Incisional Hernia: A Prospective Study
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Abstract

An incisional hernia is being a universal problem and topic of discussion worldwide. There is no clear-cut guideline of abdominal wall closure after major abdominal surgeries that can effectively prevent the occurrence of incisional hernia. We found out that most of the patients presented with pain over previous surgery scar with swelling. The defect was usually larger than 2 cm. Most postoperative patients complaint of pain, seroma, and hematoma formation. There was a minimal recurrence rate after onlay mesh repair in our setup.

Introduction

Incisional hernia can be described as protrusion of abdominal contents with peritoneum through the scar or weak part that develops after previous surgery. It includes a type of hernia that is caused by a poorly healed surgical site of prior operation. Midline scar hernias are one of the most common incisional hernias.¹,²

An incisional hernia develops commonly due to high tension midline closure and surgical site infection postoperatively. This hernia increases in size gradually and results in severe discomfort to the patient, pain, and sometimes may present with intestinal obstruction too. It is found in the literature that around 50% of this hernia starts after 2 years of postsurgery and 75% within 3 years. And this risk increases in later years gradually.³,⁵

Incisional hernias are very difficult to manage in any setup. Because of its frustrating results during treatment which includes surgical site infection, skin necrosis, and seroma formation.

This study is an effort to evaluate clinical presentations and management of incisional hernia in our setup.

Aims

2. The postoperative complication of incisional hernia repair in our setup.

Materials and Methods

This prospective observational study has been done at a tertiary care center. This study was performed in the Department of Surgery, J.A. Group of Hospitals, Gera Raja Medical College, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India, from January 2019 to June 2020.

A total of 40 patients have been studied who were admitted in the department of surgery with a diagnosis of incisional hernia of ages between 12 and 65 years with complain of pain and discomfort at the site of the swelling, with a history of previous surgery and postoperative complication and willing for surgery due to cosmetic purposes.

Detailed clinical examination and appropriate investigations like blood, urine, liver function test, renal function test, bleeding profile, hepatitis B surface antigen, and human...
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immunodeficiency virus, along with chest X-ray and electrocardiogram were performed. Ultrasonography was done in every case for assessment of the defect size in patients along with the search for any existing comorbid diseases. All data were collected in a predefined pro forma after the due consent of the patient.

Observations and Results

Our study was conducted over 40 patients admitted from January 2019 to June 2020 in the Department of Surgery, Gajra Raja Medical College, and J.A. Group of Hospital, Gwalior. After collection and analysis of data the following results came out in view.

Symptoms Wise Distribution

In our study, the most common symptom was swelling with pain (55%). The swelling was visible on standing or exertion. The pain was dragging in nature. Other 45% cases presented with swelling only (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling and pain</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Defect Size Wise Distribution

In our study, the defect size of incisional hernia in the abdominal wall was calculated by ultrasonography and was noted less than 2 cm in 5 patients (12.5%) and more than 4 cm in 18 patients (45%). Seventeen patients (42.5%) had defects ranging from 2 to 4 cm (Table 2).

1. Operative Procedure Wise Distribution
2. The anatomical repair was done in 5 patients.
3. Mesh only repair done in 35 patients (Table 3).

Postoperative Complications

In our study, all 40 patients had pain which was treated with analgesics. Two patients had seroma formation which was treated by aspiration and dressings. Three patients had hematoma formation which was also treated by drainage and dressings. Three patients had wound infection which was treated with antibiotics according to culture and sensitivity reports. There was no mortality noted in the postoperative course in this study (Table 4).

Discussion

An incisional hernia is being a universal problem and topic of discussion worldwide. There is no clear-cut guideline of abdominal wall closure after major abdominal surgeries that can effectively prevent the occurrence of incisional hernia. This prospective study was conducted on over 40 patients in the above-mentioned duration in the department of surgery. And we have observed various symptoms, distribution of hernias on the basis of their size, surgeries we performed, and different complications after it.

Most patients of incisional hernia presented with abdominal swelling and pain (55%). While other patients of incisional hernia presented only with swelling over the abdomen (45%). This presentation in our study matches the internationally reported presentations.

In our study, 45% of the patient had defect size of more than 4 cm while 42.5% of patients had defect size between 2 and 4 cm. Jack believes that mesh repair is the best method of repair for large ventral hernia but has not specified the size of the defect. A systematic review found that hernia repair without prosthetic mesh is associated with unsatisfactory recurrence rates of 12 to 54%, whereas hernia repairs with mesh result in recurrence rates of 2 to 36%. It is now believed that only the smallest (less than 3 cm) incisional hernia should be mended by primary tissue approximation with sutures. A population-based study of 10,882 patients in the United States found an elevation in the frequency of the utilization of synthetic mesh from 35% in 1987 to 65% by 1999.

In our study polypropylene mesh and also the suture material of the identical type was used to repair the incisional hernias and also the technique of the repair was decided by the tone of the abdominal muscle, size of the hernia defect, whether this defect might be approximated without tension,
and general condition of the patient. Thirty-five out of 40 patients were treated with onlay polypropylene mesh repair and 5 with anatomical resturing of the defect.

Wound infection occurred in 3 patients, while 2 patients had seroma formation after mesh repair.

There were no recurrences noted in our study; however, the follow-up period was variable and brief to comment upon. Usher et al\textsuperscript{7} reported zero recurrence in 48 patients who were managed by polypropylene mesh repair. Burger et al\textsuperscript{8} revealed a 10-year cumulative rate of recurrence of 63\% in anatomical repair and 32\% in mesh repair. The recurrence rate thus varies in several studies but all studies support mesh repair for minimizing the recurrence rate.

With complete patient evaluation, adequate skin preparation before surgery, accurate surgical technique, nonabsorbable sutures use for musculoaponeurotic tissue, suction drain use, perioperative broad-spectrum antibiotics use, nasogastric aspiration, early ambulation, and chest physiotherapy, complication rates in our study was restricted to a minimum.

With prosthetic mesh, defects of any size may be repaired without tension. The polypropylene mesh, by inducing inflammatory response sets up scaffolding that successively induces the synthesis of collagen.

Thus, the prevalence of mesh repair over suture repair must be accounted for.

Conclusion

Forty cases of incisional hernia, admitted to our hospital were studied. In this study, the statistical data and analysis of the cases studied for an incisional hernia during the period January 2019 to May 2020 are presented.

Most of the patients presented with swelling and pain (55\%) at the previous surgical scar, out of which 35 patients had more than 2 cm defect size of incisional hernia. Thirty-five out of 40 patients had been repaired by onlay mesh repair. In our study, all 40 patients suffered from postoperative pain which was treated with analgesics. Two patients had seroma formation which was treated by drainage and dressings. Three patients had hematoma formation which was also treated by aspiration and dressings. Three patients had wound infection which was treated with antibiotics according to culture and sensitivity reports. There was no recurrence in our study though the follow-up period was inadequate to make a correct evaluation of recurrence.
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