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Abstract

Introduction  Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal tumors of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract that can arise in any parts of the GI tract. The clinical behavior and prognosis of GISTs remain unpredictable. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the clinicopathological features and prognostic factors of GISTs.

Methods  The medical files of 93 patients with nonmetastatic GIST presented to our hospital were reviewed. The clinical and pathological parameters, treatment, and follow-up data were collected and correlated to survival outcome using univariate and multivariate analyses.

Results  The median age of patients was 48.9 years with a slight male predominance. Abdominal pain (39.8\%) was the commonly presenting symptom. About 60\% of GISTs originated from the stomach and 22\% from the small intestine. Tumors stained positive for CD117 in 95.7\%. The median diameter of the tumors was 7 cm. Mitotic counts were < 5/50 high power field in 55.9\% of tumors. About 44\% of patients had high risk tumors. All patients underwent surgery and about 60.2\% of patients received adjuvant imatinib mesylate.

The 5-year disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) were 74.5 and 80\%, respectively. Margin status, tumor site, tumor size, mitotic counts, and risk score were significantly associated with DFS and OS in both univariate and multivariate analyses.

Conclusion  Surgery is the mainstay treatment for nonmetastatic GISTs. Tumor size, tumor location, margin status, mitotic count, and risk score were predictive factors for DFS and OS of GISTs.

Introduction

The incidence of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) has increased significantly over the last decades especially after the advances in immunohistochemical staining and molecular characterization, comprising the most common mesenchymal tumors of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract.\textsuperscript{1,2} It has been suggested that GISTs arise from the interstitial cells of Cajal or their precursors due to mutations of the c-KIT and less commonly the PDGFRA (platelet-derived growth factor receptor-\(\alpha\)) oncogenes.\textsuperscript{3} Stomach (70\%) and small intestine (20–30\%) are the most common sites of origin of GISTs but they can arise in any parts of the GI tract.\textsuperscript{1} The clinical presentation of GISTs depends on the size and location of the tumor and ranges from asymptomatic small tumor diagnosed incidentally to sizable tumor presenting with GI bleeding, pain, obstruction, or localized mass.\textsuperscript{4}

Surgical resection with free safety margins and an intact tumor capsule remains the standard treatment approach for
primary localized GIST. Unfortunately, the outcome of surgery alone has been insufficient, with up to 50% of patients developing tumor recurrence within 5 years and eventually dying of disease. The most frequent sites of initial tumor recurrence are the peritoneal surface and the liver.5,6

The clinical behavior and prognosis of GISTs vary widely in reported studies. Some tumors show a benign, indolent course, but others follow an aggressive malignant behavior with frequent recurrence and distant metastasis.7 GISTs have attracted attention because of their sensitivity to tyrosine kinase inhibitors and imatinib mesylate, an oral form of tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is currently recommended in the adjuvant setting when there is a significant risk of recurrence.8 There have been a limited number of publications addressing the clinical features and prognostic factors of GISTs.9-11 The purpose of this retrospective study was to evaluate the clinical and pathological features of GISTs and to explore the prognostic factors affecting treatment outcome in patients presented to our tertiary referral hospital.

Methods

The medical files of all patients with pathologically proven GIST presented to Clinical Oncology Department, Alexandria Main University Hospital during the period from January 2009 to January 2015, were reviewed. Patients with distant metastasis at initial presentation were excluded. Data regarding the demographic features, clinical presentation, investigations, surgery, adjuvant therapy and follow-up data, pattern of recurrence, metastases, and survival was collected. Disease-free survival (DFS) was defined as the time from diagnosis to local or distant recurrence whichever occurred earlier. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from date of diagnosis to date of death. Patients without an event were censored at date of last follow-up.

Tumor size was defined as the largest tumor diameter in any dimension and was stratified as < 5 cm, 5 to 10 cm, or >10 cm. Margin status was considered as negative (R0) if the entire gross tumor was removed and margins were microscopically tumor-free, while surgery with microscopic infiltrated margin by tumor was considered as R1 and if gross tumor was left was regarded as R2. The risk of aggressive behavior of GISTs was scored according to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) consensus statement of 200112 as follows: (a) very low risk: a tumor size < 2 cm and mitotic count < 5/50 high-power fields (HPF); (b) low risk: a tumor between 2 and 5 cm and a mitotic count < 5/50 HPF; (c) intermediate risk: a tumor > 5 cm and mitotic count between 5 and 10/50 HPF or a tumor between 5 and 10 cm and a mitotic count < 5/50 HPF; (d) high risk: a tumor >10 cm or mitotic count > 10/50 HPF or a tumor >5 cm and mitotic count > 5/50 HPF.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (v. 22) software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, United States). Patients were dichotomized into two groups according to the median age (≤ median vs. > median), sex (male vs. female) and World Health Organization (WHO) performance status (PS) into (0 vs. 1-2), tumor location (gastric vs. nongastric) and margin status (negative vs. positive). Patients were categorized according to clinical presentation (pain vs. bleeding vs. anemia vs. others), tumor size (<5 vs. 5-10 vs. > 10 cm), mitotic count (<5 vs. 5-10 vs. > 10 /50 HPF), and NIH risk score (low vs. intermediate vs. high risk).

DFS and OS curves were generated by the Kaplan–Meier method and the two-sided log-rank test was used for comparisons between groups. A multivariate Cox’s proportional hazard regression model was used to evaluate prognostic factors and the estimated hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. All statistical tests were two sided and p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical Characteristics

A total of 93 patients with nonmetastatic GIST were recruited. Table 1 shows the characteristics of patients and tumors. The median age of patients was 48.9 years with (range: 29.5–67.4). There was a slight male predominance with a ratio of 1.8: 1. Most of patients (81.7%) had WHO PS of 0. Abdominal pain was the commonly presenting symptom that was present in 39.8% of patients. Thirty percent of patients presented by GI bleeding and about 20% of cases presented by anemia for investigation.

More than half (60.2%) of GISTs were located in the stomach and about one-quarter (22.6%) were located in the small intestine. Tumors stained positive for CD117 in 95.7% and stained positive for CD34 in about 46% of cases. The median diameter of the tumors was 7 cm (range: 2.6–30). Thirty-eight patients (40.9%) had tumors < 5 cm, 24 patients (25.8%) had tumors with size from 5 to 10 cm, and 31 patients (33.3%) had tumors larger than 10 cm. Mitotic counts were < 5/50 HPF in 52 tumors (55.9%), between 5 and 10 /50 HPF in 39 tumors (41.9%), and > 10 /50 HPF in only 2 tumors (2.2%). About one-third of patients (35.5%) had low risk tumor, 19 patients (20.4%) had intermediate risk tumors, and 41 patients (44.1%) had high risk tumors according to NIH risk score.

Treatment

All patients underwent surgery and complete surgical resection (R0) was achieved in 80 patients (86%) and 13 patients had positive margin (R1-R2). Three out of 13 patients with incomplete surgery presented by acute abdomen consistent with perforated appendicitis and on exploration it was found to be localized tumor rupture with acute localized peritonitis (R2). Another four patients presented with huge mesenteric GISTs infiltrating the retroperitoneal tissues where cytoreductive surgery was feasible (R2) and the remaining six patients underwent complete surgery but postoperative pathology revealed microscopically positive margin (R1). A total of 56 patients (60.2%) including the 13 patients with positive margins received adjuvant imatinib mesylate 400 mg daily (27 patients for 12 months, 2 patients for 18 months, 4 patients for 24 months, and 23 patients for 36 months).
Table 1  Characteristics of patients and tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (median)</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>29.5–67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (median)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.6–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males/females</td>
<td>60/33</td>
<td>64.5/35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/1–2</td>
<td>76/17</td>
<td>81.7/18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain/bleeding/anemia/others</td>
<td>37/28/18/10</td>
<td>39.8/30.1/19.4/10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nongastric (SI/ Mes./ Col./ Rect./ App.)</td>
<td>37 (21/6/5/2/3)</td>
<td>39.8 (22/6/6.5/5.4/2.2/3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5/5–10/&gt;10</td>
<td>38/24/31</td>
<td>40.9/25.8/33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD117 Positive/negative</td>
<td>89/4</td>
<td>95.7/4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34 Positive/negative/unknown</td>
<td>43/19/31</td>
<td>46.2/20.4/33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitotic count (/50HPF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5/5–10/&gt;10</td>
<td>52/39/2</td>
<td>55.9/41.9/2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH risk score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low/intermediate/high</td>
<td>33/19/41</td>
<td>35.5/20.4/44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative/positive</td>
<td>80/13</td>
<td>86.0/14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant IM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No/yes</td>
<td>37/56</td>
<td>39.8/60.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: App, appendix; Col, colon; HPF, high-power field; IM, imatinib mesylate; Mes, mesentery; NIH, National Institutes of Health; Rect, rectum; SI, small intestine.

Disease-Free Survival
The median duration of follow-up was 51.5 months (range: 36.5–103.8). There was local recurrence alone in 14 patients, distant metastasis alone in 3 patients, and both in 6 patients. The 5-year DFS was 74.5% (mean DFS: 79.8 ± 3 months). On univariate analysis (►Fig. 1), age (p = 0.893, ►Fig. 1A), gender (p = 0.731, ►Fig. 1B), PS (p = 0.645, ►Fig. 1C), and clinical presentation (p = 0.910, ►Fig. 1D) had no significant impact on DFS. However, margin status (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 1E), tumor site (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 1F), tumor size (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 1G), mitotic counts (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 1H), and risk score (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 1I) were significantly associated with DFS.

On multivariate analysis (►Table 2), positive margin (HR: 4.373, 95% CI: 1.536–12.448, p =0.006), nongastric location (HR: 8.173, 95% CI: 1.888–35.382, p =0.005), large tumor size (HR: 1.146, 95% CI: 1.047–1.255, p =0.003), high mitotic count (HR: 1.367, 95% CI: 1.130–1.653, p =0.001), and high NIH risk score (HR: 5.566, 95% CI: 1.227–25.250, p =0.026) were significant predictive factors of unfavorable DFS.

Overall Survival
Twenty-one patients died during the follow-up period. The 5-year OS was 80% (mean OS: 83 ± 3.6 months). On univariate analysis (►Fig. 2), OS was not significantly associated with age (p = 0.786, ►Fig. 2A), gender (p = 0.899, ►Fig. 2B), PS (p = 0.485, ►Fig. 2C), and clinical presentation (p = 0.803, ►Fig. 2D). However, the OS was significantly correlated to margin status (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 2E), tumor site (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 2F), tumor size (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 2G), mitotic counts (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 2H), and risk score (p = 0.000, ►Fig. 2I).

On multivariate analysis (►Table 2), positive margin (HR: 5.135, 95% CI: 1.756–15.015, p =0.003), nongastric GISTs (HR: 6.346, 95% CI: 1.218–33.057, p =0.028), larger tumor size (HR: 1.196, 95% CI: 1.069–1.338, p =0.002), and NIH risk score (HR: 8.745, 95% CI: 1.740–43.944, p =0.008) were significant prognostic factors for poor OS. However, mitotic counts were marginally significantly (HR: 1.235, 95% CI: 0.994–1.533, p =0.056) associated with OS.
Discussion

It had been reported that the incidence of GISTs is on the fast rise, probably due to the increasing awareness of disease in the last decades. However, GISTs remain rather rare tumors with unpredictable biological behavior and variable clinical course. It is generally accepted that there are no perfect criteria that can exactly predict the prognosis of GIST, and there must be some unrevealed room for improving and adjusting the present predictive parameters.

The median age of our patients was one decade younger (48.9 years) than that reported in literature by Kim et al who found mean age of 60.8 years and Wang et al who evaluated 497 GIST patients with a median age of 60 years. However, it is similar to previous Egyptian study by El-Zohairy et al who reported the mean age of patients was 52.8 years. Similarly, Call et al and Hong et al found a median age of 52 years old.

Our data revealed that there was a slight male predominance. Multiple previous reports agree with us. Miettinen et al analyzed 1,765 patients with GISTs and found a slight male predominance (55%). Wang et al reported 55.9% of patients were men. Tran et al reviewed 1,458 cases of GISTs and found 54% were men. However, there was no clear sex predilection in most published studies and female predominance was demonstrated in three Korean studies.

Most GISTs present by nonspecific symptom, increasing the difficulty of early diagnosis and treatment. In our study, consistent with the literature, the most frequent complaints were abdominal pain and GI bleeding. The same was reported by Lin et al and Wang et al. Our data illustrated an incidence of GI bleeding as high as 30.1%. As GISTs usually originate from the muscle layer and may grow into the submucosa and lamina propria, leading to mucosal ulceration and hemorrhage. Similarly, Huang et al showed the incidence of GI bleeding in GIST patients was 30.9% and Wang et al reported bleeding in 28.6% of cases.

The distribution of sites of GISTs in the current study was similar to that published in the literature. Wang et al reported that stomach and small bowel were the most common sites of GISTs (59.0 and 22.5%, respectively). Lin et al showed that 54% of tumors located in the stomach and 36% in the small intestine.

The median diameter of the tumors in our cohort was 7 cm and about 40% of patients presented by small tumors <5 cm. Our findings are supported by Lin et al who reported...
that 43% of patients had tumors < 5 cm in size. Jumniensuk and Charoenpitakchai found the median size of GIST was 6.8 cm. However, Kim et al evaluated 1,057 GISTs and found median tumor size was 4 cm. Park et al reported the median tumor size was 3.1 cm. The median tumor size was smaller in the Eastern studies conducted in Korea and Japan due to improved nationwide surveillance for gastric cancer leading to earlier detection.

Lin et al reported that CD117 was positive in 89% of tissue samples and there were 72.8% positive for CD34. Consistently, in the present study, tumors stained positive for CD117 in 95.7%. However, CD34 stained positive in only 46% of cases as CD34 was not routinely done in our hospital due to financial reasons and CD34 staining was unknown in about one third of cases.

In the current study, about 44% of patients had high risk tumors and 20% had intermediate risk tumors. Compared to published literature, very low risk group was not represented in our data likely due to lack of screening program and late diagnosis. Wang et al reported the distribution of very low-,
low-, intermediate-, and high-risk groups was 8.0, 36.4, 15.7, and 39.8%, respectively. Huang et al\(^2\) reported that the risk categories of 333 GIST patients were very low risk (4.8%), low risk (18.9%), intermediate risk (17.7%), and high risk (47.7%).

In the present study, the 5-year DFS was 74.5% and the 5-year OS was 80%. Consistent with our study, Wang et al\(^4\) reported the 5-year DFS and OS of 401 patients with operable GIST were 77.4 and 84.8%, respectively. Huang et al\(^2\) reported that the 5-year DFS was 70.9%. Call et al\(^1\) found that 5-year OS for 1,215 patients with GIST was 79%.

Different prognostic factors identified previously were investigated in the current study. On univariate and multivariate analyses, margin status, tumor site, tumor size, mitotic counts, and NIH risk score were significantly associated with both DFS and OS. Our results regarding these factors reached a general agreement with prior reports, showing that larger tumor size, high mitotic counts, and nongastric locations and positive resection margin were steadily indicative of unfavorable DFS and OS for patients with GIST. Favorable prognosis of gastric GISTs might be related to early presentation, diagnosis, and treatment. Mitotic count is among the important prognostic factors in GIST and listed in NIH criteria. However, it is unreliable and subjective index and the number of mitoses detected depends on the tissue fixation time, the magnification of the field under the microscope, and the experience of the examiner.

This is in concordance with prior studies and the data of others. Several studies indicated that tumor size, mitotic count, and primary tumor location were significant and reliable prognostic factors for OS. Lin et al\(^2\) found that tumor size, mitotic count, and resectability correlated significantly with tumor recurrence and survival. Wang et al\(^4\) demonstrated that nongastric origin, larger tumor size, higher mitotic rate, higher risk grade, adjacent organ involvement contributed to poorer outcome (lower DFS and OS) in univariate analysis.

Wang et al\(^2\) evaluated the prognostic factors for GISTs in 84 patients after R0 resection and demonstrated on univariate analysis that GI bleeding, nongastric tumor location, large tumor size, high mitotic count were poor prognostic indicators for DFS.

Our findings confirmed that complete resection of the tumor with R0 is one of the most important prognostic factors for OS. Similarly, DeMatteo et al\(^6\) found on univariate analysis that tumor size and complete resection of gross disease were significant predictors of survival. Lin et al\(^1\) found that complete resection of the tumor is one of the most important factors related to survival.

This study has certain limitations including being a retrospective study with a relatively smaller sample size, a large proportion of censored cases and lack of data about other risk factors like tumor rupture and serosal invasion. However, our study was conducted in a single tertiary hospital including homogenous population cohort with long-term follow-up period and uniform treatment. Despite these caveats, it appears that our results can contribute to the growing body of evidence about the prognostic factors in patients with GISTs.

In conclusion, surgical resection with negative margin is the mainstay treatment for nonmetastatic GISTs with additional imatinib mesylate as adjuvant therapy for intermediate and high-risk groups. Large tumor size, nongastric tumor location, positive tumor margin, high mitotic count, and high NIH risk score are predictive for unfavorable DFS and OS of GISTs.
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