Distal Femoral Pin Tracker Placement Prevents Pin Tract-induced Fracture in Robotic-Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty
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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of the placement of pin trackers in the medial sagittal plane of the distal femur in robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty (TKA) over a minimum follow-up period of 3 months. From August 2020 to October 2020, a consecutive series of 81 TKAs were performed in 59 patients using the Triathlon posterior-stabilized total knee prosthesis with a robotic-assisted system (Mako) at our hospital. Patient charts were reviewed for complications associated with the pin sites, including fracture, infection, thigh pain, and the need for reoperation. No patients had any minor or major complications associated with distal femoral pins. This technique, which used pin trackers in the medial sagittal plane of the distal femur, could be a useful option for surgeons performing robotic-assisted TKA. This is a Level IV study.
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Materials and Methods

The design and protocol of this retrospective study were approved by the Institutional Review Board of our hospital, which waived the requirement for informed consent.

From August 2020 to October 2020, a consecutive series of 81 TKAs was performed in 59 patients using the Triathlon (Stryker Orthopaedics, Mahwah, NJ, USA) posterior-stabilized total knee prosthesis with a robotic-assisted system (Mako) at our hospital. All primary robotic-assisted TKAs performed during the study period were included. Patient charts were reviewed for complications associated with the pin sites, including fracture, infection, thigh pain, and the need for reoperation.

No patients had any minor or major complications associated with distal femoral pins. This technique, which used pin trackers in the medial sagittal plane of the distal femur, could be a useful option for surgeons performing robotic-assisted TKA. This is a Level IV study.
reviewed to identify any complications associated with the pin sites, including fracture, infection, thigh pain, and the need for reoperation. The mean age at the time of TKA was 69.9 years (range, 57–83 years), and the study population included eight males and 51 females. The primary diagnosis before initial TKA was osteoarthritis in all patients. The mean follow-up period was 3.5 months (range, 3–4 months).

All surgical procedures were performed by a single surgeon using the standard medial parapatellar approach, with sacrifice of the anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments in all patients. No patellas were replaced; only osteophytes were excised in all patients. All implants were inserted using cement. Patients were mobilized immediately with weight-bearing as tolerated, and active exercises began under the supervision of a physiotherapist. The patients underwent clinical and radiographic follow-up examinations at 2 weeks, 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, 9 months, 12 months, and 1 year postoperatively thereafter. During these follow-up evaluations, any patients who did not return for their scheduled visits were contacted by telephone. Two nurses and one private doctor identified and visited nonresponders.

**Surgical Technique**

For the tibial pins, two stab incisions were made over the medial tibial diaphysis. After fixing the tibial pins bicortically in the tibial diaphysis, the patient’s knee joint was positioned at approximately 130 degrees flexion (Fig. 1A). The distal and medial femoral area were exposed using the retractor tools (Fig. 1B). The first femoral pin was placed at a level approximately 1.5 cm superior and 1.5 cm posterior to the most prominent point of the medial epicondyle through the pin guide (Fig. 2). The first pin was placed from medial to lateral approximately 15 degrees in the proximal direction (Fig. 3A) in order not to interfere with the box cutting chisel on the prepared distal femur during surgery (Fig. 3B). The second pin entered the femoral distal shaft centrally (Fig. 2). Both pins were inserted medial to the vastus medialis. Finally, the pins were centrally located on the sagittal femoral shaft and at 15-degree proximal angulation, sufficient to avoid making femoral notch cut in an antero-posterior position. All pins were placed unicortically with a self-drilling pin on power, and the pins were advanced until they were fully seated in the bone. Tracking arrays were then oriented medially (Fig. 4). Following completion of surgery, the pins and tracking arrays were removed.

**Results**

The study included 59 patients who underwent 81 total TKAs. No periprosthetic fractures at a pin tracker site were reported. In addition, no patients reported minor or major complications associated with the distal femoral pins.

**Discussion**

An increase in the incidence of pin site fractures was noted starting in 2006. These fracture reports implicate bicortical, unicortical, or transcortical placement of pins as the cause of fractures in the femoral shaft. Temporary femoral pins must be placed either within the operative field of the distal femur or percutaneously using separate stab incisions into the femoral shaft. However, little information is available concerning the placement of pin trackers in the distal femur during robotic-assisted TKA (Table 1). Our technique uses unicortical placement of pin trackers in the medial sagittal plane of the distal femur. Since adopting this approach, we...
have not experienced any fractures, neurovascular injuries, or pin site infections.

Complications related to these pin sites have been documented in adult patients undergoing TKA with computer-assisted navigation pins.\textsuperscript{6,7} The potential complications associated with pin trackers include pin site infection, neurovascular injury, soft-tissue morbidity, and fracture.\textsuperscript{3} Among these complications, fracture is serious, because it requires readmission to the hospital and additional surgical management, which both have significant associated costs and morbidity.\textsuperscript{6} Beldame et al\textsuperscript{6} reported the incidence of fractures at femoral pin tracker sites to be 1.4\% in a series of 385 TKAs, while Wysocki et al\textsuperscript{7} identified a rate of approximately 1\% in 210 TKAs. Therefore, patients should be informed preoperatively about this complication because it is not rare.

Distal femoral tracker pin placement has several advantages. First and foremost, because the surgeon directly fixes the tracker pins to the distal femoral bone in the medial to a lateral direction (\textsuperscript{Fig. 2}), this procedure makes it possible to avoid soft-tissue and neurovascular injuries and therefore to correctly perform fixation without iatrogenic issues. Second, we expect the fracture incidence noted at femoral pin tracker sites to decrease considerably with the adoption of our technique. The femur mainly undergoes mechanical stress during torsion and flexion, and this type of mechanical stress makes it more sensitive to cortical bone loss of the femoral shaft than bone loss in the distal femoral bone.\textsuperscript{6,10} Third, because we only register the front of the distal femur and the femoral cartilage surface during the initial virtual model build up step, femoral pin placement in the medial surface does not interfere with femoral checkpoints. Finally, as the femoral pins are located in the medial area of the distal femur, they can act as medial retractors during robotic-assisted TKA. However, this technique may require a relatively larger incision for patients with stiff soft tissue or obesity.

This study had several inevitable limitations. First, the study was retrospectively designed and only included a small number of patients. We also did not have a control group to compare participants who did not undergo distal femoral tracker pin placement. However, the study could not be prospectively randomized because fractures associated with femoral pin tracker sites are not rare.\textsuperscript{6,7} The follow-up period was also short. While a short follow-up period might not be enough to confirm long-term complications or guarantee the prevention of fractures associated with pin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s) (year)</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Femoral fracture location</th>
<th>Time from TKA to fracture (weeks)</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ossendorf et al\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 femoral shaft (stress fracture)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Case report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung et al\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 femoral shaft</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Case report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonutti et al\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 femoral shaft</td>
<td>9 12</td>
<td>Case report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wysocki et al\textsuperscript{7}</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 femoral shaft</td>
<td>10 9</td>
<td>Case report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beldame et al\textsuperscript{6}</td>
<td>5 (385 TKAs)</td>
<td>5 femoral shaft</td>
<td>Average 12.6</td>
<td>Case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue et al\textsuperscript{8}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 femoral shaft</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Case report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>0 (81 TKAs)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Retrospective observational study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: TKA, total knee arthroplasty.
tracker sites during long-term follow-up, most of these reported complications have historically occurred within or around the first 3 postoperative months.3–7,11

Despite these limitations, this study demonstrated that our technique of pin placement in the distal femur in robotic-assisted TKA is safe and reliable. Therefore, this technique could be a useful option for surgeons who perform TKA using a robotic-assisted system.
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