Pedicled Chimeric Perforator Flap Based on Inferior Gluteal Vessel Axis for the Reconstruction of Stage-Four Primary Ischial Pressure Sores—A New Design
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Abstract

Background “Subfascial void reconstruction” in ischial pressure sores (IPSs) goes a long way in the amelioration of the common complications like persistent drainage, infection, wound dehiscence, and late recurrence. No locoregional flaps suffice this requirement. So we have designed a chimeric pedicled flap based on the inferior gluteal vessel axis (IGVA) perforators with two tissue components: (1) Pacman-style fasciocutaneous flap on a perforator and (2) gluteus maximus muscle (inferior portion) on another independent perforator.

Aim and Methods After confirming the feasibility of novel design of chimeric pedicled IGVA perforator flap with cadaver study, we embarked on the clinical study with this chimeric flap. In this prospective cohort study, the study and the control existed in the same patient so that the biological factors affecting the wound healing would be the same.

Results Twenty-one patients were included whose mean age was 39 years. Late recurrence occurred in one patient (4.8%) of chimeric flap while the control group (who had undergone conventional reconstruction) had recurrence in 11 patients (52.4%). On assessment with overall institutional score, grade A was observed in 18 patients of the chimeric IGVA flap group ($p < 0.045$), and in only 3 patients of the control group.

Conclusions This anatomically construed flap, a new addendum in the armamentarium of reconstruction of IPSs, with its potential to congruently fill the ischiogluteal subfascial void may provide a lasting solution for preventing recurrences.
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Introduction

Ischial pressure sores (IPSs) are the most commonly encountered pressure ulcers and also have a high tendency for recurrences. These IPSs are typically inverted flask-shaped ulcers with a large and deep pseudobursal extension over the irregularly prominent ischial tuberosities. These are attributed to the following reasons: (1) the paretic gluteus maximus uncovers the ischial tuberosity, which drives the heavyweight of the whole trunk (during the sitting posture) through the
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Pedicled Chimeric Perforator Flap for Primary Ischial Pressure Sores

Aim of the Clinical Study

A clinical application of this cadaver study was then performed in the primary bilateral stage-four IPSs. A prospective cohort clinical study was conducted to evaluate the clinical outcomes of the pedicled chimeric IGVA perforator flaps for the reconstruction of the stage-four primary IPSs compared with the simultaneously performed conventional gluteus maximus musculocutaneous flap/muscle with fasciocutaneous flap reconstructions on the contralateral side in the same patients.

Materials and Methods

Institutional ethical committee approval was obtained for conducting both cadaver and clinical studies. All the participants provided written informed consent for using their photographs and clinical materials for research and publication purposes.

Cadaver Study—Methods and Results

A preliminary cadaver dissection study was conducted in 2015. The cadavers with damaged gluteal area were excluded. Twenty specimens in 10 fresh adult cadavers (5 males and 5 females) were dissected. Before the dissection, retrograde injection of red lead oxide and methylene blue was given into the common iliac artery and vein, respectively. The cadavers were frozen overnight. Then the specimens were dissected. The gluteal triangle was marked with these points: (a) the posterior superior iliac spine, (b) the subcutaneous palpable ischial tuberosity, and (c) the superior part of the greater trochanter of the femur (Fig. 1). The incision was made along the inferior gluteal fold and the maximum numbers of perforators were dissected toward the medial and lateral branches and the main trunk of IGVA (Figs. 2 and 3). The perforators and branches were measured with calipers and scales, and the measurements were always taken at their origins.

Aim of the Cadaver Study

A cadaver dissection study was conducted to study the anatomy of the extra pelvic portion of the IGVA and to assess the feasibility of harvesting the true intrinsic pedicled chimeric IGVA perforator flap with two tissue components: (1) a muscle component based on a musculocutaneous/muscle perforator and (2) a separate fasciocutaneous paddle based on either a musculocutaneous or septofasciocutaneous perforator.

Fig. 1 Gluteal triangle marked in the cadaver.
The average dimensions of the extrapelvic trunk of IGVA were 1.25 cm in length and 2.75 mm in diameter. The extrapelvic portion of IGVA always possessed two equal-sized venae comitantes. Other anatomical data of IGVA are tabulated (►Table 1). The anatomical study established that the design of chimeric flap based on the IGVA was possible. The septofasciocutaneous perforators were mainly arising from the medial branch, which runs obliquely downward toward the inferior medial border of the gluteus maximus muscle very close to the ischial tuberosity, where it enters the subcutaneous plane. It was descending between the anterior aspect of gluteus maximus and the posterior aspect of the following muscles—gemelli with obturator internus and quadratus femoris (in craniocaudal sequence). The maximum number of musculocutaneous perforators was traced toward the medial branch of the IGVA. Most of the perforators were seen in the inferior medial border of the gluteus maximus in the medial third of the line drawn from the tip of the greater trochanter to ischial tuberosity. The dissection study revealed that muscle could be divided at its origin from the sacrotuberous ligament as well as distally and laterally from its aponeurotic attachment to the iliotibial tract to get the desired amount of muscle island on a single best perforator from the medial branch of the IGVA. The average length of the perforator after periperforator dissection up to the trunk was 3.5 cm. It was also established that it was possible to harvest the fasciocutaneous skin paddle on an average length of 3.75 cm musculocutaneous or septofasciocutaneous perforator (rendered directly by the periperforator dissection). The lateralmost perforator from the medial branch of IGVA when chosen for the muscle island will provide a wider arc of rotation.

Table 1  Cadaver study—anatomical data of the extrapelvic part of pedicled inferior gluteal vessel axis (IGVA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments of the extrapelvic part of IGVA</th>
<th>Number of branches/perforators (mode; range)</th>
<th>Type of branches/perforators</th>
<th>Diameter (mm) of the branches/perforators (mean; range)</th>
<th>Composition of branches/perforators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trunk of IGVA</td>
<td>2 number of branches; min 2, max 4</td>
<td>Muscular branches</td>
<td>1.5; min 1.2, max 2.75</td>
<td>All with one accompanying vein.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial branch</td>
<td>5 number of perforators; min 3, max 8</td>
<td>Average 5.2 MCP and 2.4 SFCP (between gluteus maximus posteriorly and gemelli, obturator internus and quadratus femoris anteriorly).</td>
<td>2; min1.5m, max 2.5</td>
<td>All MCP and SFCP perforator were accompanied by two vena comitantes. On an average 1.5 number of SFCP were accompanied by the clunial cutaneous nerves. And all these had single accompanying vein.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral branch</td>
<td>1 number of perforators Min- 1, Max-4</td>
<td>All of them were MCP. On an average 1.5 number of neurocutaneous perforators (to the posterior cutaneous nerve of thigh and the sciatic nerve).</td>
<td>1.75 mm (perforators) 1.75 mm (neurocutaneous branches)</td>
<td>All had single accompanying vein. Always gave one neurocutaneous perforator to the posterior cutaneous nerve of thigh and sciatic nerve.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Max, maximum; MCP, musculocutaneous perforator; min, minimum; SFCP, septofasciocutaneous perforator.
Clinical Study
In this study, we had selected only bilateral stage-four primary IPSs which required ostectomy rendering a large composite void. We had performed the chimeric pedicled IGVA flap reconstruction on one side (randomly chosen). On the other side, we used conventional musculocutaneous flap (biceps femoris myocutaneous V-Y advancement flap, \( n = 8 \)) or muscle flap with local fasciocutaneous flaps (gluteal rotation fasciocutaneous flap with turn over gluteus maximus flap, \( n = 10 \); biceps femoris advancement flap with local rotation flap, \( n = 3 \)) on the other side in the same patient. The study comprised 21 patients (7 females and 14 males) who were operated and followed-up between 2015 and 2019.

Inclusion Criteria
The inclusion criteria are as follows:
• All primary cases of bilateral ischial pressure sore NPUAP stage four.
• Those with a good general condition to undergo both excision and reconstruction in single stage.
• Those with good acoustic signals on Doppler study of the IGVA perforators.

Exclusion Criteria
The exclusion criteria are as follows:
• Those with co-morbidities like diabetes, hypertension, cardiac disease, or respiratory compromise.
• Those with severe malnutrition and wasting.
• Chronic smokers who have not stopped smoking.
• Those with spasms of the lower limbs.
• Those with fracture pelvis with a compromise of the internal iliac vessels.
• Those lost to follow-up.

Surgical Technique
Patients were investigated for involvement of ischial tuberosity by X-ray of the pelvis—AP/ lateral (Fig. 4). This also reveals any fractures, subluxations, dystrophic calcifications of pseudobursa, and cystolithiasis, if present. All cases were prepared on an average of 1.5 debridement before definitive surgical intervention. They were treated with wound and bone biopsy culture–directed antibiotic therapy. Standard preoperative preparations were followed. The side of the chimeric IGVA flap was randomly chosen and with the patient in the lateral posture with fully flexed hip, the perforators were located by 10 MHz Doppler along the line joining the ischial tuberosity and upper part of greater trochanter.

All the surgeries were performed under general anesthesia with the patient in the jackknife position (with soft padding of all bony prominences). After pseudotumor excision, gentamicin saline wash was given. A Pacman-styled fasciocutaneous flap was marked incorporating the previously marked perforator and centered over the line joining the ischial tuberosity and upper part of the greater trochanter (Fig. 5). The biogeometry elicited by Balakrishnan et al.\(^2\) was followed. A nondelineating incision was placed (Fig. 6). The flap was raised in a supra epimysial plane to locate septofasciocutaneous and musculocutaneous perforators (Figs. 6 and 7). A large pulsatile perforator with venae comitantes was chosen for the Pacman fasciocutaneous island and traced toward the medial branch of the IGVA. The incision was completed for the Pacman-style flap and it was islanded on the single best perforator (Fig. 8). The inferior border of the gluteus maximus was retracted and a large perforator to the lower fiber of the gluteus maximus, which was pulsatile with venae comitantes, was chosen and traced toward the medial branch of IGVA. The lower one-third fibers of the gluteus maximus starting from the aponeurosis toward the sacrotuberous ligament, with adequate dimension to fill the subfascial void, were harvested on this single best perforator (Fig. 9). An attempt was always made as per the cadaver study finding to choose the lateralmost perforator to get a wider arc. IGVA was dissected toward its exit from greater sciatic foramen to facilitate mobility (Fig. 10). The muscle island was positioned over the shaved-off ischial tuberosity and congruently filled into the ischial region and fixed to

![Preoperative X-ray showing osteomyelitic destruction of ischial tuberosity on either side with cystolithiasis and intramedul lary nailing of right femur proximal third fracture.](image1)

![Intraoperative picture showing the Pacman flap marked in the territory of Dopplered perforator.](image2)
the deeper recess of the cavity (Video 1). The Pacman flap was mobilized by incorporating both V-Y advancement and transposition (jaws of the Pacman) movement (►Fig. 11). A suction drain was placed through a separate stab incision. On the other side after pseudotumor excision, a conventional biceps femoris/gluteus maximus muscle flap with fasciocutaneous flap or biceps femoris musculocutaneous flap was done.

**Case Illustration 1**

A 55-year-old paraparetic man with history of burst fracture dislocation D12 vertebra presented with bilateral stage-four ischial pressure sore (►Fig. 12). On both sides, there were large pseudobursa extending on to ischial fossa and intermuscular recess. After pseudotumor excision on the left side, with the shaving off the irregular outer cortex of ischial tuberosity, 14 cm × 12 cm Pacman flap, and 10 cm × 4 cm × 2 cm gluteus maximus muscle on two independent perforators from IGVA were harvested in a chimeric fashion. The muscle was positioned in a tensionless manner over the decorticated ischial tuberosity into the ischial tuberosity and fixed to the periosseous plane in the deeper recess (Video 1). Then the Pacman flap was moved over this muscle flap to cover the cutaneous defect.

On the other side after pseudotumor excision, the gluteus maximus turnover flap was done. We found, as in all cases, that only the aponeurotic portion of the inferior third of gluteus maximus was hardly reaching the decorticated ischial tuberosity and fixed in that position. Over this fasciocutaneous rotation flap was done for the surface defect.

A suction drain was placed on either side. From the right side, the drain was removed on the tenth day and from the left side on the second day. The patient was discharged on the 14th day. Wounds healed uneventfully (►Fig. 12) and the patient was followed-up for 24 months with no recurrence. He was ambulant with crutches and wheelchair.

**Case Illustration 2**

A 33-year-old woman developed bilateral ischial pressure sores following Guillain–Barre syndrome. She had large
stage-four ischial pressure sores with overhanging wound edges with classical “flask-shaped ulcers” (Fig. 13). Her pelvic X-ray revealed bilateral ischial tuberosity superficial sequestrations (Fig. 13). Her nutritional, neurological, anemia, and general conditions were treated and stabilized before surgery. The steroids were discontinued and concurrently treated with vitamin A supplements to promote wound healing. After pseudotumor excision with limited ischial ostectomies (Fig. 13), the large rotation flap with biceps femoris advancement was done on the left side and pedicled chimeric IGAP flap was done on the right side (Fig. 13). On the right side the suction drain was removed on the fourth postoperative day. On the left side, though drain was removed on the sixth day there was continued ooze through the dehisced wound for 7 days and then it healed secondarily. There was uneventful healing on the chimeric IGAP flap side. There was recurrence on the rotation flap site at 6 months and that was treated by excision and further rotation and advancement. After that she was followed-up for 26 months and she remained ulcer free despite ambulation on the wheelchair (Fig. 13).

Results
Results of the Clinical Study
Twenty-one cases patients included whose mean age was 39 years. The demographic details of patients are tabulated (Table 2). All the patients were operated as described earlier in the surgical procedure. The mean surface area of the cutaneous paddle and muscle paddle in the pedicled chimeric IGVA flap group was 150.74 cm² and 44.40 cm², respectively. The mean thickness of the muscle paddle in the pedicled chimeric IGVA flap was 1.75 cm (range 1.25–2 cm). We observed that the islanded, adequately sized muscle paddle on an independent perforator not only congruently filled the subfascial ischiogluteal void, but it also reached all the recesses in a tensionless manner. The mean flap surface area in the contralateral control group was 147.19 cm². All the patients were under close observation in the postoperative period. The mean days taken for drain removal were 3.5 days in the chimeric flap group and 9.5 days in the control group. There existed a six-day difference between the chimeric IGVA flap reconstructed site and conventionally reconstructed sites.
site \( (p = 0.035) \). The infection rate was lesser in the chimeric IGVA flap group (4.76%) when compared with the control group (28.57%) \( (p = 0.038) \). On comparing wound dehiscence in both the groups, the chimeric IGVA flap group had the complication in only 2 patients (9.52%), whereas the control group had dehiscence in 10 patients (47.62%) \( (p = 0.006) \). The early wound dehiscence due to venous congestion and subsequent suture removal had occurred in 7 patients of control group but none was noted in the chimeric IGVA group. There was no partial or complete flap loss on both reconstruction sites. All the patients were followed-up for an average period of 26 months. Recurrence was noted in only one patient (4.76%) in the pedicled chimeric IGVA flap group while the control group had an alarmingly high recurrence rate of 52.38% (11 out of 21; \( p = 0.0006) \). The overall institutional score (►Table 3) was calculated for assessing the outcomes. It was found that in the chimeric IGVA flap group, 18 patients (85.71%) had grade A (excellent; \( p < 0.045 \)), two patients (9.52%) had grade B (good), and one patient (4.76%) had grade C (adequate) recurrence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Cause of myelopathy</th>
<th>Chimeric IGVA perforator flap</th>
<th>Control group cutaneous paddle size (cm) and surface area (cm²)</th>
<th>Overall institutional score at the end of follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cutaneous paddle size (cm) and surface area (cm²)</td>
<td>Muscle paddle size (cm) and surface area (cm²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>14 × 11 (154)</td>
<td>12 × 4.5 (54)</td>
<td>14 × 10.5 (147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>12.5 × 10 (125)</td>
<td>10 × 3 (30)</td>
<td>12 × 10.5 (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Transverse myelitis</td>
<td>15 × 12.5 (187.5)</td>
<td>11.5 × 5 (57.5)</td>
<td>15 × 12 (180)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>14 × 12 (168)</td>
<td>10 × 4 (40)</td>
<td>14 × 11.5 (161)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>13 × 10.5 (136.5)</td>
<td>10 × 3 (30)</td>
<td>13 × 10 (130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>13.5 × 11 (148.5)</td>
<td>10.5 × 4 (42)</td>
<td>13 × 11.5 (149.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>GBs</td>
<td>14 × 11 (154)</td>
<td>11 × 4.5 (49.5)</td>
<td>13.5 × 11 (148.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>15 × 12.5 (187.5)</td>
<td>12 × 5 (60)</td>
<td>14 × 12 (168)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>12.5 × 10 (125)</td>
<td>10 × 3 (30)</td>
<td>12 × 10.5 (126)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>15 × 12 (180)</td>
<td>11.5 × 4 (46)</td>
<td>15 × 11.5 (172.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>14 × 12.5 (175)</td>
<td>11 × 5 (55)</td>
<td>14 × 12 (168)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>13.5 × 10 (135)</td>
<td>10.5 × 4.5 (47.25)</td>
<td>14 × 10 (140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>12.5 × 11 (137.5)</td>
<td>11.5 × 4 (46)</td>
<td>12.5 × 11 (137.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Pott’s spine</td>
<td>12 × 10 (120)</td>
<td>10 × 3 (30)</td>
<td>12.5 × 11 (137.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>14 × 11.5 (161)</td>
<td>12 × 4.5 (54)</td>
<td>13.5 × 11 (148.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Transverse myelitis</td>
<td>13 × 10.5 (136.5)</td>
<td>11.5 × 4 (46)</td>
<td>13 × 10 (130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>12 × 10 (120)</td>
<td>10 × 3.5</td>
<td>11.5 × 10 (115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>13.5 × 11 (148.5)</td>
<td>10.5 × 3.5 (36.75)</td>
<td>14 × 11 (154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>12.5 × 10 (125)</td>
<td>11 × 4 (44)</td>
<td>13.5 × 10 (135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>15 × 12 (180)</td>
<td>11.5 × 5 (57.5)</td>
<td>15 × 11 (165)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>14 × 11.5 (161)</td>
<td>10.5 × 4 (42)</td>
<td>14 × 11 (154)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: F, female; GBs, Guillain–Barre syndrome; IGVA, inferior gluteal vessel axis; M, male.
had grade C. Whereas on the assessment of the control group using institutional scoring system, only three patients had grade A (14.29%). On the assessment of the control group, grade B (n = 2; 9.52%), grade C (n = 4; 19.04%), and grade D (n = 12; 57.14%) were observed.

Discussion

The ischial tuberosity is the most common site for pressure sores in people suffering from paraplegia, accounting for 28% of all ulcers.\textsuperscript{23,24} IPSs are the most common type of recurring pressure ulcers.\textsuperscript{19,23,24} Surgery is the established best modality for the treatment of most of the pressure ulcers occurring in the chronic myelopathic patients.\textsuperscript{25,26}

IPSs are difficult to treat due to high recurrence and complication rates up to 40 to 50%, despite successful surgery.\textsuperscript{13,24} Surgical reconstruction of IPSs must provide good-quality filling tissue, preventing the recurrence.\textsuperscript{25,26} With conventional flaps there exists an unplugged residual subfascial void, which causes collection, infection, and recurrences.\textsuperscript{10–21} whereas in this pedicled chimeric flap on the IGVA, there is an adequate volume of well-vascularized muscle component on an independent perforator. The later by its increased mobility and potential of three-dimensional positioning, congruently fills the subfascial void and absorbs all the exudate, culls infection, and promotes healing. The first supporting evidence for this was less drainage, leading to early removal of the drain when compared with the conventional flap in the same patients (average drain removal time in chimeric IGVA flap side was 3.5 days vs. 9.5 days for the conventional flap and the difference was statistically significant). Similarly, the infection and recurrence complications were also less on the pedicled chimeric flap side. Only two closely relatable studies are by Legemate et al.\textsuperscript{19} and Ku et al.\textsuperscript{21} In the study by Ku et al., they used a perforator-based fasciocutaneous flap and another pedicled paddle of split gluteus maximus to fill the void. Their reported complication rate of seroma, hematoma, and recurrences was 20%, probably due to limited mobility of split gluteal maximus paddle. Catherine et al in their study used the internal pudendal artery perforator flap with de-epithelized distal skin, which was folded for occluding the subfascial void. They reported complicated wound healing in 18% of cases. Again, all these could be attributed to the inadequately filled void. A well-vascularized gluteus maximus paddle also withstands a pressure of 80 to 100 mm Hg\textsuperscript{23} over the ischium in the sitting posture. Though Daniel et al.\textsuperscript{27} had shown that muscle tissues were highly susceptible to the pressure injury. Nola et al.\textsuperscript{28} had noted in their study that the skin over the muscle covering the bone offered more resistance to pressure injury when compared with the skin alone covering the bone. Thus muscle paddle on an independent perforator has three distinct advantages: (1) highly vascularized tissue (supranormal homogenized blood supply) culls the infection in these clean-contaminated wounds by bringing in the whole immunity system and systemically administered antibiotics in proximity to the wall of the subfascial void, (2) it obliterates all the dead spaces, and (3) it provides relative bulk over the bony prominence.\textsuperscript{28–33} A muscle flap on an independent perforator serves all these purposes fully, better than any muscle containing conventional flaps.\textsuperscript{25,28–33} Also, the paddles on the independent perforator with their free excursion allow tension-free reconstruction. All these factors facilitated better outcomes in our study. Because of the high recurrence rates of IPSs, surgeons should consider the possibility of future secondary flap surgery in any flap selection. Therefore, when choosing a flap, the surgeon must exercise the utmost care not to violate the potential prospect of surrounding locoregional flaps by not venturing into the surrounding skin, muscles, and vascular plexus. Though our chimeric pedicled IGVA flap does not affect the prospect of surrounding locoregional flaps, redoing/re-advancing the same flap is not possible.

The limitation of the study is the small sample size, but the strength of the study is the clinical establishment of the benefit of chimeric pedicled IGVA flap in minimizing the residual void related complications. Besides, we had also established the feasibility in a preliminary cadaver study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective factors assessed</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flap status</td>
<td>Flap necrosis</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congestion warranting re-surgery</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congestion managed conservatively</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehiscence</td>
<td>Infection, dehiscence envisaging debridement, and further flap primary movement</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infection, dehiscence envisaging debridement, and secondary suturing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infection—collection let out, dehiscence healed with secondary intention</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No infection, no dehiscence, primary healing obtained</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>Recurrence envisaging another locoregional flap</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recurrence envisaging primary movement of the flap</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recurrence uneventfully healed with offloading</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No recurrence</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contour of the reconstructed site after 6 months</td>
<td>Depressed and thinning</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contour maintained</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Outcomes (maximum score—11; minimum score—0).
Score 9–11 (grade A)—excellent.
Score 7–8 (grade B)—good.
Score 5–6 (grade C)—fair.
Less than 5 (grade D)—poor.
Conclusion

This anatomically construed flap, a new addendum in the armamentarium of reconstruction of IPSs, with its potential to congruently fill the ischiogluteal subfascial void may provide a lasting solution for preventing the recurrence. This requires large-scale study to establish the advantage of the proposed chimeric pedicled flap on IGVA.
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