A Microbiological Study of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus baumannii* with Special Reference to Multidrug Resistance
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**Abstract**

**Introduction** The outbreak of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus baumannii* (*ACB*) is mainly reported to be a notorious pathogen at health-care settings. It is the major problem on the health-care system with high morbidity and mortality rates because of the broad range of antibiotic resistance and lack of understanding the mechanism of developing new antibiotic resistance rapidly. It emphasizes the importance of local surveillance in describing or understanding and predicting microbial resistance patterns so that there will be limited use of antibiotics by developing strategies to control the extensive use of antimicrobial chemotherapy in clinical environment, which is still considered as one of the factors in the emergence of multidrug resistance microorganisms.

**Objectives** The study aims to detect the occurrence rate of *ACB* infections from various clinical samples, identify the resistance levels to different groups of antimicrobial agents, and the occurrence rate of multidrug resistant (MDR) *ACB* clinical isolates from a tertiary hospital in Durgapur, West Bengal, India.

**Material and Methods** The study was performed in the Department of Microbiology of the IQ City Medical College and Hospital, Durgapur, West Bengal, India, for the 24 months duration, that is, from January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2019. Altogether 15,800 clinical samples consisting of endotracheal tube aspirates, sputum, pus, blood, catheter tips, urine, tissue, and other body fluids were studied. *ACB* from clinical samples were identified by its characteristic colonies (nonlactose fermenting, glistening, small mucoid colonies), Gram-staining pattern (Gram-negative coccobacillus), and standard biochemical reactions. It was further confirmed in the Department of Microbiology of the Healthworld Hospital, Durgapur, West Bengal, India, by Vitek2 compact system (bioMerieux, Inc., Durham, North Carolina, United States). Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed using automated broth microdilutions by Vitek2 compact system (bioMerieux, Inc.) and Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion test on Mueller-Hinton Agar (HiMedia).


© 2021. The Indian Association of Laboratory Physicians. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India
Introduction

*Acinetobacter calcoaceticus baumannii* (ACB) are mainly reported to be the most important nosocomial pathogens at the intensive care units (ICUs) and health care settings housing very ill patients. Recent studies have reported its colonization at body sites such as the oropharyngeal wall, skin, and intestinal tract. About 8.3 to 41% of ICU patients are reported with colonization of intestinal tract. Various geographical areas have been reported for the outbreak of ACB, mainly *Acinetobacter baumannii* in which India has also been the forefront of such studies. Pan drug resistant *A. baumannii* isolates have been reported from Asia and the Middle East.

The major alarm is the treatment of infections which are rapidly acquiring resistant to antibiotics. This includes extended spectrum β-lactamases to β-lactam and β-lactamase inhibitor, cephalosporins, and carbapenems. Furthermore, it has been linked to the loss or reduced expression of porins or overexpression of multidrug efflux pumps and mutations that change targets or cellular functions. Due to the emergence of carbapenem resistance in the strains of *A. baumannii*, largely through a clonal spread, the therapeutic options are decreasing.

*A. baumannii* comes out to be an important agent of nosocomial infection in ICU patients associated with ventilator-acquired pneumonia, urinary tract infection, and other infections. Moreover, such type of infections are becoming more problematic due to the emergence of the multidrug resistant (MDR) strain. In a recent surveillance study in Greece, 3% of *A. baumannii* strains derived from ICU patients were colistin resistant. The mortality rates varies from 7.8 to 75%. The MDR strain rarely occur outside of health care settings; however, community-acquired *A. baumannii* has been reported and progresses more rapidly with a higher mortality rate compared with hospital setting. Thus, it accentuates the importance of local surveillance in describing or understanding and predicting microbial resistance patterns so that there will be limit use of antibiotics by developing strategies to control on extensive use of antimicrobial chemotherapy in clinical environment which is still considered as one of the factors in the emergence of multidrug resistance microorganisms. The purpose of this study is to find out the occurrence rate of ACB infections from various clinical samples, identify the resistance levels to different antimicrobial agents and occurrence rate of MDR ACB clinical isolates from a tertiary hospital in Durgapur, West Bengal, India.

Materials and Methods

The study was performed in the Department of Microbiology of the IQ City Medical College and Hospital, Durgapur, West Bengal, India, for the 24 months duration, that is, from January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2019. A total of 289 nonrepetitive isolates from 15,800 clinical samples consisting of endotracheal tube aspirates, sputum, pus, blood, catheter tips, urine, tissue, and other body fluids were involved in the study. ACB from clinical samples were identified by its characteristic colonies (nonlactose fermenting, glistening, small mucoid colonies), Gram-staining pattern (Gram-negative coccobacillus), and standard biochemical reactions. It was further confirmed in the Department of Microbiology of the Health World Hospital, Durgapur, West Bengal, India, by Vitek2 compact system (bioMerieux, Inc., Durham, North Carolina, United States). Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed using automated broth microdilutions by Vitek2 compact system (bioMerieux, Inc.) and antibiotic disc diffusion test (Kirby–Bauer) according to the Central Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) interpretive criteria.

For disc diffusion test, the antibiotic drugs with their concentration were piperacillin (100 μg), piperacillin-tazobactam (100/10 μg), ticarcillin-clavulanate (75/10 μg), cefepime (30 μg), ceftazidime (30 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), tobramycin (10 μg), amikacin (30 μg), doxycycline (30 μg), tetracycline (30 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), levofloxacin (5 μg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole or co-trimoxazole (1.25/23.75 μg), meropenem (10 μg), imipenem (10 μg), and doripenem (10 μg). These antibiotic discs were obtained commercially from HiMedia and BioRad distributors. Disk diffusion in colistin was not performed as broth microdilution is the only approved minimum inhibitory concentration test.

The disc diffusion test was performed on Mueller–Hinton Agar (HiMedia) as per the Kirby–Bauer method. Each zone size is interpreted according to the CLSI guideline and grouped as “susceptible (S), intermediate (I), and resistance (R).”

The resistance levels to different groups of antimicrobial agents were determined and the isolates were categorized as MDR, that is, resistance to one or more agents in at least three antimicrobial categories.

Results

In our study, 289 nonrepetitive ACB were isolated, which contributes 1.83% out of all the different 15,800 clinical samples. ACB was most isolated from ICU, that is, 145 (50.17%); followed by medicine ward, that is, 97 (33.56%); surgical ward, that is, 32 (11.07%), pediatric ward 13 (4.50%); cardiology 2 (0.70%) as shown in Table 1. A total of 115 (39.79%) of the ACB isolates were from endotracheal tubes which were followed by sputum 63 (21.80%), pus 62 (21.45%), blood 34 (11.77%), fluid 7 (2.42%), urine 4 (1.38%), other specimens like catheterized tips or tissue 3 (1%), and throat swab 1 (0.35%) as shown in Table 2.

| Table 1: Distribution of ACB isolates from various clinical samples. |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Clinical Sample         | Isolated ACB (%) |
| Endotracheal tubes      | 63 (21.80%)      |
| Sputum                  | 62 (21.45%)      |
| Blood                   | 34 (11.77%)      |
| Fluid                   | 7 (2.42%)        |
| Urine                   | 4 (1.38%)        |
| Other Specimens         | 3 (1%)           |
| Throat Swab             | 1 (0.35%)        |

<p>| Table 2: Resistance levels to different groups of antimicrobial agents as per Kirby–Bauer method. |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial Agent</th>
<th>Susceptible (S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin (100 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin-tazobactam</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticarcillin-clavulanate</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime (30 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime (30 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin (10 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobramycin (10 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin (30 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline (30 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline (30 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin (5 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin (5 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole or co-trimoxazole</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem (10 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem (10 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doripenem (10 μg)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

ACB was mostly isolated from the intensive care unit department and was found to be the most MDR type in the tertiary care hospital by this study.

Results

Nonrepetitive 289 ACB were isolated from various clinical samples. A total of 277 (96%) isolates of ACB were MDR strains.
Among all the ACB isolates, 88% were resistant to amikacin, 2% to tigecycline, and 96% to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (co-trimoxazole). The other antibiotics like piperacillin-tazobactam, ticarcillin-clavulanate, ceftazidime, gentamicin, levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, imipenem, and doripenem were 100% resistant as shown in Table 3. All the isolates were sensitive to colistin and doxycycline antibiotics. Note that 277 (96%) isolates of ACB were MDR strains.

The data generated in the antibiotic susceptibility test given by the Kirby–Bauer disc diffusion test and automated microbroth dilution method as shown in Table 3 was not so much variable, but they are qualitatively comparable to each other. The susceptibility pattern of piperacillin-tazobactam, ticarcillin-clavulanate, ceftazidime, meropenem, imipenem, and doripenem showed similar pattern both in these two methods.

In the present study, a discordant result among the seven studied antibiotics was observed. The number of susceptible (S) isolates toward amikacin was more in the automated broth microdilution method, that is, 5% susceptible in disk diffusion and 12% susceptible in the automated broth microdilution method. However, the numbers of susceptible isolates were found to be decreased in the automated broth microdilution method, that is, ceftazidime, gentamicin, and levofloxacin showed 1, 3, and 4% higher susceptible in disk diffusion, respectively, as compared with the automated broth microdilution method. The numbers of resistance (R) isolates were mostly found in the automated broth microdilution method whereas there was no finding of intermediate (I) isolates. Both the data of antibiotic susceptibility has its associated advantages and disadvantages. The disc diffusion test is relatively easy to setup and inexpensive, but it does not provide quantitative data.

Table 4 shows the percentage of resistant isolates particularly amikacin, co-trimoxazole, and tigecycline in the different units of the hospital (N = 289).
different units of the hospital in which the ICU has got the highest resistant isolates, that is, 145 out of which 4.13% were resistant to tigecycline.

- Table 5 shows the distribution of resistant ACB isolates in the various samples in which we found out that the resistant to amikacin were highly isolated from endotracheal specimen, that is, 113 (98.26%), followed by 52 (83.87%) isolates from pus, 49 (77.77%) from sputum, and 25 (73.52%) from blood specimen. Furthermore, the resistant to co-trimoxazole were highly isolated from pus and sputum, that is, 57 (91.93%) and 56 (88.88%), respectively. The tigecycline resistant isolates were isolated from blood specimen and sputum, that is, 4 (11.76%) and 2 (3.17%), respectively.

**Discussion**

Our study reveals that ACB are commonly isolated from ICU (50.17%). In the study done by Talukdar et al., the highest isolates (51.41%) were from ICU, followed by the medicine ward (13%) and surgical ward (11%); pointing toward the fact...
that *ACB* is a predominantly ICU bug. Our result substantiates the point that various risk factors are linked to ACB infection in ICU like chances of colonization among the sick and immune compromised patients. Those patients having multiple wounds, indwelling devices, and high antibiotic selective pressures are inclined for cross-transmission.

In our study, 277 (96%) isolates of *ACB* were reported as MDR strains with 100% resistance to most of the applied antibiotic except colistin and doxycycline. Banerjee et al showed reports of 88% MDR isolates with 100% resistance to most of the applied antibiotics except colistin and tigecycline. The promising available treatments for MDR strains are tigecycline or colistin. Unfortunately, our findings reveal 2% resistance among all isolates (289 in numbers) to tigecycline. In a recent surveillance study from Germany, tigecycline resistance among 215 *A. baumannii* was 6%. MDR ACB has associated with large morbidity and mortality after carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. With high antibiotic selective pressures, the MDR organisms acquire various antibiotic resistance mechanisms as a strategy for survival. In our present study, out of 145 isolates in the ICU ward, we found out 6 isolates, that is, 4.13%, were resistant to tigecycline in the ICU as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, we assumed that the high use of tigecycline in managing the treatment of ACB infection in ICU nowadays provide the emergence of tigecycline resistant strain in such environment although the relative contribution of these mechanisms are not yet known. Moreover, we highlighted firm application of antibiotic management program with strict hospital infection control measures to prevent nosocomial infection of MDR mostly in ICU. This study had limitations in aspect of number of isolates, short study period, and a single-center study. Therefore, a generalized finding with multicenter settings and long surveillance data has to be studied more especially molecular-based study.

**Conclusion**

MDR is being increasingly reported in ACB and posing a threat to hospitalized patients due to the limitation of therapeutic options. This may be caused due to highly antibiotic selective pressure that we can easily see in the ICU environment. This is a significant burden on the health care system in excess costs due to an extensive treatment of symptoms and lack of understanding in how they persist.
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