Are Damaged Lungs Protected from COVID-19? 
An Interesting Observation
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The ongoing global pandemic caused by a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) results in a respiratory tract infectious disease named the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The disease has exponentially spread across the world, since the first reported case in Wuhan in December 2019.2 India is one of the worst-hit countries and is currently recovering from the second wave of the disease.

High-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) scanning of the chest can be a useful modality in assessing the degree of lung involvement and various complications of COVID-19. Radiological patterns of lung involvement on HRCT varies widely in the disease course.3,4 The typical imaging features of early COVID-19 are well described and include patchy, rounded, peripheral segmental, or subsegmental ground-glass opacities (GGOs), with or without consolidation.5,6 Evidence is also emerging to show that nearly one-third of patients with moderate-to-severe COVID-19 may develop pulmonary fibrosis in intermediate-term follow-up.7,8

Patients with known comorbidities are shown to have poor outcomes with COVID-19.7,9 One would imagine that patients who have lung damage secondary to prior infections, such as tuberculosis (TB) or emphysema, may have worse lung involvement with COVID-19 and take a longer time for recovery. Over the last few months, we have found evidence that contradicts the above supposition. In various imaging discussions on COVID-19, interesting multicentric observations are drawn which depict that the lung parenchyma which is previously affected by lung pathologies, such as TB, cystic bronchiectasis/fibrosis, emphysema, and others, show relative sparing or lesser degree of involvement of COVID-19.

We present this very interesting case where an aspirated tablet was lodged in the bronchus intermedius. The patient had extensive involvement of the entire left lung and right upper lobe, while the right middle and lower lobes were nearly spared with very few ground-glass infiltrates (►Fig. 1). Similar findings were also seen in another case of an adult male with known left lung bronchiectasis and active COVID-19. Here, we saw that most of the left lung is spared, while there are diffuse infiltrates in the right lung (►Fig. 2). We have made similar observations in many patients who have old TB-related fibrosis. In the presented case 3, it is seen that the fibrotic lung parenchyma seems to be either completely spared or only partially involved with COVID-19 (►Fig. 3). In ►Fig. 4, we can see two patients with active COVID-19 and a past history of TB showing sparing of unilateral upper lobes from active GGOs. In both these cases, the upper lobe fibrosis seems to have some protective effect and hence not involved with active infiltrates.

Two popular pathophysiologies of SARS-CoV-2 focus on angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE 2) receptors and endothelial damage by the virus. In the former, viral spike proteins are thought to bind to ACE 2 receptors for attachment to host cells. The ensuing proinflammatory cytokine-mediated immune overreaction is the major cause of lung damage and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in severe cases. The expression level of ACE 2 may differ
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between patients accounting for differences in severity of clinical disease.\textsuperscript{10} In the other theory, COVID-19 is postulated to be a predominantly vascular disease. The virus leads to endothelial injury with increased fibrinogen and related products which in turn lead to macro- and microvascular thrombotic disease in these patients.\textsuperscript{11,12} Multiple studies have shown a correlation between clinical severity and raised fibrinolytic substances, such as D-dimer and the von Willebrand factor, supporting this concept.\textsuperscript{13,14}
All the cases presented here have a common finding, that is, the lung segments with bronchiectasis/fibrosis seem to be spared or less affected compared with the other segments. The most likely explanation for this is related to reduced ventilation (V) and/or perfusion (Q) of these segments compared with the normal lung segments. Ventilation/perfusion (V/Q) mismatch is well known in patients with bronchiectasis, cystic fibrosis, interstitial lung diseases, and emphysema. With reduced ventilation, the body autoregulates by hypoxic pulmonary vasconstriction leading to a reduction in perfusion to the involved segments. This reduced perfusion appears to be providing some protection to these lung segments from COVID-19. Another possibility is alteration at cell membrane attachment sites in these fibrotic segments. A similar observation has also been made in one report which looked at cystic fibrosis and COVID-19. These observations can have a significant impact on our understanding of the disease and its pathophysiology. We encourage our colleagues and other researchers to further look into this concept, perhaps this may open new avenues for novel preventive and/or therapeutic strategies.
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