Prevalence of Enchondromas of the Proximal Femur in Adults as an Incidental Finding on MRI of the Pelvis
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Abstract

Objective To determine the prevalence of enchondroma in adults as an incidental finding in the proximal femur on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Materials and Methods A retrospective review of the MRI scans of the pelvis in a series of adult patients was conducted. All presented with nononcological musculoskeletal complaints. The site, size, and appearances of the enchondromas were identified according to criteria from previous studies.

Results A total of 1,209 proximal femora in 610 patients were reviewed and a total of 9 enchondromas were identified. These ranged from 0.6 to 2.5 cm in length (mean 1.3 cm). None showed aggressive features suggestive of malignancy. Three cases (33%) underwent follow-up MRI scans which showed no change in size or morphology.

Conclusion The prevalence on MRI of incidental enchondromas arising in the proximal femur is 0.7%. This is three to four times less common than seen in the proximal humerus and around the knee.
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Introduction

Central cartilage tumors (CCTs) are neoplasms of the bony medulla composed of hyaline cartilage. They range from the benign enchondroma, the second commonest bone tumor after osteochondroma, through ascending grades of chondrosarcoma reflecting increasing malignancy.1 Enchondromas are a common incidental finding in the short and long tubular bones on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), particularly around the knee2 and in the proximal humerus.3 With the increasing use of MRI in the investigation of musculoskeletal (MSK) disorders, it is useful to know the prevalence of incidental enchondromas at different sites to help distinguish them from other possibly malignant entities. Four previous studies have addressed this issue. Three looked at knee MRI scans and identified incidental enchondromas on 0.8 to 2.9% routine studies.4–6 The fourth found incidental enchondromas in the proximal humerus on 2.1% of routine MRI of the shoulder studies.7 The purpose of this retrospective study was to establish the prevalence of enchondromas of the proximal femur on routine MRI scans of the pelvis as an aid to the differential diagnosis of bone lesions at this particular site.

Materials and Methods

A series of patients, all postskeletal fusion, who had undergone MRI of the pelvis for various MSK complaints were identified from the picture archiving communication system of a specialist orthopaedic hospital. All referrals originating
from an orthopaedic oncology source were excluded as was any case where previous imaging had revealed an enchondroma or where the clinical details supplied could be attributed to an enchondroma. Three experienced MSK radiologists independently retrospectively reviewed one-third of the scans of 610 patients to identify the presence or absence of enchondromas of the proximal femur. In cases where there was any doubt, the decision was made by consensus of two of the radiologists. No attempt was made to assess other bone lesions identified in the proximal femur in this series. Local committee approval was obtained for this study as part of a service evaluation.

All MRI examinations were performed in a single institution on a 1.5 or 3T strength scanner (1.5T and 3T Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Minimum protocol for each examination comprised coronal and axial T1-weighted fast spin-echo, coronal short-tau inversion recovery (STIR), and axial T2-weighted fat-suppressed fast spin-echo sequences with a relatively large field of view (FOV) to include the whole pelvis and proximal third of the femora. Isolated dedicated scans utilizing a small FOV (e.g., young adult hip or groin pain protocols) were excluded from the series as it could not be certain that localized symptoms could not be due to the enchondroma. The diagnosis of enchondroma was established according to the criteria of a previous study relating to enchondromas identified on knee MRI scans.4 These comprised a nonsubchondral, intramedullary mass with a lobular outline relatively hypointense on the T1-weighted and markedly hyperintense on the T2-weighted and STIR images.

Cases with an enchondroma identified were further evaluated for site (femoral head, neck, or proximal diaphysis), size, and presence/absence of endosteal scalloping. Patient demographics such as age and sex were compared with the total patient population. Any change in the size of the enchondroma was recorded in those cases that had undergone follow-up studies for whatever reason.

Results

The sex and age ranges are shown by decade in ▶ Fig. 1 for all 619 patients; 27% of patients were male and 73% were female. A unilateral hip prosthesis was found in 11 cases leaving a total study series of 1,209 proximal femora. Nine enchondromas were found yielding a prevalence of incidental enchondromas as 1.4% on routine pelvic MRI examinations which equate to 0.7% of the proximal femora.

In the enchondroma group, six (66%) patients were female and three (33%) were male. Patients’ age ranged from 23 to 65 years (mean 48 years) (▼ Fig. 1). Eight (89%) arose in the intertrochanteric portion of the proximal femur (metaphyseal equivalent) with one in the head (epiphyseal equivalent). Five were eccentric and four were central in location. The maximum length of the lesions ranged from 0.6 to 2.5 cm (mean 1.3 cm) (▼ Fig. 2). Three cases underwent follow-up MRI scans 7 months, 51 months, and 5 years later for unrelated clinical reasons with none showing any change in size or morphology when comparing the scans (▼ Fig. 3). None of the cases showed any aggressive features (e.g., endosteal scalloping or cortical destruction) that might be construed as indicative of malignant transformation.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to determine the incidental prevalence on MRI of enchondroma in the proximal femur. At 0.7%, the prevalence is four times less common than most commonly quoted articles on the knee6,7 and three times less common than in the proximal humerus.7 It is, therefore, a relatively exceptional incidental finding particularly if one takes into account the significantly greater numbers of knee and shoulder MRI scans performed routinely in most units.

Typically, the enchondroma arises in the intertrochanteric portion of the proximal femur with a mean length of 1.3 cm (▼ Figs. 2 and 3). This study shows a female:male preponderance of 2:1 for enchondromas that is a similar finding to other relatively recent publications on CCTs of long bones.8–11 However, when taking into account the sex distribution in the current series (▼ Fig. 1), the true sex ratio in this study for incidental enchondroma is approximately even.

Fig. 1 Number of patients by age and sex in decades. The nine incidental enchondromas are shown as the black circles over the relevant age and sex categories.
The differential diagnosis of benign femoral neck lesions depends on patient’s age and site. Osteoid osteomas tend to occur in the young, typically involving the inferior cortex. Fibrocystic change in the anterolateral femoral neck can be associated with femoroacetabular impingement frequently identified in young adults, whereas solitary foci of fibrous dysplasia and bone islands may be identified at any age. It is beyond the scope of this article to describe their particular imaging features suffice to say that there is usually little confusion with CCTs in the proximal femur.

The distinction of enchondroma from the borderline malignancy arising in the appendicular skeleton, the atypical cartilaginous tumor formerly known as grade 1 or low-grade central chondrosarcoma, is problematic both on imaging and pathology. Recent studies have highlighted the increased identification of CCTs attributed to the ever-increasing use of MRI particularly in the proximal humerus and around the knee. Guidelines and imaging protocols for the management and follow-up have been proposed in an attempt to reduce costly and in many instances diagnostically low-yield follow-up imaging studies. Whether the enchondromas retrospectively identified in this study merited any follow-up is debatable as the three cases (33%) that did undergo a repeat MRI scan showed no change including one after 5 years (Fig. 3). Also, none showed any of the imaging features that might have been a cause of concern, that is, all were less than 5 cm in length with absence of endosteal scalloping and cortical remodeling/thickening (Fig. 2).

Limitations of this study include the retrospective design with lack of uniformity of all the scan parameters. Also, although the criteria set for the diagnosis of enchondroma are widely accepted, there is no definitive histological confirmation in this series. In addition, there is some patient selection bias in that the clinical indications for the scans were varying MSK complaints referred from primary and secondary care excluding orthopaedic oncology. Was one to select patients undergoing MRI of the pelvis including the proximal femora for non-MSK complaints (e.g., gyneco-

logical and prostate scans) then it might be anticipated that the prevalence of incidental enchondromas could be similar or even less than the 0.7% identified in this study.

Conclusion

This study establishes that the prevalence on MRI of incidental enchondromas arising in the proximal femur to be 0.7% which is three to four times less common than seen in the proximal humerus and around the knee. Radiologists should be aware of the prevalence of this entity when venturing a differential diagnosis for bone lesions at this anatomical site.
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