Function-Preserving Neurotized Lateral Arm Free Flap in the Reconstruction of Hemiglossectomy Defects
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Introduction and Methods
The glabrous, thin, and pliable texture of lateral arm flap with no loss of any axial vessel of the limb renders it a good choice for hemiglossectomy defect reconstruction. The main caveat of this flap harvest is the loss of sensation in the distribution of posterior antebrachial cutaneous nerve (PABCN). In this article, we present two strategic sites and techniques to preserve the integrity of PABCN and at the same time harvesting lateral arm flap with a lengthy lower lateral cutaneous nerve of arm for the neurotization. The outcome of this function-preserving neurotized lateral arm free flap in the reconstruction of hemiglossectomy defects is analyzed and presented in this article.

Results
Ninety percent of the patients attained grade 3 score in objective assessment, leading to a significant p-value of 0.02 with this technique. All had preservation of sensation in the distribution of PABCN.

Conclusion
Our technique of harvest and neurotization has brought good functional recovery of the oral cavity with preservation of PABCN.

Abstract

Introduction
Lateral arm free flap (LAFF), since its introduction in 1982, by Song et al.,¹ has been one of the workhorse flap in head and neck, especially tongue, reconstruction owing to its mostly glabrous, thin, and pliable texture. In addition, all the axial vessels of the limb are preserved. However, the donor-site morbidity due to inadvertent injury of posterior antebrachial cutaneous nerve (PABCN) (posterior cutaneous nerve of the forearm) during flap harvest² makes it a second choice only after radial forearm free flap. This significant loss of sensation in the dorsal forearm remains to be a morbidity. Therefore, sparing PABCN at the donor site significantly reduces donor-site morbidity. Considering this, Fogdestam et al³ and Sae Hwi Ki⁴have attempted in preserving the PABCN.

In both these studies, an attempt to preserve PABCN was done by dividing and reanastomozing, which invariably resulted in temporary anesthesia in the distribution of PABCN which amounts to nonpreservation.

A preliminary cadaver study elicited the feasibility and the safe steps of harvesting the sensate LAFF with preservation of PABCN. These techniques were applied in the clinical study.

Aim
A prospective cohort clinical study was conducted to evaluate the clinical outcomes of PABCN preserving sensate LAFF harvest and its application in the reconstruction of hemiglossectomy defects.
Materials and Methods
The conduction of the cadaver and clinical studies were approved by the institutional ethical committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Cadaver Study
The cadaver study was conducted from January 2014 to January 2015. Twenty-four arms of 12 fresh and preserved adult cadavers (6 male and 6 female) were dissected.

After marking the classical lateral arm flap, the posterior skin flap was raised and spiral groove was explored (►Fig. 1).

Key Findings in the Cadaver Study
Average length of the common stem between PABCN and lower lateral cutaneous nerve of arm (LLCNA) was 2.25 cm. The origin of the common stem was at an average distance of ~3.25 cm from the lower end of bony spiral groove. No vessels were crossing the common stem posteriorly. The common stem was just distal to the muscular branches of medial head of triceps. The middle collateral branch of radial collateral artery was always found to be anterior to the cutaneous nerves. There was an average of 1.5 neurocutaneous perforators found in the septum between brachialis and the triceps. An average 2.5 of them were septocutaneous perforator from the posterior radial collateral branch. There was one prominent vasa nervorum running on the superior aspect of common stem. The study elicited two strategic locations where the PABCN has to be preserved:

1. At the subcutaneous plane where the PABCN pierced the caudalmost fibers of the lateral head of triceps and coursed parallel and posterior to the lateral intermuscular septum (LIS) of the arm toward the apex of the olecranon (►Fig. 2).
2. In the radial groove. Observing the following anatomical points could ensure the integrity of PABCN within the radial groove:

   a. PABCN ran posterior and inferior to LLCNA until both pierced the caudal fibers of the lateral head of triceps with no musculocutaneous or muscle perforator crossing posteriorly in its entire course. The course of PABCN in the spiral groove was crossed anteriorly by one cranialmost septofasciocutaneous perforator which could be easily dissected free (►Fig. 3, ►Fig. 4 a,b).
   b. PABCN fascicles were located on the inferior aspect of common stem without any interfascicular crossovers.
   c. The site of origin of common stem was found distal to the muscular branch to the medial head of triceps and was not crossed superficially by any vessels.
   d. Distally in the spiral groove, there was one communicating branch found in 7 out of 24 specimens between the PABCN and LLCNA which could be divided close to the PABCN during the harvest.

Clinical Study and Surgical Technique
The prospective cohort study was conducted from January 2015 to January 2018. This study included 22 patients (6 females and 16 males) with carcinoma of anterolateral tongue who underwent hemiglossectomy and primary reconstruction with function preserving neurotized LAFF (►Table 1).

Fig. 1 Dissection in the fresh cadaver shoeing the emergence of PABCN through the lateral head of triceps.

Fig. 2 Dissection in the preserved cadaver showing the preserved PABCN posterior to the lateral intermuscular septum of the arm. (First step of preservation).

Fig. 3 Cadaveric dissection depicting preservation of PABCN up to the common limb of lower lateral cutaneous nerve of arm and PABCN. The position of common limb of lower lateral cutaneous nerve of arm and PABCN is shown in the spiral groove.
**Selection Criteria**

Patient with stage I, II, and III carcinoma of anterolateral tongue who underwent hemiglossectomy with neck dissection and subsequent reconstruction with the technique described earlier were included.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Patients who were unable to follow-up and those with associated severe comorbidities were excluded.

**Surgical Technique**

Before surgery, after marking the LIS from deltoid insertion to lateral epicondyle, perforators were marked preoperatively with 10 MHz handheld Doppler. All the flaps were harvested from the nondominant arm. The reconstructive team assessed the post excisional defect after the margins were declared negative. An elliptical flap was marked over the LIS and the dopplered perforators. The posterior nondelineating incision was made and the dissection was performed in the suprafascial plane toward the LIS. In the subcutaneous plane of dissection, PABCN emerging from the caudal fibers of lateral head of triceps, running over the medial head toward anconeus was identified and preserved. PABCN remained subfascial from its origin through the caudal fibers of triceps to ~4.5 cm proximal to the lateral epicondyle at which point it became subcutaneous. Paying attention to this anatomy during dissection at this level safeguarded PABCN. Further dissection was deepened toward the LIS. All the perforators within the LIS were identified. The point of emergence of LLCNA along with septocutaneous perforators through the caudal fibers of triceps just cranial to PABCN exit was recognized. The anterior incision was completed and the flap elevated in subfascial plane with cephalic vein dissected to an adequate length extending from the cranial end of the flap. The LIS with periperforator dissection was performed through the medial head obliquely into the spiral groove. The course of PABCN and LLCNA were also identified posterior to the vasculature in the spiral groove. At the distal end of spiral groove anterior branch of radial collateral artery was ligated. The perforators were followed to the PBRCA and in turn well proximally up to two-thirds of the spiral groove where adequate-sized vessels with venae committantes were found anterior and superior to the radial nerve. Fascicular dissection was done to get adequate length of LLCNA (Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 7). In only four clinical cases, the adequate length was available because of high origin and very short common stem. The nerve was divided at its confluence. The safe technique to harvest sensate LAFF with lengthy neurovascular pedicle with preservation of PABCN was to perform retrograde dissection. All the perforators with overlying skin paddle were dissected and perfect hemostasis ensured. Recipient vessels and lingual nerve stump were prepared while the flap was getting perfused in situ. In cases of marginal mandibulectomy, the breadth of the skin paddle ellipse was increased to accommodate the floor of mouth defect across the mandible to gingivobuccal sulcus. Then the flap was transferred, folded, and given inset to reform the tongue morphology, ensuring the mobility of tongue with pedicle exiting from the posterior aspect. The vessels and nerves were anastomosed in a tensionless manner. Layered closure was done with a Segmular drain. All the secondary defects were closed primarily.

**Assessment**

All the patients were assessed on day 3 for any paresthesia or dysesthesia in the PABCN distribution. Patients were followed-up at three monthly intervals up to 24 months. Two-point discrimination (2PD) was measured at the reconstructed portion of the tongue and the dorsum of forearm with static discriminator. The extent of tongue movements and linguodontal and linguopalatal pronunciations were assessed. All the patients were subjectively assessed using modified University of Washington quality of life (MUW-QOL) questionnaire score (Fig. 8). At the end of average follow-up period, all the patients were assessed by two individual observers based on institutional postglossectomy assessment score (Fig. 9) and the final score was calculated for each patient (Table 1).

**Results**

The average age of the patients in our study was 55 years. Sixteen patients were male and 6 were female (Table 1).
All of them had a history of tobacco usage preoperatively. Adjuvant radiotherapy was administered postoperatively to all the patients and they were followed-up for an average of 17.3 months. Minimum follow-up period was 10 months \((n = 1)\). The average size of flap harvested was \(5 \times 5.2\) cm. One patient developed hypertrophic donor scar at 12 months and another had a stretched and widened scar. Two patients had temporary paresthesia in the PABCN distribution for 2 weeks.
and it resolved spontaneously to S4 sensation. None developed loss of sensation in the PABCN cutaneous distribution. The average length of LLCNA gained with intraneural fascicular dissection of the common stem in the spiral groove was 2.75 cm. Only one patient had venous thrombosis but the flap was salvaged by exploration and redo vein anastomosis.

Ninety percent of the patients attained a static 2PD ranging from 10 to 15 mm in the reconstructed tongue at an average period of 8.5 months. Comprehensible linguodental and linguopalatal pronunciations were achieved by 85% of the patients at the mean of 9 months. All the patients had a swallowing score of 3 by an average of 9.5 months. None developed swallowing difficulty or locoregional or distant metastasis within the follow-up period. Ninety percent of the patients attained grade 3 score in objective assessment ($p = 0.02$).

Twenty out of 22 patients (90%) achieved subjective good to excellent grade “A” score, expressing their gratification in the quality of postreconstruction life assessed using MUW-QOL score ($p = 0.006$). The remaining two patients got a fair score expressing mild dissatisfaction about the quality of speech.

**Discussion**

The tongue plays a crucial role in the mastication, oropharyngeal phase of swallowing, and speech. To serve all these important functions the tongue has been endowed with a large representation in the sensory and motor homunculus of the dominant cortical brain. Although there is no concrete evidence in the present literature supporting the sensate flap, it may appear logical to reconstruct the hemiglossectomy defects with a sensate flap. This purpose is served well by the sensate LAFF. But the harvest of sensate LAFF is undermined by the loss of sensation in the PABCN. Our study aims at simultaneous preservation of PABCN at the donor site and to get a lengthy LLCNA facilitating tension-free anastomosis. In this study, we have paid attention to two different sites for dissecting and safeguarding PABCN—the spiral groove and the subcutaneous plane over the medial head of triceps. Careful fascicular dissection preserved PABCN and also rendered a good length of LLCNA.
Good to excellent post reconstruction functional recovery in our study may be attributed to the following:

- Harvest of LLCNA with intact vasa nervorum.
- Preservation of all neurocutaneous perforators during the harvest.
- Tensionless coaptation with the fascicular dissected lengthy stump of LLCNA facilitating the anastomosis to lingual nerve proximal to the lingual groove of the mandible.
- The recovered general visceral afferent (haptic feedback) sensation in the reconstructed tongue reinforced the functional recovery of residual tongue.

LAFF has several advantages over radial forearm flap. Most important being the expendable vascularity. The glabrous moderately thick flap with the prospectus for neurotization and the ease of harvest without the need of change in position makes it a flap of choice in head and neck reconstruction. The donor site can be closed primarily and the scar, being hidden in clothing, is aesthetically acceptable. Moderate subcutaneous arm tissue provides bulk to obliterate the hemiglossectomy defects. In addition to that, safe steps for the preservation of PABCN exonerates the sensitive LAFF from its long-standing curse of causing anesthesia in the contact surface of the forearm.

Faria et al in 2008 reported their study of 210 patients who underwent LAFF for head and neck defects that included 53 cases of glossectomy defects ranging from hemi-, total, and extended glossectomy. Paraeesthesia of forearm was reported to be the main donor-site complication in 100% of...
The patients. Also, the nonneurotized flaps used in 53 tongue defects were shown to have poor to moderate sensory recovery. Graham et al in 19922 has reported his observations on complications and morbidity in donor and recipient sites of 123 LAFF. About 59% of his patients complained of numbness over the forearm that remained unchanged in the follow-up period. Sixteen out of 44 cases reported by Gellrich et al26 were hemiglossectomy defects reconstructed with LAFF. They reported a 61.4% sensory disturbance over the dorsal forearm as the most common donor-site complication.

Functional assessment of the reconstructed tongue has been studied and reported by a few studies. Gellrich et al26 analyzed the swallowing function after reconstruction with LAFF using videofluorography and reported that there was no complete functional restoration despite good morphological restoration. Hara et al30 compared swallowing and speech function in 25 patients, 17 patients reconstructed with LAFF, and 7 with RFFF. None of the flaps were neurotized. They inferred that the quality of functions did not differ with the type of flap. In this study, most of the patients have reported dissatisfaction with tongue movements, speech, and swallowing. However, Hara et al have concluded the study recommending LAFF to be their first choice for tongue reconstruction due to less donor-site morbidity compared with RFFF. In a retrospective study of 48 LAFF for tongue defects done by Thankappan et al,8 morbidity and functional and aesthetic outcomes were analyzed in 37 patients for 6 months. Here again, sensory disturbance over forearm was found to be a disturbing donor-site morbidity. Fifty-four percent and 58% of patients had normal to near-normal speech and tongue movements, respectively. Xerostomia caused by adjuvant radiotherapy was considered to be the significant cause of restricted mobility in the remaining patients. None of the flaps used for hemiglossectomy defects were neurotized in this study. Though the author has noted radiotherapy-induced temporary xerostomia with mild mucositis in ~70% of cases in this study, none of them had any effect on the nerve healing, thus facilitating the good to excellent functional outcome.33, 34

The strength of our study is the cadaver dissection establishing the safe technique of harvesting LLCNA without injuring PABCN. The clinical study established a useful adaptation of this technique for the better functional outcome of the oral cavity. Since the limitation of this study is its small size, a larger-scale study is required to establish the superiority of this function-preserving technique to harvest a sensate LAFF for the fast functional recovery of the finest organ of oral cavity, the tongue.

**Conclusion**

Our technique of complete preservation of PABCN would add to the advantage of LAFF for being considered the workhorse flap in the reconstruction of medium-size tongue defects with no donor-site morbidity. This may also have facilitated functional recovery. This study provided the definitive steps in the dissection and preservation of PABCN which has eschewed the long-spoken drawback of sensate LAFF. Our technique of harvesting sensate LAFF with lengthy LLCNA has a prospectus for early functional recovery of the oral cavity reconstructions.
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