A Step Toward Optimizing Regenerative Medicine Principle to Combat COVID-19
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Drugs are currently not licensed in specific to pulverize COVID-19. On an emergency basis, vaccines were approved to prevent the further spread of COVID-19. This serves as a potential background for considering the optimization of biologics. In this context, evidence on convalescent plasma and stem cells has shown a beneficial role. Here, we have considered this as plausible therapy, and further hypothesize that their cocktails will synergistically boost the immunogenicity to relegate COVID-19. This warrants a large volume clinical trial on an emergent basis, because the sooner we establish a safe and effective cure, the better.

Introduction

The world is currently jeopardized by the pandemic Coronavirus infection, called COVID-19. It has spread across the world rapidly, resulting in the World Health Organization (WHO) announcing it as a pandemic on March 11, 2020. This pandemic of COVID-19 has not only brought life to a standstill but has also challenged the public health facility, advancing medical sciences and technologies. The contagion is transmitted from person to person either by direct contact or...
indirect contact. Currently, there are 116,849,611 confirmed cases with 2,593,230 deaths (as of March 8, 2021). People with low immunity, old age, and medical comorbidity, especially related to lungs, are more prone to COVID-19 disease. The incidence and mortality are varying across countries and territories. The clinical learning from previous episodes of Coronavirus outbreaks (SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV) and other episodes of viral etiology has precluded subsets of drugs as empirical therapy. These subsets include protease inhibitors (ritonavir; lopinavir), aminoquinolines (chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine), nucleotide analog (remdesivir) and pyrazine carboxamide-derived prodrug (favipiravir) along with adjuncts including azithromycin, corticosteroids and interleukin-6 (IL-6) inhibitors, which have been subjected to various clinical trials across various countries. As of now, over 90 countries are working together under the WHO’s launched mega trial “Solidarity” for finding the effectiveness of four subsets of drugs (with selection based on evidence from laboratory, animal, and clinical studies)—remdesivir; lopinavir/ritonavir; lopinavir/ritonavir with interferon β1a; and chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine. To date, in India, vaccines like covishield and covaxin are available in the market to prevent COVID-19 infection. This background draws potential attention for optimizing the principles of regenerative medicine to combat the severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic. Evidence has shown its beneficial role. This article aims to outline the principle mechanism of novel therapeutics (mesenchymal stem cells [MSCs] and convalescent plasma [CP] and cocktails), channelized for the relegation of COVID-19, as shown in Fig. 1.

**Convalescent Plasma Therapy for SARS-CoV-2**

Convalescent plasma (CP) therapy, classic adaptive immunotherapy, has been under the radar for preventing and treating a number of infectious etiologies for more than a century. One of the effective passive therapeutic approaches during an outbreak of any infectious disease is the passive antibody therapy from convalescent patient serum who has recovered from the infection. The viral neutralizing antibodies, as a subset, subjugate viral infectivity. By binding to the surface epitopes present over viral particles, they block the entry of the virus into the cell. Protective activities are elicited by three key ways which prevent the attachment of the virion to its receptors on the target cells, resulting in aggregates of viral particles. Further, the constant (C) region of the antibody-mediated opsonization or complement activation sets the way to lyse SARS-CoV-2.

CP, as a therapeutic development, is the need of the hour, as it is not only a prerequisite in controlling this prevailing pandemic but also a plausible preventive strategy to counteract the reemergence of the SARS-CoV-2. The development of CP therapy efforts will be an area of enormous research in the coming years. Currently, several strategies such as antiviral therapeutics and host-targeting agents in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 are being used. Compared to these therapeutic modalities, CP therapy appears to be more specific for immune development and is responsible for a high recovery rate among infected patients. Understanding the mechanism of action of CP therapy may layout an equivocal reflection for the development of immunotherapy and passive therapy for SARS-CoV-2 expeditiously (as shown in Fig. 2).

To date, it has been reported that CP therapy for both SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV has resulted in effective recovery of the infected individuals in previous outbreaks and epidemics. Also, it is to be noted that the effect of antibody immune response to protection against SARS-CoV’s pulmonary pathology is seemingly controversial in some cases and thereby calls for cautious addressal by considering humoral and cellular immune responses of SARS-CoV-2, following the development of this antiviral immunotherapy. This type
of passive therapy is simple but serves as a very potential effective tool for developing immediate immune responses under critical conditions. In the current COVID-19 pandemic, the patients with resolved SARS-CoV-2 infection will have significant serum antibody immune response (IgG) to different viral epitopes of the SARS-CoV-2 whole virus particle, and some of these developed antibody responses in the host system will likely be neutralizing the viruses, significantly preventing the chances of getting reinfection because of high level of antibody titers produced by their host immune system against the SARS-CoV-2 viruses earlier.\textsuperscript{13,20}

Plasma transfusion has been widely used in many other medical conditions in general; thus, it does not require any newer science or technology or any medical approvals for its incorporation into the treatment regimen. Since the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic health crisis continues to be a challenge, the patients who survived this SARS-CoV-2 infection can be the effective serum donors to make antisera for treating SARS-CoV-2 patients, thereby leading to the development of storage of significant antisera stocks for treating infected patients.\textsuperscript{9,21} The potency of antiviral effects with convalescent patients’ sera, moreover, would have higher significant variability, making it less ideal.

Despite the initial treatment measures taken with regard to improvement of oral antiviral drugs and steroidal agents, the chances of patients experiencing an exacerbation of this viral infection have been noticed in the second week of treatment, and after aggressive immunomodulatory therapy, the patients were found to record improvements on their way to complete recovery from the infection effectively.\textsuperscript{22,23} This was also because of the persistence of lymphopenia, which may be an indication of persistent disease activity.\textsuperscript{23,24} Hence, one of the best ways to reduce the viral load in the host system is through immunoglobulin administration against the viruses, and it is reported in previous studies with SARS-CoV that the fever decreases upon administration of CP of specific immunoglobulins for the prevention of infection rather than treatment of viruses.\textsuperscript{25}

Production of such CP is dependent completely on the presence and willingness of the SARS-CoV-2 survivor to provide plasma for treating other infected patients. Also, the nature of monoclonal antibody cocktails is highly advantageous in defined doses that can be administered to the infected patients for the purposes of recovery. Large-scale production can be relatively easier, and polyclonal antibodies (serum) would contain several non-neutralizing and neutralizing antibodies that would greatly reduce the potential for escape mutants.\textsuperscript{26,27}

A study by Duan et al, in 2020, showed that CP therapy was well-tolerated by 10 severely-ill patients (confirmed by real-time viral RNA test) and further accounted for potential improvisation in clinical outcome by neutralizing viremia in these patients. These patients were enrolled prospectively and were administered a single dose of 200 mL of CP procured from recently recovered donors having neutralizing antibody titers above 1:640 along with maximal supportive care and antiviral agents.\textsuperscript{28} Safety of CP transfusion was the primary end-point, while improvised symptomatology and laboratory parameters within 3 days following CP transfusion served as secondary end-points. However, it addressed the need for further investigation in controlled trials at the larger level for optimizing the dose, timing, and clinical benefit.\textsuperscript{28} The dire lack of specific antiviral medicine fuels the need for an alternative modality for treating COVID-19 infection, especially for severe COVID-19 affected patients.\textsuperscript{16,23}

Services under the branch of transfusion medicine should now certainly put forth convalescent patient sera as the right option for treating infected patients, which would lead to significant recovery. Although the mechanism of action and the timing of CP in SARS-CoV-2 has to be studied further, immunotherapeutic treatment with CP is a promising option as a life-saving treatment for SARS-CoV-2 infected patients with potential benefits.\textsuperscript{15,29}

Mesenchymal Stem Cells Therapy for COVID-19

The research analysis on the molecular pathogenesis has highlighted how angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) receptors facilitate the viral entry into the human host and
further shed infectivity. To date, no specific effective treatment has been approved for COVID-19. Avoidance is the principal strategy of deterrence. The search is on for a definitive treatment against this contagion by numerous collaborative bodies around the globe.

Advancing molecular and regenerative medicine has led to the emergence of biologically active cells such as stem cells, bioactive materials, and growth factors in the healing process and tissue regeneration. The basis of regenerative medicine is as follows:

a. Provision of a matrix, composed of ground substance and scaffold, wherein the stem cells form the targeted tissue of interest.
b. A change in the microenvironment around the cells to direct the healing of damaged tissues.
c. Utilizing potent substances like growth factors for inducing the tissue of interest.
d. Use of stem cells as biologically potent cells to produce the tissue of interest.

Cellular therapy offers a revolutionary cascade of natural healing.33,34 Administration of stem cells aids in escalating treatment and leads to functional recovery from diseases. Stem cell promotes biological healing of disease. Therefore, MSCs serve as the perfect cell-based, tissue-regenerative modality for treating disorders under a minimally invasive platform. The choice of source and anti-inflammatory effects.40,41 MSCs are readily accessible and expandable in vitro with exceptional genomic stability.34,42,43 However, ethical concerns pertaining to MSCs remain debatable.43,49

MSCs are found in bone marrow, cord cells, adipose tissue, amniotic fluid, and molar teeth.47,48 The choice of source of MSCs is also debatable.41,49 Bone marrow-derived MSCs (BM-MSCs) can be derived from the iliac crest and should be subjected to centrifugation (3200 rpm for 15 minutes) to concentrate MSCs.40,50 Autologous BM-MSCs avoid immunogenic reactions once administered.51 Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (AD-MSCs) are found in abundance in the human body, which can be accessed easily. However, the process of concentrating stem cells warrants complex processing to obtain adipose tissue-derived stromal vascular fraction (SVF). SVF is an aqueous fraction with a combination of adipose-derived stem cells, endothelial precursor cells, endothelial cells, macrophages, smooth muscle cells, lymphocytes, pericytes, and preadipocytes.52,54 The stem cell activity of adipose-derived SVF is three times higher than BM-MSCs.55,56 Umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells (UC-MSCs) are allogenic. Combating immunological reaction with allogenic UC-MSCs warrants lyophilization of UC-MSCs, which is a complex procedure.57,58 They are delivered to produce the target action via direct delivery (aspirated stem cells are directed toward the injury site) and cell-based delivery (isolation, culture, and differentiation in media to exponentially increase their numbers before application at the injury site).40,59,60

MSCs attenuate the generation of Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells by modulating the antigen-presenting function of dendritic cells in a cytokine-dependent manner.61-63 MSCs render dendritic cells ineffective due to the decreased expression of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and costimulatory molecules. Thus, MSCs modulate immune-stimulatory mechanisms in the injured lung parenchyma.64-66

MSCs curb microbial agents by downregulating proinflammatory molecules and upregulating anti-inflammatory molecules. There is increased phagocytosis and upregulation of indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase (IDO) and IL-17, which renders MSCs with antimicrobial effects.67-69 MSCs attack intracellular targets and inhibit the process of transcription, translation, and posttranslational modifications of viral proteins. Hence, MSCs initiate the hyperimmune response to microbial agents (as shown in Fig. 3).70

MSC-derived exosomes act as a cell-free targeted therapy to exert the therapeutic action. There are eight clinical trials registered as of March 8, 2021. MSC-derived exosome consists of both extracellular vesicles and several soluble proteins, cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors.71 This exosome can interact with the target cells and modify the recipient cell’s fate by endogenous stem cell activation, apoptosis suppression, regulation of the inflammatory response, fibrosis reduction, and mediation of chemotaxis.72-74 The immunomodulatory cargo of MSCs exosomes combined with the antiviral drugs make them a novel intervention tool for the treatment of the disease.74 Remdesivir antiviral drug, which is prescribed for the treatment of patients with COVID-19, can be loaded into the exosome.75,76 However, in the case of COVID-19, more scrutiny is essential to have a full

---

**Fig. 3** Effects of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in nCOVID-19.
understanding of their safety, specificity, proficiency, and delivery mechanisms of antiretroviral drugs to target tissues.

**Route of Administration**

MSCs, once administered through the intravenous route, enter the systemic circulation to reach pulmonary circulation and settle in the injured pulmonary parenchyma. Once it reaches the site of action, MSCs are differentiated into type 2 alveolar epithelium. Researchers, in pilot studies, have administered UC-MSCs through an intravenous (IV) route.\(^{77,78}\) Tzouvelekis et al documented excellent pulmonary function with three endobronchial infusions of autologous adipose-derived stem cells at the rate of 0.5 million cells per kg of body weight per infusion in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis without any side effects.\(^{79,80}\) Endobronchial administration entails the risk of aerosolization.\(^{81,82}\) The efficacy of IV or endobronchial route administration have to be validated and documented.

SARS-CoV-2 uses ACE-2 receptors for entry, and TMPRSS-2 serine protease aids in S protein priming which spreads the infection to the host.\(^{83-85}\) These receptors show a wide range of expression not only in pulmonary parenchyma but also in cardiac, hepatic, and renal tissues which lead to dyspnea, systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS), and death in COVID-19 positive patients.\(^{41,85,86}\) The intervention with MSCs in COVID-19 patients has immunomodulatory effects and simulates differentiation abilities. In COVID-19 patients, IV administration of MSCs leads to the upregulation of neutralizing chemokines IL-10 and IL-17, and rejuvenates and regenerates the repaired parenchymatous pulmonary tissue through neoangiogenesis.\(^{87-89}\) Hence, the patient will have an improved pulmonary function, compliance, and recovery from COVID-19 pneumonia.

There are 72 trials registered on clinicaltrials.gov to elucidate the role of MSCs in COVID-19, of which 32 are recruiting, 18 are not recruiting, 3 are enrolling by invitation, 8 are active and not recruiting, 2 studies are withdrawn (NCT04293692 and NCT04341610), the status of one study is unknown, and 8 studies are completed as on March 8, 2021.\(^{90}\)

A few researchers have performed a pilot study on MSCs to combat COVID-19 pneumonia. Leng et al used 10\(^6\) clinical-grade MSCs per kilogram of weight, which was given as an IV dose to all the 7 patients and proved clinical improvement of the patients and concluded MSCs are not infected by SARS-CoV-2.\(^{91}\) Liang et al IV infused three doses of 5 × 10\(^7\) hUCMSC in a critically ill 65-year-old patient, which significantly improved the patient’s condition without any side effects.\(^{92}\) As 5 clinical trials are completed, MSCs pave a ray of hope in COVID-19, because of its regenerative and immunomodulatory potential. The results of the completed studies are tabulated in **Table 1**.

**Ethical Concern with MSCs**

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidelines defined cultured cells as “minimally” and “more than minimally” manipulated, which describe procedures “that might alter the biological features of the cells.”\(^{40,93}\) The criteria of minimal manipulation conditions have not been precisely framed and documented for the collection and isolation of MSCs. Various studies concluded inadequate information regarding the cell culture protocols due to the use of supplemented cell culture media, enzymatic treatment, and long-term cell expansion that are known to change the quality of MSCs.\(^{43,94}\) To reiterate, protocols for isolation and culturing as per Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) requirements still need optimal validation.

Human cellular and tissue-based therapies or products (HCT/Ps) are regulated by FDA under Section 351 and Section 361.\(^{95}\) FDA emphasizes on halting of communicable diseases transmission between donor and recipient to improve the manufacturing units which, in turn, reduce the risk of contamination and demonstrate effectiveness and safety of the processed cells for use. FDA defines minimal manipulation of cells/tissues as the processing of cells/tissues, which does not alter biological characteristics of cells/tissues of interest.\(^{96,97}\) Under part 1271 and section 361, FDA laid the criteria for cellular therapy as minimally manipulated, involves homologous use, avoids combination of cells and tissues with any other substances, causes no systemic effect, is independent of cellular metabolism, and utilized for autologous and allogeneic use in first or second-degree relatives.\(^{40}\)

**Plausible Combination of CP + MSC for COVID-19**

There are no trials conducted except a case report to elucidate the combined efficacy of MSCs and CP when administered together.\(^{100}\) MSCs are bestowed with homing ability, immune-regulatory nature, anti-inflammatory effects, multilineage differentiation potential, and lack of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 receptors.\(^{41,88,89}\) MSCs are innately immune to SARS-CoV-2 infection as they lack key entry points.\(^{70,101}\)

Immunomodulatory and regenerative potential of MSCs promote repair, regeneration, and rejuvenation of pulmonary parenchymal cells, and also resist necroapoptosis and fibrosis of damaged pulmonary parenchymal tissues, which make MSCs a novel therapeutic option in the inflammatory or late stage of the disease.\(^{102-104}\)

On the other hand, CP, being passive immunotherapy, is more effective as prophylaxis than as a treatment. When used as a therapeutic agent, it has to be administered in the early phase of the disease, just after the onset of symptoms. This variable efficacy concerning time has not been lucidly understood, but it may be because of the smaller size of viral inoculum in the early stage of the disease, which is easy to neutralize.\(^{105}\) Antibodies alter the inflammatory response and the same can be more easily achieved during the initial immune response, that is, during the asymptomatic stage.\(^{106}\) As an example, to highlight the efficacy concerning time, passive antibody therapy for pneumococcal pneumonia was most effective...
### Table 1: Completed clinical trials on mesenchymal stem cells in COVID-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 NCT04713878</td>
<td>Mesenchymal stem cells therapy in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia</td>
<td>• Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia</td>
<td>Other: Mesenchymal stem cells</td>
<td>Study type: Interventional Phase: Not applicable Outcome measures: • Change of clinical symptoms as respiratory distress or need for oxygen support • Change of cytokine storm parameters • Change of pulmonary functions • Change of clinical symptoms</td>
<td>University of Health Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 NCT04288102</td>
<td>Treatment with human UC-MSCs for severe Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)</td>
<td>• Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)</td>
<td>Biological: UC-MSCs • Biological: Saline containing 1% human serum albumin solution without UC-MSCs</td>
<td>Study Type: Interventional Phase: Phase 2 Outcome measures: • Change in lesion proportion (%) of full lung volume from baseline to day 28. • Change in lesion proportion (%) of full lung volume from baseline to day 10 and 90. • Change in consolidation lesion proportion (%) of full lung volume from baseline to day 10, 28 and 90. • Change in ground-glass lesion proportion (%) of full lung volume from baseline to day 10, 28 and 90. • Pulmonary fibrosis-related morphological features in CT scan at day 90: a. cord-like shadow; b. honeycomb-like shadows; c. interlobular septal thickening; d. intralobular interstitial thickening e. pleural thickening • Lung densitometry: change in total voxel “weight” in lesion area voxel “weight” = voxel density (in HU) × voxel volume (in voxel) • Lung densitometry: volumes histogram of lung density distribution (≤ 750, = 750 – – 300, -300 – 50, &gt; 50) at day 10, 28, and 90. • Time to clinical improvement in 28 days • Oxygenation index (PaO2/FiO2) • Duration of oxygen therapy (days) and 10 more</td>
<td>General Hospital of Central Theater Command, Wuhan, Hubei, China • Maternal and Child Hospital of Hubei Province, Wuhan, Hubei, China • Wuhan Huoshenshan Hospital, Wuhan, Hubei, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 NCT04573270</td>
<td>MSCs for the treatment of COVID-19</td>
<td>• Covid19 • Prophylaxis</td>
<td>Biological: PrimePro • Other: Placebo</td>
<td>Study type: Interventional Phase: Phase 1 Outcome measures: • Survival rates • Contraction rates</td>
<td>Southern California Hospital at Culver City / Southern California Hospital at Hollywood, Culver City, California, United States</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 NCT04355728</td>
<td>Use of UC-MSCs for COVID-19 patients</td>
<td>• Coronavirus infection • ARDS • ARDS, human • COVID-19</td>
<td>• Biological: UM-MSCs • Other: vehicle + heparin along with best supportive care</td>
<td>Study type: Interventional Phase: • Phase 1 • Phase 2 • Incidence of prespecified infusion associated adverse events • Incidence of severe adverse events • Survival rate after 90 days after first infusion • Ventilator-free days • Change in OI • Plat-PEEP • SOFA scores • Troponin I levels • CRP levels • and 6 more</td>
<td>Diabetes Research Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 NCT04535856</td>
<td>Therapeutic study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of DW-MSC in COVID-19 patients</td>
<td>• Covid19 • Coronavirus Infection • SARS</td>
<td>• Drug: allogeneic MSC • Other: placebo</td>
<td>Study type: Interventional Phase: • Phase 1 Outcome measures: • Incidence of TEAEs in treatment group • Survival rate • Duration of hospitalization • Clinical improvement ordinal scale • Clinical improvement NEWS • Clinical improvement OI • Clinical improvement lung involvement change • Clinical improvement Inflammation markers change</td>
<td>Site 550: University of Hassanudin/Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo Hospital, Makassar, Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 NCT04492501</td>
<td>Investigational treatments for COVID-19 in tertiary care hospital of Pakistan</td>
<td>• Covid19 • Cytokine release syndrome • Critical illness • ARDS</td>
<td>• Procedure: therapeutic plasma exchange • Biological: CP • Drug: tocilizumab • Drug: remdesivir • Biological: MSC therapy</td>
<td>Study type: Interventional Phase: Not applicable Outcome measures: • Survival • Duration of hospitalization • Time to resolution of cytokine release storm • Time of viral clearance • Complications</td>
<td>Pak Emirates Military Hospital, Rawalpindi, Punjab, Pakistan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
• SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia  
• COVID-19 | Drug: EXO 1 inhalation  
• Drug: EXO 2 inhalation  
• Drug: placebo inhalation | • Study type:  
• Interventional  
• Phase:  
• Phase 1  
• Phase 2  
• Number of participants with nonserious and serious adverse events during trial  
• Number of participants with nonserious and serious adverse during inhalation procedure  
• TTCR  
• SpO2 concentration  
• CRP  
• LDH | Medical Centre Dinasty, Samara, Russian Federation |
| 8 NCT04276987 | A pilot clinical study on inhalation of MSC-EXO treating severe novel Coronavirus pneumonia. | Coronavirus | Biological: MSC-derived EXO | • Study type:  
• Interventional  
• Phase:  
• Phase 1  
• Outcome measures:  
• AE and SAE  
• TTCI  
• Number of patients weaning from mechanical ventilation  
• Duration (days) of ICU monitoring  
• Duration (days) of vasoactive agents usage  
• Duration (days) of mechanical ventilation supply  
• Number of patients with improved organ failure  
• Rate of mortality | Ruijin Hospital  
Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, Shanghai, China |

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; CP, convalescent plasma; CRP, C-reactive protein; EXO, exosomes; LDH, lactic acid dehydrogenase; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; OI, oxygen index; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; SAE, severe adverse event; SARS, severe acute respiratory syndrome; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus-2; SIT, small identification test; SOFA, sequential organ-free assessment; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; TTCI, time to clinical improvement; TTCR, time to clinical recovery; UC-MSCs, umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cell.
when administered shortly after the onset of symptoms; on the other hand, no benefit was reported when it was administered 3 days after the onset.\textsuperscript{107} Also, treating severe acute respiratory infection revealed that the early use of CP after the onset of symptoms is associated with a reduced death rate.\textsuperscript{28}

Peng et al instituted CP therapy twice with immunoglobulin-G (IgG) antibody titer greater than 1:160 for an acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) case due to SARS-CoV-2. Following the immediate next day, they observed an increase in absolute lymphocyte count, which returned to normal on the fourth day. Then UC-MSCs of $6.5 \times 10^7$ cells were IV infused at a rate of approximately 40 to 55 drops/min for approximately 30 to 40 minutes, once every 3 days, three times. No adverse effects were observed in the patient. With 7 days of the treatment, CT chest showed absorption of bilateral chest infiltrates. The patient was reviewed after a week and showed complete resolution of symptoms. Early application of CP therapy can inhibit a large number of virus replication, promote virus excretion, significantly shorten hospital stay, improve prognosis, and reduce mortality, whereas MSCs improve the pulmonary microenvironment, promote the endogenous repair of the host, and repair pulmonary damage caused by inflammation, thereby restoring the lung diffusion function and improve pulmonary function and compliance. MSCs play a role in antiviral pneumonia by including paracrine factors, exocrine vesicles, and mitochondrial transfer, which can inhibit the inflammatory response and avoid cytokine storms; it can also reduce and clear alveolar effusion to reduce pulmonary edema.\textsuperscript{100}

It is very much clear that CP is more effective in the early phase of the disease, whereas MSCs act in the late inflammatory stage of the disease, which can pave the way to combine these two modalities. MSCs + CP combination therapy has every potential to synergistically exert its therapeutic action against SARS-CoV-2 (as shown in \textsuperscript{\textbullet}Fig. 4). Well-structured randomized controlled trials are essential to confer the efficacy and safety of this combination therapy.

**Conclusion**

The world is withstanding a greater loss of human lives and economy from the outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 contagiously. Presently, we are battling this situation with no specifically approved armors (drugs and biologics), quelling this contagion. This impelled the consideration of divergent modalities, which stemmed from the core principles of regenerative medicine. The therapeutic characterization of CP therapy and MSC therapy has been explicitly discussed above. Indeed, these therapies express the potential to relegate COVID-19. However, to elucidate the safety and effectiveness of this treatment modality, a large number of clinical trials are warranted. These may be tried in isolation or in a synergistic manner or one after the other in cases of poor response to one. The pandemic has paved the way for innovating, and experimenting with novel ways, on account of the emergent need to search for a cure. However, as time is a big constraint, and since this life-threatening pandemic has spread like wildfire across the world, the sooner we establish a safe and effective cure, the better.
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