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Introduction  The red blood cell distribution width (RDW) is a measurement of variations in the size of red blood cells. As the width increases, the rate of mortality also increases, although the reason for it is still not known. On the other hand, platelet distribution width (PDW) is also useful in predicting morbidity and mortality in sepsis and other critically ill patients. In our study, we planned to study the impact of both RDW and PDW and evaluate their prognostic importance with outcome in patients admitted in medicine intensive care unit (MICU).

Material and Method  In these cross-sectional observational studies, 1,300 patients were included who were admitted in MICU. Critically ill patients were defined on the basis of qSOFA score greater than 2. PDW and RDW were obtained from Coulter report of complete blood count. Parameters included in Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) IV scores were taken and APACHE IV score was calculated. Correlation of RDW and PDW with outcomes such as length of ICU stay, use of mechanical ventilator, and discharge/death was done.

Result  The mean RDW (%) for the entire study population was 15.17 ± 3.01. The RDW (%) was significantly on the higher side in patients who succumbed to the disease as compared with the patients who were discharged. The correlation between the length of ICU stay (days) and RDW (%) was moderately positive and was significant (rho = 0.37, \( p \leq 0.001 \)). The correlation between the length of ICU stay (days) and PDW (%) was moderately positive and was significant (rho = 0.5, \( p \leq 0.001 \)).

Conclusion  RDW and PDW were found as significant indicators for period of stay in ICU, requirement for mechanical ventilation, and mortality rate in patients admitted to ICUs. As these are simple, easy to conduct, universally available tests, they can be regularly incorporated in patients admitted in ICUs.
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Introduction

The red blood cell distribution width (RDW) is a measurement of variations in the size of red blood cells.1 Width of platelet distribution (PDW) is an index of platelet which tells about variation in size of platelet.2 Normal range for PDW is 10 to 17%.3 Erythrocytes have variation in size, which becomes tiny during aging.4 Recent studies have reported associations of RDW and PDW in patients with community-acquired pneumonia, cardiac failure, pulmonary hypertension, and myocardial infarction in the general population.5,6 As RDW increases, the rate of mortality also increases and the reason for it is still not known. In few studies it was suggested that an increased RDW is due to inflammatory state.10

There is always a change in the morphology on activation of platelet in the process of inflammation.11,12 Erez et al proposed that there was more activation of thrombin during certain critically ill conditions due to which there was morphological alteration in platelet, and they appeared larger as they became spherical in shape and has developed pseudopodia.13 As a result, platelets with greater number of large size pseudopodia will cause variation in PDW. Hypercoagulability is other possible mechanism for higher variation in platelet size.14 Ahmad and Waheed showed in his study that newborn with sepsis of late onset had a massive rise in PDW.15 Although few templates have been assessed to study the mortality and morbidity in intensive care units (ICUs) regarding RDW and PDW, they have been studied separately. In our study we have tried to study the impact of both RDW and PDW combined and to evaluate its prognostic importance with hospital mortality in patients who are critically ill; to predict outcome in patients admitted in medical intensive care units (MICUs) in terms of length of ICU stay, need for ventilator, and mortality; to compare red cell distribution width and platelet distribution width with Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) IV score.

Material and Methods

This cross-sectional study was accomplished in the MICU under Medicine department from October 2018 to July 2020 at rural teaching hospital at central India after clearance from the Institutional Ethical Committee with approval letter numbered DMIMS (DU)/IEC/2018–19/7555. All the consecutive/sequential patients regardless of gender, ethnicity, or reason for hospitalization who were admitted under MICU with qSOFAR score ≥ 2 were taken as critically ill and were included in the study. PDW and RDW were obtained from complete blood count Coulter report; parameters needed for calculation of APACHE IV scores were taken and calculation of APACHE IV score was done using internet-based online module. Correlation of RDW and PDW with outcome such as the use of mechanical ventilator, length of ICU stays and discharge, and death was done. Patients were transferred from another (surgical) ICU. Patients readmitted to MICU during the same hospital stay were excluded from the study. Flowchart showing plan of study is shown in ►Fig. 1

Statistical Analysis

Data were coded and recorded in MS Excel. Median/Means were used to elaborate the descriptive statistics and inter quartile ranges/standard deviations (IQRs/SDs) for variables which were continuous and for variables which were categorical percentages/frequencies were used. Differences in the group for categorical data were compared with the help of chi-square test/Fisher’s exact test. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS v23. Statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

Result

 ►Table 1 illustrates baseline characteristics of total 1,300 patients according to APACHE IV category. The mean age for the patient in the score group of 0 to 99 was 46.38 ± 18.14 while in 100 to 199 group was 50.87 ± 16.59 and in 200 to 286 group was 57.25 ± 17.11. In 0 to 99 group 230 patients were male and 141 patients were female while in 100 to 199 group 428 patients were male and 258 patients were female and in 200 to 286 group 167 patients were male and 76 patients were female. The mean RDW (%) in 0 to 99 score group was 14.28 ± 0.16 while in 100 to 99 score group it was 14.94 ± 3.87 and in 200 to 286 group it was 17.18 ± 0.82. The mean PDW (%) in 0 to 99 score group was 9.29 ± 0.23 while in 100 to 99 score group it was 14.94 ± 3.87 and in 200 to 286 group it was 15.64 ± 0.59.

 ►Fig. 2 depicts the correlation between the length of ICU stay (days) and RDW (%). Each point depicts an individual case. The trendline in blue color shows the general trend of correlation between both variables. The 95% confidence interval of the above trendline is shaded in gray color. The correlation between both the variables was explored with the help of nonparametric test. The length of ICU stay and RDW (%), were moderately positively correlated and the correlation was statistically significant (rho = 0.37, \( p \leq 0.001 \)). For each unit increase in length of ICU stay (days), there was 0.09 units increase in RDW (%). Contrarily, for increment
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in each unit of RDW (%), the length of ICU stay (days) was increased by 0.25 units.

The area under the ROC curve (AUROC) for RDW (%) predicting outcome: death versus outcome: discharge was 0.966 (95% CI: 0.954–0.977), thus demonstrating excellent diagnostic performance. It was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) as evident in ►Fig. 3. At a cutoff of RDW (%) ≥ 15.9, it predicts outcome: death and the sensitivity was 88%, while specificity was 96%. The odds ratio (95% CI) for outcome: 1 when RDW (%) is ≥ 15.9 was 167.67 (103.5–271.61). The relative risk (95% CI) for outcome: 1 when RDW (%) is ≥ 15.9 was 26 (18.67–36.32).

►Fig. 4 depicts the correlation between the length of ICU stay (days) and PDW (%). Each point depicts an individual case. The trendline in blue color shows the general trend of correlation between both the variables. The 95% confidence interval of the above trendline is shaded in gray color. The correlation in between both the variables was explored with the help of nonparametric test. The length of ICU stay and PDW (%) were moderately positive correlated and the

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>APACHE IV score category</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–99 (n = 371)</td>
<td>100–199 (n = 686)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)***</td>
<td>46.38 ± 18.14</td>
<td>50.87 ± 16.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 40 y</td>
<td>146 (39.4%)</td>
<td>168 (24.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–60 y</td>
<td>124 (33.4%)</td>
<td>306 (44.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60 y</td>
<td>101 (27.2%)</td>
<td>212 (30.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>230 (62.0%)</td>
<td>428 (62.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>141 (38.0%)</td>
<td>258 (37.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>368 (99.2%)</td>
<td>666 (97.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>3 (0.8%)</td>
<td>20 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE IV score***</td>
<td>76.16 ± 8.47</td>
<td>126.35 ± 20.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDW (%)***</td>
<td>14.28 ± 0.16</td>
<td>14.94 ± 3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDW (%)***</td>
<td>9.29 ± 0.23</td>
<td>11.23 ± 1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ICU stay (Days)***</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.83</td>
<td>8.59 ± 4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilator (Required)***</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>77 (11.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of co-morbidities***</td>
<td>3.26 ± 1.65</td>
<td>4.25 ± 1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (Present)***</td>
<td>165 (44.5%)</td>
<td>400 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (Present)</td>
<td>25 (6.7%)</td>
<td>49 (7.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal disease (Present)***</td>
<td>95 (25.6%)</td>
<td>254 (37.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological disease (Present)***</td>
<td>89 (24.0%)</td>
<td>157 (22.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease (Present)***</td>
<td>229 (61.7%)</td>
<td>558 (81.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease (Present)***</td>
<td>30 (8.1%)</td>
<td>121 (17.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver disease (Present)***</td>
<td>65 (17.5%)</td>
<td>159 (23.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunocompromised state (Present)***</td>
<td>73 (19.7%)</td>
<td>255 (37.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematological abnormality (Present)***</td>
<td>167 (45.0%)</td>
<td>386 (56.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis (Present)***</td>
<td>116 (31.3%)</td>
<td>304 (44.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyselectrolytemia (Present)***</td>
<td>50 (13.5%)</td>
<td>151 (22.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other disorders (present)***</td>
<td>106 (28.7%)</td>
<td>108 (15.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: APACGE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; ICU, intensive care unit; PDW, platelet distribution width; RDW, red blood cell distribution width.  
*** denotes statistically significant.
The correlation was statistically significant (\( \rho = 0.5, p \leq 0.001 \)) as evident in Fig. 4. For each unit increase in the length of ICU stay (days), there was 0.16 units increase in PDW (%). Contrarily, for increment in each unit of PDW (%), the length of ICU stay (days) increases by 0.71 units.

The AUROC for PDW (%) predicting outcome: death versus outcome: discharge was 0.973 (95% CI: 0.961–0.985), thus demonstrating excellent diagnostic performance. It was statistically significant (\( p \leq 0.001 \)) as evident in Fig. 5. At a cutoff of PDW (%) \( \geq 14.1 \), it predicts outcome: death and sensitivity was 97%, while the specificity was 97%. The odds ratio (95% CI) for outcome: 1 when PDW (%) is \( \leq 14.1 \) was 1009.91 (458.53–2224.31). The relative risk (95% CI) for outcome: 1 when PDW (%) is \( \leq 14.1 \) was 113.5 (57.74–223.97).

APACHE IV score, PDW (%), and RDW (%) significantly predicted outcome: death, as evident in Fig. 6.

**Discussion**

The current study analyzed the impact of RDW and PDW in patients who were critically ill and admitted in MICU. The study predicted outcome in patients of MICUs with respect to the length of stay in ICU, the need for ventilator and death and compared RDW and PDW with APACHE IV score.

The mean age for the patients was 50.78 ± 17.51 years. 63.5% of the patients were males while 36.5% patients were females. In a study by Pal and Prashant from Northeast India, authors audited reasons for mortality in patients admitted to MICUs. They noted that high number of patients with mortality had sepsis at 26.3%, stroke in 19.9% of the patients, and COPD in 13.9% of the patients. They noted that high number of deaths occurred in patients above 60 years. 10.5% of the patients had diabetes, 16.5% were hypertensive in this study.
In another study by Madani et al, authors evaluated patterns of ICU admissions and mortality. Of 140 patients, 63.6% had cardiovascular events and 26.4% had infections. The death rate was 10.7%.

The mean RDW (%) for the entire study population was 15.17 ± 3.01. The mean (SD) of RDW (%) in the age group of < 40 years was 14.81 ± 1.11, in age group of 40 to 60 years it was 15.27 ± 4.49, while in the age group > 60 years it was 15.34 ± 1.28. The median (IQR) of RDW (%) in patients with age group of < 40 years was 14.4 ± 0.9, in 40 to 60 years age group it was 14.6 ± 1.1, and in the age group of > 60 it was 14.9 ± 1.9. The RDW (%) in patient with age group of < 40 years ranged from 13.1 to 19.9, while in 40 to 60 years ranged from 13.2 to 14.4 and in age group of > 60 years ranged from 13.3 to 19.9. The difference in terms of RDW between the three age groups was significant ($X^2 = 43.725$, $p \leq 0.001$). The difference in gender distribution in terms of RDW (%) was not significant ($U = 207431.000$, $p = 0.077$). In a study by Hoffmann et al, authors noted that there is an increase in RDW with increasing age, however, authors noted that this was not gender specific. This corresponds with our study.

The difference in both the groups (death vs. discharge) with regards to RDW (%) was significant ($U = 9178.500$, $p \leq 0.001$), with the highest median RDW (%) in the outcome: death group. The mean (SD) of RDW (%) in those discharged was 14.72 ± 3.18 while those who died was 16.99 ± 0.80. The median (IQR) of RDW (%) in the outcome: discharge group was 14.4 ± 0.7, while in the outcome: death group was 17.2 ± 0.9. The RDW (%) in the outcome: discharge ranged from 13.1 to 14.4, while in the outcome: death it ranged from 14.2 to 18.3. The RDW (%) was remarkably higher in comparison with discharged patients. Various studies have noted that increase in RDW correlates with increase in mortality. Perlstein et al in a study noted that increased RDW is a predictor of increased mortality. Şenol et al noted that the mortality risk in acute pancreatitis patient is increased with increased RDW. Ani and Ovbiagele noted that the mortality was increased in patients with stroke with increased RDW.

The correlation between the length of ICU stays (days) and RDW (%) was moderately positive and was significant ($\rho = 0.37$, $p \leq 0.001$). For each unit increase in the length of ICU stay (days), there is increment in the RDW (%) by 0.09 units. Contrarily, for increase in each unit of RDW (%), the length of ICU stay (days) increases by 0.25 units. Farzanegan and Zangi noted that the increase in RDW is associated with longer duration of ICU stay. Tonietto et al similarly noted a correlation between RDW and length of stay in ICU and hospitalization duration.

In another study by Madani et al, authors evaluated patterns of ICU admissions and mortality. Of 140 patients, 63.6% had cardiovascular events and 26.4% had infections. The death rate was 10.7%.
The mean (SD) of RDW (%) in the mechanical ventilator: required group was 17.07 ± 5.58 while in the mechanical ventilator: not required group was 14.55 ± 0.55. The median (IQR) of RDW (%) in the mechanical ventilator: required group was 17.15 ± 1.35 while in the mechanical ventilator: not required group was 14.4 ± 0.6. The RDW (%) in the mechanical ventilator: required group ranged from 13.8 to 14.4 while in the mechanical ventilator: not required group ranged from 13.1 to 16.8. The difference between both the groups with respect to RDW (%) was significant ($U = 294104.500$, $p \leq 0.001$), with mechanical ventilator: required group having the highest median RDW (%). Otero et al.26 noted that increased RDW is associated with decreased ventilator free time in critically ill patients. Meynaar et al.27 similarly observed that increased RDW correlates with increased chances for intubation in patients who were critically ill.

The AUROC for RDW (%) predicting outcome: death versus outcome: discharge was 0.966 (95% CI: 0.954–0.977), thus demonstrating excellent diagnostic performance. It was statistically significant ($p \leq 0.001$) as observed by various studies. RDW20-22 is a promising prognostic factor in predicting death in patients requiring ICU support which correlated with our study.

The mean (SD) of PDW (%) in the age group of < 40 years was 10.93 ± 2.24 while in the 40 to 60 years age group it was 11.51 ± 2.31, and in the age group of > 60 years group it was 11.97 ± 2.53. The median (IQR) of PDW (%) in the age group of < 40 years was 10.2 ± 2.1, while in 40 to 60 years age group it was 10.5 ± 2.4. In > 60 years age group it was 10.9 ± 4.8. The PDW (%) in the age group < 40 years ranged from 9 to 17.1, while in the age group 40 to 60 years it ranged from 9 to 17.3, and in the age group of > 60 years it ranged from 9 to 17.4. The difference in terms of PDW (%) between the three age groups was significant ($X^2 = 49.206$, $p \leq 0.001$), with the highest median PDW (%) in the age group > 60 years. The difference in gender distribution in terms of PDW (%) was not significant ($U = 206445.000$, $p = 0.107$). Use of PDW has been utilized in various workers in assessing prognosis in various diseases such as gastric cancer, appendicitis, thyroid disorders, etc.28,29 Hoffmann and van den Broek30 did not note any significant correlation between gender and platelet indices but however, noted age of the patient might have some association.

The mean (SD) of PDW (%) in the outcome: discharge group was 10.53 ± 1.48 while in the outcome: death group was 15.47 ± 0.87. The median (IQR) of PDW (%) in the outcome: discharge group was 10.2 ± 1.8 while in the outcome: death group was 15.6 ± 0.4. The PDW (%) in the outcome: discharge ranged from 9 to 17.4, while in the outcome: death ranged from 9.1 to 17.1. The difference between both the groups with respect to PDW (%) was significant ($U = 7162.500$, $p \leq 0.001$), and the outcome: death group had the highest median PDW (%). Zhan et al.31 noted in a study that increase in PDW was noted in fatal cases when compared with the survivors. Simani et al.32 observed higher PDW values in patients who had a fatal course when suffering from post-neurosurgical meningitis when compared with the survivors. Gao et al.33 in a study on patients with septic shock noted higher chances of death in patients with increased PDW.

The correlation between length of ICU stay (days) and PDW (%) was moderately positive and was significant (rho $= 0.5$, $p \leq 0.001$). For each unit increase in length of ICU stay (days), there was increment in PDW (%) by 0.16 units. Contrarily, for each unit increase in PDW (%), the length of ICU stay (days) increases by 0.71 units. Sezgi et al.34 observed in patients admitted to respiratory ICU that patients with higher value of PDW had longer duration of stay in ICU and higher mortality. Zhang et al.35 noted higher mean duration of ICU stay in critically ill Chinese patients when correlated with increased PDW.

The mean (SD) of PDW (%) in the mechanical ventilator: required group was 15.30 ± 1.03 while of mechanical ventilator: not required group was 10.26 ± 1.01. The median (IQR) of PDW (%) in the mechanical ventilator: required group was 15.5 ± 1. while in the mechanical ventilator: not required group was 10.2 ± 1.5. The PDW (%) in the mechanical ventilator: required group ranged from 10.4 to 17.4. The PDW (%) in the mechanical ventilator: not required group ranged from 9 to 13.7. The difference in between both the groups with respect to PDW (%) was significant ($U = 312187.000$, $p \leq 0.001$), and the mechanical ventilator: required group had the highest median PDW (%). Tzur et al.36 noted increased chances of mechanical ventilation in patients with a higher mean PDW at the time of admission. Dundar et al.37 observed increased need for mechanical ventilation in patients with organophosphorus poisoning having elevated PDW.

The AUROC for PDW (%) in predicting outcome: death versus outcome: discharge was 0.973 (95% CI: 0.961–0.985), thus demonstrating excellent diagnostic performance. It was statistically significant ($p \leq 0.001$). At a cut off of PDW [%] > 14.1, it predicts outcome: death with sensitivity 97% and specificity 97%. PDW, as observed from our study, can be an important prognostic marker in patients who are admitted in ICUs.

In APACHE IV, the diagnosis on admission to the ICU, days of stay in the hospital before ICU care, thrombolytic therapy, and mechanical ventilation are added and this is uncommonly used. Higher scores of APACHE IV are associated with more critical illness with increased chances of ventilation, increased ICU stay, increased hospitalization, and mortality.17 Considering higher scores of APACHE IV with increased levels of PDW, the scoring system corresponds with our findings related to RDW and PDW being markers for increased ICU stay, increased need for mechanical ventilation, and increased mortality.

**Limitations**

The study population was nonhomogeneous. As such confounding effect of underlying medical conditions such as causes of sepsis like chronic kidney disease, liver disease,
ARDS on mortality was not studied and cannot be totally excluded. The study did not evaluate other platelet and red cells indices. Serial, multiple PDW and RDW estimations and its effect on the clinical outcomes were not done.

**Conclusion**

RDW and PDW were found as significant indicators for period of stay in ICU, requirement for mechanical ventilation, and mortality rate in patients admitted to ICUs. The indices also correlated with APACHE IV scoring system, with higher RDW and PDW values in patients leading to a higher APACHE IV score, which in turn correlated with the period of stay in ICU, requirement for mechanical ventilation, and mortality rate. As these are simple, easy to conduct, and universally available tests, they can be regularly incorporated in patients admitted to ICUs. Adequate interventions can also be planned based on these parameters for better patient outcomes.
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