Lobar Radioembolization for Intermediate and Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Retrospective and Prospective Data
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Abstract

Historically, outcomes reporting for radioembolization with yttrium-90 ($^{90}$Y) of hepatocellular carcinoma has included patients across the range of Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stages. With the potential for curative radiation segmentectomy for BCLC 0/A patients and evolution of systemic therapy for BCLC C patients, focused review by group is of increasing interest. In this review, we report on efficacy of $^{90}$Y in patients with intermediate (BCLC B) and advanced (BCLC C) hepatocellular carcinoma as well as expected toxicities. Additionally, we review existing trials comparing $^{90}$Y to transarterial chemoembolization and systemic treatments in these patient groups and outline future studies.
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Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most common cancer, accounts for the majority of primary liver cancers, and is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide.1 Patients who present with liver cancer are staged according to the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system that is determined by the patient’s performance status, Child–Pugh (CP) score, and radiologic tumor extent (size, number, metastases, and vascular invasion). The classification system categorizes patients into five groups (0, A, B, C, and D) which helps guide management. BCLC 0 patients (tumor <2 cm in size, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group [ECOG] 0, Child–Pugh A) may potentially be cured with resection, ablation, or radiation segmentectomy. Unfortunately, most patients present with intermediate (BCLC B; multinodular, unresectable) or advanced (BCLC C; vascular invasion and/or impaired performance status) disease and are not candidates for curative interventions at the time of diagnosis.2 This review will provide an overview of $^{90}$Y therapy for intermediate and advanced stage HCC (BCLC B and C), including its outcomes, its safety, and additional research being performed.

Treatment Options

Patients beyond the Milan criteria (1 tumor ≤5 cm, ≤3 tumors ≤3 cm) may be considered for downstaging if they are within the University of California San Francisco criteria (1 tumor ≤6.5 cm or ≤3 tumors with maximal diameter <4.5 cm and total diameter <8 cm). The remaining BCLC B and C patients are treated palliatively. Locoregional therapy is commonly the first-line treatment for nontransplantable BCLC B patients. Y90 has similar survival benefit with significantly longer time to progression when compared to transarterial chemoembolization (TACE). Additionally, Y90 provides superior quality of life compared to TACE in patients with intermediate and advanced HCC.3,4 $^{90}$Y has superseded TACE in some practices as the primary treatment for these patients.5

While systemic therapy is increasingly performed in patients with BCLC C disease, locoregional therapy can play...
a role in patient care, especially in patients who do not tolerate systemic therapy. Patients with BCLC D tumors (extrahepatic disease) are usually treated with systemic therapy unless there are symptoms from distension of the liver capsule from bulky disease.

**Outcomes**

Patient response and survival following $^{90}$Y is linked to multiple factors including BCLC stage, portal vein thrombosis (PVT), performance status, previous arterial and/or systemic therapy, and unilobar versus bilobar disease. Patients with earlier stage disease have longer overall survival (OS), though $^{90}$Y still provides benefit in those with intermediate and advanced stage disease (Fig. 1). In their study, Mantry et al. found that those patients with early-stage disease (BCLC A) had an OS of 27.8 months (95% confidence interval [CI]: 12.9–35.3 months) compared to 11.4 (95% CI: 8.3–16.7) and 9.2 (95% CI: 3.6–17.2) months for those with intermediate (BCLC B) and advanced disease (BCLC C). Similarly, a recent study by Frantz et al. evaluating 448 patients who were primarily palliative (only 22% were within the Milan criteria) treated with resin $^{90}$Y demonstrated the best survival with early-stage disease (median OS rates for BCLC A, B, C, and D were >30 months, 19.5, 13.6, and 11.5 months, respectively).

Ali et al. found a discrepancy in OS between BCLC C patients with ECOG PS 1 compared to patients with PVT or ECOG PS 2. In their study, ECOG PS 1 patients had a median survival of 19.4 months compared to 7.7 months for those presenting with PVT or ECOG PS 2, suggesting that ECOG PS 1 as an isolated variable is a poor indicator of advanced disease. Mazzafar et al also found the median OS to be 15 months (95% CI: 12–18 months) in BCLC B and C patients treated with $^{90}$Y. BCLC C patients without portal vein thrombus had increased survival compared to those with venous occlusion (18 vs. 13 months) which did not reach statistical significance.

With greater availability of cone beam computed tomography (CT), complete tumor coverage has improved and activity of prescribed $^{90}$Y more closely reflects the tumor volume and perfusion compared to surrounding parenchyma. Allimant et al. used PET/CT following radioembolization in 38 patients to determine accuracy of tumor targeting and coverage in 42 treatments. They found that overall and progression-free survival (PFS) rates were lower in patients with incomplete tumor targeting (median OS of 4.5 and PFS 2.7 months, $p < 0.001$) compared to those who had complete tumor targeting (median OS of 19.2 and PFS of 7.9 months, $p < 0.001$).

A recent multicenter randomized phase II study (DOSIS-PHHERE-01) compared standard $^{90}$Y dosimetry to personalized dosimetry in patients with at least one tumor ≥7 cm unresectable HCC in patients receiving glass microspheres. The therapy goal was 205 Gy in the personalized group compared to 120 Gy in the standard group. Patients who were treated using personalized dosimetry had nearly double the objective response rate (70 vs. 36%, $p = 0.007$) and a significantly longer OS (26.6 vs. 10.7 months, $p = 0.0096$) than patients treated with standard dosimetry.

**Fig. 1** $^{90}$Y therapy for viable tumor following transarterial chemoembolization. Child–Pugh B patient with large, enhancing segment VIII mass with associated middle hepatic vein thrombus (red arrow) (BCLC C) on coronal (a) and axial (b) postcontrast CT measuring 13.2 cm in widest diameter, and demonstrating persistent enhancing viable tumor after treatment with chemoembolization. After radioembolization to the right anterior segmental artery (c), coronal (d) and axial (e, f) postcontrast CT images demonstrate extensive central necrosis and decreased enhancement.
studies demonstrate that technique, dosimetry, and adequate coverage play an important role in outcomes (Table 1).

**90Y versus TACE**

There are no sizable prospective trials comparing efficacy of 90Y and TACE in patients with BCLC B and C diseases; however, data in BCLC A disease suggest benefit of 90Y over TACE. In the phase II PREMIER trial, Salem et al found that HCC patients, 35 of 45 patients who had BCLC A disease (78%), treated with radioembolization had a significantly prolonged time to progression compared to TACE (20.5 months, \( p < 0.001 \)), which was the primary endpoint of that trial.\(^{12,13} \)

There was, however, no significant difference in median survival (18.6 vs. 17.7 months, \( p = 0.99 \)). This prospective study followed a retrospective review that also found no significant difference in OS between 123 patients treated with Y90 (17.4 months) and 122 patients treated with TACE (20.5 months, \( p = 0.2 \)). The BCLC B subgroup patients in this trial had almost identical OS: the 65 90Y patients and TACE patients surviving 17.5 months (\( p = 0.4 \)).\(^{13} \) Patients receiving 90Y had significantly less frequent abdominal pain (\( p < 0.001 \)) and transaminase elevations (\( p = 0.004 \)) than those undergoing TACE.

In a meta-analysis by Zhang et al evaluating 947 patients with intermediate or advanced HCC treated with 90Y or TACE, OS of 90Y patients was significantly greater than TACE, with a 26% reduction in death (hazard ratio: 0.74, 95% CI: 0.61–0.90, \( p < 0.01 \)). While there was no significant difference in 1- or 2-year OS rates, 3-year OS rates for 90Y were significantly higher than those treated with TACE (relative risk: 1.75, 95% CI: 1.01–3.03, \( p = 0.05 \)). This finding implies that while 90Y may not impact immediate survival, the effects of radioembolization may enhance over time. This analysis also corroborated the findings of Salem et al, finding that the risk of progression after 90Y was significantly less compared to HCC treated with TACE (HR = 0.61, 95% CI: 0.41–0.89, \( p = 0.01 \)). Other findings included decreased hospitalization time due to multiple required cycles of TACE, (mean difference = –2.66 days, 95% CI: 4.08–1.24, \( p < 0.01 \)) and less abdominal pain (RR = 0.30, 95% CI: 0.11–0.83, \( p = 0.02 \)).\(^{14} \)

**90Y versus Sorafenib**

The phase III SARAH trial (Table 2) by Vilgrain et al compared sorafenib to 90Y in 467 patients after unsuccessful

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Patient cohort</th>
<th>Type of 90Y</th>
<th>BCLC</th>
<th>Median overall survival (month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salem et al(^{5} )</td>
<td>348 Child–Pugh A BCLC B/C</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>BCLC B: 91</td>
<td>BCLC B, Child Pugh A: 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BCLC C: 257</td>
<td>BCLC C, Child Pugh A: 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BCLC C: 19</td>
<td>BCLC C, Child Pugh A: 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantry et al(^{6} )</td>
<td>29 BCLC B and C</td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>B: 26</td>
<td>BCLC B: 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C: 3</td>
<td>BCLC C: 9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali et al(^{7} )</td>
<td>547 patients all BCLC C</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>BCLC C: 547</td>
<td>Whole group: 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ECOG C: 233</td>
<td>ECOG BCLC C: 19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PVT C: 314</td>
<td>PVT BCLC C: 7.7 (( p &lt; 0.0001 ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PVT, portal vein thrombosis.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Patient cohort</th>
<th>Type of 90Y</th>
<th>BCLC</th>
<th>Median OS in month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vilgrain et al(^{15} ) (SARAH)</td>
<td>467 patients 237 Y90 222 sorafenib</td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>90Y: BCLC B: 66</td>
<td>90Y: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90Y: BCLC C: 162</td>
<td>Sorafenib: 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90Y: BCLC C: 149</td>
<td>( p = 0.18 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow et al(^{16} ) (SiRveNIB)</td>
<td>360 patients 182 Y90 178 sorafenib</td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>90Y: BCLC B: 93</td>
<td>90Y: 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90Y: BCLC C: 88</td>
<td>Sorafenib: 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90Y: BCLC C: 80</td>
<td>( p = 0.36 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricke et al(^{17} ) (SORAMIC)</td>
<td>424 patients 216 Y90 + sorafenib 208 sorafenib</td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>All BCLC B or greater</td>
<td>Combined 90Y and Sorafenib: 12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90Y: 11.4</td>
<td>Sorafenib: 11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( p = 0.9529 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; OS, overall survival.
showed a significant improvement in quality of life, and fewer toxicities. In patients treated with 90Y along with fewer adverse events in patients treated with 90Y. Many of the interventionist radiologists in these trials were inexperienced 90Y users. These studies demonstrate the value that interventional radiology operators experienced with radioembolization or other technically demanding devices provide.

SORAMIC, a randomized controlled trial with 424 patients receiving either 90Y therapy with resin microspheres and sorafenib versus sorafenib alone found no added benefit of combined therapy on median OS (12.1 vs. 11.4 months, \( p = 0.9529 \)). Notably, there were significantly increased adverse events in the 90Y + sorafenib group compared to the sorafenib alone group.\(^\text{17}\)

### Y90 and Immunotherapeutic Agents

Checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy has improved survival in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma.\(^\text{18,19}\) The CheckMate 040 study\(^\text{20}\) evaluating nivolumab and the KEYNOTE-224\(^\text{21}\) study evaluating pembrolizumab have both shown objective responses in patients with advanced HCC. A study by Zhan et al found the combination of radioembolization with checkpoint inhibitors to be safe with limited treatment-related toxicities. There were no early mortality or grades 3/4 hepatobiliary or immunotherapy-related toxicities within 30 days\(^\text{19}\) and only two of the 26 studied patients developed grades 3/4 toxicity in 1- to 3-month range in the setting of HCC disease progression. The median OS from first immunotherapy was 17.2 months (95% CI, 6.6–26.4), and the median OS from first radioembolization was 16.5 months (95% CI, 4.2–7.2).\(^\text{19}\) There are multiple prospective trials being performed evaluating the optimal combination treatment protocol and safety of 90Y and immune checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy (→ Table 3).

### Toxicity with 90Y

Brown et al reviewed 6-month toxicities in 199 HCC patients who underwent resin microsphere therapy.\(^\text{22}\) This group included 32 patients with PVT and 104 patients with ECOG scores of 1 or greater. This patient group was heavily pre-treated, including resection (n = 8, 4.1%), chemoembolization (n = 50, 25.4%), sorafenib (n = 28, 14.2%), and ablation (n = 24, 12.2%). Toxicities were assessed using the common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) version 5. At 6 months after treatment, 34 patients (17.3%) had grade 3 elevations of bilirubin and 10 (5.1%) had grade 3 decreases in serum albumin. Predictors of grade 3 toxicity in HCC patients included extrahepatic disease at the time of therapy (t = 2.2, \( p = 0.03 \)). When evaluating individual measures of liver function, baseline liver function abnormality predicted both bilirubin (t = 3.7, \( p = 0.0002 \)) and albumin (t = 16.6, \( p < 0.0001 \)) grade 3 toxicities. Whole liver therapy predicted grade 3 bilirubin toxicity (t = 2, \( p = 0.05 \)) and increased baseline body mass index predicted grade 3 albumin toxicity (t = 2.79, \( p = 0.006 \)). The authors suggested that future trials focus on treatment-naïve patients, as grade 3 toxicities in the SARAH and SIRveNIB trials were 3% for grade 3 hyperbilirubinemia and 0.8% for grade 3 hypoalbuminemia.\(^\text{15,16}\) Combining sorafenib with 90Y may have significant additional risk. In the SORAMIC trial, Ricke et al reported an increase of grade 3 hyperbilirubinemia from 4.4 to 14.5% when sorafenib alone was used compared to sorafenib and 90Y.\(^\text{17}\)

A large retrospective study by the European Network on Radioembolization with Yttrium-90 resin microspheres

---

### Table 3: Active and recruiting studies evaluating efficacy and outcomes of 90Y in combination with other treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study arms</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>Study phase/size</th>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Study identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90Y + SBRT</td>
<td>BCLC B and C</td>
<td>Single arm, Phase I</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>NCT04518748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90Y + sorafenib</td>
<td>BCLC C</td>
<td>Single arm, Phase I</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>NCT01900002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90Y + Apatinib vs. Apatinib</td>
<td>BCLC C and PVT</td>
<td>Randomized controlled study</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>NCT03520257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90Y + pembrolizumab</td>
<td>Unresectable HCC; Child–Pugh A or B7</td>
<td>Single-arm pilot study</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>NCT03099564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90Y + nivolumab</td>
<td>Unresectable HCC; Child–Pugh A or B7–8</td>
<td>Single arm, Phase I</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>NCT03812562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90Y + durvalumab</td>
<td>Unresectable HCC; Child–Pugh A or B7</td>
<td>Single arm</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>NCT04124991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; NCT, National Clinical Trial; PVT, portal vein thrombosis; SBRT, stereotactic body radiation therapy.
group (ENRY) compared the use of radioembolization in 128 elderly (>70 years old) and 197 younger (<70 years old) patients who had similar demographic characteristics and found it to be equally well-tolerated and effective in both cohorts with predominantly grade 1 to 2 adverse events that were of short duration. There was no significant difference in median survival between the two groups ($p = 0.942$) in patients with early, intermediate, or advanced BCLC stage disease.

Patients with PVT are optimally treated with nonembolic therapy to avoid ischemic hepatitis, making $^{90}$Y particularly useful for this indication. Inarrairaegui et al reported findings in 25 patients with PVT treated with radioembolization. There were no grade 3 liver toxicities up to 2 months after treatment with median OS of 10 months. Kulik et al reported outcomes in 34 patients with PVT treated with glass microspheres. Patients tolerated treatment, but extension of branch PVT into the main portal vein was associated with a lower survival compared to segmental venous invasion (467 vs. 133.5 days, $p = 0.0052$; Fig. 2).

One other risk of radioembolization includes the development of radioembolization-induced liver disease (REILD), which is defined as jaundice or ascites appearing up to 3 months following $^{90}$Y without tumor progression or bile duct occlusion. Zimmerman et al demonstrated that radioembolization with resin is safe in patients with history of prior major hepatic resection with none of the 15 studied patients developing REILD. In the study, the minimum time between surgery and radioembolization was 4 months (mean of 18 months), suggesting that a 4-month interval results in sufficient hypertrophy of the liver remnant to avoid REILD when dosing $^{90}$Y (Fig. 3). Maximizing delivery to tumor is important to avoid damage to normal tissue in the treatment zone. As tumor to normal ratio at mapping decreases, the risk of radiation damage due to nontarget delivery can increase. Allimant et al found that patients with higher dose deposition in the nontumoral liver following radioembolization were more likely to develop REILD ($p = 0.04$). In their study, nontumoral liver deposition was more than 58 Gy in all patients who developed REILD. Using external beam radiation as a reference, 55 Gy is the dose limit for treatment of one-third of the liver and 45 Gy is the limit for two-thirds of the total liver. In patients with limited tumor perfusion at mapping, dose reduction may potentially be required to limit toxicity in patients undergoing lobar or whole-liver treatment.

Pulmonary toxicity is directly related to absorbed dose. Therefore, radioembolization can be safe to use in patients

Fig. 2 $^{90}$Y radioembolization in a patient with segmental portal vein thrombus. Child–Pugh A6 patient with postcontrast axial images (a, b) demonstrating a 3.2 enhancing hepatocellular carcinoma in segment IV (black arrow) with associated left segmental branch portal vein thrombus (red arrow). Segmental radioembolization (c) ultimately led to tumor necrosis on early arterial phase postcontrast MRI (d) with retraction of the venous thrombus.

Fig. 3 $^{90}$Y radioembolization for a large mass with portal vein thrombosis (PVT). Child–Pugh A6 patient with a large posterior right hepatic mass seen on delayed phase contrast MRI (a, b) with a right lobar PVT shown on coronal postcontrast CT (c; dashed arrow). The patient was treated with lobar radioembolization (d). Follow-up coronal (e) and axial (f) MRI (coronal (e)) demonstrates marked reduction in tumor size. The patient did develop new onset ascites. There was also decrease in PVT burden on CT (g, double arrow) along with the tumor response.
with a lung shunt fraction greater than 10 to 15%. Das et al performed 90Y therapy in 103 patients with a mean lung shunt fraction of 24.4% (18.1–28.8%). Twenty (19%) of the patients developed nonspecific pulmonary symptoms including cough, shortness of breath, and wheezing within 1 year post 90Y therapy with a median time to development of 63 days (range: 7–224). Thoracic imaging demonstrated no evidence of pulmonary injury or fibrosis following treatment, and there was no difference in the survival between patients with and without pulmonary symptoms (6.7 vs. 7.3 months, p = 0.903). Based on their results, Das et al recommended keeping single-session lung dose below 25 Gray28 (Fig. 4).

While 90Y has been shown to be safe to use in the above-mentioned groups, there are still methods that can improve safety such as staged therapy. Seidensticker et al found that sequential lobar radioembolization in noncirrhotic patients resulted in less hepatotoxicity compared to whole liver embolization. They found compensatory hypertrophy of the subsequently treated lobe in the sequential cohort compared to no volume changes following whole liver therapy. This compensatory hypertrophy may explain the increased liver tolerance in the sequentially treated cohort.

**Conclusion**

This review article summarizes the use of 90Y therapy in intermediate- and advanced-stage (BCLC B and C) patients. Radioembolization is well-tolerated, safe, and efficacious in patients with normal liver function. Ideally, patients are treatment naïve as well. 90Y can be performed safely in both young and elderly patients and in selected patients with extensive disease, portal vein invasion, large hepatopulmonary shunt fractions, and in the setting of prior liver resection. 90Y therapy is currently being studied in combination with current first-line systemic agents and with new immune modulators such as nivolumab and pembrolizumab. Continued evaluations may potentially improve the efficacy and safety of radioembolization therapy for HCC that has already been demonstrated.
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