Rare Complication of Nasogastric Tube Knotting around Endotracheal Tube in an Anesthetized Patient Undergoing Surgery
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We report a case of successful management of a rare incidence and avoidance of complication of Ryle's tube knotting around endotracheal tube. A vigilant anesthesia team prevented fatal complications of intraoperative accidental extubation and ventilation impairment which could have resulted into respiratory distress.
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Introduction

Since the nasogastric tube is flexible and must undergo a significant bend in the oropharynx, it is impossible to precisely control the position of the end of the tube. There is considerable risk that the tube gets coiled in the mouth or enters the trachea, neighboring structures and lung instead of the esophagus.1 When the gastric tube is placed orally or nasally, it enters the hypopharynx invariably and impacts the structures thereby coiling in the mouth.2 There are many chances that the Ryle's tube can get coiled during its passage in the oral cavity, but in our case a very rare incidence of unusual coiling of Ryle's tube occurred around the endotracheal tube (ETT).

Case Report

A 72-year-old male patient with a chief complaint of pain abdomen was diagnosed as cholecystitis with pseudopancreatic cyst of pancreas. He was scheduled to undergo transgastric cystogastrostomy under general anesthesia with muscle relaxation and intermittent positive pressure ventilation. An 8.5-mm internal diameter cuffed Murphy-eye blue line orotracheal tube was placed under direct laryngoscopy and secured at 21 cm mark at the right angle of mouth. Mechanical ventilation was initiated and the patient's vital parameters were observed to be within normal limits.

Immediately after initiation of surgery, we tried to insert the nasogastric tube to decompress the stomach during cholecystectomy. With patient's head flexed, a lubricated 16 FG nasogastric tube was blindly inserted via the right nares. We encountered resistance during advancement of Ryle's tube. The nasogastric tube could not be advanced further into the oral cavity despite our best efforts. So, we decided to remove the Ryle's tube. We noticed that it was getting tethered during the attempts of its removal. Moreover during the entire maneuver of pulling it out, the ETT was also getting pulled out along with the Ryle's tube. About 1 cm of ETT tube got displaced and pulled outside while we were trying to remove the Ryle's tube (en masse along with ETT tube). We made several unsuccessful attempts to withdraw the nasogastric tube, but failed and felt resistance each time. It was noticed that ETT was moving in tandem with the nasogastric tube, inside oral cavity. With hand palpation, a hard structure was felt knotted around the ETT, possibly the Ryle's tube. The stomach could not be decompressed and the gastric fluid was not aspirated.
Under direct laryngoscopic view, a clear knot around the ETT at a length of 14 cm mark was seen on the ETT. This was confirmed with fiberoptic bronchoscopy later (►Fig. 1). To decompress the stomach, another nasogastric tube was inserted through left nares, which went easily without any resistance with gastric contents coming out of it. This proved that the left intraoral passage for Ryle’s tube insertion was clear and previous Ryle’s tube was not encroaching upon it.

Patient’s hemodynamic parameters remained stable throughout the procedure. During the surgery, patient’s systolic blood pressure varied between 110 and 130 mm Hg and diastolic between 70 and 90 mm Hg, pulse rate between 70 and 90 beats per minute, regular. Peak airway pressure remained between 18 and 20 cm H2 O. A normal capnographic waveform was present. Oxygen saturation was between 98 and 100% throughout the procedure. After completion of surgery, both the previously inserted right sided Ryle’s tube and the ETT were removed together en bloc.

Thus, a fatal intraoperative mishap of accidental intraoperative extubation and respiratory distress was prevented by the vigilance of anesthesia team. Thus, we were able to successfully avoid any complication of Ryle’s tube knotting around ETT further, which could have resulted in an untoward incidence of ventilation impairment or damage to neighboring structures by its coiling.

After diagnosing nasogastric tube coiled around the ETT, further possibility to untie or manipulate it did not exist in our case, because both the tubes were moving together. The ETT did not get compressed during the entire process as evident from the patient’s respiratory parameters. No change was noticed in patient’s capnography, peak airway pressure, and pulse oximetry. So, tracheal extubation and reintubation were not considered.

With finger manipulation, the proximal end of the nasogastric tube was brought out through the mouth to assist in removing nasogastric tube and ETT tube together en masse after the surgery. In in vitro examination, nasogastric tube was found tightly knotted around but not strangulating the tracheal tube just below the 15-cm mark, measured 20 cm from the tip of the nasogastric tube (►Fig. 2).

Discussion
One of the most common invasive procedures performed is insertion of nasogastric tube for gastric suction and feeding. Many different complications of Ryle’s tube insertion have been reported in the past, but very rarely similar case reports of Ryle’s tube knotting around ETT have been reported in the literature previously. Au-Truong et al,3 Hariharan et al,4 and Acharya et al5 reported similar incidences of gastric tube knotting around ETT stating no damage to the airway by Ryle’s tube knotting. Hariharan et al stated the use of twin Magill’s forceps to untie the nasogastric tube knotted around the ETT. In supine, intubated, anesthetized patients, impact of gastric tube on the piriform sinuses and arytenoid cartilages is due to the posterior tilt of intubated larynx and cuffed tracheal tube itself, which interferes with advancement of the gastric tube and tends to curl and coil within the pharynx. Attempts to withdraw the curled or coiled gastric tube may cause a loop to tighten into a knot, rarely around the ETT in an intubated patient. Hence, care must be taken while doing so.

Many authors have reported different complications of Ryle’s tube insertion in intubated patients. Bautista4 reported trauma associated with bleeding mainly due to unsuccessful attempts at insertion in intubated patients. Other complications of Ryle’s tube insertion reported by Pousman and Koch7 were ETT obstruction after orogastric tube placement. Reports of accidental tracheal extubation by Dorsey et al9 and near cardiac arrest by Sinha et al9 in the past have been mentioned, due to nasogastric tube withdrawal. Nasogastric tube lying in right pleural space, left-sided pleural effusion and pneumonia have also been mentioned by Sinha et al.9

Alternative Methods for Nasogastric Insertion
Thus, in difficult cases, alternative methods of Ryle’s tube insertion10–12 like neck flexion, intraoral manipulation, head in lateral position as stated by Kumar et al,10 digital lateralization of gastric tube, and insertion of gastric tube in prone position11 have been reported. Ghatak et al12 suggested a new technique to insert nasogastric tube in an unconscious intubated
patient. The use of fiberoptic bronchoscope to assist prevention of knotting is nowadays more common. Knotting of gastric tubes may be prevented by the alternative maneuvers designed to facilitate the placement of nasogastric tube, such as forward displacement of the intubated trachea by external laryngeal maneuver or by using glide scope mentioned by Sahu and Kishore\(^\text{13}\) through the suction port of the gastric tube to increase its rigidity and lubrication also aids on its further advancement. Lind and Wallace\(^\text{15}\) stated direct guidance of the tube with two fingers in the mouth and use of Magill’s forceps with direct laryngoscopic visualization. Use of ETT to place a nasogastric tube has also been used in the past.

**Conclusion**

The most important factor is the care, expertise, and eternal vigilance in inserting Ryle’s tube in an intubated patient by the anesthesiologist to prevent any complications during the entire procedure.
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