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Abstract

Aim This study was aimed to translate an updated European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) quality of life module for head and neck (EORTC QLQ-H&N43) in grammatically and conceptually acceptable Marathi language and its linguistic validation.

Materials and Methods Approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee. The permission for translation was obtained from the EORTC translation unit (TU). The EORTC guidelines for the translation were followed to form a translation for pilot testing which was administered to 10 Marathi speaking head and neck squamous cell cancer (HNSCC) patients who gave informed written consent for the participation in the study. Patients were interviewed personally. The final Marathi translation was prepared and sent to EORTC TU for approval. Statistical analysis was performed using SYSTAT version 12 by Cranes software, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

Results After getting permission, the translation files were received from EORTC TU, including Marathi EORTC QLQ-H&N35 for reference. Two forward translations, reconciled translation, back translations, first interim translation, translation for proof editing, and second interim translation (SIT) were prepared. This SIT was pilot tested in 10 Marathi-speaking HNSCC patients. Each patient was interviewed regarding difficulty in answering, confusing or offensive word, and reframing sentence. The questionnaire was well understood by patients reflecting its linguistic validity. After incorporating the changes as per the patient’s interview, updated translation was prepared and sent to EORTC TU which was accepted and approved by EORTC. The psychometric analysis of pilot testing showed that the questionnaire is acceptable.

Conclusion Marathi translation of EORTC QLQ-H&N43 is well accepted and understandable. It can be used for future studies.
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Introduction

In India, head and neck squamous cell cancer (HNSCC) is the second most common cause of cancer. The diagnosis of disease, disease itself, and its treatment affects the patient’s social, psychological, and functional aspects. The advances in the multimodality treatment of HNSCC have translated into improvement in the locoregional control and overall survival probability. Hence, the quality of life (QOL) becomes important. Patient-reported outcome measure is an appropriate way to evaluate the QOL.

The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer) QOL module for head and neck cancer 35 (EORTC QLQ-H&N35) is available for QOL study in patients with HNSCC. Its Marathi translation is available for use. An update of EORTC QLQ-H&N35, that is, EORTC QLQ-H&N 43 in English has recently completed the phase-IV study. As the module is in the English language and had to be self-administered, it cannot be used for all Marathi-speaking patients of HNSCC. Hence, the aim of this study was to formulate grammatically, linguistically, and conceptually acceptable, comprehensible, culturally acceptable and inoffensive Marathi translation of EORTC QLQ-H&N43 which will be useful for Marathi-speaking patients of HNSCC of all educational levels.

Materials and Methods

Part I: Pretranslation

The Institutional Ethics Committee approval was obtained. A request was sent to the EORTC translation unit (TU) for permission to translate EORTC QLQ-H&N43 in Marathi. EORTC translation procedure was followed.

Part II: Translation

The forward translation (FT) of the original English questionnaire was done by two independent native Marathi speakers who had good command over the English language. The translators had not seen this questionnaire before and they were primed with the aim of this study. EORTC QLQ-H&N35 was given to them for reference. After discussion among the study coordinator and two FTs, reconciled translation was formed. This reconciled translation was then back translated in English by other two independent translators who were fluent in both languages. The first interim translation (FIT) was formed by comparing the back translations (BTs) with the original English tool and after adding appropriate modifications. This FIT was then sent to the EORTC office for discussion and comments. After all clarifications regarding translation with translation coordinator from EORTC TU, a translation for external proof editing was formed. Incorporating the corrections suggested by EORTC proof editor, second interim translation (SIT) for pilot testing was formed.

Part III: Pilot Testing

The Marathi translation for pilot testing i.e. SIT was given to literate Marathi-speaking and histopathology-proven nonmetastatic HNSCC patients, of all age group and either sex with Karnofsky’s performance score of more than or equal to 70, who had never seen these questionnaire before and gave informed written consent for the participation in the study. After completing the questionnaire, the translation was discussed individually with the patients. Patients were interviewed about the difficulty in understanding, difficulty in answering, and confusion regarding any question or word. They were also asked whether any question or word was objectionable or offensive. Patients were asked for their suggestions for framing a specific question or replacement of a specific relevant word. Incorporating the patient’s suggestion, final Marathi translation was made and sent to the EORTC office for approval. Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical analysis software SYSTAT version 12 by Cranes Software, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

Results

Part I: Pretranslation

The permission to translate EORTC QLQ-H&N43 was granted from EORTC TU. The translation files received from the EORTC office included the translation manual, Excel copy of translation steps, and Marathi EORTC QLQ-H&N35 for reference. The translation coordinators were assigned one from EORTC TU and the principal investigator (C.W.) from our team. The original EORTC QLQ-H&N43 was compared with EORTC QLQ-H&N43. The question numbers 31 to 33, 35 to 39, 41 to 45, and 60 and 61 of EORTC QLQ-H&N43 were the same as in EORTC QLQ-H&N35.

Part II: Translation

Two FTs of the original English questionnaire were received from FTs. Although EORTC QLQ-H&N35 was given to them for reference, they suggested many changes in repeated questions along with the changes in instructions to fill the questionnaire. After the discussion among the study coordinator and two FTs, reconciled translation was formed.

Reconciled Translation

- Question number 73: FT1 was “Tumhala chawtana kahi tras janwat hota ka?” and FT2 was “Chawane awaghad wate hote ka?” We accepted FT2 for reconciled translation.
- The foot note stating “Sarva adhikar rakun, Chawthya payritil aabhyasika” from FT2 was included in reconciled translation.
- For all other questions, FT1 was accepted with few corrections like the words “apnas/aaplya” were used in place of “tumhala/tumchya” which was showing more respect for the patients.
- Although the instructions for EORTC QLQ-H&N35 were the same as EORTC QLQ-H&N35, it was changed for the more respectful words for patients like “apnas” in place of “tumchya.” The word “Gelya aathawdyat” was changed to “Gelya aathawadabharat” as per FT1. Question numbers 31 to 33 and 41 to 45 were accepted as it is in both FT1 and FT2 but in reconciled translation, the words “tumhala/tumchya” were replaced with “apnas/aaplya.”
This reconciled translation was then back translated in English by two other independent translators who were fluent in both the languages and had not seen the original English questionnaire. The FIT was formed by comparing the two BTs with the original English tool. BT1 and BT2 were comparable and giving same meaning as the original English questionnaire. Hence, the reconciled translation was used as FIT and was sent to EORTC TU for discussion and comments. Incorporating the suggestions and after explaining the queries from EORTC TU, Marathi translation was prepared for external proof editing. The following were the suggestions and explanations for EORTC TU queries.

Translation for external proof editing is as follows:
- Instruction: “Krupaya yogya aakdyabhowati vartul karun aaple uttar dyave” was changed to “Krupaya aapnasathi yogya aslelya aakdyabhowati vartul karun aaple uttar dyave.”
- Question 33: clarification was given for the word soreness versus ulcers.
- Question number 51: the meaning in BT was confirmed as swallowing and not ingestion.

Incorporating the corrections suggested by external proof editor appointed by EORTC TU, SIT for pilot testing was formed. Postexternal proof editing changes were as follows:
- Instructions: “Barechda rugna tyana khalil pramane tras hot aae kiwa khalil lakshananaipaki kahi lakshne disat aahet, ase sangat astat” was edited as “Kadhikadhi rugna tyana khalil pramane lakshne disat aahet kiwa samasya aahet, ase sangat astat.”
- Instruction: “Krupaya aaplyasathi yogya aslelya aakdyabhowati vartul karun aaple uttar dyave” was edited as “Krupaya aapnasathi sarvat jast lagu asnarya aakdyabhowati vartul karun aaple uttar dyave.”
- Instruction: “Jarase/thode” was edited as “jarase.” The alternative word was removed.
- Question number 41, 44 to 47, 52 to 56, and 58 and 59: the part of the sentence “Adchan aali hoti ka?” was edited as “samasya aaliy hotya ka?”
- Question number 73: “Chawane awaghad wattle hote ka?” was edited as “Aapnas chawnyat samasya aaliy hotya ka?”

This postedited translation was discussed with the study team and was accepted as SIT which was used for pilot testing.

### Part III: Pilot Testing

The Marathi translation for pilot testing, before SIT, was given to literate Marathi speaking and histopathology-proven non-metastatic HNSCC patients, of all age group and either sex with Karnofsky’s performance score of more than or equal to 70, who had never seen this questionnaire before and gave informed written consent for the participation in the study. The characteristics of patients are described in Table 1. After completing the questionnaire, the translation was discussed individually with the patients. Patients were interviewed about difficulty in understanding, difficulty in answering, and confusion regarding any question or word. They were also asked whether any question or word was objectionable or offensive. Patients were asked for their suggestions for framing a specific question or replacement of a specific relevant word.

**Patient interview report is as follows:**
- **Difficulty in answering:** none of the patient-reported difficulty in answering any question.
- **Confusing:**
  - Question number 32: one patient reported confusion in word “jabda (jaw).” After explaining, he understood the difference between the jaw and mouth. All other patients understood the question and there was no confusion.
  - Question number 46: five patients had confusion in understanding the question. They said that there will always be a problem during coughing. Both the forward and BTs were rechecked. The FT1 was accepted for proof editing. However, when rechecked with BT, we found FT2 was appropriate. The FT1 meant “problem during coughing” and FT2 meant “problem with coughing.” Hence, we accepted the FT2. The word “khoktanna” is replaced with the word “khoklyammule.”

- **Difficult words:**
  - Questions 35 to 37: two patients suggested, it would be easier to answer if we use the word “tras” in place of “samasya.” However, the BT meant difficulty and not the problem as asked in the original questionnaire. Hence, the translation was not changed, as all the patients have answered and understood the question.

- **Upsetting:** none of the patients reported that any question was upsetting.
  - Question numbers 60 and 61: although the question was not upsetting, six patients were shy during the interview and one patient refrained from answering the question.

### Table 1  Characteristics of ten patients selected for the pilot study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of patients</th>
<th>n = 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>57 (33–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral cavity</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oropharynx</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypopharynx</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larynx</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery followed by chemoradiotherapy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radical chemoradiotherapy</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rather seven patients said that the things should be explained to their partner that the disease is not contagious which is the common belief in the society.

• Alternative wording or suggestion:
  – The alternative word was suggested for question numbers 35 to 37. However, it was not changed as explained earlier (tras vs. samasya).
  – Question number 57: six patients pointed out that there is a repetition of the word “apnas.” Hence, the second “apnas” in the sentence was deleted. “Apnas gongat asleya watavarnat boltana samasya alya hoyta ka?”

None of the patients reported that the questions or any specific words used in the questionnaire were objectionable or offensive. The suggested corrections were incorporated and rechecked with BT. It was then sent to the EORTC TU. It was accepted and permission was granted for the future use of the final Marathi translation (Annexure 1).

The psychometric statistical analysis of pilot testing was performed using the statistical analysis software SYSTAT version 12 by Cranes Software, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. The mean values of item scales are shown in Table 2 and 2, respectively. The internal consistency was measured to test the reliability of the translated questionnaire by calculating the Cronbach’s α. The Cronbach’s α value item scales is given in Table 2. It was >7 (acceptable) for most of the functions/symptoms.

Discussion

The patient-reported QOL is a better measure of assessing the treatment outcome. EORTC QLQ-H&N43 is a QOL module for HNSCC patients comprising 35 questions. Its update, that is, EORTC QLQ-H&N43 contains 43 questions. It assesses the functional (e.g., body image, sexuality, and social eating) and symptom scale (pain, swallow, speech, skin, sense, and saliva).

The question numbers 31 to 33, 35 to 37, 39 to 45, and 60 and61 of EORTC QLQ-H&N43 are the same as in EORTC QLQ-H&N35. Although the questions were repeated and Marathi translation of EORTC QLQ-H&N43 was used for reference, our translators had suggested few changes in it which were accepted by EORTC TU. The final Marathi translation of EORTC QLQ-H&N43 was prepared by coordinated work between EORTC TU and the study team.

The patient’s interview proved that the wordings were well and correctly understood reflecting the good linguistic validation. We found that few patients were shy or not comfortable in answering sex-related questions. We also came to know about the disbeliefs regarding HNSCC and sex which we tried to solve by communicating with the sexual partners of patients.

The psychometric analysis of pilot testing reflected that the questionnaire was acceptable for most of the subscales. The Cronbach’s α value was less for few subscales. This can be because the study population was a mixed group of various head and neck subsites, for example, swallowing difficulty will be more in patients of cancer oropharynx and hypopharynx than the patients with carcinoma buccal mucosa. Similarly, it is the sense of smell.

Conclusion

Marathi translation of an updated EORTC QLQ-H&N35 is well accepted and understandable. It is approved by the EORTC QOL group for future studies.
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