Breast Implants on Computed Tomography—A Pictorial Review of Normal and Pathologic Findings
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Abstract

Breast augmentation with implants is frequently performed. Therefore breast implants can be seen quite frequently on computed tomography (CT) of the body. This offers the possibility to detect incidental pathology and help to improve patient outcome. Basic knowledge about imaging findings of breast implants on CT is therefore needed for radiologists reporting body CT. CT may also be used for further workup of breast implants if magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is contraindicated or not available. The purpose of this pictorial review is to give a brief overview of normal and pathologic findings of breast implants on CT.
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Introduction

Breast augmentation with implants is frequently performed. In the United States, each year approximately 300,000 women are undergoing breast augmentation for esthetic purposes and another 88,000 women receive breast implants for breast reconstruction after cancer.1 In a population study using chest radiography, approximately 3% of Dutch women showed breast implants,2 that means, breast implants can be seen quite frequently on computed tomography (CT) of the body. This offers the possibility to detect incidental pathology and help to improve patient outcome. Therefore basic knowledge about imaging findings of breast implants on CT is needed for radiologists reporting body CT. If magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is not possible because of contraindications (e.g., in patients with pacemakers) or access to MRI is limited in resource-poor settings, CT may be helpful in the workup of breast implants. The purpose of this pictorial review is to give a brief overview of normal and pathologic findings of breast implants on chest CT.

Normal Findings

Breast implants are either used for esthetic purposes or for breast reconstruction after breast conserving surgery with breast cancer. In cases of esthetic surgery, usually bilateral implants are used. Only in rare circumstances, like unilateral breast hypoplasia or aplasia, unilateral implants are used. In contrast, for breast reconstruction, normally a unilateral breast implant is used. Implants can be placed subglandular (i.e., between the glandular tissue and the pectoralis muscle) or submuscular (beneath the pectoralis muscle). Most surgeons prefer submuscular placement for esthetic purposes because of a more natural look and a lower rate of capsular contracture.1 On CT, the normal implant shows an oval shape and a homogeneous hypodense filling surrounded by the hyperdense implant shell (►Fig. 1). There are a lot of different implants available which cannot be distinguished by CT imaging reliably. Some small infolds (undulations of the implant shell) and minimal periprosthetic fluid are considered normal findings3 (►Fig. 2).
Acute Postoperative Complications

These include postsurgical collections (seroma and hematoma) or infection. In this scenario, usually a workup with ultrasound and image-guided aspiration is performed. These patients are rarely examined with CT and in these cases, there will be a dedicated clinical question concerning the implant.

Capsular Rupture

Implant rupture is common and reported to occur in up to 17.7% after primary augmentation, 14.7% after revision augmentation, and 35.4% after breast reconstruction. It has to be mentioned that reported rupture rates vary significantly in the literature and a large number of clinical silent ruptures are reported. There are two types of rupture: intra- and extracapsular. Around every breast implant, the body forms a fibrous capsule. In the case of intracapsular rupture, the filling of the implant is located inside this fibrous capsule and the collapsed shell forms the classic linguini sign. With extracapsular rupture, the implant filling leaves the fibrous capsule and migrates into the soft tissues of the breast and/or chest wall. Saline is usually absorbed by the body. In contrast, silicone forms deposits.

Capsular Contracture or Fibrosis

Capsular contracture or fibrosis is also common in the course after breast augmentation. It is reported to occur in up to 50% of women receiving breast implants, most studies are reporting incidences around 10%. In capsular contracture, the
formed fibrous capsule around the implant starts to contract, this is leading to deformation of the implant, discomfort, and pain. Common imaging findings are deformation of the implant, an increased number of infolds and a thickening of the fibrous capsule (Fig. 5). The thickening of the fibrous capsule is usually examined with ultrasound but can be occasionally seen also on CT. In severe cases, calcification of the fibrous capsule occurs (Fig. 6).

**Cancer**

Breast cancer can be found in augmented breasts, the risk of breast cancer is especially increased in patients after breast reconstruction (Fig. 7). On CT, breast cancer is shown as a mass with contrast enhancement. Because of the limited resolution, irregularities of the lesion, which are a hallmark of malignancy on mammography, can sometimes be invisible with CT imaging. Therefore solid lesion needs further work-up with ultrasound and mammography. Exceptions are lesions with coarse central calcifications, which are pathognomonic for involuted fibroadenomas. It has to be noted that microcalcifications cannot be seen with CT.

**Systemic Manifestations of Silicone Leakage**

Several pathologies with silicone leakage have been reported. These include silicone thorax, pleural effusions, autoimmune/inflammatory syndromes induced by adjuvants (ASIA), siliconosis, and anaplastic large cell lymphoma. All pathologies are exceedingly rare. For example, until 2018, only 516 cases of pathologically proven breast implant–associated anaplastic large cell lymphomas have been reported worldwide. The incidences of these rare complications are unknown and only case reports or small case series are available. The main imaging finding of breast implant–associated lymphoma is a large amount of fluid around the implant. In these cases, aspiration cytology is advised. Another rare entity seems to be silicone implant–induced lymphadenopathy, with a larger series of 18 cases reported. In these cases, there is marked lymphadenopathy (axillary, as well as hilar, and mediastinal), most often in cases

**Fig. 5** Capsular contracture/fibrosis in a 44-year-old undergoing chest CT because of extensive thrombosis of the subclavian veins. The implant on the left is deformed with more infolds compared with the right-sided implant. A small band of soft tissue around the left implant can be seen, corresponding to visible parts of the thickened fibrous capsule (arrows). CT, computed tomography.

**Fig. 6** Severe capsular fibrosis with extensive calcifications in an 83-year-old women undergoing staging for cancer. Notably there is intracapsular rupture of both implants as well.

**Fig. 7** Breast cancer adjacent to a breast implant in an 83-year-old women undergoing cancer staging. The cancer is shown as a mass with irregular margins and spotted calcifications (arrows). The corresponding sonographic image is shown on the right.
of an implant rupture. Because there is a wide differential diagnosis including inflammation, malignancies, and granulomatous disease, this is usually a disease exclusion and the diagnosis is often surprisingly made on pathology with the depiction of silicone implants.

**Conclusion**

Breast implants are frequently depicted on chest CT performed for various reasons. The knowledge of normal findings and pathology (especially rupture, capsular contracture, and cancer) is crucial to ensure optimal patient outcome.
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