Assessment of Blood–Brain Barrier Integrity in Tuberculous Meningitis Using Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced MR Perfusion
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Objective Tuberculous meningitis (TBM) is the most common form of central nervous system tuberculosis. The aim of the study was to quantitatively evaluate blood–brain barrier (BBB) perfusion changes in TBM patients using dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) MR perfusion.

Methods and Material Thirty untreated patients of TBM and 10 healthy controls were prospectively evaluated by conventional imaging and DCE MR perfusion. Mean permeability indices—Ktrans and Ve—were calculated from multiple regions of interest (ROIs) placed in basal cisterns and comparison was done between the patients and controls.

Results The permeability indices were significantly higher (where \( p < 0.001 \)) in cisterns of TBM patients who showed basal meningeal enhancement when compared with healthy controls. Significant differences in permeability were observed between “enhancing” cases and controls as well as in “enhancing” cases when compared with the “non-enhancing” cases. However, no significant difference was observed in the mean cisternal value between “non-enhancing” cases and the controls. Ktrans with a cutoff value of > 0.0838 had 81.6% sensitivity and 78.6% specificity in differentiating cases and controls while Ve mean value with a cutoff value of 0.0703 showed 86.8% sensitivity and 91.4% specificity in predicting the permeability difference between the cases and controls.

Conclusion DCE MR perfusion is useful in the quantitative measurement of disruption of BBB and perfusion alterations in patients of TBM.
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Abstract

Objective Tuberculous meningitis (TBM) is the most common form of central nervous system tuberculosis. The aim of the study was to quantitatively evaluate blood–brain barrier (BBB) perfusion changes in TBM patients using dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) MR perfusion.

Methods and Material Thirty untreated patients of TBM and 10 healthy controls were prospectively evaluated by conventional imaging and DCE MR perfusion. Mean permeability indices—Ktrans and Ve—were calculated from multiple regions of interest (ROIs) placed in basal cisterns and comparison was done between the patients and controls.

Results The permeability indices were significantly higher (where \( p < 0.001 \)) in cisterns of TBM patients who showed basal meningeal enhancement when compared with healthy controls. Significant differences in permeability were observed between “enhancing” cases and controls as well as in “enhancing” cases when compared with the “non-enhancing” cases. However, no significant difference was observed in the mean cisternal value between “non-enhancing” cases and the controls. Ktrans with a cutoff value of > 0.0838 had 81.6% sensitivity and 78.6% specificity in differentiating cases and controls while Ve mean value with a cutoff value of 0.0703 showed 86.8% sensitivity and 91.4% specificity in predicting the permeability difference between the cases and controls.

Conclusion DCE MR perfusion is useful in the quantitative measurement of disruption of BBB and perfusion alterations in patients of TBM.
Introduction

Central nervous system (CNS) infection due to TB includes two clinical categories: tuberculous meningitis (TBM) and parenchymal disease of brain and spine. TBM remains the commonest cause of chronic meningitis in developing countries like India. TBM is the most lethal form of extrapulmonary TB and remains a major global health problem with a high mortality rate. Prompt diagnosis and institution of proper treatment is essential because the clinical outcome depends greatly on the stage at which treatment is initiated. The causative organism of TBM is *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, which spreads via haematogenous route to the meninges as well as brain parenchyma. The dissemination occurs from the lungs which is the primary source of infection in majority of the cases. Following this, multiple tubercular granulomas are formed in the subpial location of the brain. The tubercular bacteria are released in the CSF after their rupture which leads to the pathogenesis of TBM. The hallmark of TBM is meningeal inflammation and formation of thick gelatinous exudates in the basal parts of the brain.

Meningeal inflammation in TBM is attributed to inflammatory cytokines and chemokines as well as factors released by the infectious organisms which lead to disruption of blood–brain barrier (BBB) 

Methods to quantitatively measure the disruption of BBB and the ongoing inflammation have been limited in the past, owing to the complexity of the techniques involved. Hitherto, MRI in meningitis is used to demonstrate meningeal enhancement and other intracranial complications associated with the disease. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance (DCE MR) perfusions and their physiological indices of permeability (Ktrans) and leakage (Ve) give information related to the integrity of BBB and changes in the extravascular extracellular space and help in the quantitative measurement of BBB disruption, which is not possible by routine post-contrast T1-weighted MRI.

We hypothesize that leakiness of BBB in TBM leads to perfusion changes which can be measured quantitatively using DCE perfusion MR imaging.

Materials and Methods

Patients

This was a prospective study performed in a tertiary care hospital with Institutional ethical clearance in which 30 consecutive patients with clinical diagnostic criteria of TB meningitis were included. Taking the clinical picture, CSF changes, and the presence of extra neural TB into account, diagnostic criteria for TBM, modified from Ahuja et al, were used. The criteria included clinical picture of meningitis like fever, headache, vomiting, neck stiffness, altered mentation, etc., along with supporting CSF evidence of the disease (high protein, low glucose and raised lymphocytes, and acid fast bacilli), and presence of extra neural site of tuberculosis as evidenced by appropriate mycobacterial tests and radiology, along with response to antituberculosis therapy (ATT).

The gold standard for confirmation in our study was TB PCR of CSF samples and only those patients who tested positive were included in the final study.

Informed and written consent was taken from all the patients or guardians before the study. All patients were evaluated for their demographical profile (age and sex), clinical symptoms (seizure, fever, signs of meningitis, etc.) and laboratory findings. Only those cases of TBM were included in the study who had either not been started on ATT or were in early stage of empirical treatment (< 2 weeks) on the basis of clinical findings, on the assumption that short period of treatment would not alter the permeability characteristics. Apart from the patients, we also included 10 controls in our study who underwent brain MRI for nonspecific complaints and were found to have normal structural brain MRI findings. These were patients who underwent MRI brain for other clinical conditions like headache, vertigo, and neuropsychiatric illnesses; and were found to have normal structural brain MRI findings. Informed and written consent was taken from all the controls as well.

MRI Protocol

All patients underwent DCE MRI using a dedicated 16 element head coil at 1.5T MR Unit (Siemens, Magnetom Aera; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). DCE was performed after acquiring routine brain sequences. DCE imaging was performed using a three-dimensional-spoiled gradient recalled echo (3D-SPGR) sequence (repetition time or TR: 4.65 milliseconds; echo time or TE: 1.6 seconds; field of view (FOV): 230 x 100; matrix: 230 x 230; flip angle of 12 degrees; slice thickness: 3.6). At the fourth acquisition, gadolinium contrast agent gadodiamide (Gd-DTPA-BMA), at a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg of body weight was administered intravenously at a rate of injection of 2.5 to 3 mL/s (using an MR compatible power injector, MEDRAD, Spectris Solaris EP), followed by a bolus injection of 15 to 20 mL saline flush. A total of three series were acquired. To calculate the baseline T1 relaxation time per pixel (baseline T1 map), two T1 reference series with different flip angles (2 and 15 degrees, respectively) were acquired. The two-reference series were identical to the DCE series in terms of field of view, orientation, resolution, slice thickness, number of slices with a TR of 3.79 milliseconds and TE of 1.38 milliseconds. A DCE series was acquired with 40 dynamics, with high temporal resolution and flow artifact reduction.

Image Processing and Analysis

Parametric maps that reflected different permeability indices were generated on the Syngo MR workplace for post-processing. Bilateral symmetrical region of interests (ROIs) were placed on seven regions (area: 2–2.5 cm²) of the brain (Fig. 1): (1) Suprasellar cistern; (2) Interpeduncular cistern; (3) Preopticine cistern; (4) Ambient cistern; (5) Bilateral sylvian fissures (right and left); (6) Quadrigeminal cistern. Two ROIs were placed symmetrically in each of the areas described above and a mean of those two ROIs was taken. Detailed analysis of the parametric maps was done,
and the mean permeability indices were accordingly calculated. This was done by an independent observer who was blinded to the clinical data. The pharmacokinetic calculation was done on a pixel-by-pixel basis using a two-compartment model. The calculation was done based on the Extended Tofts Model. The results were provided as parametric maps and in tabular form. The perfusion parameters consisting of $K_{\text{trans}}$, $V_e$ thus obtained at the end of the study were analyzed to look for BBB disruption as a result of meningeal inflammation. DCE MR perfusion results were interpreted by two experienced neuroradiologists having 15 and 10 years of experience, respectively.

### Statistical Analysis

Analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS statistics (version 22.0). All statistical tests were two-sided and were performed at a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$. Mann–Whitney test for comparison of permeability indices between two groups (cases vs. controls, basal enhancement vs. controls, no basal enhancement vs. controls) was made for each ROI. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were calculated to find maximal cutoff values of permeability indices by plotting of sensitivity versus specificity.

### Results

There were 20 males and 10 females with age ranged from 11 to 68 years (mean age: 29.5 years). Nine patients in their early stages of the disease presented with fever, headache, neck stiffness, vomiting, etc. Thirteen patients showed focal neurological deficits in addition to the above-mentioned symptoms while eight cases were brought in comatose state (Table 1 showing clinical findings in the patients). Distributions of structural MRI changes are shown in Table 2 and distributions of location of basal exudates are shown in Table 3. The basal cisterns showed variable enhancing exudates in 17 patients. Tuberculomas were seen in 17 patients. Hydrocephalus was seen in 16 patients. Cerebral infarction was seen in 14 patients. Convexity/leptomeningeal enhancement was seen in only eight patients. Ten cases showed basal exudates in interpeduncular cistern, eight in suprasellar cistern, and seven in ambient cistern. Five cases showed basal exudates in the sylvian fissures, five in prepontine, and three in quadrigeminal cisterns.

Comparison of mean permeability indices: among the cases analyzed, the mean cistern $K_{\text{trans}}$ ± SD value for patients showing visible meningeal enhancement (“enhancing cases”) was $0.1382 \pm 0.06636$ while the mean cisternal $V_e$ ± SD value was $0.2841 \pm 0.22813$ and showed higher permeability (Fig. 2). The mean cisternal $K_{\text{trans}}$ ± SD value for patients not showing visible meningeal enhancement (“non-enhancing cases”) was $0.0506 \pm 0.05279$ while the mean cisternal $V_e$ ± SD value was $0.0403 \pm 0.05074$ and showed lower permeability (Fig. 3). Among the controls analyzed, the mean cisternal $K_{\text{trans}}$ ± SD value was $0.0566 \pm 0.0686$ while the mean cisternal $V_e$ ± SD value was $0.0331$.

### Table 1 Clinical findings in the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever, headache, vomiting, neck stiffness (stage 1)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningeal signs associated with focal neurological deficit (stage 2)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comatose (stage 3)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Distribution of structural MRI changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural MRI changes</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal exudates</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculomas</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocephalus</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral infarction</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convexity enhancement</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Distribution of location of basal exudates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of basal exudates</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interpeduncular</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suprasellar</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvian fissures (right, left)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepontine</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrigeminal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
± 0.04179 and also showed lower permeability (Fig. 4). The mean cisternal permeability indices analyses among the cases as well as the controls are summarized in Table 4. Statistically significant difference in the permeability indices was observed ($p < 0.001$) between “enhancing” cases versus the controls. There was also statistically significant difference in the permeability indices ($p < 0.001$) between “enhancing cases” versus “non-enhancing cases,” but no statistically significant difference as observed in any of the permeability indices between “non-enhancing” cases versus the controls. ROC curve analysis (Fig. 5) showed Ktrans with a cutoff value of > 0.0838 had 81.6% sensitivity and 78.6% specificity in differentiating cases and controls, while Ve with a cutoff value of > 0.0703 had 86.8% sensitivity and 91.4% specificity in differentiating cases and controls (Table 5). Statistically significant positive correlation was seen between clinical
staging and $K_{\text{trans}}$ mean (where $p < 0.05$). No significant correlation was seen between clinical staging and $K_{\text{trans}}$ mean in our study.

Discussion

DCE MRI-derived permeability indices provide information about the distribution of contrast in the pathological tissues and thus the functional and structural composition of the tissues and integrity of BBB. BBB disruption and leakiness are increasingly being recognized in various pathological conditions like stroke, multiple sclerosis, dementia, infections, and intracranial tumors. Limited literature is available on the role of DCE MRI in assessing the integrity of BBB in infective conditions. We found highly significant difference ($p < 0.001$) in the permeability values of “enhancing cases” and normal controls. Some authors have used permeability indices to distinguish between various intracranial pathologies. Singh et al showed statistical significance between various intracranial space occupying lesions using physiological perfusion indices. They found that the mean $K_{\text{trans}}$ as well as the mean $V_e$ values were significantly higher in tuberculomas compared with gliomas. Another study by Haris et al used mean $K_{\text{trans}}$ and mean $V_e$ in the differentiation of infective lesions from neoplastic lesions and found significantly higher permeability indices in infective lesions. Recently, Haris et al have performed DCE-MRI in 13 patients with brain tuberculomas and have found a significant positive correlation between $K_{\text{trans}}$ and matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9) expression, which is a marker of BBB disruption in excised tuberculomas. Correlation between $K_{\text{trans}}$ and MMP-9 suggests that $K_{\text{trans}}$ can be used as a marker of BBB disruption. In another study, Haris et al showed a decrease in $K_{\text{trans}}$ at the end of 4 months of ATT in the patients of brain tuberculomas who showed either clinical or imaging improvement or were clinically stable. The serial decrease in $K_{\text{trans}}$ suggested a gradual reduction in BBB permeability owing to the low expression of inflammatory mediators in response to treatment. Brandt et al in their experimental model on rats affected by pneumococcal meningitis demonstrated increased vascular permeability in cases of rats affected by meningitis. Following intracisternal infection of rats with Streptococcus pneumoniae, dynamic MRI was used to assess BBB permeability and subsequently obtain cerebral perfusion. The BBB permeability as well as the ventricular size was significantly increased among the infected rats. The evolution of the disease was also followed with MRI with increasing breakdown as the disease progressed. Their study used semiquantitative techniques like signal intensity profiles for the analysis of cases. They found significant differences in perfusion between cases and controls.

The degree of breakdown of BBB also differed within the subset of cases as was demonstrated by the significant differences in permeability parameters between “enhancing cases” compared with the “non-enhancing” ones. This difference was noted because the kinetics of meningeal inflammation is such that it progresses with the course and severity of the disease. Hence, early stages of disruption of BBB with no visible contrast enhancement on routine MRI sequences did not show raised permeability indices. On the other hand, with increase in organization of the exudates and more severe neuroinflammation, we noted visible meningeal enhancement as well as significantly raised perfusion parameters. We did not find any significant difference in the mean cisternal value between “non-enhancing” cases and the controls. These findings can be explained by the fact that in early stages of pathogenesis of the disease, the disruption of BBB has just started, and it takes some time before florid inflammation sets in. Hence, in the early course of the disease, visible contrast enhancement is not noted on routine MRI sequences and there are subtle perfusion alterations which are not significant when compared with normal healthy controls. Although DCE MRI is a useful sequence as it provides quantitative data on BBB functionality, its role needs to be explored further in cases showing low levels of BBB disruption. Hence, more work needs to be done in this field to determine the role of DCE-derived variables in quantifying perfusion alterations before structural changes are
noted in routine MRI imaging. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first study of its kind which compared the permeability changes in the inflamed basal meninges with clinical parameters. No significant correlation could be established between clinical staging and mean Ktrans. However, it was observed that clinical staging of the disease correlated well with DCE derived Ve mean (where $p < 0.05$). This can be explained by the fact that along with the upregulation of neuroinflammatory markers and recruitment of inflammatory cells during the course of disease, the disruption of the BBB also increases which manifests as perfusion changes in the inflamed meninges. Hence, DCE-derived Ve mean can be used as a marker for staging of the disease and can prognosticate the patients with respect to the clinical severity and expected outcome. However, this area needs further exploration as concrete evidence of a positive correlation would have ideally required significant correlations between clinical staging and both the permeability parameters (mean Ktrans and Ve mean) rather than with Ve mean alone. One major limitation of our study is its small sample size. As the prevalence of tuberculosis is high in our country, a larger population size would have enabled us to validate our results with greater accuracy and confidence. There is also lack of histopathological confirmation of the final diagnosis.

In our study, we also recruited patients who were in early stage of treatment (<2 weeks), based on assumption that short period of treatment would not alter the permeability characteristics of the inflamed basal meninges. However, subtle alterations in permeability parameters because of commencement of the treatment could not be accounted for in our study. Temporal changes in permeability parameters were also not evaluated as all the patients could not be followed up.

**Conclusion**

Although DCE MRI has diverse applications in brain imaging, its role in the quantitative measurement of BBB integrity in infectious lesions and meningitis is still not well established. We conclude that DCE MR perfusion is useful in the quantitative measurement of disruption of BBB and perfusion alterations in patients of TBM. It makes it possible to differentiate between infected individuals and healthy controls more objectively than routine contrast-enhanced MRI. However, its role is limited in cases with low level of BBB disruption and minor inflammatory changes that occur in early stages of disease. DCE MRI may play a promising role in the assessment of BBB integrity in cases of TBM which in future could have a role in prognostication of patients and in optimization of therapeutic strategies in patients of TBM.
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