Refractory Pulmonary Interstitial Emphysema in Extreme Premature Newborn
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Abstract

Pulmonary interstitial emphysema (PIE) occurs when air leaks into the pulmonary interstitium due to overdistension of distal airways, it occurs mainly in neonates with respiratory distress syndrome who need positive pressure ventilation but has also been reported in spontaneously breathing infants. Herein, we report on an extremely low birth weight infant with severe persistent PIE, while on invasive mechanical ventilation (high-frequency oscillatory ventilation, high-frequency jet ventilation, and neurally adjust ventilator assist) managed successfully with 2 weeks of selective right lung ventilation after failure of more conservative measures, including shorter periods of right mainstem intubation, before the prolonged trial that was successful.
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Pulmonary interstitial emphysema (PIE) is part of a group of air leak syndromes, characterized by air leaking into the pulmonary tissue.1,2 The occurrence of PIE is attributed to the effects of positive pressure ventilation and poorly compliant lungs.3,4 The incidence of spontaneous air leak syndromes in premature infants is approximately 1 to 2%. There are a few cases of spontaneous PIE in patients on continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP),5–7 with higher incidence in ventilated low birth weight infants, reported to be as high as 33% in this group.8,9

PIE occurs as air escapes from the small airway into the pulmonary interstitium, it can dissect along the bronchovascular bundles and radiate outward through the periphery of the lung to visceral pleura and then to the pleural space resulting in pneumothorax. The interstitial air can also pass through the lung hilus and soft tissue into the mediastinum, pericardium, or subcutaneous tissue.10 Such leakage leads to the development of air trapping cyst clusters which compress the perivascular bundles, generating a decrease in the pulmonary competency.3 Compression of remaining healthy lung areas causes atelectasis and impairs gas exchange.11 The degree of air trapping and requirement for high ventilation pressure affect systemic venous return and right-ventricular outflow. Pulmonary hypertension then contributes to impaired oxygenation.12,13 PIE may involve only one lobe, one lung, or more usually both lungs.2 Radiologically, PIE appears as lacelike lucencies in a linear pattern radiating from the pulmonary hilus to the surface of the lung.3

Early diagnosis and timely management of PIE are of prime importance. The condition might resolve rapidly in early stage with simple measures, while late recognition or nonjudicious managements may result in life-threatening complications and necessitate more invasive interventions.14,15 In the present report, we describe the case of an extremely low birth weight neonate who developed persistent PIE, and several strategies, including lateral decubitus positioning, steroids, and brief periods of selected lung ventilation, were unsuccessful. Five weeks after initial diagnosis, the baby responded favorably to the unusually prolonged selected lung ventilation described below.
Case Report

This is a case of a preterm baby boy, twin A, delivered via cesarean section, gestational age of 24 weeks and 4 days, with a birth weight of 840 g. Upon delivery, the baby was intubated, and given a dose of surfactant (subsequent doses of surfactant were given at 20 hours and 44 hours of life). High-frequency mechanical ventilation was started upon admission to the neonatal intensive care unit. A 3-day course of intravenous indomethacin was started on day 3 due to a large patent ductus arteriosus. On day of life 7, the infant developed a right-sided pneumothorax. Thoracentesis was done and chest tube was inserted (removed by 10 days of life). On day of life 9, a chest X-ray (CXR) revealed marked PIE on the left side and minimal amount on the right side. A lung protective strategy consisting of maintaining lower mean airway pressure was adopted. The baby was switched to high-frequency jet ventilation on day of life 15, again maintaining a low mean airway pressure. A 10-day course of dexamethasone (DART protocol) was started on day 20 to facilitate planned extubation, however, this was unsuccessful. Conservative strategies for PIE management, including decreasing ventilator settings as much as possible, lateral decubitus position, and placing a firm object beneath the left side of the chest (Z-flow mattress or rolled towel), were unsuccessful. In view of worsening left-sided PIE and right-sided atelectasis (Fig. 1A), selective right lung ventilation was done on day 22 for 6 hours. Follow-up CXR showed improvement of the right-side atelectasis but the left-sided overexpansion had minimal improvement. Right-sided atelectasis recurred within 24 hours of pulling the endotracheal tube (ETT) back to midtrachea, conservative strategies for PIE management were continued hoping the left lateral decubitus would help with the right-sided atelectasis. Subsequent CXR showed significant overexpansion of the left lung that crossed over to the right side and compressed the right lung with blood gases showing respiratory acidosis. On day 24, The ETT was pushed in to again selectively ventilate the right lung, with follow-up CXR every 12 hours and close monitoring of blood gases. Soon after the oxygen requirement decreased, and the blood gases improved dramatically. The ETT was kept in the right side for 2 days. The infant subsequently developed a right-sided pneumothorax and a chest tube was inserted that was removed after 24 hours. Follow-up CXR showed improvement of the right-sided pneumothorax and right-side atelectasis with mild improvement of the left-side overexpansion. The ETT was again positioned in the midtrachea, and unfortunately, the left-sided overexpansion and right-sided atelectasis worsened.

By 28 days of age, follow-up CXR showed persistent left-sided overexpansion, with respiratory acidosis and increasing oxygen requirement. The ETT was pushed in again to the right main bronchus and kept there for 3 days. The ETT was pulled back above the carina, and the patient was started on synchronized neurally adjusted ventilatory assist ventilation with a positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 8. Left lung hyperinflation was still present on CXR (Fig. 1C), so the PEEP was subsequently decreased to 6 and the infant was started on 3-day course of hydrocortisone. Consultation was obtained with pulmonology; pediatric surgery; and ear, nose, and throat; the team’s decision was to utilize all possible conservative measures before surgical intervention. CXR at 34 days of age showed massive hyper expansion of the left lung. The fourth round of selective lung ventilation was started, with the baby switched from synchronized neurally adjust ventilatory assist to synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation with PEEP of 4 mm Hg, peak inspiratory pressure of 22 mm

Fig. 1 Chest X-ray: (A) before the first trial of selective right lung ventilation. (B) Before the second trial of selective right lung ventilation. (C) After 3 days (third trial) of right sided ventilation. (D) After 5 days (fourth trial) of right sided ventilation. (E) After 2 weeks of right-sided ventilation. (F) After 1 day of extubation.
PIE is a radiographic and pathological diagnosis with a characteristic computed tomography (CT) finding of central lines and dots surrounded by radiolucency in patients with persistent PIE. The prognosis of PIE is variable. It can completely resorb, or it can decompress into adjacent spaces. Some infants may develop chronic lobar emphysema which may require surgical lobectomy. The early appearance of PIE (<48 hours after birth) is associated with increased mortality which may reflect the severity of the underlying parenchymal disease. The reported mortality rate associated with PIE ranges from 24 to up to 67%. Carefully monitoring the peak inspiratory pressure during manual ventilation and during resuscitation in the delivery room, early surfactant treatment in premature infants instead of rescue therapy, reducing the inspiratory time, and/or decreasing peak inspiratory pressure along with adjusting the PEEP just enough to stent the airway are known strategies to decrease the incidence of PIE.

Different treatment modalities have been used to manage PIE with variable success. In different case studies, PIE resolved after lateral decubitus positioning for 48 hours to 6 days with minimal recurrence and a low failure rate; it has also been used successfully for patients with bilateral PIE when one side is more significantly affected; we tried this multiple time during the course of the disease with no improvement. Another successful treatment for unilateral or severe localized PIE is selective intubation of the contralateral bronchus to decompress the overdistended lung. We also tried this multiple times and for variable durations with partial and transient improvement. Potential complications of selective ventilation include atelectasis in the affected lung, hypoventilation or hypoxemia, hyperinflation of the intubated lung, and right upper lobe collapse when intubating the right lung as was encountered in our case. Despite the potential risks, selective bronchial intubation is a desirable alternative to lobectomy in a patient with persistent, severe, localized PIE that is refractory to conservative management, like in our case. If tolerated, this procedure should be attempted before any surgical intervention. Also, studies have shown that high frequency ventilation is safe and more effective than rapid-rate conventional ventilation in the treatment of PIE. Our baby was on oscillatory ventilation then jet ventilation for a considerable amount of time without resolution or improvement of the overdistension during the course of the disease. If tolerated, the respiratory plan was to start high frequency ventilation and if tolerated, this procedure should be attempted before any surgical intervention.

Discussion

PIE is a potentially life-threatening condition and is more common in preterm newborn and low birth weight infants exposed to high pressure mechanical ventilation. Early recognition and treatment are fundamental. Conservative treatments are effective in most cases and should be considered prior to surgical intervention. Very prolonged single lung ventilation, if tolerated, should be considered as part of the conservative management options.
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