TIPIC Syndrome—A Newly Recognized Syndrome
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Abstract

Carotidynia is a controversial clinicopathological entity vastly described in Western literature as neck pain in the region of carotid bifurcation secondary to an underlying inflammatory etiology. Radiologically, this appears as perivascular inflammation and has recently been designated as transient perivascular inflammation of the carotid artery (TIPIC) syndrome. The authors of this report discuss the multimodality imaging features of a rare case of this disease in our country to familiarize radiologists with the imaging findings and to encourage the inclusion of TIPIC syndrome as a differential diagnosis for focal neck pain.
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Introduction

Carotidynia, popularly known as Fay syndrome, is radiologically described as perivascular inflammation of the carotid sheath and carotid adventitia. Previously, it was a vague term used to describe neck pain associated with migraine and thought to be devoid of imaging features according to the International Headache Society. Recently, the radiological description of this condition has been given the name transient perivascular inflammation of the carotid artery (TIPIC) syndrome. A characteristic feature is focal eccentric thickening involving the carotid bifurcation without associated hemodynamic changes. An association with autoimmune diseases and upper airway infection was considered but neither has been proven in the past. This report showcases the imaging features of TIPIC syndrome in a patient with sudden onset of focal neck pain.

Case Report

A 35-year-old female presented to our department from an ENT referral. She presented with complaints of sudden onset left-sided neck pain that aggravated on neck movements. It was assumed to be secondary to upper airway infection; however, there was no symptomatic relief after a course of antibiotics. On examination, the focal area of pain was seen to be extremely tender, with a tiny palpable lump like lesion associated with prominent pulsations. Of note, the patient did not have any complaints of migraine, Raynaud’s phenomenon, tingling, and numbness of extremities.

Complete blood count and inflammatory markers such as erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein were found to be normal.

In significant past history, she was initiated on treatment for rheumatoid arthritis 10 years ago due to swelling of the interphalangeal joints of bilateral hands and bilateral sacroiliitis. However, she did not test positive for serological markers to confirm rheumatoid arthritis. She was initiated on disease-modifying antirheumatoid agents that were discontinued 3 years ago due to drug-induced acute hepatic failure.

The patient was referred for an ultrasound of the neck. A focal hypoechoic area was seen along the left common carotid artery at the level of bifurcation (►Fig. 1), which was suspicious for dissection. No hemodynamic compromise was noted.

The patient was then sent for a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan for further assessment. MRI revealed
short segment near circumferential, ill-defined perivascular
e enhancing soft tissue and adventitial thickening at the level
of left common carotid artery bifurcation (Fig. 2A), extending
along the proximal portion of the left internal carotid
artery (Fig. 3A and B). No significant luminal narrowing
or loss of flow void was seen on the angiographic sequences
(Fig. 4A).

After dissection was ruled out, the patient was advised
regarding the benign nature of the condition and given a
course of injectable steroids. Further, workup to rule out vas-
culitis was done by performing a computed tomography (CT)
 aortogram that revealed focal asymmetric thickening along
the left common carotid artery at its bifurcation (Fig. 5).
No associated luminal narrowing was seen. On the basis of
the spectrum of imaging findings with associated clinical fea-
tures, a diagnosis of carotidynia (TIPIC syndrome) was made.

The patient again presented with complaints of pain a
month later, when a whole-body fluorodeoxyglucose-positron
emission tomography–computed tomography (FDG-PET-CT)
was performed to rule out vasculitis and look for a source of
infection or inflammation. No abnormal tracer uptake was
noted on whole-body FDG-PET-CT (Fig. 6).
A 6-month follow-up MRI was performed that revealed a significant reduction in thickness and extent of perivascular soft tissue (►Fig. 2B and B).

Discussion

There is some ambiguity regarding the definition of this disease condition with some authors refuting the existence of carotidynia. Burton et al published a case series of five patients demonstrating the MRI findings in patients with carotidynia. MR angiography and postcontrast MR clearly depict the involvement of adventitia by enhancing soft tissue with sparing of the lumen. MR also excludes dissection, intramural hematoma by the absence of TI hyperintense signal within the wall. Cross-sectional imaging also rules out other vascular conditions that may cause unilateral neck pain such as arterial dissection, aneurysms, and fibromuscular dysplasia.

The ultrasonographic findings of Santarosa et al showed the wall thickening to be isoechoic to surrounding muscles with no luminal narrowing. Doppler evaluation showed no significant hemodynamic compromise. Amaravadi et al performed a study on a series of patients with carotidynia using PET-CT. The study revealed increased tracer uptake in the pericarotid region, likely due to glucose hypermetabolism, confirming underlying inflammatory pathology.

Regardless of the imaging modality (ultrasound, CT, or MRI), the typical features of TIPIC syndrome include involvement of distal common carotid artery, bulb and proximal internal carotid artery, mild luminal narrowing, eccentric perivascular and adventitial thickening, enhancement (more so on MRI), and transient fatty plaque during healing.

Histopathological confirmation is rarely performed due to the potential hazards of taking a tissue sample near the carotid vessels. A one of its kind histopathological study by Upton et al demonstrated nonspecific inflammatory changes within the perivascular tissue sample. However, this cannot be performed routinely; hence; imaging is the mainstay for diagnosis.

Our case confirms the ultrasound, CT, and MRI findings of previous studies. However, increased uptake on PET was not seen. Few studies have shown the disappearance of imaging abnormalities; however, this was not seen in our study. The amount of perivascular soft tissue subsided in thickness and extent; however, it did not completely resolve. Similar findings were documented by Lecler et al.

Clinically, our patient presented with sudden onset of symptoms. While most patients present with dull aching indolent pain, occasionally there may be patients presenting with acute pain. Few studies have reported the presence of an underlying autoimmune disease in patients presenting with carotidynia. One such study was conducted by Lecler et al. where 8 out of 47 patients in the study had an underlying autoimmune disease. The inflammatory nature of the disease is confirmed by the resolution of symptoms and reduction in perivascular soft tissue after a course of steroids.

In conclusion, this study depicts the imaging findings of this rare entity using different modalities. The symptomatic relief and improvement on MRI after a course of anti-inflammatory medication confirm the inflammatory nature of the disease. While the pathogenesis of this entity is unknown, the possibility of an association between autoimmune diseases and carotidynia has been raised by a few studies; however, dedicated investigation in a larger study population is warranted.
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