Knowledge, Attitudes, and Perceptions about Antibiotic Stewardship Programs among Neonatology Trainees
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Abstract

Objective Antibiotic stewardship should be an essential component of neonatology training as neonatal intensive care units (NICU) have unique stewardship needs. Our aim was to assess neonatology fellowship trainees’ knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions about antibiotic stewardship to inform sustainable curriculum development.

Study Design We distributed an electronic survey to neonatology fellows in the United States over 4 months (January–April 2018) via Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education program directors.

Results Of 99 programs in the United States with an estimated 700 fellows, 159 (23%) fellows from 52 training programs (53%) responded to the survey and 139 (87%) provided analyzed responses. Majority of respondents were training in southern (59; 42%) and northeastern (43; 31%) regions and were equally spread across all 3 years of training. One hundred (72%) respondents reported an antibiotic stewardship program (ASP) in their institution. While 86% (120/139) were able to identify the components of an ASP, 59% (82/139) either did not or were unsure if they had received antibiotic stewardship training during fellowship.

Furthermore, while answering case studies, 124 (89%) respondents identified the optimal antibiotic for methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) infection and 69 (50%) respondents chose appropriate empiric antibiotics for neonatal meningitis. Notably, fellowship training year was not significantly related to the proportion of incorrect knowledge responses ($p = 0.40$). Most survey respondents (81; 59%) identified small group sessions as the most useful teaching format, while others chose audit and feedback of individual prescribing behavior (52; 38%) and didactic lectures (52; 38%). Finally, ninety-five (69%) respondents preferred trainee-led ASP interventions targeting focal areas such as antifungal and surgical prophylaxis.
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Antibiotic stewardship is increasingly important in medical training. The cornerstone of proper antibiotic stewardship centers on educating trainees, who can learn methods for improving antibiotic use to determine future practice patterns. Despite minimal prior antimicrobial prescribing experience, medical trainees primarily prescribe antibiotics in academic health care settings. Often, in postgraduate training, antibiotic stewardship teaching occurs in unstructured informal settings with no specific educational tool or measured competencies. While social media platforms have some success in antibiotic stewardship awareness and education, specific impact on appropriate antibiotic prescribing or uptake by medical trainees are still lacking.

Neonatal intensive care units, common in academic centers, are high-risk settings for the emergence and spread of drug-resistant bacteria. Recent studies describe successful NICU stewardship programs led to significant declines in antibiotic use without negative consequences (e.g., late onset sepsis or bacteremia). Yet, neonatal units are excluded from stewardship interventions particularly when embedded within larger academic hospitals focused on adult care. To resolve this, some NICUs without access to locally tailored interventions participate in national or state-level collaboratives and report significant reductions in antibiotic use. However, regional differences persist in antibiotic prescribing and stewardship. Providing neonatology trainees with early exposures to appropriate prescribing practices and the multidisciplinary approach to stewardship will likely carry over into their future clinical practices. Therefore, assessing neonatology fellowship trainees' knowledge and perceptions will highlight deficits in antibiotic stewardship education and inform future curriculum development.

Materials and Methods

Over a 4-month period (January–April 2018), we performed a 13-item survey study, which was distributed electronically (via unique email access links) by Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education program directors to neonatology fellows in the United States. Survey questions are available in Supplementary Material 1 (available in the online version). Survey reminder emails were periodically sent to partial or nonrespondents until the end of the study period. There was no compensation provided to respondents. The objective was to assess neonatology fellows' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions to inform sustainable NICU-specific antibiotic stewardship curricula. Our secondary aim was to describe regional trends in antibiotic stewardship knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions among neonatology trainees. A multidisciplinary group of neonatologists, pediatric infectious disease specialists and public health officials created survey questions focused on core elements of antibiotic stewardship programs (ASP). Three NICU fellows at different training levels tested questions prior to finalizing the survey tool. Based on their feedback, we adjusted the order of questions and emphasized brevity of survey within the introductory email. Survey response data were summarized in frequency tables. After stratifying respondents according to their NICU's geographical locations, we repeated the data analysis. All available survey responses were included in the analysis regardless of survey completion. Analysis of survey responses was conducted in SAS version 9.4 and Stata 15 by using Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test for categorical responses, with a significance level of <0.05.

Key Points

• Most neonatology programs expose trainees to internal or external antibiotic stewardship programs.
• Over half of fellow trainees are unsure about receiving targeted antibiotic stewardship training.
• Most neonatology fellows prefer a trainee-led antibiotic stewardship intervention.

Conclusion

Antibiotic stewardship is a critical part of neonatology training. Neonatology fellows report variation in access to ASP during their training. Fellows prefer dedicated trainee-led interventions and stewardship curriculum taught within small group settings to promote targeted NICU ASP.

Fig. 1 Stated preferences for leadership of neonatal intensive care unit-antibiotic stewardship program interventions.
Results

Using information from the 2017 National Resident Matching Program, we estimated our results for a denominator of 99 NICU fellowship programs and 700 neonatology trainees in fellowship. However, 159 individuals (23%) from 52 U.S. neonatology-training programs (53%) attempted the survey, but only 139 (87%) provided responses to survey questions and data for analysis. One respondent had demographic data but incomplete data for some other sections. Of the 138 completed surveys, respondents represented a wide range of U.S. geographical regions and were equally spread across all 3 years of training such that 48 (35%) were within their first year of fellowship, 40 (29%) were within their second year, and 51 (37%) were within 3 or more years of training (► Supplementary Table S1 [available in the online version]).

Table 1 Neonatology fellows’ survey responses assessing Antibiotic Stewardship Program knowledge questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASP knowledge</th>
<th>Survey questions</th>
<th>Options for survey responses</th>
<th>First-year fellow</th>
<th>Second-year fellow</th>
<th>&gt;Third-year fellow</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Implementing evidence-based recommendations to determine appropriate antibiotic use</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Using antibiotics only when it truly indicated</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Using the right antibiotic at the right dose</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Using the correct route (oral vs. intravenous)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Using antibiotics for the proper duration based on the infectious process</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All of the above (correct)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Switch to cefotaxime and continue antibiotics for 7 d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Discontinue antibiotics</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Repeat blood cultures to determine duration of antibiotics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Add fluconazole at treatment doses (not for prophylaxis)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Continue ampicillin and gentamicin for 7 d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You are evaluating a premature infant, born at 27 WGA for maternal complications, who was started on gentamicin and ampicillin at birth. Blood cultures are negative at 48 h and the infant is receiving age appropriate care in the NICU. What is the best course of action?

|               |      | Initiate vancomycin and a third-generation cephalosporin | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
|               |      | Initiate piperacillin/tazobactam and metronidazole | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|               |      | Obtain blood, urine, and CSF cultures and then start ampicillin and a third-generation cephalosporin (correct) | 25 | 16 | 27 | 68 |
|               |      | Obtain blood, urine, and CSF cultures and then initiate ampicillin and gentamicin | 22 | 21 | 17 | 60 |
|               |      | Start vancomycin and piperacillin/tazobactam | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

A 42-day-old ex 25 WGA infant developed labile blood pressures, and increased apneic events and respiratory distress 2 d ago. He has a central catheter and was started on vancomycin and cefotaxime for empiric antimicrobial coverage. His blood cultures are growing methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus? What do you do about his antimicrobial coverage?

|               |      | Change to oxacillin | 42 | 37 | 43 | 122 |
|               |      | Continue current coverage with vancomycin and cefotaxime | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|               |      | Continue vancomycin and discontinue cefotaxime | 4 | 3 | 8 | 15 |
|               |      | Change to ampicillin and gentamicin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; WGA, weeks of gestation age.
Notably, 100 of 138 individuals (72%) reported an ASP in their institution and most reported an external ASP collaborative. While 86% (120/139) were able to identify the components of ASP, 59% (82/139) either did not or were unsure if they had received antibiotic stewardship training during fellowship.

Antibiotic stewardship knowledge questions focused on appropriateness of antibiotics for specific clinical conditions. A total of 124 trainees (89%) identified optimal MSSA treatment. However, 69 (50%) neonatology trainees correctly identified appropriate clinical management and choice of empiric antibiotics for neonatal meningitis. Fellowship training year was not significantly related to the proportion of incorrect knowledge responses (p = 0.40) (Table 1).

There was no difference in antibiotic stewardship knowledge by geographic area when comparing the percentage of respondents who correctly identified the appropriate antibiotic choice for neonatal meningitis (50%; p = 0.59) or identified the optimal MSSA treatment (89%; p = 0.30). However, significantly fewer respondents in the Southern United States (59%) reported having an ASP in their NICU, compared with Midwest (87%), Northeast (81%) and West (79%; p = 0.02) United States. There were no differences in the percentage of respondents reportedly receiving antibiotic stewardship education as part of their fellowship (40%; p = 0.96) or able to correctly identify the components of an ASP by geographic region (86%; p = 0.26).

Overall, when all respondents were asked about the most useful format for ASP, 81 fellows (59%) responded favorably to small group sessions, 52 (38%) responded favorably to audits, 52 (38%) to didactic, and 46 (33%) to online learning modules. Additionally, ninety-five (69%) trainees would “probably” or “definitely” be interested in a trainee-led ASP (→ Fig. 1). Suggested focus areas for a dedicated NICU ASP were nectrotizing enterocolitis, antifungal prophylaxis, and appropriate surgical prophylaxis (→ Supplementary Table S1 [available in the online version]). Additional survey responses are included in → Supplementary Table S2 (available in the online version).

Discussion

With limited diagnostic modalities, high rates of antibiotic prescriptions and a neonatal population with nonspecific clinical symptoms attributed to sepsis, antibiotic stewardship education is essential for neonatology trainees.7 This survey showed that while most neonatology trainees have an ASP within their institutions and can correctly identify the core ASP components, more than half of respondents were unable to report specific antibiotic stewardship training during fellowship. The lack of targeted ASP training might explain the differences in antibiotic stewardship knowledge and attitudes across trainee levels and geographical areas. Since medical training occurs predominantly via didactic lectures, it was enlightening to see our survey results support the use of small group sessions for ASP education.

Implementation of targeted NICU ASP interventions and appropriate use of antibiotics should decrease deleterious impact of excess antibiotic use while maximizing benefits from optimized use.10,11 Neonatology fellows’ responses showed preference for a trainee-led NICU ASP suggesting stewardship interventions targeting trainee-prescribing behavior might be more impactful if championed by trainees. Practically, most ASP programs will require infectious disease, neonatology, or pharmacy faculty leadership to provide initial training for fellows but targeted NICU ASP interventions may succeed with fellow leadership or championing. Additionally, survey respondents identified clinical topics without clear antibiotic prescribing guidelines, specifically nectrotizing enterocolitis, antifungal prophylaxis, and duration of surgical prophylaxis, as targeted areas for NICU ASP education. These results can inform strategies to improve ASP education and create opportunities for involvement of trainees since most preferred trainee-led ASP.2

Despite identifying targeted areas for ASP curriculum for neonatology trainees, the low response rate and unequal responses across geographical regions limit broad application of survey results. Additionally, survey findings cannot inform specific recommendations for individual institutions due to anonymous responses. Yet, it is evident that antibiotic stewardship should remain a critical part of neonatology training. While most institutions have a stewardship program, a dedicated curriculum incorporating small group sessions and didactics may be beneficial for educating neonatology trainees. Additionally, there is an urgent need for future studies assessing knowledge retention and impact on antibiotic prescribing.

Note

This project was previously presented at IDWeek 2019
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