



Is there a Role for Antenatal Corticosteroids in Term Infants before Elective Cesarean Section?

Augusta Arruda¹, Mariana Ormonde², Sarah Stokreef¹, Beatriz Fraga¹, Catarina Franco¹
Catarina Dâmaso¹, Ana Lima²

¹Pediatrics Department, Hospital Divino Espírito Santo, Ponta Delgada, Portugal

²Obstetrics & Gynecology Department, Hospital Divino Espírito Santo, Ponta Delgada, Portugal

Address for correspondence Augusta Arruda, Pediatric Department Hospital do Divino Espírito Santo, de Ponta delgada EPER. D. Manuel I Avenue 9500-370, Ponta Delgada, São Miguel, Açores Portugal (e-mail: augusta.A.Arruda@azores.gov.pt).

Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet 2021;43(4):283-290.

Abstract

Objective Cesarean section (CS) delivery, especially without previous labor, is associated with worse neonatal respiratory outcomes. Some studies comparing neonatal outcomes between term infants exposed and not exposed to antenatal corticosteroids (ACS) before elective CS revealed that ACS appears to decrease the risk of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), transient tachypnea of the neonate (TTN), admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), and the length of stay in the NICU.

Methods The present retrospective cohort study aimed to compare neonatal outcomes in infants born through term elective CS exposed and not exposed to ACS. Outcomes included neonatal morbidity at birth, neonatal respiratory morbidity, and general neonatal morbidity. Maternal demographic characteristics and obstetric data were analyzed as possible confounders.

Results A total of 334 newborns met the inclusion criteria. One third of the population study ($n = 129$; 38.6%) received ACS. The present study found that the likelihood for RDS (odds ratio [OR] = 1.250; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.454-3.442), transient TTN (OR = 1.623; 95%CI: 0.556-4.739), and NIUC admission (OR = 2.155; 95%CI: 0.474-9.788) was higher in the ACS exposed group, although with no statistical significance. When adjusting for gestational age and arterial hypertension, the likelihood for RDS (OR = 0.732; 95%CI: 0.240-2.232), TTN (OR = 0.959; 95%CI: 0.297--3.091), and NIUC admission (OR = 0.852; 95%CI: 0.161-4.520) become lower in the ACS exposed group.

Conclusion Our findings highlight the known association between CS-related respiratory morbidity and gestational age, supporting recent guidelines that advocate postponing elective CSs until 39 weeks of gestational age.

Keywords

- ▶ elective cesarean section delivery
- ▶ neonatal outcomes
- ▶ antenatal corticosteroids

received
February 14, 2020
accepted
January 6, 2021

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1726055>.
ISSN 0100-7203.

© 2021. Federação Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

Introduction

Cesarean section (CS) delivery, especially without previous labor, is associated with worse neonatal respiratory outcomes.¹⁻⁷ In fact, the World Health Organization (WHO) statement on CS rates concluded that CS rates > 10% are not associated with reductions in maternal and newborn mortality rates and may be harmful.⁸ Nonetheless, the CS rates have been increasing in the last decades.⁹

There is evidence that the risk for CS-related neonatal morbidity is associated with gestational age, with higher risks in lower gestational ages.^{10,11} As a result, the majority of guidelines recommend performing elective CS at or after 39+0 weeks of gestation to reduce respiratory morbidity.¹²⁻¹⁴

There is some evidence that, during labor, neurohormonal mechanisms involving the activation of sodium channels are responsible for alveolar fluid clearance.^{15,16} Corticosteroids appear to increase the number and function of these sodium channels, as well as their response to catecholamines and thyroid hormones.^{16,17} This is considered to be one of the reasons behind the potential utility of antenatal corticosteroids (ACS) in elective CS.

Studies comparing neonatal outcomes between term newborns exposed and not exposed to ACS before elective CS are sparse. Four trials (3,956 women and 3,893 neonates) comparing prophylactic administration of betamethasone or dexamethasone versus placebo with usual treatment without steroids in term elective CS revealed that prophylactic ACS administration appeared to decrease the risk of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), transient tachypnea of the newborn (TTN), admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), and the length of stay in the NICU.¹⁸⁻²³ Nonetheless, a follow-up study to the ASTECS trial found that the use of ACS before elective CS was associated with lower school performance.²⁴

Despite the promising findings in the aforementioned clinical trials, there is not sufficient evidence yet, regarding effectiveness or safety, to support the use of ACS in term elective CS. Unfortunately, the available guidelines for clinical practice are not coherent regarding this subject.¹²⁻¹⁴

Methods

We performed an observational retrospective cohort study.

We selected all neonates delivered through elective CS between 37 0/7 and 38/7 weeks of gestation at the Hospital Divino Espírito Santo of the Ponta Delgada EPER between January 1, 2012, and December 31, 2017. All elective CSs were included regardless of the indication for elective CS or presence of maternal comorbidity/pregnancy complications. The exclusion criteria were: unknown pregnancy until time of birth, pregnancy with known congenital or chromosomal disorders, multifetal pregnancy, and mothers on systemic corticotherapy for reasons other than pulmonary maturation of the fetus. The charts of both mothers and newborns who

met the inclusion criteria were reviewed by one of the three investigators.

The present study was approved by the Hospital Divino Espírito Santo of the Ponta Delgada EPER ethics committee for health.

We divided our population of selected newborns into two: (a) those exposed to ACS for pulmonary maturation; and (b) those not exposed. The decision of using ACS for lung maturation was made individually by the obstetric team.

For primary outcomes, we evaluated the morbidity of the newborns at birth and the respiratory morbidity in the first 72 hours. Variables evaluating morbidity of the newborns at birth included: Apgar score (AS) at the 1st and 5th minutes of life, need for oxygen supplementation, need for intermittent positive airway pressure (IPPV), and endotracheal intubation. Respiratory morbidity in the first 72 hours of life included: need for ongoing respiratory support (including oxygen, invasive or noninvasive respiratory support), surfactant administration, and occurrence of RDS and TTN (as registered in the charts of the newborns).

Regarding secondary outcomes, we evaluated the occurrence of the following outcomes during hospital stay: need of a prolonged staying in the hospital (in days); need for admission at the NIUC; occurrence of hypoglycemia (documented glucose < 45 mg/dL); sepsis evaluation (screening complete blood count, blood culture, or both); treatment with antibiotics for presumed sepsis; nutrition through nasogastric tube; and treatment for hyperbilirubinemia with phototherapy.

Due to the expected low frequencies of the different outcomes in the study population, we also combined the various outcomes into three composite adverse outcomes. The composite morbidity at birth outcome combines: the need for oxygen supplementation with need for IPPV, need for EOT, and AS < 7 at the 1st and 5th minutes of life. The composite respiratory morbidity outcome combines: the need of oxygen supplementation with need for noninvasive respiratory support; need for invasive respiratory support; need for surfactant therapy; and occurrence of RDS and/or TTN in the newborn. Finally, the composite general neonatal morbidity outcome combines: prolonged hospital stay (in days) with admission at the NIUC; nutrition through nasogastric tube; jaundice with need for phototherapy; sepsis evaluation; treatment with antibiotics; and hypoglycemia.

We also collected data regarding the drug use for pulmonary maturation (dexamethasone or betamethasone), the number of dosages taken by the mother – one or two for betamethasone and one, two, three or four for dexamethasone – and gestational age at the time of completion of the ACS cycle.

Maternal demographic characteristics and obstetric data were also collected and analyzed as possible confounders, namely: age and race of the mother; prior maternal history of premature delivery; prior maternal history of cesarean delivery; maternal hypertensive disease (chronic, gestational or preeclampsia); maternal diabetes (pre-existing or gestational); premature rupture

Table 1 Major indication for elective cesarian section

Major indication for elective cesarian section (n = 334)	
Previous uterine surgery	138 (41.3%)
Fetal malpresentation and malposition	85 (25.5%)
Unspecified	63 (18.8%)
Maternal contraindication for vaginal delivery	28 (8.4%)
Pregnancy associated comorbidity	12 (3.6%)
Suspected fetal macrosomia	8 (2.4%)

of membranes; maternal history of hemorrhage during the first, second or third trimester; oligohydramnios; fetal growth restriction; and short cervix. Other data analyzed as possible confounders were birth weight and gender of the newborns.

Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Differences between groups for categorical variables were tested using either the χ^2 or the Fisher exact tests. Multivariable logistic regression was also performed to estimate the odds for RDS, TTN, NIUC admission, prolonged hospital stay (≥ 5 extra days), and the composite morbidity outcomes in the ACS-exposed and not exposed newborns, adjusting for confounders with statistical differences between the two groups.

Results

For the study period, 334 newborns met the inclusion criteria. More than one third of the population study ($n = 129$; 38.6%) received ACS for pulmonary maturation. For these, the drug of choice was betamethasone in 81%

($n = 94$). The ACS cycle was completed in 93.7% ($n = 104$) of the exposed neonates. For those who completed the ACS cycle, the number of days between ACS and birth was < 2 days in 28.8% ($n = 30$), between 2 and 7 days in only 37.5% ($n = 39$), and > 7 days in 33.6% ($n = 35$). The main reason for elective CS in the present study (**Table 1**) was previous uterine surgery (41.3%), namely previous CS, followed by fetal malpresentation and malposition (25.5%).

The majority of the study population (79.3%) had a gestational age ≥ 38 weeks (**Table 2**). However, there was a statistically significant difference between the groups (p -value [p] = < 0.001 ; 95%CI), with the ACS-exposed group having more neonates born with gestational age < 38 weeks (32.1% versus 12.9%, respectively). Regarding the birthweight, only 6.5% of all newborns had low birthweight, with no statistical differences between groups (**Table 2**).

There were no differences between groups for most of the variables regarding maternal demographic characteristics, with the exception of short cervix ($p = 0.014$; 95%CI), threatened preterm birth ($p = < 0.001$, 95% CI) and arterial hypertension ($p = 0.005$, 95%CI (**Table 3**)).

Considering morbidity at birth, 11.1% of the newborns needed some support of transition at birth, which included oxygen supplementation in 9.6% of the cases, IPPV in 8.4% of the cases, and endotracheal intubation in 1.5% of the cases. There were no differences between the groups regarding all included outcomes related to morbidity at birth (**Table 4**). Even when analyzed as composite variables – composite morbidity at birth – the differences remained not significant (**Table 4**).

Regarding respiratory morbidity in the first 72 hours of life, we observed that 3% of all newborns needed oxygen supplementation, 0.3% needed respiratory ventilation support, while 4.8 and 4.2% presented with RDS and TTN,

Table 2 Perinatal data of all the newborns included in the study and comparison between the two interest groups

Perinatal data	All (n = 334)	Group with antenatal corticosteroids (n = 129)	Group without antenatal corticosteroids (n = 205)	p-value*
Gestational age (weeks)				< 0.001
37 0/7–37 6/7	69 (20.7%)	42 (32.1%)	27 (12.9%)	
38 0/7–38 6/7	265 (79.3%)	87 (67.9%)	178 (87.0%)	
Birthweight (grams)				0.12
≥ 2500	312 (93.5%)	117 (90.7%)	195 (95.2%)	
< 2500	22 (6.5%)	12 (9.3%)	10 (4.9%)	
Gender	F 185 (55.4%) M 149 (44.6%)	F 78 (60.5%) M 51 (39.5%)	F 107 (52.2%) M 98 (47.8%)	0.120

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male.

*P-value represents χ^2 or Fisher test statistics of comparison among two groups for categorical variables

Table 3 Maternal demographic and obstetric data of the mothers of all newborns included in the study and comparison between the two groups of interest

<i>Maternal demographic and obstetric data</i>				
Variables	All (n = 334)	Group with ACS (n = 129)	Group without ACS (n = 205)	p-value*
Age (years old)	129 (38.6%)	59 (45.7%)	70 (34.1%)	0.08
> 34	206 (61.7%)	68 (52.7%)	138 (67.3%)	
20–34	9 (2.7%)	2 (1.6%)	7 (3.4%)	
≤ 20				
Ethnicity	305 (98.4%)	121 (99.2%)	190 (98%)	0.604
Caucasian	4 (1.2%)	1 (0.8%)	3 (1.5%)	
African American	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.5%)	
Others				
Short cervix	9 (2.7%)	7 (5.4%)	2 (1%)	0.014
Yes	325 (97.2%)	122 (94.6%)	203 (99%)	
No				
Threatened preterm birth	19 (5.6%)	15 (11.6%)	4 (2%)	<0.001
Yes	315 (94.4%)	114 (88.4%)	201 (98%)	
No				
Premature rupture of membranes	2 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	2 (1%)	0.524
Yes	132 (99.4%)	129 (100%)	203 (99%)	
No				
Uterine bleeding during pregnancy	18 (5.4%)	8 (6.2%)	10 (4.9%)	0.602
Yes	340 (94.6%)	122 (94.6%)	11 (95.1%)	
No				
Oligohydramnios	7 (2.1%)	3 (2.1%)	4 (2%)	1.000
Yes	327 (97.9%)	126 (97.9%)	201 (98%)	
No				
Fetal growth restriction	21 (6.3%)	10 (7.8%)	11 (5.4%)	0.382
Yes	313 (93.7%)	119 (92.2%)	194 (94.6%)	
No				
Previous preterm birth	12 (3.6%)	5 (3.9%)	7 (3.4%)	0.842
Yes	317 (96.4%)	123 (96.1%)	194 (96.6%)	
No				
Previous birth by cesarean section	150 (44.9%)	53 (41.1%)	97 (47.3%)	0.256
Yes	181 (55.1%)	75 (58.9%)	106 (52.7%)	
No				
Arterial hypertension	56 (16.8%)	31 (24.0%)	25 (12.2%)	0.005
Yes	278 (83.2%)	98 (76.0%)	180 (87.8%)	
No				
Diabetes	39 (11.7%)	15 (11.6%)	24 (11.7%)	1.000
Yes	295 (88.3%)	114 (88.4%)	181 (88.3%)	
No				

Abbreviation: ACS, antenatal corticosteroids.

*Value represents χ^2 statistic or Fisher test of comparison among two groups for categorical variables

*P-value represents χ^2 or Fisher test statistics of comparison among two groups for categorical variables

respectively. None of the newborns needed surfactant therapy (see ►Table 4). When comparing between the two groups, there was no statistically significant difference for these outcomes, even when combined in the composite respiratory morbidity outcome (►Table 4).

No statistically significant difference was observed regarding the occurrence of the secondary outcomes in the two study groups (►Table 5).

When comparing the likelihood for the occurrence of composite morbidity at birth outcome, newborn RDS, TTN, composite respiratory morbidity outcome, NICU admission, prolonged hospital stay (≥ 5 extra days), and composite general neonatal morbidity, the present study did not reveal a statistically significant difference even when adjusting for gestational age and arterial hypertension (►Table 6).

Table 4 Occurrence of primary outcomes in all newborns and comparison between the two groups of interest

<i>Primary Outcomes</i>				
<u>Variables</u>	<u>All (n = 334)</u>	<u>Group with ACS (n = 129)</u>	<u>Group without ACS (n = 205)</u>	<u>p-value*</u>
Morbidity at birth				
Need for oxygen supplementation	32 (9.6%)	14 (10.8%)	18 (8.9%)	0.961
Yes	300 (90.4%)	115 (89.2%)	185 (91.1%)	
No				
Need for IPPV	28 (8.4%)	11 (8.5%)	17 (8.3%)	0.961
Yes	304 (91.6%)	118 (91.5%)	186 (91.7%)	
No				
Need for EOT	5 (1.5%)	1 (0.8%)	4 (1.9%)	0.652
Yes	327 (98.5%)	128 (99.2%)	199 (98.1%)	
No				
Apgar score at the 1 st minute of life	319 (95.8%)	123 (96.9%)	194 (95.1%)	0.425
7–10	14 (4.2%)	4 (3.1%)	10 (4.9%)	
0–6				
Apgar score at the 5 th minute of life	330 (99.1%)	127 (98.4%)	203 (99.5%)	0.562
7–10	3 (0.9%)	2 (1.6%)	1 (0.5%)	
0–6				
Composite morbidity at birth outcome [#]	37 (11.1%)	15 (11.6%)	22 (10.8%)	0.823
Yes	295 (88.9%)	114 (88.4%)	181 (89.2%)	
No				
Respiratory morbidity in the first 72 hours				
Need of oxygen supplementation	10 (3%)	5 (3.8%)	5 (2.4%)	0.453
Yes	324 (97%)	124 (96.2%)	200 (97.5%)	
No				
Need for noninvasive respiratory support	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	–
Yes	334 (100%)	129 (100%)	205 (100%)	
No				
Need for invasive respiratory support	1 (0.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.5%)	1.000
Yes	333 (99.7%)	129 (100%)	204 (99.5%)	
No				
Need for surfactant therapy	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1.000
Yes	332 (100%)	129 (100%)	203 (100%)	
No				
Newborn RDS	16 (4.8%)	7 (5.4%)	9 (4.3%)	0.666
Yes	318 (95.2%)	122 (94.6%)	196 (95.7%)	
No				
TTN	14 (4.2%)	7 (5.4%)	7 (3.4%)	0.408
Yes	334 (95.8%)	122 (94.6%)	198 (96.6%)	
No				
Composite respiratory morbidity outcome [†]	16 (4.8%)	7 (5.4%)	9 (4.4%)	0.681
Yes	316 (95.3%)	122 (94.6%)	194 (95.6%)	
No				

Abbreviations: ACS, antenatal corticosteroids; IPPV, intermittent positive airway pressure; RDS, respiratory distress syndrome; TTN, transient tachypnea of the neonate.

*P-value represents χ^2 or Fisher test statistics of comparison among two groups for categorical variables.

[#]Composite morbidity at birth outcome combines oxygen supplementation, need for intermittent positive airway pressure, need for EOT, Apgar score at the 1st minute of life < 7 and Apgar score at the 5th minute of < 7.

[†]Composite respiratory morbidity combines need of oxygen supplementation, need of noninvasive respiratory support, need of invasive respiratory support, need of surfactant therapy and newborn respiratory distress syndrome.

Table 5 Occurrence of secondary outcomes in all newborns and comparison between the two groups of interest

<i>Secondary Outcomes</i>				
<i>Variables</i>	<i>All (n = 340)</i>	<i>Group with ACS (n = 131)</i>	<i>Group without ACS (n = 209)</i>	<i>p-value*</i>
Prolonged hospital staying (in days)	329 (98.5%)	128 (99.2%)	201 (98%)	0.652
0-5	5 (1.5%)	1 (0.8%)	4 (2%)	
> 5				
Admission at the NIUC				0.436
Yes	7 (2.1%)	4 (3.1%)	3 (1.5%)	
No	328 (97.9%)	126 (96.9%)	202 (98.6%)	
Nutrition through nasogastric tube				1.000
Yes	5 (1.5%)	2 (1.6%)	3 (1.5%)	
No	329 (98.5%)	127 (98.4%)	202 (98.5%)	
Jaundice with need for phototherapy	15 (4.5%)	8 (6.2%)	7 (3.4%)	0.231
Yes	319 (95.5%)	121 (93.8%)	198 (96.6%)	
No				
Sepsis evaluation	22 (6.6%)	6 (4.7%)	16 (7.8%)	0.258
Yes	312 (93.4%)	123 (95.3%)	189 (92.2%)	
No				
Treatment with antibiotics	7 (2.1%)	2 (1.6%)	5 (2.4%)	0.711
Yes	327 (97.9%)	127 (98.4%)	200 (97.6%)	
No				
Hypoglycemia	10 (3%)	4 (3.1%)	6 (2.9%)	0.928
Yes	324 (97%)	125 (96.9%)	199 (97.1%)	
No				
General neonatal morbidity outcome [#]	38 (11.4%)	14 (10.9%)	24 (11.7%)	0.811
Yes	296 (88.6%)	115 (89.1%)	181 (88.3%)	
No				

Abbreviation: NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

*P-value represents χ^2 or Fisher test statistics of comparison among two groups for categorical variables.

[#]General neonatal morbidity outcome combines prolonged hospital staying (in days), admission at the neonatal care unit, nutrition through nasogastric tube, jaundice with need for phototherapy, sepsis evaluation, treatment with antibiotics, and hypoglycemia

Table 6 Risk of composite outcomes in neonates with antenatal corticotherapy after adjusting for gestational age and arterial hypertension

<i>Variables</i>	<i>Crude odds ratio (95%CI)</i>	<i>Adjusted odds ratio (95%CI)</i>
Morbidity at birth		
Composite morbidity at birth outcome [#]	1.083 (0.539–2.173)	0.901 (0.422–1.924)
Respiratory morbidity in the first 72 hours of life		
Newborn RDS	1.250 (0.454–3.442)	0.732 (0.240–2.232)
TTN	1.623 (0.556–4.739)	0.959 (0.297–3.091)
Composite respiratory morbidity outcome [†]	1.237 (0.449–3.407)	0.727 (0.239–2.216)
General neonatal morbidity		
NICU admission	2.155 (0.474–9.788)	0.852 (0.161–4.520)
Prolonged hospital stay (\geq extra days)	0.393 (0.043–3.552)	0.128 (0.012–1.407)
Composite general neonatal morbidity outcome [*]	0.918 (0.456–1.848)	0.609 (0.282–1.319)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; RDS, respiratory distress syndrome; TTN, transient tachypnea of the neonate,

[#]Composite morbidity at birth outcome combines oxygen supplementation, need for intermittent positive airway pressure, need for EOT, Apgar score at the 1st minute of life < 7 and Apgar score at the 5th minute of life < 7.

[†]Composite respiratory morbidity combines need of oxygen supplementation, need of noninvasive respiratory support, need of invasive respiratory support, need of surfactant therapy, newborn respiratory distress syndrome, and transient tachypnea of the neonate.

^{*}General neonatal morbidity outcome combines prolonged hospital stay (in days), admission at the neonatal care unit, nutrition through nasogastric tube, jaundice with need for phototherapy, sepsis evaluation, treatment with antibiotics, and hypoglycemia

Discussion

There is not much available data regarding the rates of elective CS. It is believed that, due to the management of previous CS and breech presentation, elective CS has been increasing. Some studies report rates of elective CS of between 7.4 and 13%.^{4,5} The population of the study represents 4.6% of all neonates born in the studied period, and the main indication for elective CS (–Table 1) was previous uterine surgery, essentially previous CS, which is similar to what was observed in the randomized cohort studies assessing ACS before elective term CS.

Regarding obstetrical risk factors, our study revealed differences between the ACS-exposed and not exposed group in only 3 of the 12 variables included, namely: short cervix, threatened preterm birth, and arterial hypertension. We believe that some of these differences may represent confounding by indication that is, we believe that mothers received ACS based on their underlying risk profile.

Regarding the outcomes regarding morbidity at birth, the overall incidence observed in the present study was very similar to those reported in the randomized cohort studies assessing ACS before an elective CS.^{19–22} Nada et al.²⁰ and Nooh et al.²¹ presented a slightly higher overall incidence of AS inferior to seven at the first and fifth minutes, but they also did not find a statistical significant difference between the ACS exposed and not exposed group. Stutchfield et al.²² reported a lower overall rate of IPPV and endotracheal intubation, but they also did not observe a statistical significant difference between de ACS exposed and not exposed group. Regarding the occurrence of RDS this study also revealed similar rates to those described in the literature,^{19–22} and similar to Nada et al.²⁰ and Nooh et al.,²¹ we also did not observe a statistically significant difference between the ACS-exposed and not exposed groups. In our study, there was no statistically significant difference between the groups regarding the incidence of TTN, as opposed to what was observed in the randomized cohort studies available in the literature, where the incidence of TTN was lower in the ACS exposed group, with a statistically significant difference.^{19–22} The studies available also reported, contrary to us, higher rates of NICU admission and prolonged hospital stay in the ACS not exposed group, although with conflicting results regarding statistical relevance.^{19–22} None of the randomized cohort studies reported data regarding nutrition through nasogastric tube, jaundice with need for phototherapy, sepsis evaluation, treatment with antibiotics, or hypoglycemia.^{19–23} Our findings regarding higher rates of hypoglycemia in the ACS-exposed group may reflect the known biological effects of steroids in glycemic profiles.

Finally, according to the results of the aforementioned randomized cohort studies, prophylactic ACS appeared to decrease the risk of RDS (risk ratio [RR] = 0.48; 95%CI = 0.27–0.87; 3,817 participants), TTN (RR = 0.43; 95%CI: 0.29–0.65; 3,821 participants), and admission to NICU for morbidity due to respiratory reasons (RR = 0.42; 95%CI: 0.22–0.79; 3 studies; 3,441 participants), or any indication (RR = 0.14; 95%CI: 0.03–0.61; 1 study; 452 participants), and the length of stay

in the NICU by 2.70 days (mean difference [MD] - 2.70; 95% CI: - 2.76--2.64; 2 studies; 32 participants).^{19–23} The present study found no statistically significant difference between the exposed and not exposed group regarding the likelihood of newborn RDS, TTN, and NICU admission, and even when adjusting for gestational age and arterial hypertension, the likelihood for the occurrence of the aforementioned outcomes was not statistically different between the exposed and not exposed newborns.

Besides confounding by indication, some other limitations can partially explain the results encountered. One is the suboptimal exposure to ACS. It is known that the optimal window to birth is between 2 and 7 days after the ACS cycle.¹³ Nonetheless, even when ACS is formally indicated, evidence shows that < 40% of the women delivered in this time window.^{24,25} In our study, although the ACS cycle was completed in 93.7% of the exposed newborns, 62.4% ($n = 65$) of these fell outside the time window in which ACS are most effective. Finally, the retrospective nature of the present study warrants a careful interpretation of the results.

Conclusion

In conclusion, in elective CS between 37 and 38 + 6 weeks of gestation, there seems to be no differences regarding newborn outcomes between those exposed and not exposed to ACS. Therefore, the findings of the present study cannot support the use of ACS in this group. More studies regarding this topic, specifically prospective ones, are needed to validate this conclusion.

Contributors

All of the authors contributed with the project and data interpretation, the writing of the article, the critical review of the intellectual content, and with the final approval of the version to be published.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

- Gerten KA, Coonrod DV, Bay RC, Chambliss LR. Cesarean delivery and respiratory distress syndrome: does labor make a difference? *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2005;193(3 Pt 2):1061–1064. Doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2005.05.038
- Hansen AK, Wisborg K, Uldbjerg N, Henriksen TB. Elective caesarean section and respiratory morbidity in the term and near-term neonate. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2007;86(04):389–394. Doi: 10.1080/00016340601159256
- Kamath BD, Todd JK, Glazner JE, Lezotte D, Lynch AM. Neonatal outcomes after elective cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2009; 113(06):1231–1238. Doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181a66d57
- Levine EM, Ghai V, Barton JJ, Strom CM. Mode of delivery and risk of respiratory diseases in newborns. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2001;97(03):439–442. Doi: 10.1016/s0029-7844(00)01150-9
- Zanardo V, Simbi AK, Franzoi M, Soldà G, Salvadori A, Trevisanuto D. Neonatal respiratory morbidity risk and mode of delivery at term: influence of timing of elective caesarean delivery. *Acta Paediatr.* 2004;93(05):643–647. Doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2004.tb02990.x

- 6 Visco AG, Viswanathan M, Lohr KN, Wechter ME, Gartlehner G, Wu JM, et al. Cesarean delivery on maternal request: maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;108(06):1517–1529. Doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000241092.79282.87
- 7 Wax JR, Herson V, Carignan E, Mather J, Ingardia CJ. Contribution of elective delivery to severe respiratory distress at term. *Am J Perinatol.* 2002;19(02):81–86. Doi: 10.1055/s-2002-23558
- 8 World Health Organization (WHO) Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Geneva: WHO; 1995. (WHO Technical Report Series; No. 854).
- 9 Vogel JP, Betrán AP, Vindevoghel N, Souza JP, Torloni MR, Zhang J, et al; WHO Multi-Country Survey on Maternal and Newborn Health Research Network. Use of the Robson classification to assess caesarean section trends in 21 countries: a secondary analysis of two WHO multicountry surveys. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2015;3(05):e260–e270. Doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(15)70094-X
- 10 Hansen AK, Wisborg K, Uldbjerg N, Henriksen TB. Risk of respiratory morbidity in term infants delivered by elective caesarean section: cohort study. *BMJ.* 2008;336(7635):85–87. Doi: 10.1136/bmj.39405.539282.BE
- 11 Morrison JJ, Rennie JM, Milton PJ. Neonatal respiratory morbidity and mode of delivery at term: influence of timing of elective caesarean section. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1995;102(02):101–106. Doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.1995.tb09060.x
- 12 Antenatal Corticosteroid Clinical Practice Guidelines Panel. Antenatal corticosteroids given to women prior to birth to improve fetal, infant, child and adult health: Clinical Practice Guidelines. Auckland: The University of Auckland/Liggins Institute; 2015
- 13 Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Antenatal corticosteroids to reduce neonatal morbidity and mortality. London: RCOG; 2010. (Green-top Guideline; No. 7).
- 14 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Caesarean section: clinical guideline [CG132] (Internet). 2019 [cited 2019 Dec 20]. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg132>
- 15 Venkatesh VC, Katzberg HD. Glucocorticoid regulation of epithelial sodium channel genes in human fetal lung. *Am J Physiol.* 1997; 273(1 Pt 1):L227–L233. Doi: 10.1152/ajplung.1997.273.1.L227
- 16 Jain L. Alveolar fluid clearance in developing lungs and its role in neonatal transition. *Clin Perinatol.* 1999;26(03):585–599
- 17 Brown MJ, Olver RE, Ramsden CA, Strang LB, Walters DV. Effects of adrenaline and of spontaneous labour on the secretion and absorption of lung liquid in the fetal lamb. *J Physiol.* 1983; 344:137–152. Doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1983.sp014929
- 18 Irestedt L, Lagercrantz H, Belfrage P. Causes and consequences of maternal and fetal sympathoadrenal activation during parturition. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand Suppl.* 1984;118:111–115. Doi: 10.3109/00016348409157136
- 19 Ahmed MR, Sayed Ahmed WA, Mohammed TY. Antenatal steroids at 37 weeks, does it reduce neonatal respiratory morbidity? A randomized trial. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2015;28(12): 1486–1490. Doi: 10.3109/14767058.2014.958461
- 20 Nada AM, Shafeek MM, El Maraghy MA, Nageeb AH, Salah El Din AS, Awad MH. Antenatal corticosteroid administration before elective caesarean section at term to prevent neonatal respiratory morbidity: a randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2016;199:88–91. Doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.01.026
- 21 Nooh AM, Abdeldayem HM, Arafa E, Shazly SA, Elsayed H, Mokhtar WA. Does implementing a regime of dexamethasone before planned caesarean section at term reduce admission with respiratory morbidity to neonatal intensive care unit? A randomized controlled trial. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2018;31(05): 614–620. Doi: 10.1080/14767058.2017.1293026
- 22 Stutchfield P, Whitaker R, Russell I. Antenatal Steroids for Term Elective Cesarean Section (ASTECS) research team. Antenatal betamethasone and incidence of neonatal respiratory distress after elective caesarean section: pragmatic randomised trial. *BMJ.* 2005;331(7518):662. Doi: 10.1136/bmj.38547.416493.06
- 23 Sotiriadis A, Makrydimas G, Papatheodorou S, Ioannidis JP, McGoldrick E. Corticosteroids for preventing neonatal respiratory morbidity after elective caesarean section at term. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;8(08):CD006614. Doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006614.pub3
- 24 Stutchfield PR, Whitaker R, Gliddon AE, Hobson L, Kotecha S, Doull JJ. Behavioural, educational and respiratory outcomes of antenatal betamethasone for term caesarean section (ASTECS trial). *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2013;98(03):F195–F200. Doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2012-303157
- 25 Adams TM, Kinzler WL, Chavez MR, Vintzileos AM. The timing of administration of antenatal corticosteroids in women with indicated preterm birth. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;212(05):645.e1–645.e4. Doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2014.11.021