Esthetic Considerations in Orthodontics: An Overview
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Abstract

Esthetics has been an ever-evolving concept and has gained considerable importance in the field of orthodontics in the last few decades. The re-emergence of the soft tissue paradigm has further catapulted the interest of the orthodontist. So much so that achieving a harmonious profile and an esthetically pleasing smile has become the ideal goal of treatment and is no longer secondary to achieving a functional dental occlusion and/or a rigid adherence to skeletal and dental norms. Esthetics in the orthodontic sense can be divided into three categories: macroesthetics, miniesthetics, and microesthetics. Macroesthetics includes the evaluation of the face and involves frontal assessment and profile analysis. The frontal assessment involves assessment of facial proportions, while the profile analysis involves evaluation of anterior–posterior position of jaws, mandibular plane, and incisor prominence and lip posture. Miniesthetics involves study of the smile framework involving the vertical tooth–lip relationship, smile type, transverse dimensions of smile, smile arc, and midline. Microesthetics involves the assessment of tooth proportions, height-width relationships, connectors and embrasures, gingival contours and heights, and tooth shade and color. The harmony between these factors enables an orthodontist to achieve the idealized esthetic result and hence these parameters deserve due consideration. The importance placed on a pleasing profile cannot be undermined and the orthodontist should aim for a harmonious facial profile over rigid adherence to standard average cephalometric norms. This article aims to give an overview of the macro, mini, and microesthetic considerations in relation to orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning.
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Introduction

The importance of esthetics in the orthodontic sense can hardly be overemphasized, yet, it has often been considered secondary to achieving an ideal functional occlusion. Edward H. Angle based his ideal of harmony on the Greek facial Type of Apollo Belverde and believed that a “full complement of teeth” was indispensable for achieving perfect harmony and this belief predominated in the orthodontic world for a few decades.¹ Angle’s belief was challenged by practitioners like Case, Tweed, and Begg who were dissatisfied by the instability and lack of esthetics following the overzealous adherence to the nonextraction philosophy and started advocating need based extractions so as to achieve esthetic harmony.¹²
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The definition of an ideal is subjective and is dependent on collective and individual esthetic judgements. The “ideal seat of proportions” has been described with various sources of reference—artistic, cephalometric, and anthropometric. Attitudes to attractiveness are assimilated from early childhood through contemporary culture, indoctrination as well as a genetic origin. Research has shown that the perception of attractiveness is both inherent and universal. Studies by Bernstein et al., Thakera and Iwawaki, Maret and Harling, and Langolis et al. have found significant cross-cultural agreement between what is considered attractive.

Esthetics has become imperative so as to achieve a satisfactory outcome and is now an indispensable part of the idealized treatment goal. As the perception of esthetics is different for the orthodontist from that of a layperson, the patient’s perception of the face must be acknowledged prior to commencing treatment. Moreover, the treatment goals should aim for a balanced and harmonious facial profile over rigid adherence to standard average skeletal and dental norms.

**Assessment of Dentofacial Esthetics**

The basic requirements for assessment of the dentofacial esthetics include a dynamic and static three-dimensional evaluation of the face during clinical evaluation, determination of the lip tooth relationship and anterior tooth display (during rest and facial animation), as well as an analysis of the effect of the dental and skeletal volume on the surrounding soft tissue.

The three esthetic divisions evaluated in orthodontic diagnosis are as follows:

Macroesthetics: It includes assessment of facial proportions in all three planes of space.

Miniesthetics: It includes the assessment of the relationship of the dentition to the face (tooth–lip relationship and smile analysis).

Microesthetics: This determines the relationship of teeth to each other.

**Macroesthetics**

Evaluation is done both in frontal as well as lateral (profile) view.

**Frontal Assessment**

Assessment is done for any asymmetry in the frontal plane. An ideally proportioned face can transversely be divided into equal central, medial, and lateral fifths. The separation of the eyes and the width of the eyes, determined by the central and medial fifths, should be equal. The nose and chin should be contained within the central fifth, with the width of the nose equal to or slightly wider than the central fifth. Ideally, the interpupillary distance should be same as the width of the mouth. Vertical facial proportions in the frontal and lateral views are best assessed in relation to the facial thirds, which should ideally be equal in height in well-proportioned faces. Ricketts advocated the used of golden proportion for the analysis of a physically beautiful face. The golden proportion is mathematically defined as phi and is equal to 1.618. This relationship is universal regardless of age, sex, and race and has been displayed in the face as well as dentition. Anthropometric studies have established facial measurements to serve as a guide in diagnosis and treatment planning.

**Profile Assessment**

It involves the following parameters:

**Anteroposterior position of jaws**: The estimation of the profile, that is, convex, concave, and straight, serves as a guide to determine the underlying skeletal relationship and whether the jaws are proportionately positioned in the anteroposterior plane.

The treatment modalities for dentoskeletal corrections range from growth modification (through dentofacial orthopaedic and functional therapy), camouflage treatment (through extraction and non-extraction therapy), and orthognathic surgery in severe discrepancies. Advancements in computer imaging have enabled the orthodontist to plan and execute the treatment of an orthognathic patient effectively along with establishing realistic goals. Depending on the...
severity of the dentofacial deformity and esthetic demands, a variety of surgical options like maxillary advancement, maxillary alveolar setback, maxillary superior repositioning, mandibular advancement, mandibular setback, and adjuvant soft tissue surgical procedures (genioplasty, rhinoplasty, and cheiloplasty) can be used to achieve optimal dentoskeletal as well as facial esthetics.

*Vertical proportions and mandibular plane:* Assessment of the vertical facial thirds and the mandibular plane in the lateral view helps in unmasking the vertical growth tendencies. A steep mandibular plane angle is associated with long anterior facial height and a skeletal open bite tendency, whereas a flat mandibular plane angle usually accompanies a short anterior facial height and deep bite malocclusion.15

*Assessment of the incisor prominence and lip posture:* Relationship between incisor prominence and lip posture aids in the formulation of a treatment plan. The ideal position of the incisors to their supporting bone is determined by components like the relationship of the jaws, soft tissue contours, and the relationship of the incisors to the supporting bone.15

Excessive protrusion of incisors is determined by prominent lips, separated by more than 3 to 4 mm in relaxed position, that have to be strained to achieve closure.11 Retraction of teeth in such a patient will aid in improving lip function as well as facial esthetics and hence extractions should be considered in cases having bimaxillary protrusion (or protrusion) with/without crowding. Retraction of maxillary incisors reduces upper lip prominence but should not be done to an extent that the inclination of the upper lip becomes negative in relation to the true vertical line (an imaginary plum line at the intersection of the philtrum of the lip to the subnasale).15

The profile is flattened by ~2 mm by premolar extraction and the dishing in of the profile can be attributed to faulty diagnosis and planning.16 Burstone17 has stated that a decrease in lip prominence following retraction occurs only till lips close at rest without strain. However, when prominent lips close without strain, then the dentition is not at fault and retraction in such cases would provide no benefit apart from making the nasolabial angle more obtuse.18

The relative prominence of the nose and chin in relation to the lips and face is important in treatment planning. In patients with a large nose and/or a large chin, a greater degree of lip prominence (achieved by incisor protraction) would still be considered esthetic.15

Surgical intervention is required sometimes for achieving optimal esthetic results in cases with mandibular retraction/protrusion. In Class II Div. 1 cases having lower lip trap with an increased overjet, proclined upper lip, and acute nasolabial angle, retraction of maxillary incisors improves lip seal and esthetics. However, when the upper lip is aesthetically positioned and the nasolabial angle is obtuse, mandibular advancement needs to be considered. In Class II camouflage cases with everted lower lip due to excessive proclination of mandibular incisors relative to the chin, the ideal treatment option would be incisor retraction by premolar extraction or genioplasty.15

In cases with mandibular prognathism, having an increased lower facial height with protruded teeth, lack of a well-defined labiomental sulcus can be corrected by retraction of incisors. In patients having a Class III profile and thin lips, proclining the incisors tend to improve the profile by creating fuller lips.15

**Miniesthetics**

**Smile Type and Vertical Tooth–Lip Relationship**

For an esthetically pleasing social smile, at least 75% of the maxillary crown should be visible, but up to 4 mm display of gingiva/4 mm lip coverage of the incisor crown is acceptable.19 The most attractive smiles have the upper lip at the height of the gingival margin of the upper central incisor.19 Three smile types have been described by Tjan et al20 based on amount of incisor and gingival display: low, average, and high (→Fig. 3). The average smile displays 75 to 100% of the maxillary crown length, the low smile shows less than 75% crown, while the high smile or the “gummy smile” is categorized by the display of the entire crown length in addition to a contiguous band of gingiva.21

In cases of low smile types, elongating the upper teeth can be achieved by arch wires, anterior vertical elastics, judicious use of Class II elastics (which can rotate the occlusal plane down anteriorly), and the help of orthognathic surgery.11 In some cases, a combination of orthodontics and prosthetic crown lengthening with porcelain laminate veneers may be suggested.21

In cases of a high smile type, the underlying cause might be anterior vertical excess, increased muscular capacity to raise the upper lip, excessive overjet and overbite, and excessive interlabial gap at rest. Treatment modalities for correction of a high smile type include segmented arch mechanics for intrusion of maxillary incisors, intrusion using temporary anchorage devices, and orthognathic surgery (Lefort I Osteotomy).11 Orthognathic surgery needs to be considered in cases having vertical maxillary excess without excessive exposure of maxillary teeth in repose, as orthodontic treatment may accentuate the problem by over retraction of maxillary incisors or anterior tilting of the occlusal plane.15 In cases with adequate incisor display and deep bite with a deep curve of Spee, active intrusion of mandibular incisors is desirable, while molar extrusion may be considered in normal and low angle cases.21 Incisor display at rest and during smile reduces with flaring, while increased display is seen with uprighting of incisors.22

However, overzealous maxillary intrusion can lead to an older appearance and a gummy smile is considered more youthful than diminished tooth display.21
the third to seventh decades of life, maxillary incisor exposure decreases by 3.4 mm and mandibular incisor exposure increases by 2.4 mm.23 As a person ages, smile becomes narrower vertically and wider transversely.24

**Transverse Dimension of Smile**

_Buccal corridor and arch form:_ The buccal corridor is a space created between the buccal surface of the posterior teeth and the corner of the lips when the patient smiles.25 The presence of buccal corridors is considered to give a natural appearance. The transverse width of the arch should be esthetically related to the facial width. Pretreatment mandibular intercanine width and mandibular arch form should serve as the optimal guide to future dental arch form and stability.

A narrow collapsed arch form presents inadequate transverse dimension characteristics and dramatic improvements in aesthetics are seen after orthodontic treatment.22 Noticeably constricted maxillary arch with or without cross bites requires expansion. Smile fullness can be added intentionally by adding buccal crown torque to palatally inclined canines and premolars in case the maxillary arch is satisfactory in regard to shape and width.21 However, patients having buccally flared posteriors should not undergo expansion.11 Excessive intentional expansion results in obliteration of the buccal corridor leading to a denture like smile, flattening of the smile arc, and disequilibrium and long-term relapse.21

Studies have suggested that large buccal corridors give rise to less attractive smiles.26 Measurement of buccal corridors in extraction and nonextraction cases has yielded no significant differences.27

_Transverse cant and symmetry:_ Discrepancy in the maxillary anterior incisal plane depends on the interaction between posterior occlusal plane, incisal plane, interpupillary line, and crown length of the maxillary incisors. If the maxillary incisal plane deviates from the interpupillary line but coincides with the occlusal plane, the entire maxilla is developed asymmetrically and maxillary surgery is advised to intrude the maxilla on the over erupted side. When the maxillary incisal plane deviates from the interpupillary line and the occlusal plane, orthodontic extrusion/intrusion is performed.28 Smile asymmetry may also result due to asymmetric smile curtain.22 In preadolescents, the occlusal plane can be corrected by growth modification appliances but in the adolescent and adult patients surgery is often indicated.22 Individualized, esthetic, symmetric labiobuccal crown inclinations are to be the treatment goal for every patient.21

**Smile Arc**

It is defined as the relationship of the curvature of the incisal edges of the maxillary incisors and canines to the curvature of the lower lip in a posed smile.29 Three types of smile arcs are seen: parallel (consonant), straight, and reverse smile arc, with the goal being a parallel smile arc.21 In the parallel arc, the incisal curve follows the lower lip while it is flatter in the straight arc. In the reverse arc, the canines are lower and the arc is reverse to the curve of the lower lip (Fig. 4). Ideally a distance of 1 to 1.5 mm between the central and lateral incisal edges/bracket slots is ideal, while the traditional 0.5 mm difference in the bracket slot between the central and lateral incisor leads to a flat smile.22 Step bends in the archwire can also be used to achieve this goal.

**Midline**

During direct frontal examination, the maxillary midline should at least be ideally vertical and parallel to the facial midline if not coincident, which is ideal. Orthodontists can detect midline deviations greater than 2.2 mm, while the range is as high as 3 mm for laypersons.26 However, when the maxillary midline is angulated even a 2 mm (10 degrees) deviation will be perceptibly unattractive.30 Even though coinciding maxilla-mandibular midlines are ideal but for functional reasons the mandibular midline is not that grave an esthetic concern.21

**Microesthetics**

**Tooth Proportions**

For an esthetically pleasing smile according to the golden proportion, the apparent width of the lateral incisor should be 62% of the width of the central incisor, the apparent width of the canine should be 62% that of the lateral incisor and the apparent width of the first premolar should be 62% that of the canine (Fig. 5A). This ratio serves as a guide to determine the post-treatment size of a lateral size in case of disproportionately small lateral incisors, or when canines are reduced to replace the lateral incisors in case of congenitally missing laterals.11 As smile after extraction treatment has been shown to deviate from the golden proportion ratios more than nonextraction treatment, microesthetics need to be duly preserved in such treatment.31

**Height–Width Relationships**

The width of a tooth should be 80% of the height.11 Sterrett et al.22 have given an ideal range of 0.75 to 0.85. The central incisor may have a width of 8.1 to 8.6 mm and a length of 9.5 to 10.2 mm (Fig. 5B).33 Teeth appear tapered when height is excessive and square when it is deficient. Sulcular depth of the incisors, lip level, relative crown length and amount of incisal wear influence the treatment strategy in cases with disproportionately small incisors, or when canines are reduced to replace the lateral incisors in case of congenitally missing laterals.11 As smile after extraction treatment has been shown to deviate from the golden proportion ratios more than nonextraction treatment, microesthetics need to be duly preserved in such treatment.31

**Connectors and Embrasures**

Connector area is defined as the interdental contact area, while embrasures are defined as the triangular spaces that
the need of reshaping of teeth to correct the same. Having a potential for black triangles have to be briefed about interproximal stripping and orthodontic root paralleling followed by space closure is the treatment of choice. 

11 Patients are the lightest and brightest and the teeth become gradually dull and dark with age due to the formation of secondary dentin and wearing away of the facial enamel. 

**Conclusion**

The re-emergence of the soft tissue paradigm has shifted the focus of orthodontics from merely establishing ideal occlusion to a more integrated approach where esthetics are as much a concern as dentofacial correction, if not more. With the turn of the century, the importance placed on a pleasing profile cannot be undermined and hence it is imperative for the practitioner to choose treatment modalities that result in a significant improvement in the overall facial as well as dental esthetics of the patient.
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