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Abstract

Context  Patients with seminoma present with advanced disease. End-of-treatment (EOT) positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) is done to assess response and direct management of post-chemotherapy residual masses.

Purpose  This article assesses the utility of EOT PET-CT in the management of post-chemotherapy residual lymph nodal masses seminoma.

Materials and Methods  We analyzed all patients with seminoma who underwent an EOT PET-CT from January 2015 to January 2020 at our center and calculated the positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of EOT PET-CT in the entire cohort of patients and among subgroups.

Results  A total of 34 male patients underwent EOT PET-CT. Fourteen (41.2%) were stratified as good risk and 20 (58.8%) as intermediate risk. The median follow-up was 23 months (interquartile range: 9.75–53 months). In 23 patients there were residual masses of size more than 3 cm at the EOT PET scan. EOT PET was positive as per the SEMPET criteria in 18 (78%) out of 23 patients. None underwent retroperitoneal lymph node dissection. All four who underwent image-guided biopsy, showed only necrosis on pathology. One patient with positive mediastinal node (standardized uptake value 13.6) had granulomatous inflammation. There was no relapse or progression during this period of follow-up. The NPV for EOT PET-CT for the entire cohort, > 3 cm, and > 6 weeks cutoff were 100%, respectively. The PPV for EOT PET-CT for the entire cohort, > 3 cm residual mass, and > 6 weeks cutoff were 8.7, 11.11, and 6.67%, respectively.
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Introduction

Seminoma accounts for 50% of all testicular and 30% of mediastinal germ cell tumors (GCTs). Most seminomatous testicular GCTs present early and only 20 to 30% develop metastatic disease involving the lymph nodes; with chemotherapy alone resulting in excellent cure rates. Residual nodal masses are seen in 60 to 80% of patients following the standard three to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy. The therapeutic approaches adopted currently for managing post-chemotherapy residual masses include surveillance, radiotherapy (RT), or retroperitoneal lymph node dissection (RPLND).

Among patients with residual masses >3 cm, there is controversy on the optimal treatment approach. While some centers recommended surveillance for all post-chemotherapy nodal masses irrespective of size, others recommended RPLND for all masses >3 cm in size. This is based on the rationale that 20 to 30% of these masses contain viable residual tumor and 10% of all patients with advanced seminoma experience relapse. Excision of these masses during RPLND is a technical surgical challenge due to desmoplastic reaction and fibrosis, which is often associated with incomplete resections in addition to morbidity and worsening quality of life. Also, complete surgical resection is feasible in only 60 to 74% of patients after chemotherapy. Postoperative complications are also higher among patients undergoing post-chemotherapy RPLND.
The SEMPET trial which was based on the hypothesis that fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) avidity may help differentiate viable tumor from necrosis, demonstrated that among patients with postchemo masses >3 cm, end-of-treatment (EOT) PET-computed tomography (CT) showed a negative predictive value (NPV) of 96% and a positive predictive value (PPV) of 100%. Following this, PET-CT-guided decision making became standard for patients with residual masses >3 cm in size; and non-FDG avid residual masses >3 cm in size were kept on follow-up.

In comparison to Western data, higher proportion of Indian patients present with bulky retroperitoneal nodal masses (65%) and “intermediate risk” disease of 35 to 66% (with nonregional nodal or visceral metastases). A PPV of 72% and NPV of 90% for EOT PET-CT among 47 patients with seminomatous GCTs has been shown in Indian patients.

We studied the role of EOT FDG PET-CT among our patients with seminomatous GCTs of the testis and mediastinum.

Materials and Methods

Patient Selection
This analysis was conducted at a tertiary level teaching hospital in South India. We studied consecutive adult patients (age >16 years) with seminomatous GCTs diagnosed and treated in our center from January 2015 to January 2020 who underwent an EOT PET-CT following first-line chemotherapy. We excluded patients with primary tumors containing nonseminomatous elements on histopathology, those with elevated serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) at any time point, no residual disease on PET-CT, and patients partially treated elsewhere.

Baseline Characteristics
The following information at diagnosis was collected: demographics, tumor markers, that is, serum AFP, β-human chorionic gonadotropin, and lactate dehydrogenase, and radiological information. EOT PET-CT was done after completion of chemotherapy. The time duration from the date of the last chemotherapy to the date of EOT PET-CT was noted. Similar to prior published data, we used a cutoff of 6 weeks from the last chemotherapy cycle to study the effect of chemotherapy on the PET-CT imaging findings before and after chemotherapy.

Follow-Up
Patient follow-up was collected from the medical records and updated by telephonic interview. The last date of outpatient visit was taken as date of last follow-up among patients who were not contactable by telephone.

Treatment Details
The details of initial chemotherapy regimens and radiological response (pre- and post-chemotherapy tumor markers and imaging) were collected. Patients were grouped into two based on the size of the residual mass (>3 cm and ≥3 cm) and the time interval between the last cycle of chemotherapy and date of PET-CT (<6 weeks and ≥6 weeks).

EOT FDG PET-CT: Protocol and Interpretation
PET-CT imaging was performed as per our standard clinical PET-CT protocol; the patients were injected intravenously with 18-F FDG; 3.7 MBq/kg body weight to a maximum dose of 370 MBq after a 4- to 6-hour fasting period while ensuring a blood glucose level less than 150 mg/dL. Imaging was performed with an integrated PET-CT system (Siemens Biograph True Point 6). After 45 to 60 minutes of uptake period at rest, images were acquired at 2 minutes per bed position. The PET scan was acquired together with the CT scan. CT scan was used for attenuation correction and anatomical localization.

Transaxial, coronal, and sagittal PET images were reviewed concurrently with fused PET-contrast CT images and standardized uptake values (SUVs) were calculated wherever applicable. SUV reported were maximum values.
within each region of interest, expressed in g/mL. A cutoff of above 2.5 was considered as significant. The visual evaluation was performed in direct comparison to automatically coregistered slices of PET-CT images. All initially involved sites were checked for tumor residuals with increased FDG-uptake and the region with the highest residual FDG-uptake was identified. The interpretation of the EOT PET-CT was done as per the SEMPET trial protocol with visual interpretation as positive or negative.\textsuperscript{11,16} SUV-based interpretation was not performed due to lack of supporting data.

The post-chemotherapy treatment course was noted: surveillance, RPLND, image-guided biopsy, RT, or salvage chemotherapy. Positive PET scans were rated true positive (TP) if they fulfilled either of the following criteria: (1) offered RT based on PET positivity, (2) viable tumor found histologically on image-guided biopsy or RPLND, and (3) if there was clinical or radiological progression on CT during follow-up. All other positive PET scans were rated false positive (FP). Similarly, negative PET scans were rated as true negative if there was necrosis or fibrosis in the RPLND specimen and/or no clinical or radiological signs of disease progression during the follow-up period. Negative PET scans with viable tumor in the resected residual lesion and/or clinical or radiological progression were rated false negative.\textsuperscript{15,16}

**Survival Outcomes**
Relapse-free survival was calculated from the day of the PET-CT study to either the date of the last follow-up visit or to the date of relapse. Overall survival was calculated as the time from the date of diagnosis till the date of late follow-up or death.

**Statistical Analysis**
Data analysis was performed using SPSS Version 23.

**Results**

Table 1 summarizes the patients included in the study. From January 2015 to 2020, 43 patients with GCTs underwent EOT PET-CT. Nine patients were excluded from the analysis; those who underwent PET-CT more than 3 months following the last chemotherapy (\(n = 3\)) and those with no residual lesion on the PET-CT (\(n = 6\)). Thus, 34 patients with...
seminomatos GCTs were evaluated with median follow-up duration of 23 months (interquartile range: 9.75–53 months)

**Patient Characteristics**

The baseline characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. All patients were male; with majority (73.5%) having testicular primaries. The overall median size of the retroperitoneal nodal mass for gonadal primary/mediastinal mass for primary mediastinal GCT (at diagnosis) was 11.4 cm (range, 1.6–25 cm). Among the 25 patients with testicular GCT, 17 (68%) had stage III disease and 8 (32%) had stage II disease. Twenty (58.8%) belonged to the International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group “intermediate risk” group and rest (41.2%, n = 14) to “good risk.”

The median time interval from the last chemotherapy to EOT PET-CT was 6 weeks (3–12 weeks). Sixteen (47.1%) patients underwent an EOT PET-CT < 6 weeks from the last chemotherapy (range: 3–5 weeks) and the rest underwent EOT PET-CT ≥ 6 weeks from the last chemotherapy (range: 6–12 weeks). The median size of the residual mass (retroperitoneal nodal/mediastinal mass) post-chemotherapy was 3.9 cm (range: 1.1–11.6 cm).

**Chemotherapy Details**

Twenty-nine patients (85.3%) received three cycles of bleomycin, etoposide, and cisplatin, four (11.8%) received four cycles etoposide and cisplatin, and one (2.9%) received carboplatin-based chemotherapy for four cycles.

**Patient Course after PET-CT**

Twenty-three patients (67.6%) had a positive EOT PET-CT based on the SEMPET visual criteria. Among these, two received consolidation RT and hence were termed as TP. Both had prior scrotal violation with residual RPLN 3.6 cm (SUV 3.6) and 5.3 cm (SUV 3.6), respectively. Twenty-one patients were followed up (clinical and radiological), with no evidence of relapse and were termed as FP. None received salvage chemotherapy or underwent RPLND.

Among the four who underwent image-guided biopsy, no viable tumor was detected. One patient with a positive EOT PET (new-onset FDG avid mediastinal lymph node; SUV 16), underwent endobronchial ultrasound fine-needle aspiration cytology from mediastinal lymph node that showed granulomatous inflammation suggestive of tuberculosis. He was initiated on antituberculous therapy and repeat PET-CT after 4 months showed regression of the node.

**Efficacy of EOT PET-CT**

Among the entire cohort, sensitivity, specificity, NPV, and PPV of EOT PET-CT were 100, 34.38, 100, and 8.7%, respectively. In the 23 patients who had residual masses ≥ 3 cm on imaging, the sensitivity, specificity, NPV, and PPV were 100, 23.8, 100, and 11.1%, respectively (Table 2). Eighteen patients underwent an EOT PET-CT on or after the 6-week cutoff; fourteen (77.78%) had a positive EOT PET-CT. Among 16 patients who underwent EOT PET before the 6-week cutoff, 9 (56%) had a positive EOT PET-CT (Table 3). When a SUV cutoff of ≥ 4 was applied, the EOT PET-CT was interpreted as positive in only 6 out of 34 patients (17.6%).

**Clinical Course after EOT PET-CT**

Among 23 patients with positive EOT PET-CT, 10 underwent subsequent PET-CT at various intervals. This showed either

---

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics (n = 34)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median age in years (range)</strong></td>
<td>35 (16–54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Male</td>
<td>34 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site of primary</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gonadal</td>
<td>25 (73.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Retroperitoneal</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mediastinal</td>
<td>9 (26.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mediastinal size of retroperitoneal nodal/mediastinal mass at baseline in cm (range)</strong></td>
<td>11.4 (1.6–25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median baseline AFP (IU/mL)</strong></td>
<td>1.7 (0.6–2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median baseline HCG (m-IU/mL)</strong></td>
<td>26.96 (0.5–859)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median baseline LDH (U/L)</strong></td>
<td>1,378 (411.5–15,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage (gonadal primary only; N = 25)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• I</td>
<td>8 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• II</td>
<td>17 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IGCCCG risk stratification at baseline</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Good</td>
<td>14 (41.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Intermediate</td>
<td>20 (58.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Line of treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• First line</td>
<td>35 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemotherapy regimen</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BEP X3</td>
<td>29 (85.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EP X4</td>
<td>4 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Carboplatin based</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median residual lesion size on EOT PET-CT (in cm)</strong></td>
<td>3.9 (1.1–11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median EOT LDH (U/mL)</strong></td>
<td>425 (284–927)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median time interval between date of last chemotherapy and EOT PET-CT</strong></td>
<td>6 (3–12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Adequacy of EOT PET-CT timing                      |            |
| < 6 wk                                             | 16 (47.1)  |
| ≥ 6 wk                                             | 18 (52.9)  |
| **Median follow-up**                              | 23 mo      |
| **Median PFS**                                    | 19 mo      |

Abbreviations: AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; BEP, bleomycin, etoposide, and cisplatin; CT, computed tomography; EOT, end-of-treatment; EP, etoposide and cisplatin; HCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; IGCCCG, International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; PET, positron emission tomography; PFS, progression-free survival.
Table 2: Overall PET-CT (discrimination of residual tumor size, < or ≥ 3 cm) results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value; TN, true negative; TP, true positive.

Table 3: Overall EOT-PET discrimination of residual tumor size based on timing of scan (< 6 weeks and ≥ 6 weeks) results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>TN</th>
<th>FN</th>
<th>TP</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: EOT, end-of-treatment; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value; TN, true negative; TP, true positive.

Table 4: List of studies evaluating role of EOT PET-CT in seminoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>First line/ Salvage</th>
<th>&gt; 3 cm</th>
<th>Median follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>Definition of positive PET CT</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>PPV &gt; 3 cm (%)</th>
<th>NPV &gt; 3 cm (%)</th>
<th>Median follow-up (mo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De Santis</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>43/13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42 d</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachner</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>127725/2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>56 d</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathomas</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90/0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6.9 wk</td>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Visual interpretation</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our study</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34/0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42 d</td>
<td>Visual interpretation</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; EOT, end-of-treatment; NPV, negative predictive value; PET, positron emission tomography; PPV, positive predictive value.

*All patients had EOT PET positive residual tumors > 3 cm.

A prior real-world analysis among 90 patients with EOT PET positive post-chemo residual masses ≥ 3 cm failed to replicate these values, with PPVs ranging from 22 to 42%; thus, questioning the role of EOT PET-guided treatment among these patients. The timing of PET-CT, that is, < 6 versus > 6 weeks after the last dose of chemotherapy, played a role; with the PPV varying from 29 to 19%.

In our series, we were able to demonstrate a high NPV of 100% with EOT PET-CT among patients with > 3 cm post-chemotherapy masses. But the PPV remained low akin to other real-world experiences that have been described. Among 23 patients with positive EOT PET-CTs based on the SEMPET trial interpretation, only 2 were termed as TP, and that too, only because they received consolidation RT. This indicates the need for a more objective interpretation of EOT PET scans; either using an SUV cutoff or scoring systems similar to those being used in Hodgkin's lymphoma.

The recommended timing of the EOT PET-CT varies across guidelines and there is an ambiguity associated with the time points involved. The SEMPET trial protocol allowed EOT PET-CT to be done 4 to 12 weeks after chemotherapy. In contrast to earlier studies, we did not detect any reduction in the rates of FP scans based on the cutoff of ≥ 6 weeks among our patients. In low- and middle-income country settings like ours, the higher incidence of chronic infections like tuberculosis may act as confounders and contribute to a false positivity as demonstrated in one of our patients with a FP EOT PET due to tuberculosis involving a mediastinal lymph node. Our median follow-up was 23 months; however, prior data suggest that most relapses occur within a maximum of 129 days after initial PET or resection or biopsy.

This retrospective analysis confirms the therapeutic implication of high NPV of EOT PET-CT among patients with seminomatous GCTs. Our experience of a low PPV in contrast with the SEMPET trial is consistent with other real-world reports. Factors playing a role in this include: majority of patients undergoing EOT PET-CT earlier than the cutoff of 6 weeks and the lack of an objective definition of a “positive PET-CT.” The major limitations of our study were its retrospective nature, short median follow-up (late relapses can occur with seminomas), inclusion of patients with residual increase in size of the mass or decrease in SUV in six of these patients. CT imaging on follow-up showed decrease in size of the residual lesion in 11 patients and 2 patients did not undergo subsequent CT/PET-CT.

Discussion

We evaluated the utility of EOT PET-CT in the management of post-chemotherapy residual masses, among patients with seminomatous GCTs. Though the SEMPET trial was the first to demonstrate a PPV of 100% for masses greater than 3 cm, subsequent real-world experiences were unable to replicate this finding; with PPVs ranging from 23 to 72% (Table 4). In contrast to earlier studies, we did not detect any reduction in the rates of FP scans based on the cutoff of ≥ 6 weeks among our patients. In low- and middle-income country settings like ours, the higher incidence of chronic infections like tuberculosis may act as confounders and contribute to a false positivity as demonstrated in one of our patients with a FP EOT PET due to tuberculosis involving a mediastinal lymph node. Our median follow-up was 23 months; however, prior data suggest that most relapses occur within a maximum of 129 days after initial PET or resection or biopsy.

This retrospective analysis confirms the therapeutic implication of high NPV of EOT PET-CT among patients with seminomatous GCTs. Our experience of a low PPV in contrast with the SEMPET trial is consistent with other real-world reports. Factors playing a role in this include: majority of patients undergoing EOT PET-CT earlier than the cutoff of 6 weeks and the lack of an objective definition of a “positive PET-CT.” The major limitations of our study were its retrospective nature, short median follow-up (late relapses can occur with seminomas), inclusion of patients with residual increase in size of the mass or decrease in SUV in six of these patients. CT imaging on follow-up showed decrease in size of the residual lesion in 11 patients and 2 patients did not undergo subsequent CT/PET-CT.
masses < 3 cm in size, and the higher proportion of patients undergoing scanning before the 6-week cutoff.

With the emerging data regarding the role of estimation of serum micro-ribonucleic acid (mi-RNA) 371 in predicting viable tumor among patients with GCTs and residual post-chemotherapy masses, the combination of an EOT PET-CT together with mi-RNA 371 estimation, is likely to outperform either test as an individual entity.²⁰

**Conclusion**

Interpretation of EOT PET-CT in residual post-chemotherapy masses using the visual interpretation criteria may result in overestimation of residual viable tumor and overtreatment. A negative EOT PET-CT in seminoma with post-chemotherapy masses > 3 cm helps avoid unnecessary RPLND or surgical excision of a residual mediastinal mass. Close follow-up of positive EOT PET-CT among patients with seminoma post-chemo residual masses ≥ 3 cm is a safe and feasible option.
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