Myocardial Infarction with Nonobstructive Coronary Artery (MINOCA)
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Abstract

Myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA) is diagnosed in almost equal to 5 to 6% of patients who present with acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Causes of MINOCA are varied. Appropriate diagnosis and evaluation is important to uncover the correct cause and prescribe specific therapies to treat the underlying cause.

Women with evidence of MINOCA are being increasingly recognized. The mechanisms underlying MINOCA, such as coronary microvascular spasm, represent a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge to medical fraternity, as there is neither a uniform nor comprehensive diagnostic strategy for accurate risk stratification, in the present scenario, for these patients.

Here, we are reporting a case of MINOCA, which is rare and incompletely evaluated.

Introduction

Ischemic heart disease is predominant cardiovascular cause of death in women. Myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA) is defined as acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in the absence of (≥ 50% stenosis) obstructive coronary artery disease (CAD) in any artery.1,2

Patients presenting with MINOCA are usually younger than patients with AMI-CAD.3 Among patients presenting with AMI, MINOCA is twice as frequent in women than men, whereas AMI is more frequent in men.4,5

The traditional CAD risk factors and clinical features prevalent also vary among patients with MINOCA versus AMI-CAD. MINOCA patients have a lower prevalence of dyslipidemia than their counterparts with AMI-CAD.6,7 Other traditional CAD risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, tobacco abuse, and a family history of myocardial infarction, are less frequent in MINOCA patients.4,5

MINOCA is a working diagnosis that has been increasingly described to be caused due to mechanisms contributing to ischemia, such as coronary spasm, coronary microvascular disorders, coronary plaque rupture, Takotsubo cardiomyopathy, and/or coronary emboli.8 Conventional coronary angiography tends to miss the etiology behind the myocardial injury in these patients; hence, invasive coronary reactivity testing is needed to establish the diagnosis.

Case Report

A 56-year-old female known case of hypertension came with angina and shortness of breath (SOB) of 1-day duration.

Patient developed sudden-onset chest pain, retrosternal, which lasted for 40 minutes. It started at rest, increased with minimal activity, relieved with sublingual nitrate to some extent, and associated with radiation to left arm and jaw and diaphoresis. She also had SOB of 1-day duration, which started with minimal exertion (New York Heart Association [NYHA] functional class [FC] III). There was no history of orthopnea or paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea (PND) episodes. No other cardiac complaints were recorded.

There was history of angina episodes in the past 2 years for which she went to hospital. She was given intravenous
(IV) or sublingual nitrates which relieved her pain. She was on treatment for hypertension since the past 12 years and for migraine since the past 8 years. The patient is a homemaker by occupation. She consumes a mixed diet. There was no history of alcohol intake, smoking, or tobacco chewing. No family history of any cardiac disease was present.

On examination, patient was conscious, coherent, and oriented. Her body mass index (BMI) is 30.4 kg/m². Temperature was 98.6 °F. Pulse rate was 96 beats per minute (BPM), regular in rhythm; blood pressure (BP) was 150/90 mm Hg in right upper limb in supine position. Breath rate was 18 cycles/min and O2 saturation was 98% while breathing room air. There was no anemia, jaundice, cyanosis, clubbing, pedal edema, or lymphadenopathy. On cardiovascular (CVS) examination, jugular venous pressure (JVP) had normal pressure and normal waveforms. Apical impulse was in left fifth intercostal (ICS) half inch medial to midclavicular line, LV type, and localized impulse. S1 and S2 were normal in intensity and with normal split. LV S3 and S4 were not audible. No added sounds were appreciated. Other systems examination were normal.

Investigations:

1. Chest X-ray (CXR) (►Fig. 1).
2. Electrocardiogram (►Fig. 2).
3. 2D echo (►Fig. 3)
4. Coronary angiogram (CAG) (►Figs 4–6).
5. Cardiac MRI (►Figs. 7 and 8).
6. Laboratory investigations (►Table 1).
7. Others.

CXR showed normal-sized heart with apex of left ventricular (LV) type (►Fig. 1). Normal-sized aorta and pulmonary bay is normal. Pulmonary vascular markings are normal.

ECG was suggestive of normal sinus rhythm, left axis deviation with rS in III, augmented vector foot (aVF), left anterior hemiblock (LAHB), ST depressions in I, augmented vector right (aVL), V2-V4 (►Fig. 2).

2D Echo
No regional wall motion abnormalities (RWMA), good biventricular function, grade I diastolic dysfunction, no mitral regurgitation (MR)/aortic regurgitation (AR)/tricuspid regurgitation (TR)/pulmonary artery hypertension (PAH), and no pulmonary embolism (PE)/vegetation (VEG)/clot.
Fig. 4 Coronary angiogram (CAG) in left anterior oblique (LAO) caudal view showing normal proximal left anterior descending (LAD) artery and proximal left circumflex artery (LCX) which are normal.

Fig. 5 Coronary angiogram (CAG) in right anterior oblique (RAO) cranial view showing normal mid and distal left anterior descending (LAD) artery.

Fig. 6 Coronary angiogram (CAG) in anteroposterior (AP) cranial view showing normal right coronary artery (RCA).

**Table 1** Laboratory investigations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Patient range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hb (gm/dl)</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total leucocyte count (per ul)</td>
<td>11,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytes (per ul)</td>
<td>3,60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na/K (mmol/L)</td>
<td>139/4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine/blood urea (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.7/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum total bilirubin/direct (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.6/0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGOT/SGPT (U/L)</td>
<td>36/28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein/albumin (gm/dl)</td>
<td>8/3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT/INR/aPTT(sec)</td>
<td>11/1.06/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total blood cholesterol/triglycerides levels (mg/dl)</td>
<td>152/78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C/LDL-C/VLDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>42/94/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-sensitivity CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm /1 hour)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT pro brain natriuretic peptide (pg/ml)</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPK/LDH (U/L)</td>
<td>1200/998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak troponin T levels</td>
<td>86 ng/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; CRP, C-reactive protein; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; INR, international normalized ratio; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; PT, prothrombin time; SGOT, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase; SGPT, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase; VLDL-C, very low density lipoprotein cholesterol.
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Laboratory Parameters
Except for elevation of cardiac enzymes and NT pro BNP, the rest of the reports were normal.

Coronary Angiogram
CAG (shown in Figs. 4, 5, 6) was done, and it was suggestive of normal epicardial coronaries. Normal coronary angiogram rules out plaque disruption, spontaneous coronary dissection, coronary thrombus, and embolism. Coronary reactivity testing is further required to confirm the coronary spasm/microvascular dysfunction, which is not feasible in our setting.

Cardiac MRI
Cardiac MRI (Figs. 7 and 8) was suggestive of normal study with no late gadolinium enhancement. It ruled out viral myocarditis and Takutsubo cardiomyopathy.

Clinical Diagnosis
Non-ST-segment elevation MI (NSTEMI) with elevated troponin and other cardiac enzymes and coronary angiogram suggest normal coronary arteries, with normal cardiac MRI ruling out myocarditis and Takutsubo cardiomyopathy, which makes MINOCA the most possible diagnosis, possibly second to epicardial coronary spasm/coronary microvascular dysfunction.

Patient was started on calcium channel blocker dilzem, which showed significant improvement, and her frequency of using sublingual nitrates was reduced.

Conclusion
There are no current appropriate management guidelines established for identification of the underlying causes of MINOCA; hence, it remains a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge for clinicians. Also, availability of coronary reactivity testing is limited in many centers.
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