Occurrence of Adrenal Suppression in Patients Having Sepsis in Indian Population and Impact of Corticosteroid Supplementation on Its Overall Survival
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Abstract

Objective  Our aim was to estimate the occurrence of adrenal suppression in critically ill patients with septic shock who have got admission in intensive care unit and to evaluate the effectiveness of hydrocortisone in these patients in relation to mortality of patient, development of septic shock, and effect on total leucocyte count.

Methods  Serum cortisol was measured in 120 patients with sepsis. Patients with decreased cortisol level were split in to two groups (group A and B). Group A received 50 mg of hydrocortisone 6 hourly and group B was given matching placebo. At day 7, serum cortisol level was estimated for both A and B groups. The results were calculated and compared with relation to incidence of adrenal insufficiency, development of septic shock, effect on total leucocyte count, and survival at 28 days.

Results  The occurrence of adrenal suppression in patients having sepsis in our study was 44 out of 120 patients, that is, 36.6%. After supplementation of corticosteroid for 7 days the mean value of serum cortisol of group A was 40.38 ± 8.44 µg/dL and group B was 24.30 ± 6.47 µg/dL (p < 0.001). At day 7, in group A, 22.7% developed septic shock, whereas in group B, 36.4% developed septic shock (p < 0.001). In group A and B, mortality rate of the patients at 28 days was 18.2 and 22.7%, respectively.

Conclusion  Hydrocortisone supplementation in critically ill patients with low random basal serum cortisol level with sepsis does not significantly improve the overall survival.
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Introduction

Sepsis is a systemic life-threatening illness, which is marked by inflammation and abnormal defense response to infection in host. The clinical profile of patients varies from mild symptoms to very severe symptoms and signs. Septic shock and multiorgan dysfunction are usual complications.¹ In the developed world, occurrence of septic shock was 8.2% of all
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intensive care unit (ICU) admissions, in which the death rate was 55 to 62.1%. Sepsis is currently the most significant cause of mortality in all ICUs worldwide. Mortality rate is as high as 30 to 50% in spite of advanced treatment. So, there is need for further investigations and early goal-directed treatment. The debate about supplementation of steroids in management of septic shock was started in 1915 when suprarenal apoplexy was diagnosed. Cahalane and Waters in their study found hemorrhage in adrenal gland in a patient of meningococcal septicemia. They concluded that adrenal hemorrhage resulted in acute adrenal suppression leading to death. Many authors have studied the role of steroid supplementation in the management of septic shock but study outcomes were controversial. Annane and Briegel et al. first studied the role of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis in septic shock. They found higher rates of adrenal suppression in these patients in whom glucocorticoid supplementation increased the longevity. There was very few data in literature regarding the occurrence of adrenal suppression in Indian population having septic shock. The primary aim of this research was to evaluate the incidence of adrenal suppression in patients with sepsis, as measured by random serum cortisol levels. The secondary objectives were to evaluate the impact of such dysfunction, and eventually to predict the usefulness of serum cortisol testing and the effectiveness of hydrocortisone in these patients in relation to mortality of patient, development of septic shock, and effect on total leukocyte count (TLC).

Methods

Our trial is a randomized and prospective trial conducted after approval from the Institutional Ethical Committee from August 2018 to November 2020 at central ICU, S.C.B. Medical College and Hospital, Odisha, India. All the patients have given written and informed consent.

Study Setting

This study was conducted in the central ICU of the S.C.B. Medical College and Hospital.

Study Design

This is a prospective randomized study.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Patients with evidence of sepsis.
2. Patients of both sexes.
3. Age group of 18 to 65 years.
4. Serum albumin > 2.5 g/dL.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Patients < 18 years and > 65 years.
2. Patients with a known disease involving the HPA axis.
3. Patients already on some form of glucocorticoid treatment.
4. Patients with multiorgan dysfunction syndrome.
5. Patients with established septic shock on inotropic support.
6. Serum albumin < 2.5 g/dL.

American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine Consensus Definitions

Systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) requirements:
1. Temperature more than 38.3°C or less than 36°C.
2. Pulse rate more than 90 beats per minute.
3. Breathing rate more than 20/minute or PaCO₂ < 32 mm Hg (< 4.3 kPa).
4. Leucocyte count more than 12,000 cells/mm³ or < 4000 cells/mm³ or > 10% immature forms (band).

Any two of the above criteria must be satisfied to be labeled as to have SIRS. Evidence of sepsis was pronounced as patients satisfying minimum two criteria of the SIRS with evidence of infection like either positive bacterial cultures or elevated procalcitonin (PCT) > 2 ng/mL. PCT levels < 0.5 ng/mL is taken as normal. PCT concentrations between 0.5 and 2 ng/mL indicate the chance of sepsis. PCT level between 2 and 10 ng/mL strongly indicate sepsis. Severe sepsis is sepsis associated with organ damage, including, but not limited to, acute oliguria, arterial hypoxemia (PaO₂/FiO₂ < 300), coagulation abnormalities (international normalized ratio > 1.5 or activated partial thromboplastin time > 60 seconds), and altered sensorium. Septic shock is confirmed when sepsis is present with persistent hypotension, instead of requisite fluid therapy, together with signs of abnormal perfusion (hyperlactatemia > 1 mmol/L and decreased capillary refill or mottling). Serum cortisol levels were measured for all patients satisfying the inclusion criteria, at the time of inclusion in the study at day 1. Blood pressure, respiratory rate, and temperature of all the patients included in the study were recorded at day 1. Those patients having decreased serum cortisol level were randomly divided into two groups—group A and group B. Group A received 50 mg of hydrocortisone 6 hourly and group B was given matching placebo. At day 7, serum cortisol level was estimated for both group A and B. The results were calculated and compared in relation to development of septic shock, effect on total white blood cell count, and survival at 28 days/death.

Serum Cortisol Testing

Immunoassay method was used to measure cortisol level. This was total serum cortisol which included both free cortisol and the cortisol bound to cortisol binding globulin (CBG) and albumin. This value is dependent on the serum albumin levels, a surrogate for CBG. Hence only patients with a serum albumin > 2.5 g/dL were enrolled in this trial. A total cortisol value of < 20 μg/dL was considered subnormal. There is no demonstrable circadian rhythm in serum cortisol levels in seriously ill patients due to altered HPA axis. So the samples for serum cortisol testing were drawn randomly at any time of the day. All the observed data was tabulated and statistically analyzed and compared among the two groups.

Statistical Software

Data analysis was done using statistical software SPSS 22.0. Continuous measurements data was presented as mean
± standard deviation. Categorical measurement data was presented as number (%). Randomization among the study participants was done using computer-generated random number in 1:1 sequence. Student’s t-test was used for continuous data. Homogeneity of variance was tested by Leven’s test. Fisher’s exact test was used for categorical data. A p-value of < 0.001 was taken as highly significant.

**Results**

A total of 120 symptomatic patients of either sex, aged 18 to 65 years who were found positive for sepsis were included in this study. Random serum cortisol level was estimated in all these patients at the day of admission. Out of these 120 patients adrenal insufficiency is found in 44 patients (males 26 and females 18). These 44 patients were randomized into two groups: group A and group B. Group A received 50 mg of hydrocortisone 6 hourly and group B was given matching placebo. At day 7, serum cortisol level was estimated for both group A and group B. The incidence of development of septic shock, effect on TLC, and survival at 28 days/death was recorded. In both the groups, empirical broad spectrum antibiotics were started soon after recruitment in the study after sending the samples for bacterial culture and sensitivity. The occurrence of adrenal suppression in patients with sepsis in our study was 44 out of 120 patients, that is, 36.6% (►Fig. 1). There was no notable variation between the two groups with regard to pulse rate, breath rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and temperature on day 1 (►Table 1). The mean value of serum cortisol at day 1 in group A was $10.36 \pm 2.69 \mu g/dL$ and in group B was $10.73 \pm 2.93 \mu g/dL$ with p-value = 0.670. So, both these groups were comparable with respect to serum cortisol level at day 1. After exogenous supplementation of corticosteroid for 7 days the mean value of serum cortisol of group A was $40.38 \pm 8.44 \mu g/dL$ and group B was $24.30 \pm 6.47 \mu g/dL$ (p < 0.001) (►Table 2). At day 1, mean TLC of group A was $14,336 \pm 2593.87/mm^3$ and that of group B was $14,350 \pm 2351/mm^3$ with p-value = 0.986. So both these groups were comparable with respect to TLC at day 1. After 7 days of corticosteroid therapy mean TLC value of group A was $12136.24 \pm 2611.84/mm^3$ and that of group B was $13645.45 \pm 4482.95/mm^3$. Though there is some decrease in TLC in group A in contrary to group B but it was not significant (►Fig. 2). At day 7 in group A, 5 out of 22 patients, that is, 22.7%, there was development of septic shock. Whereas in group B, 8 out of 22 patients, that is, 36.4%, went into septic shock. There was no notable variation seen among group A and group B with respect to development of septic shock at day 7 (►Fig. 3). Mortality rate of the patients at day 28 in group A was 18.2% (4 out of 22) and in group B was 22.7% (5 out of 22) which was statistically not significant (►Fig. 4).

![Fig. 1](image1.png) Occurrence of adrenal suppression.

**Table 1** Comparison of clinical variables in patients studied in two groups at day 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group A (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Group B (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>110.09 ± 8.25</td>
<td>108.55 ± 7.74</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate (min)</td>
<td>18.41 ± 2.36</td>
<td>17.77 ± 2.00</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>105.55 ± 9.42</td>
<td>105.09 ± 9.58</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>68.27 ± 5.10</td>
<td>67.91 ± 4.60</td>
<td>0.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°F)</td>
<td>102.10 ± 1.74</td>
<td>102.38 ± 1.23</td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: bpm, beats per minute; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation.

![Fig. 2](image2.png) Total leucocyte count (TLC) in two groups.

![Fig. 3](image3.png) Development of septic shock in patients in the two groups at day 7.
patients nor produced any improvement in shock, which is hydrocortisone neither improved the survival of critically ill patients. In a study by Sprung et al., the members of the Corticosteroid study concluded that hydrocortisone supplementation increased mortality and morbidity in critically ill patients. However, Bernard concluded that hydrocortisone supplementation reduced the mortality rates of the patients who were critically ill but it was not significant. The above studies were in agreement with our study. In contrast to our study, Rady et al. concluded that corticosteroids supplementation increased mortality and morbidity in critically ill patients as it increased the susceptibility to nosocomial infections, and exacerbated critical illness neuropathy and myopathy. So each critically ill patient must be evaluated carefully for corticosteroids supplementation.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum cortisol (µg/dL)</th>
<th>Group A (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Group B (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At day 1</td>
<td>10.36 ± 2.69</td>
<td>10.73 ± 2.93</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At day 7</td>
<td>24.30 ± 6.47</td>
<td>24.30 ± 6.47</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

### Conclusion

We concluded that occurrence of adrenal suppression in seriously ill patients with sepsis in our setup is 36.67%. The rise in serum cortisol level after corticosteroid supplementation has no role in prevention of septic shock. Hydrocortisone supplementation in critically ill patients having low random basal serum cortisol level with sepsis does not significantly improve the overall survival.
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