Morphological Assessment of TMJ Spaces, Mandibular Condyle, and Glenoid Fossa Using Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT): A Retrospective Analysis
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Abstract

Background The temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is included in the category of ginglymoarthrodial synovial joints. The mandibular condyle plays a vital part in the development of the craniofacial complex. Hence, the evaluation and assessment of the condylar volume and its morphology are of utmost importance.

Aim The aim of this research was to use cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) imaging modality to evaluate the morphology of the mandibular condyle and glenoid fossa in a selected population and document any morphometric changes.

Setting and Design It is an observational study.

Materials and Methods A retrospective CBCT analysis was performed on 119 patients. The length, width, height, linear measurements of the joint spaces (anterior, posterior, and superior), volume of the condyle, and roof of glenoid fossa thickness were evaluated on both the left and right sides in both males and females.

Results The height, width, and length of mandibular condyle were significantly increased in males on both sides. Except for the left anterior space, all other spaces were significantly larger in males. Overall, the volume of the condyles in males was significantly increased on both sides.

Conclusion CBCT can be a valuable diagnostic aid in the evaluation of various dimensions, joint spaces, and condylar volume in different planes and thus, can be a useful predictor in the assessment of treatment outcomes of disorders affecting the TMJ.
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Introduction

The temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is included in the category of ginglymoarthrodial synovial joint and consists of an articular disk, fibrous capsule, articular eminence, synovial membrane, synovial fluid, and associated ligaments.¹ The mandibular condyle forms the inferior part of the articular surface of the TMJ, whereas the superior part of the articular surface is formed by the articular eminence of the temporal bone and the mandibular fossa (also known as glenoid fossa).²

The oral cavity, teeth, and the associated muscles of mastication have a very close relationship with the TMJ. Together with the masticatory muscles, the oral structures regulate the position and function of the TMJ. All associated structures...
along with the TMJ play a vital role in mandibular motion and redistribution of stress generated by activities such as chewing, swallowing, and speaking.\textsuperscript{2}

The volume and size of the TMJ may be associated with the final dimensions of the mandibular arch and the relationship between the mandibular and maxillary arches. Hence, the evaluation and the assessment of the condylar volume and its morphology are of utmost importance.\textsuperscript{3}

Presently, there is no objective criterion for the ideal position of the mandibular condyle within the glenoid fossa in a given population. When the posterior joint space width is less than the anterior joint space, the condyle is retruded, and it is protruded when the anterior joint space is greater.\textsuperscript{4}

A markedly eccentric condylar positioning leading to alterations in joint spaces may represent an abnormality and may be a matter of concern. The pronounced anterior condylar positioning may suggest juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, and the posterior positioning of condyle may indicate the presence of disk displacement. A superior condyle placement or obliterated joint space can indicate the loss of intervening soft tissue components, disc displacement, or perforation of the joint, whereas inferior condyle placement or widened joint space may suggest the presence of blood or fluid within the joint space.\textsuperscript{5} Disc displacement, perforation, deformation, or osteoarthritis may cause narrowing of joint space. Joint space is known to be altered with condylar rotation, change in vertical dimension, condylar displacement, articular surfaces remodeling, adaptive remodeling in TMJ, neuromuscular and/or skeletal adaptive changes with orthodontic treatment or with extraction and nonextraction orthodontics.\textsuperscript{6}

In the past, TMJ has been analyzed typically via two-dimensional (2D) images. Conventional radiographs such as transcranial, transpharyngeal, and panoramic radiographs may be sufficient in certain cases, but they have their own limitations.\textsuperscript{4} Hence, the need for advanced modalities of imaging such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), and arthrography.\textsuperscript{3} The recent advancements in three-dimensional (3D) technology, especially in the field of CBCT, have enabled us to analyze the TMJ in a more comprehensive manner than ever before.\textsuperscript{8}

The aim of this research was to use CBCT imaging modality to evaluate the morphology of the mandibular condyle and glenoid fossa and document any morphological variations. Results of the previous research pertaining to the role of condylar position within the fossa among the adult population remain inconclusive. In relation to the position of the disc in adolescents, the literature is deficient in assessing the joint space area. The data obtained may provide valuable information on the anatomy of the developing TMJ and the joint spaces in adolescents with normal and altered disc positions when compared with their adult counterparts.

**Materials and Methods**

A retrospective study was conducted in our department. Ethical clearance for the study was obtained from the institutional ethical committee (Protocol ref. no 17130). Large field of view (FOV) CBCT scans (200 × 170 mm) of 119 patients in the age group of 18 to 50 years from September 2017 to September 2019 were obtained from the archives and assessed. Planmeca ProMax 3D mid (Planmeca, Helsinki, Finland) machine was used for capturing the CBCT scans in the occlusal state with the scan parameters being 90 kV and 8 mA with a slice thickness of 0.4 mm. The scans were analyzed using Romexis software version 4.6.2. Scans with a clear resolution and adequate coverage displaying bilateral TMJs, large FOV CBCT scans, and scans of individuals who are 18 years and above were included for the study. Scans of subjects with gross facial asymmetry, deformities, such as condylar hyperplasia/hypoplasia, history of previous orthognathic surgery, fracture of the condyle; patients with systemic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, Sjogren syndrome, reactive arthritis, and systemic lupus erythematosus; patients presenting with a history of any tumor or growth in the orofacial region that can influence the morphology of the condyle or affect the dimensions of the joint spaces, scans with inadequate clarity or resolution; and patients below 18 years of age were excluded from the study.

**Procedure**

- Metric evaluation of morphology of the mandibular condyle and glenoid fossa, including its condylar height, width, length, roof of glenoid fossa (RGF) thickness, anterior, posterior, and superior joint space, and volume of the condyle were recorded as described by Al-koshab et al.\textsuperscript{2} A 2D sagittal slice with a clear appearance of the condyle and glenoid fossa was selected. The superior-most portion of the mandibular condyle was denoted as superior mandibular condyle (SCo). Two points, one posterior and one anterior, on either side of the condyle at a distance of 4 mm from the SCo were selected as posterior-most mandibular condyle point (PCo) and anterior-most condylar point (ACo). From this selected sagittal slice, the condylar length was measured (\textsuperscript{\textbullet} Fig. 1). The areas of maximum convex curvature on either side of the condyle, in the coronal section, were chosen as the medial condyle (MCo) and lateral condyle (LCo). Condylar

![Fig. 1 (A and B): Cone beam computed tomography image in sagittal view and schematic representation of the condylar length in the anteroposterior direction. ACo, anterior-most condylar point; PCo, posterior-most mandibular condyle point; SCo, superior mandibular condyle.](image-url)
width was measured as the linear distance between the mandibular lateral and medial poles (►Fig. 2).
• Height of the condyle was calculated as the perpendicular distance from SCo to a line drawn between the inferior-most point of the sigmoid notch (Inf Sig), perpendicular to the tangent of the posterior surface of the ramus in the sagittal plane (►Fig. 3).
• In sagittal plane, the thickness of the glenoid fossa was defined as the distance between the outer cortical outline and the inner cortical outline (►Fig. 4).

The linear measurements of the joint spaces between the mandibular condyle and the glenoid fossa were measured as follows:
a. A horizontal line coinciding with the superior most convex point of the glenoid fossa (superior fossa [SF]) was denoted as the true horizontal line.
b. The distance between the SC to the SF was measured as superior joint space.

c. A tangential line connecting the most convex anterior and posterior parts of the condyle to the SF was drawn to determine the points coinciding with the anterior and posterior spaces. A perpendicular line was drawn to the tangential line to determine the distance of the glenoid fossa to the anterior condyle and the posterior condyle and was measured as the anterior space and posterior space, respectively (► Fig. 5)

According to Al-koshab et al., the upper extent of the mandibular condylar head was determined in the axial view on the appearance of first radiopaque point in the joint space when the axial images were scrolled from one end to the other of the joint space (window-windowing). The lower extent was determined upon the disappearance of the radiopaque sigmoid notch. Following this procedure, the “region growing tool” in the Romexis software was used to determine the boundaries of the condyle in the axial section and a volume of the selected region was created. This corresponded to the volume of the condyle (► Fig. 6).

All the measurements were performed by a maxillofacial radiologist with an experience of more than 10 years. Measurements were repeated for 20 CBCT scans by the maxillofacial radiologist after 2 weeks. The intraobserver reliability assessed through the intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.90.

Collected data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software 20.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, United States). Correlation of the various parameters with age and gender was done using independent t-test. The comparisons of the values of right and left side were done using paired t-test. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 119 patients including 60 females and 59 males were evaluated in the study. The length, width, height, volume, RGF thickness, and metric evaluation of all the joint spaces were performed on both the sides in each patient. Evaluation of each of the parameters between males and females was done using independent t-test. On comparison of each of the parameters between the two genders, there was significant variation seen with respect to the length, width, height, volume of the condyle, RGF thickness, posterior and the superior joint spaces, with a p-value <0.001. The length, width, height, volume, RGF thickness, and all the joint spaces were significantly larger in males compared with females. According to the results, only the value of left anterior joint space did not show any significant variation between males and females, even though it was higher in males (► Table 1).

Among the 119 patients, all the parameters were analyzed and compared between the two sides. The comparison of right and left values was done using a paired t-test. In males, the width of the condyle on the left side was significantly greater than that on the right with p < 0.001. According to the results, in both males and females, the volume of the condyle was significantly larger on the left side compared with the right with p < 0.001. It was also noted that the thickness of RGF in females was significantly more on the left side as compared with the right with p < 0.001. Without taking gender into consideration, among the 119 patients, on comparison, there was significant difference in the width, joint spaces (anterior, superior and posterior) and the volume of the condyle between the right and left side with a p-value <0.001 (► Table 2).

The study consisted of 119 patients and the median age of the sample was taken as 25 years. Patients were categorized as those above 25 years of age and those below it. There were 59 males, of which 33 were above the age of 25 years and 26 were below the age of 35. Likewise, there were 60 females, of which 24 being less than 25 years of age and 36 were above the age of 25 years. Evaluation of each of the parameters with age (above 25 years of age and below 25 years of age) was done using a paired t-test. In males, only the length of the condyle on both the sides and the anterior space on the right side showed significant variation with age with a p-value <0.001 (► Table 3). In females, the length, width, height, joint spaces, and volume of the condyle showed significant variation with age with a p-value <0.001 (► Table 4).

Discussion

The accuracy of CBCT for linear measurements was confirmed in various studies by Lascala et al., Soumalainen et al., and Kobayashi et al. Furthermore, Lascala et al. stated that CBCT images underestimate the actual distances on the base of the skull between different points and are accurate for linear measurements of these structures. Soumalainen et al. stated that the error in linear measurement and evaluation using CBCT technique was lower than that of multislice CT.

Dalili et al., in their study, concluded that males have larger linear measurements of joint spaces when compared with females, especially the posterior and the superior spaces.
This was in accordance with the results of our study, wherein a significant difference was observed between the two genders when linear dimensions of posterior and superior joint spaces were compared. The larger joint spaces in males could be due to the thicknesses of soft tissues of the TMJ compartment, as described by Lubsen et al.\textsuperscript{12} Another possible explanation could be due to the difference in the overall size of temporal fossa and mandibular condyle in males as a result of sexual dimorphism as described by Hinton.\textsuperscript{13}

In our study, linear measurements of the joint spaces displayed significant differences with age. The sample included 119 patients with the age ranging from 18 to 44 years. There was a progressive increase in the linear measurements of anterior, posterior, and superior space with increasing age. This was in agreement with the results of a study by Alexiou et al,\textsuperscript{14} who reported of a decrease in the linear measurements of posterior and superior joint spaces. This asymmetry observed in our research in the condylar location between the left and right sides of glenoid fossa could be explained by the presence of a preferred side for mastication in patients with malocclusion as stated by Blaschke and Blaschke.\textsuperscript{15}

Wang et al\textsuperscript{16} and Rodrigues et al,\textsuperscript{17} in a detailed analysis of the thickness of the RGF on right and left sides in an individual, concluded that there was significant variation between the two sides. This was in agreement with our research wherein we found a difference in the thickness of the RGF between the two sides and was significant in females, with the left side being significantly larger. This asymmetry may be associated with normal cranial base asymmetries as reported by Kijima et al.\textsuperscript{20} The condyle morphology responds to the changing demands of the masticatory process, including those arising from teeth loss and occlusal wear. The condyle morphology and RGF thickness are therefore influenced by an external stimulus that influences the difference between the right and left sides.\textsuperscript{20}

In our study, the volume of condyle was significantly increased on the left side in both males and females. This was in accordance with the results of a study by Tecco et al.\textsuperscript{21}

\textbf{Table 1} Comparison of various parameters of the TMJ between genders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (in mm)</th>
<th>Male (n = 59)</th>
<th>Female (n = 60)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-Value$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right. length (PCo-ACo)</td>
<td>8.078 ± 0.508</td>
<td>6.465 ± 0.283</td>
<td>21.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right width (MCo-LCo)</td>
<td>18.802 ± 0.885</td>
<td>16.65 ± 0.627</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right height (SCo-InfSig)</td>
<td>19.07 ± 0.766</td>
<td>17.176 ± 0.451</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior space</td>
<td>2.252 ± 0.323</td>
<td>1.756 ± 0.205</td>
<td>9.898</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right superior space</td>
<td>3.005 ± 0.246</td>
<td>2.064 ± 0.218</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right posterior space</td>
<td>2.707 ± 0.509</td>
<td>1.986 ± 0.171</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right volume (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>2914.698 ± 408.384</td>
<td>1853.335 ± 178.458</td>
<td>18.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right RGF</td>
<td>1.472 ± 0.093</td>
<td>1.343 ± 0.128</td>
<td>6.287</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left AS</td>
<td>2.289 ± 0.314</td>
<td>2.828 ± 0.4094</td>
<td>-1.018</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left SS</td>
<td>3.114 ± 0.359</td>
<td>2.108 ± 0.246</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PS</td>
<td>2.727 ± 0.48</td>
<td>2.023 ± 0.203</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left volume (mm$^3$)</td>
<td>2957.317 ± 415.355</td>
<td>1870.162 ± 183.148</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left RGF</td>
<td>1.447 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.128</td>
<td>3.285</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AC0, anterior-most condylar point; AS, anterior joint space; Inf Sig, inferior-most point of the sigmoid notch; LCo, lateral condyle; MCo, medial condyle; PCo, posterior-most mandibular condyle point; PS, posterior joint space; RGF, glenoid fossa roof thickness; SD, standard deviation; SS, superior joint space; TMJ, temporomandibular joint.

Statistical test: Independent t-test.

$^a$Indicates statistically significant value.
### Table 3 Correlation of the various parameters of TMJ morphology with age among male subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Age (in years) Mean ± SD (mm)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤25 (n = 33)</td>
<td>&gt;25 (n = 26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right length</td>
<td>8.308 ± 0.338</td>
<td>7.787 ± 0.542</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right width</td>
<td>19.125 ± 0.705</td>
<td>18.393 ± 0.933</td>
<td>3.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right height</td>
<td>19.291 ± 0.667</td>
<td>18.789 ± 0.803</td>
<td>2.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right AS</td>
<td>2.384 ± 0.255</td>
<td>2.085 ± 0.327</td>
<td>3.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right SS</td>
<td>3.080 ± 0.216</td>
<td>2.909 ± 0.252</td>
<td>2.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right PS</td>
<td>2.876 ± 0.508</td>
<td>2.492 ± 0.431</td>
<td>3.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right volume (mm³)</td>
<td>3074.471 ±311.945</td>
<td>2711.909 ±431.165</td>
<td>3.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right RGF</td>
<td>1.497 ± 0.076</td>
<td>1.440 ± 0.103</td>
<td>2.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left length</td>
<td>8.292 ± 0.338</td>
<td>7.824 ± 0.535</td>
<td>3.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left width</td>
<td>19.247 ± 0.749</td>
<td>18.501 ± 0.967</td>
<td>3.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left height</td>
<td>18.903 ± 3.134</td>
<td>18.962 ± 0.842</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left AS</td>
<td>2.378 ± 0.273</td>
<td>2.175 ± 0.330</td>
<td>2.535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left SS</td>
<td>3.123 ± 0.283</td>
<td>3.103 ± 0.442</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left PS</td>
<td>2.887 ± 0.492</td>
<td>2.524 ± 0.385</td>
<td>3.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left volume (mm³)</td>
<td>3036.570 ±592.014</td>
<td>2765.504 ±437.251</td>
<td>1.951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left RGF</td>
<td>1.500 ± 0.093</td>
<td>1.457 ± 0.114</td>
<td>1.587</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** AS, anterior joint space; PS, posterior joint space; RGF, roof of glenoid fossa; SD, standard deviation; SS, superior joint space; TMJ, temporomandibular joint.

**Statistical test:** Independent t-test B.

*Indicates statistically significant value.
The asymmetry seen in our analysis between the two sides could be due to the presence of a preferred side of chewing among subjects with malocclusion, contributing to an altered morphology and volume.\(^2\) The volume of the condyle also varies with the type of malocclusion. This was explained by Moss's Functional matrix theory, which stated that the growth of each skeletal unit in the maxillofacial surface is defined based on the functional requirement of the corresponding tissue.\(^2\)

In the present study, the volume of the condyle decreased with increasing age and was significant in females. This was in accordance with the results of Takayama et al,\(^2\) who reported a decrease in the volume of the condyle with age, in both males and females. They concluded that the volumetric and morphologic changes are more common in TMJ with increasing age, and age is the paramount factor that determines the degree of remodeling. As the adaptive and degenerative changes appear in the TMJ over a long period of time, the volume of the condyle decreases with increasing age.\(^2\)

The length, width, and height of the mandibular condyle among males were significantly greater on both the sides. This was in accordance with the results of Tadej et al,\(^3\) who reported a decrease in the volume of the condyle with age, in both males and females. He concluded that the volumetric and morphologic changes are more common in TMJ with increasing age, and age is the paramount factor that determines the degree of remodeling. As the adaptive and degenerative changes appear in the TMJ over a long period of time, the volume of the condyle decreases with increasing age.\(^3\)

Conclusion

In our study, there was a significant variation in the linear condylar measurements of width and volume between the left and right side that emphasize the fact that each condyle should be evaluated and assessed as a separate entity prior to any treatment. In surgical procedures, such as orthognathic surgery and orthodontic management, these anatomical dimensional variabilities can be significant.

These parameters also displayed a significant negative association with age. The findings of our study also revealed variations in linear measurements in both the genders. Among females, there were significant differences seen
on comparing the thickness of RGF between the two sides. Males generally displayed a larger condylar volume and size.

A detailed CBCT analysis of TMJ would aid in precisely evaluating the morphological variations in different maxillofacial alignments and in analyzing the positional and morphological TMJ changes post extraction and nonextraction orthodontic treatment, facemask therapy, and functional appliances (orthopaedic) and in orthognathic surgical procedures. For future research, the data from the present study could be used as a guideline for various parameters of the TMJ, although a larger cohort study and a detailed comparison with other imaging modalities including CT and MRI especially in the evaluation of the joint spaces are desirable and are a few of the limitations in the present study.

CBCT can be a valuable diagnostic aid in the evaluation of various morphometric analysis of the TMJ, its joint spaces, and condylar volume in different planes and thus can be a useful predictor in the assessment of treatment outcomes in disorders affecting the TMJ.
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