE in our study may be due to the fact that a majority of our patients had underlying BCS and better synthetic function of the liver than patients with decompensated cirrhosis who formed the majority in the abovementioned studies. A majority of the HE that occurred were noted in the early part of the post TIPS period. This may be because the diameter of the TIPS stent decreases spontaneously with time as does the portosystemic shunting, resulting in a decreased propensity of HE later on in the patient.²⁷ In patients who were likely to develop HE, plasty was done with an 8-mm balloon instead of a 10-mm balloon and the placement of 10-mm stents, so that the tract expansion was gradual. We had the option of dilating the stent to 10 mm when needed. Sepsis was the next most common complication, occurring in 11 patients in our study (bacteremia, 4, SBP, 5, and lower respiratory infection, 2). Further, most cases occurred within a month following TIPS. The patients developing bacteremia (four patients) were those with underlying...
decompensated cirrhosis. This observation has been seen in other studies as well.²⁸ Other TIPS-related adverse events like acute kidney injury and pulmonary hypertension occurred less commonly and was managed successfully.

Obstructed umbilical hernia was noted in six out of 161 patients. This complication has been described after TIPS for refractory ascites in 6% of the patients.²⁹ It has been suggested that a rapid reduction in ascites after TIPS causes a decrease in the size of umbilical defect, thereby predisposing the patient to incarceration of the hernial contents.³⁰ We suggest that umbilical hernia should be manually reduced prior to mobilizing a large volume of ascites and should be monitored post TIPS.

**Widened Spectrum of Indications for TIPS**

Some of the listed relative contraindications in AASLD guidelines are no more contraindications for TIPS; instead, they have turned out to be indications.³¹ In a subsequent update, BCS has been included as an indication.³² In our series, the commonest etiology was BCS. Acute portal vein thrombosis has emerged as a new indication, where TIPS is useful in recanalization and, thus, reduces the chance of future portal cavernoma.³³,³⁴ Based on these findings, the guidelines for TIPS need to be suitably revisited.³⁵

The limitation of the study is its retrospective nature. We do not have recordings of the number of attempts to create the tract and the duration of the procedure. The radiation dose was not recorded from all patients; however, a small group of patients from the current cohort showed significantly low radiation exposure.¹⁵

**Conclusion**

Transabdominal US has significant advantages when used as an additional image guiding tool during TIPS procedure, resulting in an increase in the success rates of the procedure and lower rates of complications. Universal availability and real-time guidance makes transabdominal US an attractive tool as compared with IVUS. US guidance will benefit more patients, as it widens the spectrum of indications, including certain conditions like acute PVT, and obliterated hepatic veins, which were otherwise considered as contraindications. As additional US guidance increases success rates to almost 100%, it decreases peri-procedural complications, reduces radiation doses, and broadens the spectrum of indications for TIPS, we recommend that it be used routinely for all TIPS procedures.
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